A Measurement of the Parity Violating Parameter A(B) with Electrons at the SLD using a Neural Network*

Jorge Pablo Fernandez

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Stanford University Stanford, CA 94309

> SLAC-Report-619 December 1999

Prepared for the Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC03-76SF00515

Printed in the United States of America. Available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

_

^{*} Ph.D. thesis, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz CA 95064

UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA SANTA CRUZ

A MEASUREMENT OF THE PARITY VIOLATING PARAMETER ${\cal A}_{\cal B}$ WITH ELECTRONS AT THE SLD USING A NEURAL NETWORK

A dissertation submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

PHYSICS

by

Jorge Pablo Fernandez

December 1999

The dissertation of Jorge Pablo Fernandez is approved:

Professor Bruce Schumm, Chair

Professor Abraham Seiden

Professor Michael Dine

Dean of Graduate Studie

Αl	bstra	cŧ		vli					
D	Dedication								
A	cknov	vledge	ments	ìx					
Li	st of	Figur		xii					
Li	st of	Table	S	xiv					
1	Intr	oduct		1					
	1.1	The S	tandard Model	. 3					
		1.1.1	The Standard Electroweak Theory	. 3					
		1.1.2	Quantum Chromodynamics	8					
	1.2	Asym	metries at the Z ⁰ Pole	. 12					
		1.2.1	Unpolarized Asymmetries						
		1.2.2	Asymmetries Formed with Polarized Beams	. 17					
		1.2.3	Radiative Corrections						
2	Neu	iral No	etworks	21					
	2.1	Artific	cial Neural Networks	. 22					
			The Components of a Neural Network						
		2.1.2		. 25					
	2.2	Feed-	Forward Back-Propagation Network	. 26					
			And taxan Balan	97					

	2.3	Backpropagation					٠								٠	,	30
	2,4	Practical Issues in using a Neural Network	ć														34
		2.4.1 Network Architectures								.,							35
		2.4.2 Training Parameters															38
		2.4.3 Generalization versus Specializatio	n	, ,				. ,				,					40
	2.5	Applications in High-Energy Physics												٠			41
		2.5.1 Electron Identification	ď	٠.	×				×	×		•	•		٠	٠	42
3	The	Accelerator and Polarization															48
	3.1	Stanford Linear Collider															49
		3.1.1 Linear Accelerator															52
		3.1.2 Luminosity Overview															52
		3.1.3 Polarized Electron Source															56
		3.1.4 Spin Transport															59
		3.1.5 Energy Spectrometer				•			·	•	•	•			,	•	66
	3.2	Compton Polarimeter									Ĭ.				•	•	67
		3.2.1 Compton Laser															68
		3.2.2 Electron Transport			ĺ												70
		3.2.3 Compton Čerenkov Detector										•	•	•		•	71
		3.2.4 The Polarization Measurement											•				73
4	The	SLD Detector															78
-	4.1	Luminosity Monitor															81
	4.2	Beam Spot Determination															83
	4.3	The Vertex Detectors															85
		4.3.1 VXD2															86
		4.3.2 VXD3															87
	4_4	Central Drift Chamber	•		*	•			٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	91
	***	4.4.1 Track Reconstruction		• ,	•	•	• •	*	,	-	•	٠	٠	•		,	96
	4.5	Cerenkov Ring Imaging Detector	•	• •	٠	٠	• •	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	٠	101
	4.6	Liquid Argon Calorimeter															
	4.7	Warm Iron Calorimeter	•	• •	•	*	٠ 1	,	•		•	4	•	х	,	•	110
	4.8	Data Acquisition															112
	4.9	Detector Simulation															
	777	A C C C A S MANAGEMENTAL PARTIES AND A C C C A S MANAGEMENT AND A C C C C A S MANAGEMENT AND A C C C C A S MANAGEMENT AND A C C C C A S MANAGEMENT AND A C C C C A S MANAGEMENT AND A C C C C A S MANAGEMENT AND A C C C C A S MANAGEMENT AND A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C						•		•	•	•	T	*			

Ele	ctron Source Classification Neural Network	117
5.1	Electron Source Classification	119
5.2	Reconstructing Topological and Vertex Information	121
	5.2.1 Topological Studies	
	5.2.2 Vertex Studies	123
5.3		
5.4	Input Variables	126
	5.4.1 Electron Momentum, (Ptot)	128
	5.4.2 Electron Momentum Transverse to Nearest Jet Axis, (Ptrans)	129
	5.4.3 Vertex Mass, Associated $(M_{\rm vtx})$ and Opposite $(M_{\rm opp-vtx})$.	130
	5.4.4 Associated Vertex Momentum. (Pvtx)	
	5.4.5 Associated Vertex Significance. (Svtx)	
	5.4.6 Underlying B-Meson Boost	
	5.4.7 Topological Variable, (L/D)	
5.5		
***	5.5.I Parameters	
	5.5.2 Training	
	5.5.3 Testing	
5.6	- · · ·	
	5.6.1 Neural Network Outputs	
	5.6.2 Dimensional Reduction	
5.7		
	5.7.1 Input Variables	
	5.7.2 Output Nodes	
Des	termination of the Parity Violating Parameter A	154
6.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6.2	8 11 1 101 1	
6.3		
6.4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
13.73	6.4.1 Estimate of Electron Sources from the Monte Carlo	
6.5		
5.6	The state of the s	
6.7	7.2	
****	6.7.1 Additional QCD Corrections	
	6.7.2 Monte Carlo as Data checks	
6.8	Mar. 1	

	6.9	E	The state of the s	
	0.5	-3	matic Errors	
		6.9.1	Jet Axis Simulation	
		6.9.2	Tracking Efficiency	
		6.9.3	Background Level in Electron Identification 170	
		6.9.4	Background Asymmetry	
		6.9.5	Monte Carlo Statistics	1
		6.9.6	Potarization	
		6.9.7	B-Mixing .	1
		6.9.8	$\Gamma(Z^0 \to b\bar{b})$ and $\Gamma(Z^0 \to c\bar{c})$	
		6.9.9	Other Dranching Ratios 181	
		6.9.10	B^{\pm} , B^{0} and B , Meson Decay Lepton Spectrum	
		6.9.11	D Meson Decay Lepton Spectrum	
		6.9.12	B, Fraction	
		6.9.13	A _b Fraction	
		6.9.14	b and c Quark Fragmentation	
		6.9.15	Second Order QCD Corrections	
		6.9.16	Vertex Reconstruction 186	
		6.9.17	D [±] and D ⁰ Meson Decay Lepton Spectrum	
		6.9.18	Neural Network Training	
		6.9.19	Total Systematic Errors	

7			and Prospects 191	
	7.1	Summ	ary	
		7.1.1	Cascade Kaon Analysis	
		7.1.2	Momentum-weighted Track Charge Analysis	
		7.1.3	Inclusive Semi-leptonic Decay Analysis	
		7.1.4	Vertex-charge Analysis	
		7.1.5	Global SLD Average for A,	
	7.2	Prospe	cts	
Ł	The	SLD (Collaboration 199	
įε	bliog	raphy	203	

Abstract

A Measurement of the Parity Violating Parameter A_b with Electrons at the SLD Using a Neural Network

by

Jorge Pablo Fernandez

The parity violating parameter A_b of the $Z^0 \to b\bar{b}$ coupling has been measured directly using the angular dependence of the Z^0 -pole polarized cross section. Bottom hadrons are tagged via semileptonic decays. The semileptonic channel used in this analysis is the electron channel. The analysis takes advantage of a new Neural Network algorithm to classify electrons according to the sources: $b \to e$, $b \to c \to e$, $c \to e$ and background events. Based on the 1996-1998 SLD sample of 350,000 Z^0 decays produced with highly polarized electron beams, this technique yields: $A_b = 0.877 \pm 0.050({\rm stat}) \pm 0.028({\rm syst})$.

Para mis queridos padres

Arturo

у

Gloria

and for my loving wife

Katherine

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Bruce Schumm. Not only was he my advisor (and an exceptionally effective advisor he was), he is also my friend. His incessent deadpanning has been a constant source of bewildermeant and hearty laughs. He has made the hardest time in my life bearable. Oh, he also knows particle physics. He has helped me countless times and has shown the patience of Job when I have had to ask him the same questions more than once.

In the Compton Polarimeter group, I would like to thank Mike Woods who gave me my first project and also gave me the tools to make sure that I would be able to complete it. Mike is the consumate pragmatist in the group and has inspired me to think through things thoroughly. Further thanks to Morris Swartz and Ray Frey for being approachable when I needed plots or a discussion of errors. Talking about errors brings me to Peter Rowson, the leader of the Electroweak group. Peter really deserves a big "Thank You" for his one-on-one style. Peter, too, has been a source of good humor and peripheral discussions that have made my time at SLAC (dare I say it...) fun. There are also some ex-Compton guys that are no longer at SLAC who deserve an honorable mention: Eric Torrence, Mike Fero, Amit Lath; I'd like to thank all these guys.

In the Asymmetries group, I would first like to thank Salvatore Fahey. Sal taught me how to use Neural Networks and provided many helpful discussions on the subject and about b-physics in general. Additionally he always maintained an open-door policy which I have definitely abused. Sal has also been a good friend. Next on the list is Giampiero Mancinelli. Giampi is not only fun to be around, he also asks a lot of tough questions that have really made me think about things. Giampi also helped with the systematics which would have been my downfall were it not for his carefull explanations. I'd also like to thank Giulia Bellodi. She is a fellow grad student working on b-quark asymmetries from a muonic perspective. Our analyses are similar enough though that I was able to pick her brain repeatedly, and everytime I did, she always was able to help me. Additional people who need to be thanked are Su Dong, Gien Crawford and Stephan Willoq. These fine gentlemen have helped immeasurably.

For computer issues, I'd really like to thank Ramon Burger, Richard Dubois, Karen Heidenreich, Owen Saxon and Gary Bowers. Computers are an absolute necessity for High Energy Physics, and I could not have done any work without the efforts of these people.

I'd like to express my gratitude to my officemate, Per Lasse Reinertsen. He has been a great template for me and an excellent source for discussions on theory and computing. Per is a smart guy and I definitely benefited from having him around. I'd also like to thank the guy across the hall, Thomas Wright. He too has been an excellent source of information about physics, computing and golf. Tom is also interesting to talk to on just about everything.

There are alot of people at Santa Cruz that I owe a great deal to. Georgia Hamel, Nora Rogers, Terry Hart. Marilyn Stevens and Judy Hurst are all warm people who are also incredibly effective at running the show in SCIPP and the Physics Department, respectively. Additionally, I'd like to thank Wilko, Tim. Bill and Alex for all their help in the SCIPP labs.

I would like to thank my family for believing in me. My mother Gloria; my brothers Antonio, Hipolito and Arturo; my dear, dear sister Gloria; and my father who is in heaven, Arturo. My father never saw this day with his own eyes, but I know he sees it with eyes that need not glasses.

Lastly, but certainly not least, I would like to thank my beautiful wife Katherine. She has been the mainstay of my life. She has suffered much over the years, and has sacrificed more than her fair share. She has championed my cause and provided the support for my endeavors. She has been my best friend and custodian of my heart. Katherine, I love you and thank you for your patience.

List of Figures

1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	Running of the Strong Coupling Constant
2.1	Generic Neural Network Node
2.2	A Simple Neural Network
2.3	A Feed-Forward Neural Network
2.4	The Sigmoid Function
2.3	CRID Electron ID NN In
2.6	LAC Electron ID NN In
2.7	Electron Identification Neural Network Output 47
3.1	SLC Layout
3.2	Enhancement Factor
3.3	SLC Performance
3.4	Gallium Arsenide Energy Levels
3.5	Polarized Electron Gun and Laser
3.6	SLC Polarization History
3.7	Spin Bumps
	Polarization, Energy Dependence
3.8	A Chief Stiller Charlet Stiller St. M. and Lander Charlet Char
3.9	ALTO Tiddi star Commerces ! mars \$2 makes and a transfer and a second
3.10	Tribeth, Dirett, December
3.11	Compton Polarimeter

xiii

List	of	Figures
------	----	----------------

List of Figures

5.11	NN Input L/D				,		,		. :	136
	Test Set Errors									
	NN Output $b \rightarrow e$ Node									
	NN Output $b \rightarrow c \rightarrow e$ Node									
	NN Output $c \rightarrow e$ Node									
	NN Output Miss-ID Node									
	Reduced Space Plot for NN Outputs									
	Reduced Space Plots for Sources									
5.19	Reduced Space Plot - With Vertex Mass			4		4	٠	<u>.</u>	٠.	147
5.20	Reduced Space Plot - Without Vertex Mass		,					,		148
	Reduced Space Plot - With LoverD									
	Reduced Space Plot - Without LoverD									
	Input Data vs. Monte Carlo, Ptot and Ptrans									
	Input Data vs. Monte Carlo, Mytx and Pvtx									
5.25	Input Data vs. Monte Carlo, Svtx and Mopp-vtx				,					151
	Input Data vs. Monte Carlo, Boost and L/D									
	Output Data vs. Monte Carlo, b-direct and b-cascade									
J.25	Output Data vs. Monte Carlo, c-direct and Miss-ID	٠	•	•	•	*	+	•	•	100
6.1	Feynman Diagrams for B Mixing									164
6.2	QCD Corrections in Bins of $\cos \theta$									
6.3	Maximum Likelihood Fit Results for 1998 Data									
6.4	Neural Network Training Error	٠		•	-	•	•		-	199

List of Tables

1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	Fermions in the Electroweak Model	9 14
2.1	Pion Fraction in Electron Identification Neural Network Output	47
3.1 3.2 3.3	SLC Collision Beam Parameters	76
4.1	SLC Luminosity Results	83
5.1	Number of electron-types for each source used for Neural Network training.	138
6.1	Parameters used in the analysis for the background asymmetry function of Equation 6.4. These values are a result of fitting data for all charged non-leptonic tracks in bins of p and p_t .	163
6.2	Analysis procedure verification using the Monte Carlo as Data. The numbers should agree with the Standard Model value of $A_6 = 0.9352$	
	(assuming $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.232$)	173
6.3	1996 - 1998 A, Results	1/3
6.4	Current SLD electron tag A, measurements. Errors are statistical and	
	systematic, respectively.	175
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	190