

The Top Cross Section in the Standard Model*

Mark A. Samuel^{† ‡}

*Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309*

Abstract

We recalculate the $t\bar{t}$ total cross section, $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$, using Padé summation. Our result, $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 6.9 \pm 0.3 \text{ pb}$ is in agreement with the latest results from CDF and DØ at Fermilab.

(Submitted to Physical Review Letters.)

*Work supported by the Department of Energy, contract DE-AC03-76SF00515 and grant number DE-FG05-84ER40215.

[†]e-mail: physmas@mvs.ucc.okstate.edu

[‡]Permanent address: Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078

The sixth (and final?) quark, the top quark t , was discovered by CDF and DØ at Fermilab in 1995 [1]. Its large mass is remarkable when compared with the masses of the other quarks. The latest value from DØ and CDF is [2]

$$m_t = 176.6 \pm 5.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2 . \quad (1)$$

From the beginning it was noticed that the $t\bar{t}$ total cross section, $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$, was larger than the Standard Model prediction.

The latest experimental values [3] are

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\text{expt}} = \begin{cases} 5.5 \pm 1.8 \text{ pb} & \text{DØ} \\ 7.5^{+1.9}_{-1.6} \text{ pb} & \text{CDF} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Combining these two values we obtain

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\text{expt}} = 6.6 \pm 1.3 \text{ pb} . \quad (3)$$

This is uncomfortably higher than the theoretical predictions:

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\text{th}} = \begin{cases} 5.52^{+0.07}_{-0.45} \text{ pb} & \text{(Berger and Contopanagos [4])} \\ 4.75^{+0.73}_{-0.62} \text{ pb} & \text{(Cantani *et al.* [5])} \\ 4.79 \pm 0.67 \text{ pb} & \text{(Laenen *et al.* [6])} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

In this letter we make use of Padé Approximants (PA) to obtain a more precise value for $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\text{th}}$. In the last several years, we have demonstrated that PA can be very useful in QCD [7, 8, 9, 10], both in predicting unknown higher-order coefficients (Asymptotic Padé Approximant Predictions–APAP) as well as in summing the perturbative series (Padé Summation–PS).

Given a perturbative series for some physical observable A that has been calculated to some finite order n ,

$$A \sim A_n = A_0 + A_1x + A_2x^2 + \cdots + A_nx^n \quad (5)$$

where $x = \alpha_s/\pi$, the corresponding PA's are ratios of polynomials

$$[N/M] = \frac{a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_Nx^N}{1 + b_1x + \cdots + b_Mx^M} \quad (6)$$

with $N+M = n$, chosen such that they reproduce the known coefficients A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n when expanded back in a Taylor series. It is clear that the PA $[N/M]$ includes some

higher-order effects: the hope is that it resums physically relevant higher-order contributions so that $[N/M]$ will be a better approximation to the observable A than the original truncated power series A_n .

In this letter we use Padé Summation (PA) to resum the $t\bar{t}$ total cross section to obtain a better, more precise theoretical prediction for $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{th}$.

From Ref. [4], using $\mu = m_t$, we find that the $q\bar{q}$ contribution is given by

$$\sigma_{q\bar{q}}^{(0)} = 3.69 \text{ pb} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\sigma_{q\bar{q}}^{(0+1)} = 4.43 \text{ pb} . \quad (8)$$

PA gives

$$\sigma_{q\bar{q}} = \frac{3.69 \text{ pb}}{1 - 6.1516 x} = 4.62 \pm 0.15 \text{ pb} \quad (9)$$

where

$$x = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} = 0.03260 . \quad (10)$$

Similarly for the gluon contribution we get

$$\sigma_{gg} = \frac{0.34 \text{ pb}}{1 - 26.1638 x} = 2.31 \pm 0.25 \text{ pb} . \quad (11)$$

Thus we obtain for our theoretical prediction

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{th} = \sigma_{q\bar{q}} + \sigma_{gg} = 6.9 \pm 0.3 \text{ pb} . \quad (12)$$

Comparing this with the experimental result in Eq. (3),

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\text{expt}} = 6.6 \pm 1.3 \text{ pb} \quad (13)$$

we find excellent agreement. The difference is

$$\Delta = \sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{th} - \sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\text{expt}} = 0.3 \pm 1.3 \text{ pb} . \quad (14)$$

The lesson to be learned here is that leading-order (LO) and next-to-leading-order (NLO) QCD is not sufficient to properly make use of what are now very precise experimental measurements. Similar results were found previously in the SLD determinations [11] of $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ at SLAC and the measurement [12] $\sigma_{W+1 \text{ jet}}/\sigma_{W+0 \text{ jets}}$ at DØ at Fermilab. In the first case, the scatter was reduced by a factor of two.

The author wishes to thank Tom Rizzo for very helpful discussions.

References

- [1] F. Abe *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 2626 (1995); S. Abachi *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 2632 (1995).
- [2] R. Raja, “Top Quark Mass Measurements from the Tevatron,” hep-ex/9706011 (1997).
- [3] H. Greenlee, “Top Quark Results from the Tevatron,” (CDF and DØ), *XVII International Workshop on Weak Interactions and Neutrinos*, Capri, Italy (1997).
- [4] E. L. Berger and H. Contopanagos, *Phys. Lett.* **B361**, 115 (1995).
- [5] S. Catani, M. L. Mangano, P. Nason and L. Trentadue, “The Top Cross Section in Hadronic Collisions,” CERN-TH/96/21, hep-ph/9602208 (1996).
- [6] E. Laenen, J. Smith and W. L. van Neerven, *Phys. Lett.* **B321**, 254 (1994).
- [7] M. A. Samuel, G. Li and E. Steinfelds, *Phys. Rev.* **D48**, 869 (1993) and *Phys. Lett.* **B323**, 188 (1994); M. A. Samuel and G. Li, *Int. J. Th. Phys.* **33**, 1461 (1994) and *Phys. Lett.* **B331**, 114 (1994).
- [8] M. A. Samuel, J. Ellis and M. Karliner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 4380 (1995); J. Ellis, E. Gardi, M. Karliner and M. A. Samuel, *Phys. Lett.* **B366**, 268 (1996) and *Phys. Rev.* **D54**, 6986 (1996); I. Jack, D.R.T. Jones and M. A. Samuel, “Asymptotic Padé Approximants and SQCD β Function,” hep-ph/9706249, to be published in *Phys. Lett.*
- [9] E. Gardi, *Phys. Rev.* **D56**, 68 (1997).
- [10] S. J. Brodsky, J. Ellis, E. Gardi, M. Karliner and M. A. Samuel, “Padé Approximants, Optimal Renormalization Scales, and Momentum Flow in Feynman Diagrams,” CERN-TH-97/126, SLAC-pub-7566, hep-ph/9706467 (1997).
- [11] P. N. Burrows, T. Abaha, M. Samuel, E. Steinfelds and H. Masuda, *Phys. Lett.* **B392**, 223 (1997).
- [12] M. A. Samuel, T. Abaha and J. Yu, *Phys. Lett.* **B394**, 165 (1997).