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#### Abstract

This paper calls attention to four topics in tau lepton physics which are outside our present areas of tau physics research: $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atoms, $\tau^{-}$nucleus atoms, photoproduction of $\tau$ 's, and heavy ion production of $\tau$ 's.


Paper presented at the
Second Workshop on Tau Lepton Physics
The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio
September 8 -11, 1992

## A. Introduction

This paper is based on a talk delivered at the Second Workshop on Tau Lepton Physics held at The Ohio State University, September 8-11, 1992. In that talk I called attention to four out-of-the-way topics in tau physics: $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atoms, $\tau^{-}-$nucleus atoms, photoproduction of $\tau$ 's, and heavy ion production of $\tau$ 's; and these are the areas covered in this paper. Two other topics from that talk will not be discussed in this paper: future searches for heavy leptons and speculations on missing modes in tau decay (Perl 1992).
:- -

## B. The $\tau^{-} \tau^{+}$Atom

## B. 1 Introduction

In the early years of the discovery of the $\tau$ there was some discussion of the physics of an atom that would consist of the Coulombic bound state of a $\tau^{+}$and a $\tau^{-}$ (Moffat 1975, Avilez et al. 1978, Avilez et al. 1979), an entity analagous to the $e^{+} e^{-}$ atom positronium (Rich 1978). The $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atom can be made in $e^{+} e^{-}$annihilation just below $\tau$ pair threshold.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \quad e^{+}+e^{-} \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom }, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence the tau-charm factory offers the best route for making these atoms as discussed in Sec. B. 5 .

## B. 2 Static Properties

The energy levels of the $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atom are shown in Fig. 1 where the atomic spectroscopy notation

$$
n^{2 S+1} L_{J}
$$

is used. Here $n$ is the principle quantum number; $S$ is the total spin quantum number and is 0 or $1, L$ is the orbital angular momentum quantum number with $L=S, P$, $\mathrm{D} \ldots$ for $\mathrm{L}=0,1,2 \ldots$, and J is the total angular momentum quantum number. Ignoring fine structure, the energy levels are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}=-\frac{m_{\tau} c^{2} \alpha^{2}}{4 n^{2}}=-\frac{23.7 \mathrm{keV}}{n^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 4 in the denominator comes from the usual 2 in the denominator and and $m_{\text {reduced }}\left(\tau^{+} \tau^{-}\right.$atom $)=m_{\tau} / 2$ in the numerator. I use $m_{\tau}=1777 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$.

Figure 1
The Bohr radius is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\frac{2 \hbar^{2}}{m_{\tau} e^{2}}=3.04 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~cm} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is three orders of magnitude smaller than the Bohr radius for hydrogen of $5.29 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~cm}$.

The $n=1,2$ wave functions are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n \ell}=R_{n \ell}(r) Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) \tag{4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{\ell m}$ is a normalized spherical harmonic and

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{10} & =\frac{1}{a_{0}^{3 / 2}} 2 c^{-r / a_{0}} \\
R_{20} & =\frac{1}{a_{0}^{3 / 2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1-\frac{r}{2 a_{0}}\right) e^{-\tau / 2 a_{0}} \\
R_{21} & =\frac{1}{a_{0}^{3 / 2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{r}{2 a_{0}} e^{-r / 2 a_{0}} \tag{4b}
\end{align*}
$$

## B. 3 Charge Conjugation Rules for Production and Decay

Charge conjugation, C , imposes selection rules on the production and decay of the $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atom

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \psi\left(\tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom, } n, S, L\right)=(-1)^{S+L} \psi\left(\tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom, } n, S, L\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for a state of N photons

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \psi(N \text { photons })=(-1)^{N} \psi(N \text { photons }) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore in production

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{+}+e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma_{\text {virtual }} \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \tag{7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

the atom must be produced in a state with

$$
\begin{equation*}
S+L=\text { odd number } \tag{7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The decay

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow \gamma+\gamma \tag{8a}
\end{equation*}
$$

requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
S+L=\text { even number } \tag{8b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the decay

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow \gamma+\gamma+\gamma \tag{9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
S+L=\text { odd number } \tag{9b}
\end{equation*}
$$

B. 4 Decay channels of the $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atom

Next I discuss the decay of the $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atom. There are two classes of decay channel. In the first class the $\tau^{+}$or $\tau^{-}$decay through the weak interaction in the normal way and the atomic state disappears. The decay width is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\text { atom }, \tau \text { decay })=2 \hbar / \tau_{\text {lifetime }}=4.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{eV} \tag{10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the 2 occurs because the decay of either $\tau$ breaks up the atomic state. I have used the $\tau$ lifetime (Trischuk 1992) of

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\tau}=(2.96 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~s} \tag{10b}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the second class of decay channels the $\tau^{+}$and $\tau^{-}$annihilate. The annihilation
requires that the atomic wave function $\psi(\mathrm{r})$ be unequal to 0 at $\mathrm{r}=0$

$$
\psi(0) \neq 0
$$

Here r is the distance between the $\tau^{+}$and $\tau^{-}$. Therefore in lowest order annihilation only occurs in $L=0$ states, that is, $S$ states. This is illustrated in Eq. 4 b . There are five annihilation channels:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow \gamma+\gamma  \tag{11a}\\
& \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow \gamma+\gamma+\gamma  \tag{11b}\\
& \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow e^{+}+e^{-}  \tag{11c}\\
& \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow \mu^{+}+\mu^{-}  \tag{11d}\\
& \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow \text { hadrons } \tag{11e}
\end{align*}
$$

The annihilation channel

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow \gamma+\gamma \tag{12a}
\end{equation*}
$$

is even under charge conjugation, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { atomic state }=n^{1} S_{0} \tag{12b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The decay width is

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(\text { atom } \rightarrow 2 \gamma) & =\frac{\alpha^{5} m_{\tau} c^{2}}{2 n^{3}} \\
& =\frac{1.8 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{eV}}{n^{3}} \tag{12c}
\end{align*}
$$

The four other annihilation channels have odd charge conjugation, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { atomic state }=n^{3} S_{1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2

The channel

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow \gamma+\gamma+\gamma \tag{14a}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the width

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(\text { atom } \rightarrow 3 \gamma) & =\frac{2\left(\pi^{2}-9\right) \alpha^{6} m_{\tau} c^{2}}{9 \pi n^{3}} \\
& =\frac{1.7 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}}{n^{3}} \tag{14b}
\end{align*}
$$

The two channels, Fig. 2,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow e^{+}+e^{-}  \tag{15a}\\
& \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow \mu^{+}+\mu^{-} \tag{15b}
\end{align*}
$$

have the same width

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(\text { atom } \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)=\Gamma\left(\text { atom } \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) & =\frac{\alpha^{5} m_{\tau} c^{2}}{6 n^{3}} \\
& =\frac{6.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{eV}}{n^{3}} \tag{15c}
\end{align*}
$$

when we neglect the masses of the $e$ and $\mu$. Finally there is the channel, Fig. 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow \text { hadrons } \tag{16a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The width cannot be calculated from first principles, however from colliding beams $e^{+} e^{-}$annihilation data at $E_{\text {tot }} \sim 2 m_{\tau}$ we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(e^{+}+e^{-} \rightarrow \text { hadrons }\right) \approx 2 \sigma\left(e^{+}+e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+}+\mu^{-}\right) \tag{16b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\text { atom } \rightarrow \text { hadrons }) \approx 2 \Gamma_{\mu \mu} \tag{16c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting all this together, for $n^{1} S_{0}$ states

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{t o t}\left(n^{1} S_{0}\right) & =\Gamma(\text { atom }, \tau \text { decay })+\Gamma(\text { atom } \rightarrow 2 \gamma) \\
& =\left(4.4 \times 10^{-3}+\frac{3.7 \times 10^{-2}}{n^{3}}\right) \mathrm{eV} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

For the $n^{3} S_{1}$ states we can neglect $\Gamma$ (atom $\left.\rightarrow 3 \gamma\right)$, Eq. 14 b , and set

$$
\therefore \quad \begin{align*}
\Gamma_{t o t}\left(n^{3} S_{1}\right) & \approx \Gamma(\text { atom }, \tau \text { decay })+4 \Gamma\left(\text { atom } \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right) \\
& \approx\left(4.4 \times 10^{-3}+\frac{2.44 \times 10^{-2}}{n^{3}}\right) \mathrm{eV} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Table I gives the widths and lifetimes for various $S$ states.
Table I. Widths and lifetimes of ${ }^{3} S_{1}$ states of the $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atom due to $\tau$ decay and $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$annihilations.

| n | Width $(\mathrm{eV})$ | Lifetimes $(\mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $29 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.3 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| 2 | $7.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.8 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| 3 | $5.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | $12 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| 4 | $4.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | $14 \times 10^{-14}$ |

I remind the reader that in addition to the decays which destroy the $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atom there are electromagnetic decays within the atom from an upper level to a lower level (Sec. B6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(\tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom, } n^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \psi\left(\tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom, } n\right)+\gamma, \quad n^{\prime}>n \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B. 5 Production of the $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$Atom

As noted in Sec. B. 1 the production process

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{+}+e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma_{v i r t u a l} \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

requires $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{L}=$ odd number. Furthermore, the produced state must have $\psi(0) \neq 0$ and hence $\mathrm{L}=0$. Therefore, $\mathrm{S}=1$ and the produced state must be $n^{3} S_{1}$.

The production cross section for the process in Eq. 20 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom }\right)=\frac{3 \pi(\hbar c)^{2}}{4 m_{\tau}^{2}} \frac{\Gamma_{e e} \Gamma_{t o t}}{\left(E_{t o t}-2 m_{\tau}\right)^{2}+\Gamma_{t o t}^{2} / 4} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Gamma_{e e}$ means $\Gamma$ (atom $\rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}$) and is given by Eq. 15 c. $\Gamma_{t o t}$ is given by Eq. 18. Thus the production cross section is given by the Breit-Wigner equation with full width at half-height of $\Gamma_{\text {tot }}$ and peak cross section

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom, peak }\right)=\frac{3 \pi(\hbar c)^{2}}{m_{\tau}^{2}} \frac{\Gamma_{e e}}{\Gamma_{t o t}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an example consider $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atom production into the ground state $1^{3} S_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\therefore & \Gamma_{e e}=6.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{eV} \\
& \Gamma_{t o t} \approx 2.9 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{eV} \\
& \Gamma_{e e} / \Gamma_{t o t}=0.21 \tag{23c}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom, peak }\right) \approx 2.4 \times 10^{-28} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a large cross section, but the energy spread of the $e^{+}$and $e^{-}$beams, $\Delta E$, is much larger than $\Gamma_{t o t}$. Thus in a tau-charm factory we expect

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E \sim 1 \mathrm{MeV} \tag{F.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the effective cross section is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom, effective }\right) \sim \\
&  \tag{26}\\
& 2.4 \times 10^{-28} \mathrm{~cm} \times \frac{2.9 \times 10^{-2}}{10^{6}} \sim 10^{-35} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore for a tau-charm factory luminosity of $10^{33} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ we expect

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atoms produced per sec. } \sim 10^{-2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B. 6 Detecting $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$Atoms?

Equation 27 shows that $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atoms can be produced at a reasonable rate at a tau-charm factory. However, we don't know how to detect $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atoms in the ground state. One difficulty, Table I, is the small width, $2.9 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{eV}$, compared to the 1 MeV energy spread of the beams. The other difficulty is the short lifetime, $2.3 \times 10^{-14}$ s.

Another approach discussed by Moffat (1975) and Avilez et al. (1979) is to look for atoms produced in an excited state and look for photons produced in the transition to the ground state. First, suppose $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atoms are produced in the $2^{3} S_{1}$ state. This is a metastable state and will decay by annihilation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom } \rightarrow e^{+}+e^{-}, \mu^{+}+\mu^{-}, \text {hadrons } \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

before it decays to an $n=1, S$ state of the atom. The next possibility is to produce the $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atom in the $3^{3} S_{1}$ state (Avilez et al. 1979) and look for the x-ray photon emitted in the transition

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{3} S_{1} \rightarrow 2{ }^{3} P_{J}+\gamma, E_{\gamma}=3.3 \mathrm{kev} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{J}=0,1,2 . E_{\gamma}$ is the energy of the x-ray.

The width for $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atom decay from the atomic state $a$ to the atomic state $b$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{a b}=\frac{4 e^{2} w^{2} \hbar}{m_{r} c^{3}} f_{a b} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
E_{\gamma}=\hbar w
$$

and $f_{a b}$ is the oscillator strength, a number of order 0.1 or less. For our purpose it is useful to rewrite Eq. 30 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{a b}=\frac{4 \alpha E_{\gamma}^{2}}{m_{\tau} c^{2}} f_{a b} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to use Eq. 2 to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{a b}=\frac{\alpha^{5} n_{\tau} c^{2}}{4}\left[\frac{1}{n_{b}^{2}}-\frac{1}{n_{a}^{2}}\right]^{2} f_{a b} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{\text {... If }} a$ is an $n^{3} S_{1}$ state, then comparing Eq. 32 with Eq. 15 c and then Eq. 18

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \quad \Gamma_{a b}<\Gamma_{t o t}\left(n^{3} S_{1}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence in $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atoms an $n^{3} S_{1}$ state is more likely to decay by annihilation than make an x-ray transition to a lower atomic state.

For example, in the specific case of Eq. 29

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a b}=0.42 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

from Table 45 of Condon and Shortley (1959). Hence from Eq. 32

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(3^{3} S_{1} \rightarrow 2{ }^{3} P_{J}\right)=7.4 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{eV} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from Table 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{t o t}\left(3^{3} S_{1}\right)=5.3 \times 10^{-3} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing Eq. 35 by Eq. 36

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\text { Probability }\left(3^{3} S_{1} \rightarrow 2{ }^{3} P_{J}\right)}{\text { Probability }\left(3^{3} S_{1} \text { annihilation }\right)}=1.4 \times 10^{-3} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, if we made $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atoms in the $3^{3} S_{1}$ state only $1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ of them will make an x-ray transition before decaying. Furthermore, the production rate in Eq. 27
is reduced because for the $3{ }^{3} S_{1}$ state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom, effective }\right) \sim 3 \times 10^{-37} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a luminosity of $10^{33} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, there will be about $4 \times 10^{-7}$ transitions per second of the form

$$
3{ }^{3} S_{1} \rightarrow 2{ }^{3} P_{J}+\gamma
$$

a rate much too small to detect.
Finally, as pointed out by Avilez et al. (1979) the transition

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{3} P_{J} \rightarrow 1{ }^{3} S_{1}+\gamma, E_{\gamma}=17.8 \mathrm{keV} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the much more favorable ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\text { Probability }\left(2^{3} P_{J} \rightarrow 1^{3} S_{1}\right)}{\text { Probability }\left(2^{3} P_{J} \text { annihilation }\right)}=0.16 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $2{ }^{3} P_{J}$ states cannot be produced directly by

$$
e^{+}+e^{-} \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom }
$$

as discussed in Sec. B5.
Summarizing, with a tau-charm factory we can make $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atoms but we don't know how to detect their production. Beyond that problem, is the yet deeper question of what physics we can do with $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atoms.

## C. The $\tau^{-}-$Nucleus Atom

## C. 1 Static Properties

The $\tau^{-}-$Nucleus atom in analogy to the $\mu^{-}-$Nucleus atom consists of a $\tau^{-}$and $Z-1 e^{-S}$ s around a nucleus of charge $Z$ and atomic number A. In the $\tau-N$ atom the reduced mass of the $\tau$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{m_{\tau} m_{N}}{m_{\tau}+m_{N}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and ignoring the fine structure and effects of the non-zero nuclear radius, the $n^{\text {th }}$ energy level is

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n} & =-\frac{m_{\tau} c^{2} \alpha^{2} Z^{2}}{2 n^{2}}\left(\frac{m_{N}}{m_{\tau}+m_{N}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{47.4 Z^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(\frac{m_{N}}{m_{\tau}+m_{N}}\right) \mathrm{keV} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

The Bohr radius is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{0} & =\frac{\hbar^{2}}{m_{\tau} e^{2}}\left(\frac{m_{\tau}+m_{N}}{m_{N}}\right) \\
& =1.52 \times 10^{-12}\left(\frac{m_{\tau}+m_{N}}{m_{N}}\right) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

The average value of the radius of the $\tau^{-}$orbit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{r}=\frac{a_{0}}{2 Z}\left[3 n^{2}-\ell(\ell+1)\right] \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

ignoring the effect of the non-zero nuclear radius. Thus for $Z \gtrsim 4$ and small $n, \bar{r}$ is of the order of $10^{-13} \mathrm{~cm}$ or less. Then particularly for $S$ states, the $\tau^{-}$is inside the nucleus part of the time. This effect reduces the magnitude of $E_{n}$. This is illustrated in Table II taken from Strobel and Wills (1983) who limit their calculations to $Z \leq 12$.

Table II. Energy levels of the 1S and 2P states of a $\tau^{-}$ nucleus atom in $\mathrm{keV} . E_{p}$ is for a point nucleus and $E_{e x}$ is for an extended size nucleus. The proton is always taken as a point. These calculations are from Strobel and Wills A. (1983) and are corrected for the $\tau$ mass of $1777 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$.

| Nucleus | 1 S |  | 2 P |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $E_{p}$ | $E_{e x}$ | $E_{p}$ | $E_{e x}$ |
| ${ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | -16.3 | -16.3 | -4.1 | -4.1 |
| ${ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | -128 | -118 | -32 | -32 |
| ${ }_{4}^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ | -625 | -474 | -156 | -155 |
| ${ }_{12}^{24} \mathrm{Mg}$ | -6310 | -2940 | -1580 | -1460 |

## C. 2 Atomic Trunsilions

Table III, also from Strobel and Wills (1983) gives the energy of the emitted x-ray and the lifetime for the transition

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 P \rightarrow 1 S+\gamma \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that the lifetime of the $2 \mathrm{P}-1 \mathrm{~S}$ transition is much shorter than the $\tau$ lifetime of $0 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~s}$, Eq. 10b. Therefore, once the $\tau^{-}$is in the 2 P state, the $\tau^{-}$will make the transition to the 1 S state before it decays. Of course, the experimental question is how to get the $\tau^{-}$into that state or other low lying states.

Table III. Transition energy and lifetime for $2 P \rightarrow 1 S$ in a $\tau^{-}-$ nucleus atom. From Strobel and Wills (1983) corrected for $\tau$ mass of $1777 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$.

| Nucleus | $E(2 P \rightarrow 1 S) \mathrm{keV}$ | Lifetime $(2 P \rightarrow 1 S) \mathrm{s}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | 12.2 | $5.0 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| ${ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | 86 | $2.1 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| ${ }_{4}^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ | 319 | $2.3 \times 10^{-16}$ |
| ${ }_{12}^{24} \mathrm{Mg}$ | 1480 | $2.6 \times 10^{-17}$ |

## C. $3 \tau^{-}$Capture in the Nucleus

An interesting result of the $\tau^{-}$orbit passing through the nucleus is that the $\tau^{-}$ can interact with the protons in the nucleus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{-}+p \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}+n \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

in analogy to $e^{-}$and $\mu^{-}$capture. Ching and Oset (1991) have studied the process for heavy nuclei where the capture rate is greatest. They find for ${ }_{82}^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$ the following captive rates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma(\tau \text { capture from } 1 \mathrm{~S})=2.5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& \Gamma(\tau \text { capture from } 2 \mathrm{~S})=2.3 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& \Gamma(\tau \text { capture from } 2 \mathrm{P})=5.2 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

However from Eq. 10b

$$
\Gamma(\tau \text { decay })=1 / T_{\tau}=3.4 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Therefore, even in the best case in Eq. 47 there is only a $10^{-3}$ chance that a $\tau$ will be captured with $\tau^{-}+p \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}+n$ compared to the chance that the $\tau^{-}$decays.

Morley (1992) has given an interesting discussion of the $\tau^{-}-U$ atom. He discusses in some detail the process of the $\tau^{-}$slowing down in solid uranium, the $\tau^{-}$being captured in a high atomic orbit, and then cascading down to a low orbit.
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## D. Photoproduction of $\tau$ 's

$\tau$ pairs can be produced by photoproduction Tsai (1979)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma+N \rightarrow \tau^{+}+\tau^{-}+N^{\prime} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

as shown in Fig. 3a and by electroproduction (virtual photoproduction)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore-\quad e^{-}+N \rightarrow e^{-}+\tau^{+}+\tau^{-}+N^{\prime} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

as shown in Fig. 3b. Here $N$ is a target proton or nucleus and $N^{\prime}$ is the final hadronic state. The cross section, $\sigma_{\tau, p h o t o}$, for a proton target is given in Fig. 4 as a function of energy.

As an example, suppose that at SLAC one photoproduces $\tau$ pairs with a photon beam of maximum energy 40 GeV and intensity $10^{12} \gamma / \mathrm{s}$. Then in a 1 radiation length hydrogen target using an average cross section of $3 \times 10^{-36} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$, the $\tau$ pair production rate would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\because \quad \tau \text { pairs } / s \sim 100 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus in a one month run of effective length $10^{6} \mathrm{~s}$ one could produce $10^{8} \tau$ pairs.
There has been very little discussion of the physics that might be done with photoproduced $\tau$ pairs. Tsai (1992) has suggested that a $\nu_{\tau}, \bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ beam could be made this way.

It is useful to remember that in $\tau$ pair photoproduction the basic process is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma+\gamma_{v i r t u a l} \rightarrow \tau^{+}+\tau^{-} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

in contrast to production by $e^{+} e^{-}$annihilation where the basic process is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{v i r t u a l} \rightarrow \tau^{+}+\tau^{-} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section on the proposal for production of $\tau$ pairs in heavy ion collisions the basic process is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{v i r t u a l}+\gamma_{v i r t u a l} \rightarrow \tau^{+}+\tau^{-} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore some of the goals of heavy ion tau physics may be applicable to photoproduction $\tau$ physics.

Returning to the first topic in this paper, $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atoms, consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma+N \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau^{-} \text {atom }+N^{\prime} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Olsen (1986) has discussed the relativistic production of positronium

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma+N \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-} \text {atom }+N^{\prime} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

He shows that at high energy there is the crude relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
\therefore \quad \sigma\left(\gamma+N \rightarrow \ell^{+} \ell^{-} \text {atom }+N^{\prime}\right) \sim \alpha^{3} \sigma\left(\gamma+N \rightarrow \ell^{+}+\ell^{-}+N^{\prime}\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\alpha^{3}$ comes from $a_{0}^{-3}$ (Eq. 3) involved in the phase space factor for the atom relative to the phase space factor for the unbound pair. Applying Eq. 56 to the unbound $\tau$ pair cross section in Fig. 4 we see that the cross section for photoproduction of a $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}$atom is in the range of $10^{-39}$ to $10^{-41} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$, much too small to use.


Figure 5

## E. $\quad \tau$ Pair Production in Heavy Ion Collisions

There have been a number of papers on the production of $\mu$ pairs and $\tau$ pairs in relativistic collisions of heavy ions (Bottcher and Strayer 1990, del Aquila et al. 1991, Almeida et al. 1991, Amaglobeli et al. 1991). The overall process is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad \because \quad \text { ion }+ \text { ion } \rightarrow \tau^{+}+\tau^{-}+\text {ion }+ \text { ion } \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

as shown in Fig. 5. And the basic process is given in Eq. 53.

At sufficiently high energies the cross section will be of the order of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{0}(\text { coherent })=\frac{\alpha^{4}(\hbar c)^{2} Z^{4}}{m_{\tau}^{2} c^{4}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The charge $Z e$ at each ion- $\gamma$-ion vertex entering the amplitude as $Z e$. At lower energies the momentum transfer to the ions becomes large and the process has an incoherent cross section of the order of
$=-\quad \sigma_{0}($ incoherent $)=\frac{\alpha^{4}(\hbar c)^{2} Z^{2}}{m_{\tau}^{2} c^{2}}$
Bottcher and Strayer (1990) have studied the production cross section when the ion is ${ }_{79}^{197} A_{u}$. First consider $A u+A u$ at the LHC with 7.5 TeV per proton which is 3.0 TeV per nucleon. Extrapolating the Bottcher and Strayer calculation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(3.0 \mathrm{TeV} / \text { nucleon }) \approx 40 \sigma(\text { coherent }) \approx 0.5 \mathrm{mb} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, at a RHIC energy of 0.25 TeV per proton which is 0.1 TeV per nücleon, they obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma(0.1 \mathrm{TeV} / \text { nucleon }) & \approx 0.2 \sigma_{0}(\text { coherent } \\
& \approx 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mb} \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

This is still larger than

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{0}(\text { incoherent })=2.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{mb} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence there is still some coherence at $0.1 \mathrm{TeV} /$ nucleon. As another example del Aguila et al. (1991), consider the ${ }_{82}^{20} P_{b}$ ion. For the LHC they find a cross section of 1 mb , similar to Eq. 60.

If we take the proposed LHC heavy ion luminosity as $10^{28} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, a 1 mb cross section for $10^{7} \mathrm{~s} /$ year gives a yield of $10^{8} \tau$ pairs per year, comparable to a tau-charm factory. Can these pairs be used to do $\tau$ physics? This has been partially discussed by del Aguila et al. (1991). They point out that most of the $\tau$ pair events will be clean with the ions themselves proceeding along the beam pipe and no additional particles produced. But I think there is a problem in non $-\tau$ events contaminating the data sample, since the cross section for non $-\tau$ events is so much larger. It may be that the only clean samples are the old faithful

$$
\begin{equation*}
\because \quad \tau^{+}+\tau^{-} \rightarrow e^{ \pm}+\mu^{\mp}+\text { missing energy } \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

events.

There have been two suggestions for the tau physics that might be done with $\tau$ pairs produced in heavy ion collisions. The suggestion of del Aguila et al. (1991) is that one can measure the anomalous magnetic moment of the $\tau$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{\tau}(\text { anom })=a_{\tau} \frac{e \hbar}{2 m_{\tau} c}  \tag{64a}\\
a_{\tau}=\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi}+\sum_{n>1} c_{n} \alpha^{n} \tag{64b}
\end{gather*}
$$

to-about $1 \%$. And one can also look for unconventional behavior of the $\tau-\gamma-\tau$ vertex such as an electric dipole moment.

Amaglobeli et al. (1991) have suggested using high rate $\tau$ production to look for the unconventional decay

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{-}+\mu^{+}+\mu^{-} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $\tau$ pair event with one such decay would stand out in the data sample. It would have 4 or 6 charged particles, with 3 of the particles being $\mu$ 's whose invariant mass is the $\tau$ mass.
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