Electroweak Coupling Measurements from Polarized Bhabha Scattering at the Z0 Resonance $^{^{\ast}}$

Kevin T. Pitts

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Stanford University Stanford, CA 94309

> SLAC-Report-688 March 1994

Prepared for the Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC03-76SF00515

Printed in the United States of America. Available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

^{*} Ph.D. thesis, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403

ELECTROWEAK COUPLING MEASUREMENTS FROM POLARIZED BHABHA SCATTERING AT THE Z^0 RESONANCE

by

KEVIN T. PITTS

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Department of Physics and the Graduate School of the University of Oregon in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 1994

1 1

"Electroweak Coupling Measurements From Polarized Bhabha Scattering at the Z^0 Resonance," a dissertation prepared by Kevin T. Pitts in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy degree in the Department of Physics. This dissertation has been approved and accepted by:

Chair of the Examining Committee

March 7, 1994

Date

Committee in charge:

Dr. James E. Brau, Chair

Dr. Raymond E. Frey

Dr. Nilendra G. Deshpande

Dr. Dietrich Belitz Dr. John V. Leahy

Accepted by

Vice Provost and Dean of the Graduate School

An Abstract of the Dissertation of

Kevin T. Pitts

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in the Department of Physics

to be taken

March 1994

Title: ELECTROWEAK COUPLING MEASUREMENTS FROM POLARIZED

BHABHA SCATTERING AT THE Z⁶ RESONANCE

Approved: Dr. James E. Brau

The cross section for Bhabha scattering $(e^+e^- \leftrightarrow e^+e^-)$ with polarized electrons at the center of mass energy of the Z^0 resonance has been measured with the SLD experiment at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center during the 1992 and 1993 runs. The electroweak couplings of the electron are extracted.

At small angles the measurement is done in the SLD Silicon/Tungsten Luminosity Monitor (LMSAT). A detailed description of the design, construction, commissioning and operation of the LMSAT is provided. The integrated luminosity for 1992 is measured to be $\mathcal{L}=420.86\pm2.56~(\mathrm{stat})\pm4.23~(\mathrm{sys})~\mathrm{nb}^{-1}$. The luminosity asymmetry for polarized beams is measured to be $A_{LR}(LUM) \approx (4.7 \pm 6.4) \times 10^{-3}$.

The large angle polarized Bhabha scattering reveals the effective electron vector and axial vector couplings to the Z^0 through the measurement of the $Z^0 \to e^+e^$ partial width, Γ_{tt} , and the parity violation parameter, A_t . From the combined 1992 and 1993 data the effective electron vector and axial vector couplings are measured to $\vec{g}_v^r = -0.0495 \pm 0.0096 \pm 0.0030$, and $\vec{g}_a^r = -0.4977 \pm 0.0035 \pm 0.0064$ respectively. The effective weak mixing angle is measured to be $sin^2\theta_W^{eff} = 0.2251 \pm 0.0049 \pm 0.0015$. These results are compared with other experiments.

CURRICULUM VITA

NAME OF AUTHOR: Keyin T. Pitts

PLACE OF BIRTH: Anderson, IN

DATE OF BIRTH: January 5, 1965

GRADUATE AND UNDERGRADUATE SCHOOLS ATTENDED:

University of Oregon University of Tennessee Anderson College

DEGREES AWARDED:

Doctor of Philosophy in Physics, 1994, University of Oregon Master of Science in Physics, 1989, University of Oregon Bachelor of Arts in Physics and Mathematics, 1987, Anderson College

AREAS OF SPECIAL INTEREST:

Experimental High Energy Physics

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

Research Assistant, Department of Physics, University of Oregon, Eugene, 1988-93

Research Assistant, Department of Physics, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, 1987-88

Teaching Assistant, Department of Physics, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, 1987-88

AWARDS AND HONORS:

Department of Education Fellowship, 1992 University of Tennessee Science Alliance Research Fellowship, 1987-88

PUBLICATIONS:

- SLD Collaboration, K. Abe et al., Report No. SLAC-PUB-6372, October 1993 (submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.).
- 2. SLD Collaboration, H. Masuda et al., Report No. SLAC-PUB-6362, October 1993 (to be published).
- 3. SLD Collaboration, K. Abe ϵl al., Report No. SLAC-PUB-6292, September 1993 (to be published).
- SLD Collaboration, M. Piccolo et al., Report No. SLAC-PUB-6285, July 1993.
- SLD Collaboration, K. Abe ct al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>71</u>, 2528 (1993).
- 6. SLD Collaboration, P.N. Burrows et al., Report No. SLAC-PUB-6225, June 1993.
- 7. SLD Collaboration, R.D. Elia, et al., Mod. Phys. Lett. A8, 2237 (1993).
- 8. SLD Collaboration, D. Su et al., Report No. SLAC-PUB-5972, November 1992.
- SLD Collaboration, M.J. Fero et al., SLAC Summer lust. 1992, 341 (QCD161:S76:1992).
- SLD Collaboration, K. Abe it et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>70</u>, 2515 (1993).
- SLD Collaboration, P.N. Burrows et al., Report No. SLAC-PUB-6001, November 1992.
- 12. SLD Collaboration, D. Muller et al., Dallas HEP 1992, 892 (QCD161:141:1992).
- S.C. Berridge, J.E. Brau, W.M. Bugg, R. Frey, K. Furuno, A. Gioumousis, G. Haller, J. Huber, H. Hwang, R.S. Kroeger, H. Park, K.T. Pitts, P. Seward, A.W. Weidemann, S.L. White and C.J. Zeitlin, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. <u>39</u>, 1242 (1992).
- SLD Collaboration, K. Abe et al., Report No. SLAC-PUB-5905, August 1992.
- 15. SLD Collaboration, K. Abe et al., Report No. SLAC-PUB-5904, August 1992.
- SLD Collaboration, K. Abe εt al., Report No. SLAC-PUB-5903, August 1992.
- 17. SLD Collaboration, K. Abe et al., Dallas HEP 1992, 708 (QCD161:111:1992).
- SLD Collaboration, P.N. Burrows et al., Report No. SLAC-PUB-5802, August 1992.
- S.C. Berridge, J.E. Brau, W.M. Bugg, P. Du, K. Furuno, R.J. Hargis, R.S. Kroeger, K.T. Pitts, A. Weidemann, S.L. White, and C.J. Zeitlin, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci 37, 1191 (1990).

- 20. S.C. Berridge, P.K. Berridge, J.E. Brau, W.M. Bugg, Y. Chen, Y.C. Du, R.S. Kroeger, K.T. Pitts, A.W. Weidemann, and S.L. White, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 36, 339 (1989).
- 21. J.E. Brau, K.T. Pitts and L.E. Price, Snowmass: DPF Summer Study 1988, 103 (QCD161:D15:1988).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, thanks to my parents, William and Beverley Pitts, without whom none of this would have been possible. I will forever be in your debt. I am also very grateful to my brother, Rick, his wife Kathy and daughter Sarah for all their love and support. I would also like to acknowledge my grandparents, Howard and Thelma Miller and Gene and Bea Pitts.

Thanks very much to my advisor, Jim Brau, for his guidance, encouragement and friendship. I appreciate the friendship and support of my good friend and co-worker, Jenny Huber. Thanks also to Cary Zeitlin for his friendship and for his tremendous effort in making it all work.

Thanks to all of the physicists and support personnel of the SLD collaboration.

This experiment is truly a team effort.

I would especially like to thank:

- the University of Oregon group: Ray Frey, David Strom, Koichiro Furuno, Hyun Hwang, Matt Langston, Anatoli Arodzero, Xiao Qing Yang, Hwanbae Park and David Mason for their contributions to the luminosity monitor and thoughtful discussions regarding my analysis.
- Bill Bugg and the University of Tennessee group: Steve Berridge, Achim Weidemann, Rob Kroeger, Peter Du, Joe Hargis and Sharon White for their contribu-

tions to the LMSAT/MASC.

- Iris Abt, Tony Waite, Eric Vella and Richard Dubois for their work on the calorimeter as well as their contribution and support to the luminosity monitor.
- John Yamartino and Saul González for their thoughtful insights and ideas, as well as their excellent work on calorimetry software.
- Peter Rowson, Morris Swartz and the Electroweak Physics group for their contribution and support of this analysis.
- Phil Seward and Behzad Sanii for their help, ideas and advice on the luminosity monitor hardware, as well as some stimulating conversations.

We are all grateful to the accelerator physicists and support personnel working on the SLC. Without luminosity and polarization, there would be no physics.

On behalf of the entire luminosity group, thanks to Bernie Wendring and the University of Oregon machine shop for a tremendous job on the luminosity monitor hardware.

Last, but not least, special thanks to the members of the Jack Miller Roundtable:

Jack Miller (the founder), Cary Zeitlin, Peter Rowson, Jim Grubb and the great John

McGraw for their incredible insights into a plethora of topics.

This work was supported in part by U.S. Department of Energy grant number DE-FG06-85ER40224.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
IJ.	THE STANDARD MODEL	6
	2.1 Framework of the Standard Model	7
*	2.1.1 Bosons	9
	2.1.2 Fermions	11
	2.1.3 Parameters of the Standard Model	
	2.1.4 Couplings	13
	2.2 Z^0 Production in $\epsilon^+\epsilon^-$ Collisions	14
	2.3 The Left-Right Asymmetry	18
	2.4 Radiative Corrections	20
Ш.	BHABHA SCATTERING NEAR THE Z ⁰ RESONANCE	23
	3.1 Born Level Contributions to $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$	23
	3.1.1 Bhabha Scattering at Small Angles	27
	3.1.2 Bhabha Scattering at Wide Angles	
	3.2 Extracting \overline{g}_v^c and \overline{g}_a^c with Polarized Bhabha Scattering 3.3 Beyond the Standard Model	30
	3.3.1 Additional Gauge Bosons (Z')	
	3.3.2 Contact Interactions	
	3.3.3 Fourth Generation	37
IV.	APPARATUS	39
	4.1 The SLAC Linear Collider	40
	4.1.1 Polarized Electron Source	
	4.1.2 Damping Rings	. 44
	4.1.3 Positron Production	45
	4.1.4 Linear Accelerator	. 16
	4.1.5 Arcs	. 46
	4.1.6 Final Focus	. 47
	4.2 The SLAC Large Detector	
	4.2.1 Tracking	
	4.2.2 Particle Identification	. 51
	4.2.3 Calorimetry	. 53
	4.2.4 The WISRD	. 60

			Page
	4.3	Polarimetry	. 61
V.	THE	E SLD LUMINOSITY MONITOR	, 65
	5.1	Introduction	. 65
	5.2	Design	
	5.3	Silicon Detector Tests	
		5.3.1 Visual Test	
		5.3.2 Ground Plane Resistance	
	•	5.3.3 Visuai Survey ,	
		5.3.4 Leakage Current	
		5.3.5 Capacitance	. 77
		5.3.6 Detector Test Summary	77
		5.3.7. Multiple Detector Tests	78
	5.4	Construction ,	
		5.4.1 Mechanical Components	. 79
		5.4.2 Module Assembly *	
		5.4.3 Installation onto Beamline	
	5.5	Readout Electronics	
		5.5.1 Trigger	. 87
	5.6	Operation	
		5.6.1 BNL Beam Test	
		5.6.2 Cosmic Ray Test	
		5.6.3 Colliding Beams	. 94
	5.7	Summary	. 97
VI.	MEA	ASUREMENT OF LUMINOSITY	. 98
	6.1	Overview	. 98
	6.2	Measured Quantities	
	6.3	Method of Measuring Luminosity	. 100
	6.4	Event Selection	
		6.4.1 Trigger	
		6.4.2 Selection	. 106
	6.5	1992 Luminosity	. 109
•		6.5.1 Unpolarized Run Blocks	. 110
		6.5.2 Polarized Run Blocks	. 111
		6.5.3 Cross Section Calculation	. 112
		6.5.4 Accounting	. 114
		6.5.5 Left-Right Luminosity Asymmetry	
	6.6	Systematic Errors in the Luminosity Measurement	
		6.6.1 Contamination	. 117

		:	'age
		6.6.2 Energy Cuts	119
		6.6.3 Beam Parameters	122
		6.6.4 Monte Carlo	125
		6.6.5 Simulation	128
		6.6.6 Theta Cut	135
		6.6.7 Phi Cut·	141
		6.6.8 Systematic Error Summary	141
	6.7	Systematic Error Comparison	143
	6.8	1993 Luminosity	144
	6.9	Conclusion	145
VII.	WΠ	DE ANGLE BHABHA EVENT SELECTION	146
	7.1	Trigger	148
	7.2	PASS 1 Filter	149
	7.3	Reconstruction	150
	7.4	Selection	153
	7.5	Correction Factors	162
		7.5.1 Detector Simulation	462
		7.5.2 Efficiency	168
		7.5.3 Contamination	173
		-7.5.4 Summary of Correction Factors	176
	7.6	Center of Mass Energy	176
	7.7	Beam Polarization	179
VIII.		TRACTING THE ELECTRON VECTOR AND AXIAL-VECTOR	t
	COU	UPLINGS TO THE Z^0 FROM BHABHA SCATTERING	181
	8.1	Method	181
	8.2	Fitting the e^+e^- Distribution	183
		8.2.1 EXPOSTAR	185
		8.2.2 DMIBA	186
		8.2.3 Fitting Formulas	186
	8.3	Results	189
	8.4	Systematic Errors	195
		8.4.1 Correction Factors	195
		8.4.2 Beam Energy	199
		8.4.3 Polarization	201
		8.4.4 Asymmetry Factors	203
		8.4.5 Fitting Programs v	205
		8.4.6 Inputs	206
		8.4.7 Luminosity	207

			Page
		3.4.8 Summary of Systematic Errors	. 208
	8.5	Measurement of $\overline{g}_{v}^{\epsilon}$ and $\overline{g}_{a}^{\epsilon}$. 209
	8.6	Comparison with Other Experiments	
	8.7	Discussion	. 217
	8.8	Conclusion	. 219
NIX.	COl	NCHUSION	. 222
APPENI	XIC		
Λ.	PRO	OBABILITY FUNCTION FOR POLARIZED SCATTERING	. 224
В.	ТНІ	E SLD COLLABORATION	. 226
BIBLIO	GRAF	РНУ	. 231

LIST OF FIGURES

igure?	P	age
2.1	Electroweak Vertex Couplings.	10
2.2	Kinematics of Electron-Positron Annihilation	16
2.3	Error on A_{LR} and $sin^2\theta_W$	19
2.4	Examples of Processes Contributing to First Order Radiative Diagrams.	22
3.1	Born Level Contributions to $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$	24
3.2	Contributions to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ Cross Section as a Function of Center of Mass Energy	26
3.3	Contribution to the Differential $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ Cross Section as a Function of Scattering Angle	28
3.4	$A_{LR}^{e^+e^-}$ as a Function of Scattering Angle	30
3.5	Γ_{ee} and A_e in the Axial Vector-Vector Coupling Plane	32
3.6	Born Level Z' Contributions to e^+e^- Scattering	34
3.7	The Feynman Diagram for a Contact Interaction in e^+e^- Scattering	35
4.!	The SLAC Linear Collider (SLC)	40
4.2	Electron Polarization as a Function of Source Laser Wavelength	42
4.3	The SLC Polarized Electron Source	43
4.4	Cutaway View of the SLAC Large Detector	48
4.5	Quadrant View of the SLD	49
4.6	The SLD Vertex Detector	50

		P_{age}
4.7	The SLD Čerenkov Ring Imaging Detector (CRID)	52
4.8	The Barrel LAC Assembly.	54
4.9	The Endcap LAC Assembly	55
4.10	One Cell from the Barrel LAC	56
4.11	A LAC Barrel Module	57
4.12	A LAC Endcap Module	59
4.13	The Energy Spectrometer	61
4.14	The Compton Scattering Process ,	62
4.15	.Compton Polarimeter Layout	64
5.1	The SLD LMSAT and MASC	67
5.2	Side View of the SLD Luminosity Monitor	68
5.3	One Silicon Plane of the SLD Luminosity Monitor as Seen from the Interaction Point.	70
5.4	Diagram of an L1 Detector	72
5.5	Distribution of Cell Leakage Currents	75
5.6	Exploded View of One Luminosity Monitor Module Assembly	81
5.7	Block Diagram of the LMSAT/MASC Electronics	84
5.8	Leakage Current Measurement Circuit	. 86
5.9	Logic Diagram for Beam Test Data Acquisition	. 90
5.10	Test Beam Response to 7 GeV Muons	. 92
5.11	Response to 2, 4 and 5.42 GeV/c Electrons	. 94

		Page
5.12	ADC Distributions for Cosmic Ray Events.	95
6.1	Trigger Energy, Unpolarized Running.	103
6.2	Trigger Energy, Polarized Running	105
6.3	A Typical Bhabha Event.	107
6.4	North vs. South energy for 1992 Polarized Data	120
6.5	Beam Energy	123
6.6	Interaction Point Location	126
6.7	Energy vs. Azimuthal Angle (Data)	129
6.8	Energy vs. Azimuthal Angle (Martin Carlo)	130
6.9	Energy vs. Polar Angle (Data)	132
6.10	Energy vs. Polar Angle (Monte Carlo)	133
6.11	Polar Angle Distribution	136
6.12	Phi Distribution for Bhabha Clusters	138
6.13	Error in the Luminosity as a Function of Various Misalignments	140
6.14	Collinearity Distribution	142
7.1	A Typical Wide Angle Bhabha Event.	147
7.2	PASS 1 Energy Sums	151
7.3	Reconstructed Energy vs. Imbalance	155
7.4	Number of Reconstructed Clusters	157
7.5	Cluster Energy Cuts by Layer	158
7.6	Rapidity Cut	159

		Page
7.7	Angle Dependent Energy Cut	161
7.8	Calorimeter Response as a Function of Angle	163
7.9	Comparison Between Original SLD Monte Carlo and Data	166
7.10	Estimation of Material in Front of the Calorimeter	167
7.11	Comparison Between Monte Carlo and Data	169
7.12	Cluster Energy Comparison Between Monte Carlo and Data	170
7.13	Efficiency for Wide Angle Bhabha Events	171
7.14	Contamination from the $Z^0 \to \tau^+\tau^-$ Process	174
7.15	Contamination from the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ Process	175
7.16	Accepted and Corrected Data Sample	177
7.17	Beam Energy Distribution for the 1993 Run	178
7.18	Electron Beam Polarization for the 1993 Run	180
8.1	Difference Between Center of Mass Scattering Angle and Thrust Axis.	184
8.2	Raw Bhabha Left-Right Asymmetry for the 1993 Data	191
8.3	Wide Angle DMIBA Fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ Distribution	192
8.4	Likelihood Function for Wide Angle Fit	193
8.5	DMIBA LUM Constrained Fit to the Corrected Wide Angle Bhabha Distribution	194
8.6	EXPOSTAR Wide Angle Fit to the Corrected Wide Angle Bhabha Asymmetry	195
8.7	DMIBA LUM Constrained Fit to the Corrected Left-Handed Wide Angle Bhabha Distribution.	196

		Page
8.8	DMIBA LUM Constrained Fit to the Corrected Right-Handed Wide Angle Bhabha Distribution	197
8.9	One Standard Deviation (68%) Contour for $\overline{g}_{v}^{\epsilon}$ and $\overline{g}_{a}^{\epsilon}$	211
8.10	One Standard Deviation (68%) Contours for \overline{g}_v^e and \overline{g}_a^e	212
8.11	Comparison of the Electron Vector Coupling with Other Experiments.	214
8.12	Comparison of the Electron Axial Vector Coupling with Other Experiments.	215
8.13	Comparison of $sin^2\theta_W^{eff}$ with Other Experiments.	216

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Table of Fermion Couplings to the Z^0	13
2.2	Table Vector and Axial Vector Couplings to the Z^0	15
4.1	Table of SLC Parameters	41
5.1	Table of Acronyms and Abbreviations	83
6.1	Run Blocks for Luminosity Measurement	110
6.2	Effective Cross Sections by Run Block	113
6.3	1992 Luminosity by Block	115
6.4	1992 Luminosity	116
6.5	Systematic Errors in the Luminosity Determination	143
6.6	Comparison of Systematic Errors in the Luminosity Determination	143
8.1	Summary of Types of Fits Performed	188
8.2	Bhabha Raw Asymmetry for the 1992 Run	190
8.3	Bhabha Raw Asymmetry for the 1993 Run	190
8.4	Results for Γ_{ee} and A_{e}	198
8.5	Uncertainty on Electron Beam Polarization.	202
8.6	Asymmetry Errors	205
8.7	Summary of Systematic Errors	209