

Measurement of the Left-Right Asymmetry in Z0 Events at SLAC^{*}

Anthony Frank Szumilo

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94309

SLAC-Report-686
August 1994

Prepared for the Department of Energy
under contract number DE-AC03-76SF00515

Printed in the United States of America. Available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

^{*} Ph.D. thesis, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

Measurements of the Left-Right Asymmetry
in Z^0 events at SLAC

submitted by

Anthony Frank Szumilo

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

University of Washington

1994

Approved by

T. H. Burnett

(Chairperson of Supervisory Committee)

Program Authorized
to Offer Degree

PHYSICS Ph.D.

Date

August 19, 1994

In preparing this dissertation in partial fulfillment for the Doctoral degree at the University of Washington, I agree that the Library shall make its copies freely available for inspection. I further agree that extensive copyings allowable only for scholarly purposes, consistent with "fair use" as prescribed in the U.S. Copyright Law. Requests for copying or reproduction of this dissertation may be referred to University Microfilms, 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106, to whom the author has granted "the right to reproduce and sell (a) copies of the manuscript in microform and/or (b) printed copied of the manuscript in microform."

Signature Anthony Senior

Date Aug 18, 1994

University of Washington

Abstract

Measurements of the Left-Right Asymmetry
in Z^0 events at SLAC

by Anthony Frank Szumilo

Chairman of the Supervisory Committee: Professor Thompson H. Burnett
Department of Physics

Recent results from the 1992 and 1993 left-right asymmetry cross section measurements at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center are presented. Measurements made with SLAC running with a center-of-mass energy at the Z^0 -pole (91.2 GeV) by the SLD detector and an average electron beam polarization of $22.4 \pm 0.7\%$ for 1992 and $62.6 \pm 1.2\%$ for 1993. The asymmetry measured was $A_{LR} = 0.100 \pm 0.044$ and $A_{LR} = 0.1656 \pm 0.0073$ for the 1992 and 1993 runs, respectively. This in turn allows us to calculate the weak mixing angle value of $\sin^2 \theta_w = 0.2378 \pm 0.0056$ and $\sin^2 \theta_w = 0.2288 \pm 0.0009$ for the two data sets.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
LIST OF FIGURES.....	iii
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Theory	1
1.2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ Generalized cross section and final state selection.....	2
1.2.1 Asymmetries in the Standard Model.....	3
1.3 Experimental Considerations	11
1.3.1 Sensitivity to $\sin^2\theta_w$ and polarization.....	11
1.3.2 Radiative and Standard Model corrections	12
2. THE SLC.....	16
2.1 POLARIZATION.....	16
2.1.1 Theory and Practice.....	18
2.2 Polarized beam storage and transport.....	21
2.2.1 Polarization at SLAC	21
2.2.2 Polarimetry.....	22
2.3 Storage Rings & Spin Rotators	36
2.4 Positron Production Target.....	37
2.4.1 Hardware design.....	40
2.4.2 Hardware	45
2.4.3 CAMAC layout	50
2.4.4 Controller (software) logic.....	56
2.5 Linac and Arcs	57
3. SLD	59
3.1 Detector Overview and Description.....	59
3.1.1 Vertex Detector	61
3.1.2 Drift Chambers.....	61

3.1.3 CRIDs	63
3.2 Subsystems Relevant to A_{LR}	63
3.2.1 Drift Chambers	64
3.2.2 LAC	69
3.2.3 WIC	70
3.2.4 Luminosity monitor (LUM)	73
3.2.5 SLD/SLC Operations	79
4. MEASURING A_{LR}	82
4.1 Backgrounds	82
4.1.1 Two-Gamma Events	83
4.1.2 Bhabha events	83
4.1.3 Muons	83
4.2 Cuts	84
4.2.1 Tracking and Calorimetry cuts	84
4.2.2 Resulting Data Sample	86
4.2.3 Monte Carlo, Calculation results, Expected figures	86
4.3 A_{LR} Results, $\sin^2\theta_w$	87
4.3.1 Polarimetry measurements	87
4.3.2 Luminosity results	88
4.3.3 Data sample, L + R events	88
4.3.4 Background results	89
4.3.5 Errors and Uncertainties	89
5. SUMMARY	92
5.1 Summary of Results	92
5.2 Future Improvements	92
5.3 Top quark results from Fermilab	92
References	93

APPENDIX A Software Utilities at SLAC, CERN and BUILD.....	96
APPENDIX B The SLD Collaboration.....	102

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure</i>	<i>Page</i>
figure 1-1 Typical s-channel and t-channel events at the Z-pole resonance.....	1
figure 1-2 s-channel scattering case with photon mediating.....	4
figure 1-3 Higher order loop interference terms for the Z.....	10
figure 1-4 The effect of the top quark and Higgs boson masses on A_{LR}	14
figure 2-1 Layout of the SLAC linac.....	17
figure 2-2 Selection rule in the transition of conduction band electrons.....	18
figure 2-3 Polarization of ejected electrons in GaAs vs. laser wavelength.....	20
Figure 2-4 Energy Band structure of (a) GaAs and (b) Strained GaAs.....	21
figure 2-5a Feynmann diagram for polarized e-e scattering.....	25
figure 2-6 Layout of the Moller polarimeter.....	28
figure 2-7 Compton magnet and laser layout.....	31
figure 2-8 Polarimeter overview.....	32
figure 2-9 LBL Compton CRIDs, profile and phototubes.....	34
figure 2-10 Compton channel asymmetry vs. beam deflection.....	35
figure 2-11 Storage ring layout with flip magnets.....	38
figure 2-12 HPPT trolling motor setup.....	41
figure 2-13 HPPT ferrite pick-up and electronics logic.....	49
figure 2-14 HPPT CAMAC module and layout.....	52
figure 2-15 HPPT software logic flowchart.....	54
figure 3-1 SLD profile.....	60
figure 3-2 The SLD vertex detector.....	62
figure 3-3 Drift Chamber wire cell layout.....	65
figure 3-4 Equipotential contours of a DC cell.....	66
figure 3-5 Luminosity Monitor profile.....	74
figure 4-1 Feynman diagrams for $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\gamma$	83

figure 4-2 Comparison of SLAC and LEP results.....	91
figure A-1 Generalized Layout of the CET/BUILD system.....	101

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest appreciation for all those who helped me in reaching this milestone. First, to the SLD collaboration for their determinism in achieving our goals, despite what at times seemed like insurmountable odds; and to our collaboration leaders Marty Breidenbach and Charlie Baltay for providing a constant vision.

My personal thanks goes to my advisor, Toby Burnett, without whose patience, support, and advice this dissertation would not have been possible.

I also want to express my love and appreciation to my parents, Frank and Angela Szumilo, to whom this dissertation is dedicated, for their unwavering encouragement during my work.

I would also like to thank Tom Himel, Tom Porter and the rest of the High-Powered Positron Target group, with whom I had the privilege and pleasure of working during my accelerator projects.

At SLAC, I am indebted to Mike Sullenberger, J.J. Russell, Richard Dubois, Tony Johnson, Bob Sass, Ramon Berger and special recognition to Gary Bower for showing me the ropes of the SLAC computer systems and how to untangle them, and their assistance and feedback in developing the CETI and BUILD systems.

I would like to acknowledge Steve Meyer, Iris Abt, Ray Cowan, Gary Word and John McGowan for their physics and computer knowledge which has come in myriad forms and proven invaluable in the production of this paper.

At the University of Washington, my appreciation goes out to the Physics Department professors for imparting their insight and wisdom, and particularly to Paul Boynton, who showed me that ingenuity in physics is more important than budget. Thanks also to Linda, Phoebe, and Gerri for working their magic in looking after the needs of the graduate students.

These acknowledgments would not be complete without expressing my undying gratitude to my friends who added substance to my life during my time at the university. To Lorraine, Loren and Diana, for friendship above-and-beyond the call of duty. To Gunter, Priscilla, Larry, and to the Acorn group for making a house a home. To the SLAC and No-Connection Ultimate teams, for making sure I got enough exercise. And deepest thanks and affection to Angelika Meyer and SLAC's Friday Night Adventure group for their spiritual, intellectual and culinary support.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy and by the National Science Foundation through the University of Washington.

To my family: my parents Frank and Angela, and my brother Frank Jr., for
inspiring and nurturing my interest in the sciences during my formative years.