SLAC-PUB-5825
June 1992
(N)

APPLICATION OF THE FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION TO PARTICLE BEAMS INJECTED INTO e$^-$ STORAGE RINGS *

H. Moshammer

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center,

Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94309

ABSTRACT

Nonlinear forces in the longitudinal accelerating field—or in the transverse magnetic fields—lead to filamentation of the injected emittance and to the decoherence of the center-of-mass motion. The dynamics of the particle distribution function in the presence of synchrotron radiation is governed by the Fokker-Planck equation. We derive the time evolution of the distribution function after injection as an approximate solution to the Fokker-Planck equation. The approximation assumes the injected emittance to be considerably larger than the equilibrium emittance, which is fulfilled for a certain class of storage rings: the damping rings.In the limit of no quantum excitation, this distribution function will then be an exact solution. Higher moments of the distribution can be expressed in combinations of elementary functions and agree very well with multiparticle simulations.

Submitted to Physical Review A15

* Work supported by Department of Energy contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

Introduction

Injection of a bunched beam into the periodic structure of a storage ring may lead to the formation of filaments in phase space [1]. It is generally assumed that, after some relaxation time, this filamentary structure can be described by a smoothly varying distribution function that gradually approaches equilibrium.

In Ref. [2] the time evolution of the distribution function—after mismatched (the betatron functions of the injected beam ellipsoid and the lattice are different) or off-axis injection—was analyzed by means of the Vlasov equation. The influence of nonlinear fields was approximated by an averaged Hamiltonian that depends only on the action variable. Using this Hamiltonian, the Vlasov equation could be solved exactly.

In order to describe the effects of injection transients for a larger time period than a small fraction of the damping time, the effect of synchrotron radiation on the beam has to be taken into account. In this paper we derive the time evolution of the distribution function as an exact solution of the Fokker-Planck equation in the cases of: (a) only linear fields, and (b) nonlinear fields and damping, but no quantum excitation.

In addition we discuss an approximate solution to the Fokker-Planck equation where nonlinear fields, damping, and quantum excitation are taken into account. The approximation assumes that the injected emittance is much larger than the equilibrium emittance—this assumption is typically fulfilled in damping rings.

Due to the relatively simple form of the distribution function, first and second moments may be derived in closed expressions. These relations are then compared to results of multiparticle simulations, where radiation damping and the effect of quantum excitation were included.

1. Time evolution of the distribution function neglecting nonlinear fields

In this section we study the time evolution of the distribution function in phase space after mismatched or off-axis injection into a periodic structure. Neglecting nonlinear fields, the single particle motion may be described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_0(\xi,\eta) = \frac{\nu_0}{2R}\,(\xi^2+\eta^2) \quad .$$

The transformation to the measurable transverse (x,p) and longitudinal (ϵ,z) coordinates is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Longitudinal} & & \text{Transverse} \\ \epsilon\sqrt{\frac{\alpha R}{\nu_{s0}}} = \epsilon\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{z\infty}}{\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}}} & \Longleftarrow\ \xi\ \Longrightarrow & \frac{x}{\sqrt{\beta}}\ , \\ z\sqrt{\frac{\nu_{s0}}{\alpha R}} = z\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}}{\sigma_{z\infty}}} & \Longleftarrow\ \eta\ \Longrightarrow & \frac{\alpha x+\beta p}{\sqrt{\beta}}\ , \\ \nu_{s0} & \Longleftarrow\ \nu_0\ \Longrightarrow & \nu_{x0}\ , \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where $\sigma_{z\infty}, \sigma_{\epsilon\infty}$ denote the bunch length and the energy spread at equilibrium, and α in the longitudinal plane denotes the momentum compaction, whereas α, β in the transverse plane are the twiss parameters at a fixed position in the ring [3]. The longitudinal tune ν_{s0} is defined by the RF potential, the average radius R, and the radiation loss. It is useful to be able to work with action-angle variables. We introduce

$$\eta = \sqrt{2I}\ \cos(\phi) , \qquad \xi = \sqrt{2I}\ \sin(\phi) . \tag{2}$$

With these variables, the Hamiltonian reduces to

$$H_0(I) = \frac{\nu_0}{R}\ I .$$

Electrons receive energy from the accelerating cavities, and loose it again due to synchrotron radiation. To describe this fluctuating radiation process, a stochastic term has to be added to Hamilton's equation leading to a set of stochastic differential equations [4]. The dynamics of the phase space particle distribution $\Psi(\phi, I, t)$ is then described by the Fokker-Planck equation. From Ref. [5] we have

$$\tau\Psi_t = 2\Psi + 2(I+\sigma)\,\Psi_I + 2\sigma I\,\Psi_{II} - \tau\omega_0\Psi_\phi + \frac{1}{2}\,\sigma\,\frac{1}{I}\,\Psi_{\phi\phi} , \tag{3}$$

where the subscripts denote partial differentiation. The oscillation frequency ω_0 is equal to the tune times 2π divided by the revolution time; τ is the damping time; and σ is related either to the transverse equilibrium emittance or, in the longitudinal case, to the product of bunch length and energy spread:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Longitudinal} & & \text{Transverse} \\ \sigma_{\epsilon\infty}\,\sigma_{z\infty} & \Longleftarrow\ \sigma\ \Longrightarrow & \epsilon_{x\infty} \\ \sigma_{\epsilon 0}\,\sigma_{z0} & \Longleftarrow\ \sigma_0\ \Longrightarrow & \epsilon_{x0} \end{array} \tag{4}$$

In analogy to σ, we introduce σ_0, the corresponding term at injection. Before we go on to investigate possible solutions of the Fokker-Planck equation, we want to parameterize the distribution function at injection. We assume a Gaussian distribution both in longitudinal and in transverse phase space. Figure 1 displays the phase space portrait of three different distributions at injection.

(a) Mismatched beam injected on axis

For the moment, we consider the case shown in Fig. 1(a): the centered distribution function where the center-of-mass of the distribution coincides with the origin of phase space.

In the transverse measurable coordinates (x, p), we parameterize the mismatched injected distribution as an ellipse with $\alpha_0, \beta_0, \epsilon_{x0}$. In the longitudinal case, we assume for simplicity that the injected ellipse is upright; i.e., the major axis of the ellipse is aligned with one of the ξ, η axes. Then the injected longitudinal ellipse is described sufficiently by the bunch length σ_{z0} and energy spread $\sigma_{\epsilon 0}$ of the incoming beam. Using Eq. (1), we obtain at the moment of injection the distribution function in the variables (ξ, η) (see also Ref. [2]) as

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Longitudinal} & & \text{Transverse} \\
\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_{z0}\sigma_{\epsilon 0}}\, e^{\left\{-\frac{C_0\xi^2+2A_0\xi\eta+B_0\eta^2}{2\sigma_{z0}\sigma_{\epsilon 0}}\right\}} & \Longleftarrow \Psi_0(t=0) \Longrightarrow & \frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon_{x0}}\, e^{\left\{-\frac{C_0\xi^2+2A_0\xi\eta+B_0\eta^2}{2\epsilon_{x0}}\right\}} \\
\frac{1}{g} \equiv \frac{\sigma_{z\infty}\sigma_{\epsilon 0}}{\sigma_{z0}\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}} & \Longleftarrow B_0 \Longrightarrow & \frac{\beta_0}{\beta} \\
0 & \Longleftarrow A_0 \Longrightarrow & \alpha_0 - \frac{\beta_0\alpha}{\beta} \\
g = \frac{\sigma_{z0}\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}}{\sigma_{z\infty}\sigma_{\epsilon 0}} & \Longleftarrow C_0 \Longrightarrow & \frac{A_0^2+1}{B_0}
\end{array}
\tag{5}
$$

where α, β denote the Twiss parameters of the ring at the injection point. With $g = 1$, the longitudinal distribution appears circular in phase space.

For example, the longitudinal distribution of an electron bunch injected into the SLC damping ring is described by $g \approx 1/25$. With Eq. (2), the injected distribution function in action angle variables is given by

$$\Psi_0(t=0) = \frac{1}{2\pi d_0} \exp\left\{-I\left[b_0 + c_0 \cos(2\phi - 2\bar{\phi})\right]\right\} \ , \qquad (6)$$

with

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Longitudinal} & & \text{Transverse} \\ 0 & \Longleftarrow \tan(2\bar{\phi}) \Longrightarrow & -2A_0B_0/(1+A_0^2-B_0^2) \\ \sigma_{\epsilon 0}\sigma_{z0} & \Longleftarrow d_0 \Longrightarrow & \epsilon_{x0} \\ (g^2+1)/(2g\sigma_{z0}\sigma_{\epsilon 0}) & \Longleftarrow b_0 \Longrightarrow & (1+A_0^2+B_0^2)/(2B_0\epsilon_{x0}) \\ (1-g^2)/(2g\sigma_{z0}\sigma_{\epsilon 0}). & \Longleftarrow c_0 \Longrightarrow & -\sqrt{b_0^2 - 1/\epsilon_{x0}^2} \end{array} \qquad (7)$$

We expect the injected ellipse to start to rotate in phase space. From this point of view, we extrapolate from Eq. (6) the assumed time evolution of the distribution function.

$$\Psi_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi d(t)} \exp\left\{-I\left[b(t) + c(t)\cos(2\Omega)\right]\right\} \ , \qquad (8)$$

with

$$\Omega = \phi - \omega_0 t - \bar{\phi} \ ,$$

where the unknown functions $d(t), b(t)$, and $c(t)$ have to be determined from Eq. (3). We realize that we may rearrange the exponent of the distribution function and write Eq. (8)

$$\Psi_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi d(t)} \exp\left\{-I\left[u(t)\cos(\Omega)^2 + v(t)\sin(\Omega)^2\right]\right\} ,$$

with

$$u(t) = b(t) + c(t) , \qquad v(t) = b(t) - c(t) . \tag{9}$$

The function $d(t)$ has to be determined by the normalization condition of the distribution function. This is done in Appendix A:

$$\iint d\phi dI\, \Psi = 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad d(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u(t)v(t)}} . \tag{10}$$

We introduce Eq. (8) into Eq. (3), perform the partial differentiation, and order the resulting equation in terms of the canonical variables and their combinations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Constant}: \quad & -\tau d(t)'/d(t) &&= 2 - 2\sigma b(t) \\
I: \quad & -\tau b(t)' &&= -2b(t) + 2\sigma(b(t)^2 + c(t)^2) \\
I\cos(2\Omega): \quad & -\tau c(t)' &&= -2c(t) + 4\sigma b(t)c(t) \\
\cos(2\Omega): \quad & 0 &&= -2c(t)\sigma + 2c(t)\sigma \\
I\cos(2\Omega)^2: \quad & 0 &&= 2c(t)^2\sigma - 2c(t)^2\sigma
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to t. The fourth and the fifth of the relations in Eq. (11) are already fulfilled. The first relation follows from the second and the third relation using normalization condition Eq. (10). The remaining set of two differential equations in $b(t), c(t)$ can be solved by introducing the functions u, v defined in Eq. (9),

$$\tau\begin{pmatrix} u' \\ v' \end{pmatrix} = 2\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} - 2\sigma\begin{pmatrix} u^2 \\ v^2 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{12}$$

These two equations are of Riccati's type. The solution is given by

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - u_0 \ \exp\{-2t/\tau\})\ \sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad v(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - v_0 \ \exp\{-2t/\tau\})\ \sigma} \ . \tag{13}$$

where u_0 and v_0 are integration constants. We use the initial condition for $b(t=0) = b_0, c(t=0) = c_0$ in Eq. (7) and determine u_0, v_0 as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Longitudinal} & & & & \text{Transverse} \\ 1 - \sigma_{z0}^2/\sigma_{z\infty}^2 & \Longleftarrow & u_0 & \Longrightarrow & 1 - 1/(\epsilon_{x\infty} b_0 - \epsilon_{x\infty}\sqrt{b_0^2 - 1/\epsilon_{x0}^2}) \\ 1 - \sigma_{\epsilon 0}^2/\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}^2 & \Longleftarrow & v_0 & \Longrightarrow & 1 - 1/(\epsilon_{x\infty} b_0 + \epsilon_{x\infty}\sqrt{b_0^2 - 1/\epsilon_{x0}^2}) \ . \end{array} \tag{14}$$

These relations are more transparent in the longitudinal phase space since we restricted the initial distribution to an untilted ellipse in phase space. For $\sigma_{z0}/\sigma_{z\infty} = \sigma_{\epsilon 0}/\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}$ or $g = 1$, the functions $u(t), v(t)$ become equal and the distribution function no longer depends on the angle variable ϕ.

Using Eqs. (13), (14), and (7), we obtain in the transverse plane the necessary condition for $c(t) = 0$:

$$b_0 = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{x0}} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad 1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta_0}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\beta_0} + \frac{\beta_0}{\beta}\left[\alpha_0 - \frac{\beta_0}{\beta}\alpha\right]^2\right) \equiv \beta_{\mathrm{mag}} \ , \tag{15}$$

The combination of twiss parameters on the right-hand side, β_{mag}, is known as the β-magnification factor [6-8].

The functions $u(t), v(t)$ approach the same equilibrium value: $u(t \to \infty), v(t \to \infty) = 1/\sigma$. Furthermore, it follows that $u(t)$ is monotonic, increasing (decreasing) if u_0 is negative (positive). The same statement holds for $v(t)$. The function $c(t) = (u - v)/2$ will therefore tend to zero, and the distribution function at equilibrium will be equally distributed with respect to the angle variable ϕ.

(b) Mismatched beam injected off axis

Up to this point we have assumed that the center-of-mass of the distribution is injected at the origin of phase space (on axis) and will remain there throughout the damping process. From Fig. 1(c), it is clear that the off-centered distribution induces an additional angle ϕ dependence in the distribution function which will persist even if the injected beam is matched.

We denote the position of the injected center-of-mass by (ϵ_0, z_0) or (x_0, p_0). In phase space (ξ, η), we obtain the position of the injected center-of-mass as

$$
\begin{array}{lcccr}
\text{Longitudinal} & & & \text{Transverse} & \\
\epsilon_0\sqrt{\alpha R/\nu_{s0}} & \Longleftarrow & \hat{\xi}(t=0) & \Longrightarrow & x_0/\sqrt{\beta} \\
z_0\sqrt{\nu_{s0}/\alpha R} & \Longleftarrow & \hat{\eta}(t=0) & \Longrightarrow & (\alpha x_0 + \beta p_0)/\sqrt{\beta}
\end{array}
\tag{16}
$$

A natural way to take into account the off-axis injection is by shifting the canonical variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi &\rightarrow \xi - \hat{\xi}(t) \\
\eta &\rightarrow \eta - \hat{\eta}(t)\ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the functions $\xi(t), \eta(t)$ have to satisfy the damped oscillator equation associated to the Fokker-Planck equation, (3), with the initial condition given by Eq. (16). The corresponding substitution in action-angle variables might look like

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{I}\cos(\Omega) &\rightarrow \sqrt{I}\cos(\Omega) - \sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\cos(\phi_0 - \bar{\phi}) \\ \sqrt{I}\sin(\Omega) &\rightarrow \sqrt{I}\sin(\Omega) - \sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\sin(\phi_0 - \bar{\phi}) , \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where Ω is defined in Eq. (8), and $\hat{I}(t)$ and the constant ϕ_0 are related to the initial values of $[\hat{\xi}(0), \hat{\eta}(0)]$,

$$\hat{I}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\xi}(0)^2 + \hat{\eta}(0)^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \tan(\phi_0) = \hat{\xi}(0)/\hat{\eta}(0) . \tag{18}$$

We introduce the substitution rules of Eq. (17) into the distribution function Eq. (9):

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi d(t)} \; \exp\Bigg\{ &-u(t)\left[\sqrt{I}\cos(\Omega) - \sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\cos(\Omega_0)\right]^2 \\ &-v(t)\left[\sqrt{I}\sin(\Omega) - \sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\sin(\Omega_0)\right]^2\Bigg\} , \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

with

$$\Omega = \phi - \omega t - \bar{\phi} , \qquad \Omega_0 = \phi_0 - \bar{\phi} .$$

The distribution function in Eq. (19) has to satisfy Eq. (3). Following Sec. 1(a) quite closely, we perform the partial differentiation in Eq. (3), and order the result in terms of canonical variables and their linear independent combinations. Thus we obtain the functional dependence of $\hat{I}(t)$:

$$\hat{I}(t) = \hat{I}(0) \; \exp\{-2t/\tau\} , \tag{20}$$

and $\hat{I}(0)$ is given by Eq. (18). The normalization function $d(t)$ and the functional dependance of $u(t), v(t)$ remain unchanged with respect to the case of on-axis injection, and are given by Eqs. (10),(13),(14). A result similar to Eq. (19) has been obtained by S. Chandrasekhar in the analysis of Brownian motion bounded by a quadratic potential [9].

2. Distribution function in the presence of nonlinear fields

Nonlinear fields will induce a tune spread in the bunch population and, as a consequence, cause the injected emittance to filament [10]. When injected off-axis, the center-of-mass position observed with a beam position monitor will be seen to decohere [11]. This effect is not particular to the injection of electron rings. Decoherence was used in proton rings to study the influence of higher order multipole fields on the beam [12,13].

A convenient way to deal with nonlinear fields is to introduce action angle variables and to average the perturbation over the fast evolving variable [14]. This averaged Hamiltonian is now a function of the action variable only, and the tune depends on the action variable.

$$H(I) = \frac{\nu_0}{R}\,(I - \frac{1}{2}\mu I^2) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \nu(I) = R\,\frac{dH(I)}{dI} = \nu_0(1-\mu I)\ . \tag{21}$$

In the longitudinal plane μ originates from the expansion of the RF wave with respect to the longitudinal position, in the transverse case from octupole fields. From Ref. [15] we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Longitudinal} & & \text{Transverse} \\ h^2\alpha/8R\nu_{s0} & \Longleftarrow\ \mu\ \Longrightarrow & -(1/16\nu_x\pi)\oint ds\beta(s)^2\,K_3(s)\ , \end{array} \tag{22}$$

where h denote the harmonic number and $K_3(s)$ contains the distribution of magnetic octupoles around the ring. The Fokker-Planck equation is given by

$$\tau\Psi_t = 2\Psi + 2(I+\sigma)\,\Psi_I + 2\sigma I\,\Psi_{II} - \tau\omega(I)\,\Psi_\phi + \frac{1}{2}\,\sigma\,\frac{1}{I}\,\Psi_{\phi\phi}\ , \tag{23}$$

with $\omega(I) = 2\pi\nu(I)/T_0$, where T_0 denotes the time for one revolution and σ as defined in Eq. (4). Using Hamilton-Jacobi perturbation method one may derive an additional contribution to μ that originates from the sextupole distribution around the ring. In this case, the action angle variables have to be transformed from (ϕ, I) to (ϕ', I') [16,17]. However, the treatment of the Fokker-Planck equation in the canonical variables (ϕ', I') would be a great deal more complicated.

We consider on-axis injection, and try to approach the solution with a test function that is very close to Eq. (8).

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi d(t)} \exp\left\{-I\left[b(t) + c(t)\cos(2\Omega)\right]\right\} , \tag{24}$$

with

$$\Omega = \phi + h(t) + f(t)I - \bar{\phi} .$$

Note that Ω now contains the action variable, and $h(t)$ and $f(t)$ are yet unknown functions. We next insert Eq. (24) into Eq. (23), and order the resulting equation in terms of canonical variables. We obtain the same set of differential equations that had been derived for the linear case in Eq. (11), plus five additional terms:

$$I\sin(2\Omega) \quad \times \quad c(t)\left[\tau h'(t) + \tau\omega_0 - 6\sigma f(t)\right] , \tag{25}$$

$$I^2\sin(2\Omega) \quad \times \quad c(t)\left[-\tau f'(t) + \tau\omega_0\mu + 2f(t) - 4\sigma b(t)f(t)\right] , \tag{26}$$

$$I^2\sin(4\Omega) \quad \times \quad \sigma c(t)^2 f(t) , \tag{27}$$

$$I^3\sin(2\Omega)^2 \quad \times \quad \sigma c(t)^2 f(t)^2 , \tag{28}$$

$$I^2\cos(2\Omega) \quad \times \quad \sigma c(t) f(t)^2 , \tag{29}$$

where $b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are given by the corresponding functions of the linear case in Sec. 1. We will now show that, under certain assumptions which apply for a damping ring, the terms in Eqs. (27)-(29) are small compared to the other terms. We mentioned in the discussion at the end of Sec. 1(a) that the function $c(t)$ goes to zero as t approaches infinity. The initial value $c(0) = c_0$ is known from Eq. (7) to be in the order of $1/\sigma_0$, and σ_0 was defined previously in Eq. (4). We estimate the magnitude of $c(t), b(t)$ and I, which will be different at injection than at equilibrium,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Injection} & \text{Equilibrium} \\ c(t) & \sim & 1/\sigma_0 & 0 \\ b(t) & \sim & 1/\sigma_0 & 1/\sigma \\ I & \sim & \sigma_0 & \sigma\ . \end{array} \tag{30}$$

We are able to estimate the magnitude of the different terms in Eq. (26),

$$\underbrace{\tau f'(t)}_{f(t)} - \underbrace{2f(t)}_{f(t)} + \underbrace{4\sigma b(t) f(t)}_{f(t)\sigma/\sigma_0 \;\to\; f(t)} = \underbrace{\tau\omega_0\mu}_{\mu}$$

and $f(t)$ will be of the order μ. All terms on the left-hand side contribute with the same magnitude. In the case of Eq. (25) we have

$$\underbrace{\tau h'(t)}_{h(t)} = -\underbrace{\tau\omega_0}_{1} - \underbrace{6\sigma f(t)}_{\sigma\mu}$$

and $h(t)$ is in the order of 1. For the five terms in Eqs. (25)-(29) we may summarize their order of magnitude:

				Injection	Equilibrium
I	$\sin(2\Omega)$	$c(t)\,\tau h'(t)$	$\sim$	1	0
I^2	$\sin(2\Omega)$	$c(t)\,\tau f'(t)$	$\sim$	$\sigma_0\mu$	0
σI^2	$\sin(4\Omega)$	$c(t)^2 f(t)$	$\sim$	$\sigma\mu$	0
σI^3	$\sin(2\Omega)^2$	$c(t)^2 f(t)^2$	$\sim$	$\sigma_0\sigma\mu^2$	0
σI^2	$\cos(2\Omega)$	$c(t)\,f(t)^2$	$\sim$	$\sigma_0\sigma\mu^2$	0

Damping rings operate by definition in the regime $\sigma_0 \gg \sigma$. With this assumption, we keep only terms of the order 1 and $\mu\sigma_0$ and neglect all other terms of the order $\sigma\mu$ and $\sigma_0\sigma\mu^2$. Since we ignored only terms containing $\mu\sigma$, it is clear that the solution will be exact in the limit of no quantum excitation. Furthermore, the solution will reproduce the distribution function of the linear problem with $\mu = 0$.

The functions $f(t)$ and $h(t)$ are thus given by the differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \tau f'(t) - 2f(t) + 4\sigma b(t) f(t) &= \tau\omega_0\mu\ , && (31)\\ \tau h'(t) &= -\tau\omega_0\ . && (32) \end{aligned}$$

Both functions have to satisfy the initial condition $h(0) = f(0) = 0$. As a solution for $f(t)$, we find

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2}\,\omega_0\mu\tau\,\frac{\exp\{2t/\tau\} - 2(u_0+v_0)t/\tau - u_0v_0\exp\{-2t/\tau\} - 1 + u_0v_0}{\exp\{2t/\tau\} - u_0 - v_0 + u_0v_0\exp\{-2t/\tau\}}\ , \tag{33}$$

with u_0, v_0 defined in Eq. (14). By integrating Eq. (32), we find $h(t) = -\omega_0 t$, and Ω in Eq. (24) is given by

$$\Omega = \phi - \omega_0 t + f(t) I - \bar{\phi} \ , \qquad (34)$$

A particularly important role will be played by the function $f(t)$, since it is the driving term for the filamentation process. Shortly after injection, i.e., $t \ll \tau$, $f(t)$ behaves like $\omega\mu t$ and increases linearly with time. Then, after the damping process $f(t)$ approaches the limit, $f(t \to \infty) = \omega\mu\tau/2$. The functions $b(t), c(t)$, and $d(t)$ are tied via Eqs. (9) and (10) to $u(t)$ and $v(t)$, which are given in Eqs. (13) and (14). We mentioned previously that the distribution function in Eq. (24) is an exact solution to the Fokker-Planck equation, if we neglect quantum excitation. In this limit, u_0, v_0 goes to infinity and the function $f(t)$ becomes

$$\text{No quantum excitation:} \qquad f(t) = \frac{1}{2}\,\omega_0\mu\tau(\exp\{2t/\tau\} - 1) \ . \qquad (35)$$

It should be stressed that the distribution function in Eq. (24) will loose its phase dependance in the limit of $t \to \infty$ as $c(t)$ approaches zero. Furthermore, it follows from Eq. (30) that the equilibrium distribution will be Gaussian and independent of μ. On the other hand, it is well known that nonlinear fields will affect the equilibrium distribution. This was shown, for example, in Ref. [5] using the canonical variables ξ, η and solving the Fokker-Planck equation with $\Psi_t = 0$.

In our approach, which is based on action angle variables and an averaged Hamiltonian, we loose this asymptotic characteristic of the distribution function. This is probably the price we have to pay in order to 'buy' the explicit time dependence of the distribution function.

So far, we have considered the injected distribution to be centered on the closed orbit. In a similar way, we may derive an approximated solution to the Fokker-Planck equation for an off-axis injected distribution. The distribution function

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi d(t)} \exp\left\{ -u(t) \left[\sqrt{I}\cos(\Omega) - \sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\cos(\Omega_0) \right]^2 \right.$$
$$\left. -v(t) \left[\sqrt{I}\sin(\Omega) - \sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\sin(\Omega_0) \right]^2 \right\} \tag{36}$$

with

$$\Omega = \phi - \omega t + f(t)I - \bar{\phi} \ , \qquad \Omega_0 = \phi_0 - \bar{\phi} \ , \qquad \hat{I}(t) = \hat{I}(0) \ \exp\left\{ \frac{-2t}{\tau} \right\}$$

and $f(t)$ given by Eq. (33) satisfies the Fokker Planck equation, if we again neglect terms of the order $\mu\sigma$. The functions $u(t), v(t)$ and the normalization function $d(t)$ are defined in Sec. 1(a), and are not affected by the nonlinear terms in the Hamiltonian. This is not surprising: the normalization function $d(t)$ corresponds to the area of the beam ellipsoid, which should remain constant in the absence of damping and quantum excitation, as required by Liouville's theorem. Hence, nonlinear terms in the Hamiltonian cannot affect the area of the evolution of the injected beam ellipsoid.

3. Various moments of the distribution function

By virtue of the relatively simple algebraic form of the distribution function, we may evaluate first and second moments. In Appendix A, the different moments of the mismatched and centered distribution function are derived. It turns out that the odd moments will vanish because of the symmetry: $\Psi(I,\phi,t) = \Psi(I,\phi+\pi,t)$. We want to compare the analytic formula of the second moment $\langle z^2 \rangle$ with multiparticle simulation.

First we discuss the multiparticle simulation. The one-turn map in longitudinal phase space includes radiation damping and quantum excitation (QE), and consists of three steps:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Over the ARC:} \qquad \Delta z &= -\alpha\epsilon \ , \\
\text{RF cavity:} \qquad \Delta\epsilon &= -(eV_{RF}/E_0)\ \{\sin[\phi_s - (h/R)z] - \sin(\phi_s)\} \ , \\
\text{Damping + QE :} \qquad \Delta\epsilon &= -\lambda\epsilon + \sigma_{\epsilon\infty}\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}\,\hat{q} \ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

where ϕ_s denotes the synchronous phase, $\hat{q}$ is a random Gaussian variable with unit standard deviation, and the damping coefficient is defined by $\lambda = \exp(-2T_0/\tau)$ [18]. One damping time corresponds to about 15,000 revolutions; 3000 particles were tracked over 20,000 turns, and the second moment was calculated after every three turns.

From Appendix A and Eq. (1), we obtain the time evolution of the second moment:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle z^2 \right\rangle &= \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}}{\sigma_{z\infty}(b(t)^2 - c(t)^2)} \\
&\times \ \left\{ b(t) - c(t)\left[\cos(2\omega_0 t + 2\bar{\phi})\Re\left\{Z(t)^{3/2}\right\} + \sin(2\omega_0 t + 2\bar{\phi})\Im\left\{Z(t)^{3/2}\right\}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{37}
$$

with

$$
Z(t) = 1 \bigg/ \left(1 - \frac{i4f(t)b(t) + f(t)^2}{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2}\right)
$$

The comparison between analytic result and simulation is shown in Fig. 2. The pictures on the bottom and on the top display data belonging to the same run. On the top picture we see a fairly good agreement within the first 1000 turns. A slight disagreement shows up as a 'wiggly' pattern after 2000 turns (bottom pictures). However, this pattern does not originate from the approximations done in Sec. 2, since it persists in the case without quantum excitation, where the above equation is an exact solution of the Fokker-Planck equation.

The Hamiltonian formalism is based on differential equations and assumes the RF cavity to be spread over the ARC, whereas the mapping used in the simulation consists of difference equations. This might be the actual source of the small discrepancy in the bottom pictures of Fig. 2.

Matched beam injected off-axis

The phase portrait of this distribution function at injection is displayed in Fig. 1(b). A matched beam implies $c(t) \equiv 0 \rightarrow u(t) = v(t)$, and the distribution function in Eq. (36) simplifies to

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{b(t)}{2\pi} \exp\left\{-b(t)\left[I + \hat{I}(t) - 2\sqrt{I\hat{I}(t)}\,\cos\{\phi - \omega t + f(t)I - \phi_0\}\right]\right\} \tag{38}$$

with

$$\hat{I}(t) = \hat{I}(0)\exp\{-2t/\tau\}$$

A sufficient condition for the beam to be matched to the lattice in the transverse plane is $\beta_{\mathrm{mag}} = 1$ or, equivalent, $b_0 = 1/\epsilon_{x0}$. In the longitudinal plane, $g = 1$ is required. In Appendix B we derived the first and second moments for the general case of a mismatched and off-centered injection. From Eq. (52) we obtain, with $c(t) = 0$, $A = b(t)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_0 = \Omega_0$,

$$\langle\xi\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2\hat{I}(t)}}{(1+\theta^2)^2}\exp\left\{-\frac{\theta^2\hat{I}(t)b(t)}{1+\theta^2}\right\}\left[(1-\theta^2)\sin(\Phi_1)-2\theta\cos(\Phi_1)\right]$$

$$\langle\eta\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2\hat{I}(t)}}{(1+\theta^2)^2}\exp\left\{-\frac{\theta^2\hat{I}(t)b(t)}{1+\theta^2}\right\}\left[(1-\theta^2)\cos(\Phi_1)+2\theta\sin(\Phi_1)\right] \quad (39)$$

with

$$\theta = \frac{f(t)}{b(t)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Phi_1 = \omega_0 t + \phi_0 - \frac{\theta\hat{I}(t)b(t)}{1+\theta^2} \; .$$

From the discussion in Sec. 2, we realize that θ behaves shortly after injection as $\theta(t \ll \tau) = \sigma_0\mu\omega t$ and will increase with time. The quantity θ might be extracted from a given set of beam position measurements over successive turns after injection. Thus, the injected emittance may be measured if the nonlinear perturbation μ is known. The nominator in Eqs. (39) grows with time and causes the decoherence of the center-of-mass motion. After a sufficient number of damping times, θ approaches the limit $\theta(t \gg \tau) = \sigma\mu\omega\tau/2$. At that time, the center-of-mass motion approaches zero, due to $\hat{I}(t \to \infty) = 0$. The second moments are obtained from Eq. (53):

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle\xi^2\right\rangle &= \frac{1}{b(t)} + \hat{I}(t)\left\{1 - \frac{\exp\{-[4\theta^2\hat{I}(t)\,b(t)]/(1+4\theta^2)\}}{(1+4\theta^2)^3}\right. \\ &\quad \left. \times\left[(1-12\theta^2)\cos(2\Phi_2)+(6\theta-8\theta^3)\sin(2\Phi_2)\right]\right\} ,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle\eta^2\right\rangle &= \frac{1}{b(t)} + \hat{I}(t)\left\{1 + \frac{\exp\{-[4\theta^2\hat{I}(t)\,b(t)]/(1+4\theta^2)\}}{(1+4\theta^2)^3}\right. \\ &\quad \left. \times\left[(1-12\theta^2)\cos(2\Phi_2)+(6\theta-8\theta^3)\sin(2\Phi_2)\right]\right\} ,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Phi_2 = \omega_0 t + \phi_0 - \frac{\theta\hat{I}(t)b(t)}{1+4\theta^2} \; .$$

At this point, we want to compare the analytic relation for the second moment in the longitudinal plane: $\langle z^2 \rangle - \langle z \rangle^2$ to multiparticle simulations which were done with 3000 particles. The data of the first 2000 turns in the pictures on the bottom of Fig. 3 are expanded in the top picture of Fig. 3. The analytic expression is in good agreement with the simulation result. A small deviation within the first synchrotron oscillation is a consequence of the Hamiltonian-in-action variable, which was obtained by averaging over the phase terms. The bottom pictures show the initial growth of the bunch length due to filamentation. After turn 2500, the bunch length starts to decrease due to radiation damping, and slowly approaches the equilibrium value $\sigma_z(t)/\sigma_{z\infty} = 1$.

4 Summary

In Sec. 2 we presented an approximate solution to the Fokker-Planck equation that describes the injection process into a storage ring under the influence of nonlinear fields. Explicit time dependence of the first and second moments were derived, and compare well to results obtained from multiparticle simulations. These simulations included radiation damping and the effect of quantum excitation on the particle trajectory. The analytic result for the first moment of the particle distribution may be used to extract the injected emittance from a set of beam position measurements over successive turns after injection.

Acknowledgement

At this point I would like thank Sam Heifets, who initiated the Fokker-Planck type of analysis, and Jim Spencer for many useful suggestions. To Michiko Minty, Dianne Yeremian and Bill Spence, I am grateful for various insightful conversations.

This work was supported by Department of Energy contract DE–AC03–76SF00515.

Appendix A

Higher-order moments of the centered distribution function

In this Appendix we derive higher order moments of the distribution functions discussed in Secs. 1 and 2.

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi d(t)} \exp\{-I\,[b(t) + c(t)\cos(2\Omega)]\} \;, \tag{40}$$

with

$$\Omega = \phi - \omega_0 t + f(t)I - \bar{\phi} \;.$$

It is important to notice the symmetry $\Psi(I,\phi,t) = \Psi(I,\phi+\pi,t)$, which reflects the invariance of the distribution function under the transformation $(\xi,\eta) \rightarrow (-\xi,-\eta)$. As a consequence, all moments of odd order will vanish. What remains are the moments of even order, which will be treated in action-angle variables.

$$\left\langle \xi^{2m} \right\rangle = \iint \xi^{2m} d\xi d\eta = 2^m \iint I^m \sin(\phi)^{2m} dI d\phi \;,$$

and

$$\left\langle \eta^{2m} \right\rangle = \iint \eta^{2m} d\xi d\eta = 2^m \iint I^m \cos(\phi)^{2m} dI d\phi \;.$$

Let us evaluate first the expression $\langle \eta^{2m} \rangle$. We use the above expression for the distribution function and obtain:

$$\left\langle \eta^{2m} \right\rangle = \frac{2^m}{2\pi d} \int_0^\infty dI \;\exp\{-Ib\}\; R_m(I) \;, \tag{41}$$

with

$$R_m(I) = \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\{-Ic\cos(2\Omega)\} \;\cos(\phi)^{2m}$$

Next we use the identity [19]

$$\cos(\phi)^{2m} = \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \binom{2m}{k} \cos(2\phi m - 2\phi k) \;. \tag{42}$$

We introduce this expression in the definition of $R_m(I)$, integrate with respect to Ω, and obtain the result in terms of Bessel functions:

$$R_m(I) = \frac{2\pi}{2^m} \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \binom{2m}{k} J_{m-k}(iIc) \cos\{2[\omega_0 t - f(t)I + \bar{\phi}]\} , \qquad (43)$$

where i denotes the imaginary unit. Equation (41) can now be integrated, and the result contains hypergeometric functions [19]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta^{2m} \rangle &= \frac{(2m)!}{2d(t)} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{1}{(m-l)!\, l!\, (1+\delta_{l,0})} \left[\frac{-c(t)}{2}\right]^l \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{\exp\{2il[\omega_0 t + \bar{\phi}]\}\, F\left(\frac{l+m+1}{2}, \frac{l-m}{2}; l+1, -z_{2l}\right)}{\sqrt{[\beta_{2l}(t)^2 - c(t)^2]^{l+m+1}}} + \text{c.c.} \right\} , \end{aligned}$$

where c.c. denotes the complex conjugate of the preceding term.

$$\beta_l(t) = b(t) + ilf(t) , \qquad z_l = \frac{c(t)^2}{(\beta_l(t)^2 - c(t)^2)} , \qquad (44)$$

and $\delta_{l,0}$ is the Kronecker δ. A similar expression may be derived for the other canonical variable

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi^{2m} \rangle &= \frac{(2m)!}{2d(t)} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{1}{(m-l)!\, l!\, (1+\delta_{l,0})} \left[\frac{c(t)}{2}\right]^l \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{\exp\{2il[\omega_0 t + \bar{\phi}]\}\; F(\frac{l+m+1}{2}, \frac{l-m}{2}; l+1, -z_{2l})}{\sqrt{(\beta_{2l}(t)^2 - c(t)^2)^{l+m+1}}} + \text{c.c.} \right\} , \end{aligned}$$

where a minus at $c(t)^l$ is the only difference to the previous relation. For $m = 0$, we obtain the normalization condition that was used earlier in this paper,

$$1 \equiv \left\langle \eta^0 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{d(t)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2}} \Longrightarrow d(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2}} . \tag{45}$$

The second moment for $m = 1$ describes the evolution of the bunch length, energy spread, or beam size. We obtain after some rearrangements,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \eta^2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2} & \Big[b(t) - c(t) \Big(\cos[2(\omega_0 t + \bar{\phi})] \, \Re\Big\{ Z(t)^{3/2} \Big\} \\ & + \sin[2(\omega_0 t + \bar{\phi})] \, \Im\Big\{ Z(t)^{3/2} \Big\} \Big) \Big] \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \xi^2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2} & \Big[b(t) + c(t) \Big(\cos[2(\omega_0 t + \bar{\phi})] \Re\Big\{ Z(t)^{3/2} \Big\} \\ & + \sin(2[\omega_0 t + \bar{\phi}]) \Im\Big\{ Z(t)^{3/2} \Big\} \Big) \Big] \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

These expressions contain the real and the imaginary part of the following complex function:

$$Z(t) = 1 \Bigg/ \left(1 - \frac{i4f(t)b(t) + f(t)^2}{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2} \right) .$$

As mentioned before, the function $f(t)$ will increase shortly after injection linearly with time, and $Z(t)$ will act like a damping term. Later, when the beam approaches equilibrium, $t \to \infty$: $Z(t)$ will also approach a limiting value. With $b(t \to \infty) = 1/\sigma$ and $c(t \to \infty) = 0$ we find

$$Z(t \to \infty) = \frac{1}{1 - i2\omega_0 \mu \tau \sigma - (\omega_0^2 \mu^2 \tau^2 \sigma^2 / 4)} .$$

The contribution of $Z(t)$ to the beam size scales with $c(t)$ and will be small as $c(t)$ approaches zero, after a couple of radiation damping times.

Appendix B: First and second moments of the off-centered, mismatched distribution function

This is the general case shown in Fig. 1(c). Analytic expressions for the first and second moments can be compared to beam position or beam size measurements after injection. These expressions are of practical interest in order to understand and to optimize the injection process. It turns out that the involved integrals cannot be solved directly by means of integral tables [19,20], and the solution can only be given in a power series containing hypergeometric functions. We start with the distribution function given by Eq. (19),

$$\Psi = \frac{\sqrt{uv}}{2\pi}\ \exp\{-u[\sqrt{I}\cos(\Omega)-\sqrt{\hat{I}}\cos(\Omega_0)]^2 - v[\sqrt{I}\sin(\Omega)-\sqrt{\hat{I}}\sin(\Omega_0)]^2\}\ ,$$

with

$$\Omega = \phi - \omega t - \bar{\phi}\ , \qquad \Omega_0 = \phi_0 - \bar{\phi}\ .$$

We keep in mind that $\omega t = \omega_0 t - f(t)I$ depends on the action variable. In the exponent, we substitute $u(t), v(t)$ by $b(t), c(t)$ via Eq. (9) and obtain

$$\Psi = \frac{\sqrt{uv}}{2\pi} \exp\{-Ib - \hat{I}[b + c\cos(2\Omega_0)] - Ic\cos(2\Omega) + 2\sqrt{I\hat{I}}\ A\cos(\Omega - \tilde{\Omega}_0)\} \tag{48}$$

with

$$\tan(\tilde{\Omega}_0) = \frac{b-c}{b+c}\ \tan(\Omega_0)\ , \qquad A = \sqrt{b^2+c^2}\ .$$

The first and second moments lead to the following type of integrals over the angle variable

$$R(I) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\ \int_0^{2\pi}\ F(\phi)\ \exp\left\{-Ic\cos(2\Omega) + 2\sqrt{I\hat{I}}\ A\ \cos(\Omega - \tilde{\Omega}_0)\right\}\ d\phi\ ,$$

with

$$F(\phi) = \begin{cases} \cos(\phi) \\ \sin(\phi) \\ \dfrac{1 \pm \cos(2\phi))}{2} \end{cases} .$$

We change the integration variable from ϕ to ζ,

$$R(I) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(\zeta + \omega t + \bar{\phi} + \tilde{\Omega}_0) \ \exp\left\{-Ic\cos(2\zeta + 2\tilde{\Omega}_0)\right\}$$

$$\times \ \left\{ \cos\left[i2\sqrt{I\hat{I}}\ A\cos(\zeta)\right] - i\sin\left[i2\sqrt{I\hat{I}}\ A\cos(\zeta)\right] \right\} \ d\zeta \ .$$

Either the sines or the cosines of the trigonometric function will give a zero contribution in the integration due to symmetry. We expand the remaining trigonometric function in a power series,

$$\begin{aligned} \cos\left[i2\sqrt{I\hat{I}}\ A\cos(\zeta)\right] &= \textstyle\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\sqrt{I\hat{I}}A)^{2n}}{(2n)!} \ \cos(\zeta)^{2n} \ , \\ \sin\left[i2\sqrt{I\hat{I}}\ A\cos(\zeta)\right] &= i \ \textstyle\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\sqrt{I\hat{I}}A)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \ \cos(\zeta)^{2n+1} \ , \end{aligned}$$

and substitute for $\cos(\zeta)^n$ the expression given in Eq. (41). The integration over the angle ζ results in Bessel functions. The second integration over the action variable leads to a power series containing hypergeometric functions. To simplify the notation in the final expressions, we define

$$\begin{aligned} G_j^{n,l} \ &= \ \left[\frac{c(t)}{2\beta_j(t)}\right]^{n-l} \ \frac{(2n-l+1)!}{\beta_j(t)^{n+2}\Gamma(n-l+1)} \\ &\times \ F\left\{\frac{2n-l+2}{2}, \ \frac{2n-l+3}{2}, \ n-l+1 ; \ \left[\frac{c(t)}{\beta_j(t)}\right]^2\right\} \ , \end{aligned} \qquad (49)$$

where $\beta_j(t)$ is defined in Eq. (45). For the first moments of the distribution we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\eta\rangle + i\,\langle\xi\rangle \;=\; & \sqrt{\frac{uv}{2}}\;\exp\left\{-\hat{I}(t)[b + c\cos(2\Omega_0)]\right\} \\
& \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{(-1)^{n-k+1}\left[A\sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\right]^{2n+1}}{k!(2n-k+1)!} \\
& \times \left[\,\exp\left\{i[-(2n-2k+1)\tilde{\Omega}_0 + \omega_0 t + \bar{\phi}]\right\}\; G_1^{n,k-1}\right. \\
& \left. -\;\exp\left\{i[(2n-2k+1)\tilde{\Omega}_0 + \omega_0 t + \bar{\phi}]\right\}\; G_1^{n,k}\,\right], \qquad (50)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$ (51) $$

The result for the second moments is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\begin{matrix}\langle\xi^2\rangle\\ \langle\eta^2\rangle\end{matrix}\right\} = & \sqrt{uv}\;\exp\left\{-\hat{I}[b + c\cos(2\Omega_0))]\right\}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{2n}\frac{(-1)^{n-k}\,(A^2\hat{I})^n}{k!(2n-k)!} \\
& \times\left\{\,\cos\left[2(n-k)\,\tilde{\Omega}_0\right]\;G_0^{n,k}\;\pm\frac{1}{4}\left[\,\exp\left\{2i[-(n-k)\tilde{\Omega}_0 + \omega_0 t + \bar{\phi}]\right\}G_2^{n,k-1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left. +\;\exp\left\{2i[(n-k)\tilde{\Omega}_0 + \omega_0 t + \bar{\phi}]\right\}\;G_2^{n,k+1} + \text{c.c.}\right]\,\right\}, \qquad (52)
\end{aligned}
$$

where c.c denotes the complex conjugate of the preceding terms. The above relations simplify considerable in the case of a centered injection with $\hat{I}(t) = 0$ or, in the case of a matched injection, with $c(t) = 0$.

References

1. Ingo Hofmann, *Filamentation of Phase Space and Coherent Noise for Beams Injected into Storage Rings,* Part. Accel. **34**, 211 (1990).
2. H. Moshammer, *Transient Response of the Beam after Injection into a Storage Ring,* SLAC–PUB–5824 (1992); to be published in Nucl. Instr. Methods **A**.
3. E. D. Courant and H. S. Snyder, *Theory of the Alternating-Gradient Synchrotron*, Annals of Phys. **3**, 1 (1958).
4. C. Gardiner, *Handbook of Stochastic Methods* (Springer, Berlin,1983).
5. J. Jowett in *Nonlinear Dynamics Aspects of Particle Accelerators,* Springer Lecture Notes 247, J. Jowett et al., eds. (Springer, Berlin, 1986), pp. 343-367.
6. Matthew Sands, *A Beta Mismatch parameter,* SLAC–AP–85 (1991).
7. N. Merminga et al., *Transverse Phase Space in the Presence of Dispersion,* SLAC–PUB–5514 (1991).
8. F. J. Decker et al., *Dispersion and betatron Matching into the Linac,* SLAC–PUB–5484 (1991).
9. S. Chandrasekhar, *Stochastic Problems in Physics and Astronomy,* Rev. Mod. Phys. **15**, 1 (1943).
10. Tor Raubenheimer, *The Generation and Acceleration of Low Emittance Flat Beams for Future Linear Colliders,* SLAC–387 (1991).

11. R. E. Meller, A. W. Chao, J. M. Peterson, S. G. Peggs and M. Furman, *Decoherence of Kicked Beams*, SSC–N 360 (1987).

12. Nicholas Savill, *Smear Studies for the Dynamic Aperture Experiment*, CERN SL-AP Note 90–19 (1991).

13. Nikolitsa Merminga, *A Study of Nonlinear Dynamics in the Fermilab TEVATRON*, FNAL–508 (1989).

14. V. I. Arnold, *Dynamical Systems III* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1988).

15. T. Suzuki, *Hamilton Formulation for Synchrotron Oscillations and Sacherer's Integral Equation*, Part. Accel. **12**, 237 (1982).

16. G. Gallavotti, *The Elements of Mechanics* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983).

17. Ron Ruth in *Nonlinear Dynamics Aspects of Particle Accelerators*, Springer Lecture Notes 247, J. Jowett et al., eds. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986), pp. 37–63.

18. K. Hirata and K. Ruggiero, *Treatment of Radiation in Electron Storage Rings*, Part. Accel. **28**, 137 (1990).

19. I. Gradstein and I. Ryshik, *Tables of Series, Sums and Integrals*, (Harri Deutsch, Thun Frankfurt, 1981).

20. A. Prudnikov, Yu. Brychkov, and O. Marichev, *Integrals and Series*, (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1988).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. (a) Mismatched beam injected on axis, (b) matched beam injected off axis, and (c) mismatched beam injected off axis.

Fig. 2. Time evolution of the bunch length.

Fig. 3. Time evolution of the bunch length after off-axis injection.



Fig. 1



from Fokker−Planck Equation
multi particle simulation
multi partical simulation
from Fokker−Planck Equation
revolutions
revolutions

Fig. 2



from Fokker-Planck Equation
multi particle simulation
multi partical simulation
from Fokker-Planck Equation
revolutions
revolutions

Fig.3

SLAC-PUB-5825
Nov 1992
(A)

ERRATA

Application of the Fokker-Planck Equation to Particle Beams Injected into Damping Rings [1]

H. Moshammer
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University, California 94309

Page 4, Eq. 15

$$b_0 = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{x0}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta_0}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\beta_0} + \frac{\beta_0}{\beta}\left[\alpha_0 - \frac{\beta_0}{\beta}\alpha\right]^2\right) \equiv \beta_{mag} \ ,$$

Page 4, bottom

where the functions $\xi(t), \eta(t)$ have to satisfy the damped oscillator equation associated to the Fokker-Planck equation (3) with the initial conditions given by Eq. 16.

Page 9, Eq. 38

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{b(t)}{2\pi}\exp(-b(t)(I + \hat{I}(t) - 2\sqrt{I\hat{I}(t)}\cos(\phi - \omega t + f(t)I - \phi_0))\,) \quad \text{with} \qquad \hat{I}(t) = \hat{I}(0)e^{-2t/\tau}$$

Page 9, center

$$<\xi^2> \ = \ \frac{1}{b(t)} + \hat{I}(t)\left\{1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{4\theta^2\hat{I}(t)b(t)}{1+4\theta^2}}}{(1+4\theta^2)^3}\left[(1-12\theta^2)\cos(2\Phi_2) + (6\theta - 8\theta^3)\sin(2\Phi_2)\right]\right\}$$

$$<\eta^2> \ = \ \frac{1}{b(t)} + \hat{I}(t)\left\{1 + \frac{e^{-\frac{4\theta^2\hat{I}(t)b(t)}{1+4\theta^2}}}{(1+4\theta^2)^3}\left[(1-12\theta^2)\cos(2\Phi_2) + (6\theta - 8\theta^3)\sin(2\Phi_2)\right]\right\}$$

Page 10, Acknowledgement

At this point I would like to thank Sam Heifets who initiated the Fokker-Planck type of analysis and Jim Spencer for many useful suggestions. To Michiko Minty, Dianne Yeremian and Bill Spence I am grateful for various insightful conversations.

(Submitted for Publications)

[1] Work supported by the Department of Energy, contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

SLAC-PUB-5825
May 1992
(A)

Application of the Fokker-Planck Equation to Particle Beams Injected into Damping Rings*

H. Moshammer
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94309

Abstract

Nonlinear forces in the longitudinal accelerating field, or in the transverse magnetic fields lead to filamentation of the injected emittance and to the decoherence of the center of mass motion. We derive the time evolution of the distribution function after injection as an approximate solution to the Fokker-Planck equation. The approximation assumes the injected emittance to be considerably larger than the equilibrium emittance which is fulfilled for a damping ring. In the limit of no quantum excitation this distribution function will then be an exact solution. Higher moments of the distribution can be expressed in combinations of elementary functions and agree very well with multi-particle simulations.

Introduction

Injection of a bunched beam into the periodic structure of a damping ring may lead to the formation of filaments in phase space [1]. It is generally assumed that, after some relaxation time, this filamentary structure can be described by a smoothly varying distribution function which gradually approaches equilibrium.

During normal operation a bunch injected into a damping ring will be extracted after a couple of radiation damping times. From this point of view, the distribution function never reaches the equilibrium state and transient effects from injection might influence the extracted beam distribution.

Recently the time evolution of the distribution function after mismatched, i.e. the betatron functions of the injected beam ellipsoid and the lattice are different, or off-axis injection was analysed by means of the Vlasov equation [2]. The influence of nonlinear fields was approximated by an averaged Hamiltonian that depends only on the action variable. Using this Hamiltonian the Vlasov equation could be solved exactly.

In order to describe the effects of injection transients for a larger time period than a small fraction of the damping time, radiation damping and quantum excitation has to be taken into account. In this paper we derive the time evolution of the distribution function as an exact solution of the Fokker-Planck equation in the case of: a) only linear fields and b) nonlinear fields and damping but no quantum excitation.

In addition we discuss an approximate solution to the Fokker-Planck equation where nonlinear fields, damping and quantum excitation are taken into account. The approximation assumes that the injected emittance is much larger than the equilibrium emittance. This assumption is fulfilled since damping rings are designed to reduce the emittance of the incoming bunch.

Due to the relatively simple form of the distribution function, first and second moments may be derived in closed expressions. These relations are then compared to results of multi-particle simulations where radiation damping and the effect of quantum excitation were included.

*Work supported by the Department of Energy, contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

1. Time Evolution of the Distribution Function neglecting Nonlinear Fields

In this section we study the time evolution of the distribution function in phase space after mismatched or off-axis injection into a periodic structure. Neglecting nonlinear fields the single particle motion may be described by the Hamiltonian:

$$H_0(\xi,\eta) = \frac{\nu_0}{2R}(\xi^2+\eta^2) .$$

The transformation to the measurable transverse (x,p) and longitudinal (ϵ, z) coordinates is given by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{longitudinal} & & \text{transverse} \\ \epsilon\sqrt{\alpha R/\nu_{s0}} = \epsilon\sqrt{\sigma_{z\infty}/\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}} & \Longleftarrow \ \xi \ \Longrightarrow & x/\sqrt{\beta} \\ z\sqrt{\nu_{s0}/\alpha R} = z\sqrt{\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}/\sigma_{z\infty}} & \Longleftarrow \ \eta \ \Longrightarrow & (\alpha x+\beta p)/\sqrt{\beta} \\ \nu_{s0} & \Longleftarrow \ \nu_0 \ \Longrightarrow & \nu_{x0} \ , \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where $\sigma_{z\infty}, \sigma_{\epsilon\infty}$ denote the bunch length and the energy spread at equilibrium, α in the longitudinal plane denotes the momentum compaction, whereas α, β in the transverse plane are the twiss parameters at a fixed position in the ring. The longitudinal tune ν_{s0} is defined by the RF potential, the average radius R and the radiation loss. It is useful to be able to work with action-angle variables. We introduce:

$$\eta = \sqrt{2I}\cos(\phi) \quad , \quad \xi = \sqrt{2I}\sin(\phi) . \tag{2}$$

With these variables the Hamiltonian reduces to

$$H_0(I) = \frac{\nu_0}{R} I .$$

The dynamics of the phase space particle distribution $\Psi(\phi, I, t)$ is described by the Fokker-Planck equation. From Ref. [3] we have:

$$\tau\Psi_t = 2\Psi + 2(I+\sigma)\Psi_I + 2\sigma I\Psi_{II} - \tau\omega_0\Psi_\phi + \frac{1}{2}\sigma\frac{1}{I}\Psi_{\phi\phi} \tag{3}$$

where the subscripts denote partial differentiation. τ is the damping time and σ is related either to the transverse equilibrium emittance or, in the longitudinal case, to the product of bunch length and energy spread:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{longitudinal} & & \text{transverse} \\ \sigma_{\epsilon\infty}\sigma_{z\infty} & \Longleftarrow \ \sigma \ \Longrightarrow & \epsilon_{x\infty} \\ \sigma_{\epsilon 0}\sigma_{z0} & \Longleftarrow \ \sigma_0 \ \Longrightarrow & \epsilon_{x0} \end{array} \tag{4}$$

In analogy to σ we introduce σ_0, the corresponding term at injection. Before we go on to investigate possible solutions of the Fokker-Planck equation we want to parameterize the distribution function at injection. We assume a Gaussian distribution both in longitudinal and in transverse phase space. For the moment we consider a centered, (the center of mass of the distribution coincides with the origin of phase space), and mismatched, (the injected beam distribution has not circular contours in phase space ξ, η), distribution function.

1.a Mismatched Beam Injected On-Axis

In the transverse measurable coordinates (x,p) we parameterize the mismatched injected distribution as an ellipse with $\alpha_0, \beta_0, \epsilon_{x0}$. In the longitudinal case we assume for simplicity that the injected ellipse is upright, i.e. the major axis of the ellipse is aligned with one of the ξ, η axes. Then the injected longitudinal ellipse is described sufficiently by the bunch length σ_{z0} and energy spread $\sigma_{\epsilon 0}$ of the incoming beam. Using Eq. 1 we obtain at the moment of injection the distribution function in the variables (ξ, η) as (see also Ref. [2]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{longitudinal} & & \text{transverse} \\ \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_{z0}\sigma_{\epsilon 0}} e^{-(C_0\xi^2+2A_0\xi\eta+B_0\eta^2)/2\sigma_{z0}\sigma_{\epsilon 0}} & \Longleftarrow \ \Psi_0(t=0) \ \Longrightarrow & \frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon_{x0}} e^{-(C_0\xi^2+2A_0\xi\eta+B_0\eta^2)/2\epsilon_{x0}} \\ 1/g \equiv \sigma_{z\infty}\sigma_{\epsilon 0}/\sigma_{z0}\sigma_{\epsilon\infty} & \Longleftarrow \ B_0 \ \Longrightarrow & \beta_0/\beta \\ 0 & \Longleftarrow \ A_0 \ \Longrightarrow & \alpha_0 - \beta_0\alpha/\beta \\ g = \sigma_{z0}\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}/\sigma_{z\infty}\sigma_{\epsilon 0} & \Longleftarrow \ C_0 \ \Longrightarrow & (A_0^2+1)/B_0 \end{array} \tag{5}$$

where α, β denote the twiss parameters of the ring at the injection point. With g = 1 the longitudinal distribution appears circular in phase space. For example the longitudinal distribution of an electron bunch injected into the SLC damping ring is described by $g \approx 1/25$. With Eq. 2 the injected distribution function in action angle variables is given by:

$$\Psi_0(t=0) = \frac{1}{2\pi d_0} \exp(-I\left\{b_0 + c_0\cos(2\phi - 2\bar{\phi})\right\}) \tag{6}$$

with:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{longitudinal} & & \text{transverse} \\ 0 & \Longleftarrow \ \tan(2\bar{\phi}) \ \Longrightarrow & -2A_0B_0/(1+A_0^2-B_0^2) \\ \sigma_{\epsilon 0}\sigma_{z0} & \Longleftarrow \ d_0 \ \Longrightarrow & \epsilon_{x0} \\ (g^2+1)/(2g\sigma_{z0}\sigma_{\epsilon 0}) & \Longleftarrow \ b_0 \ \Longrightarrow & (1+A_0^2+B_0^2)/(2B_0\epsilon_{x0}) \\ (1-g^2)/(2g\sigma_{z0}\sigma_{\epsilon 0}) & \Longleftarrow \ c_0 \ \Longrightarrow & -\sqrt{b_0^2 - 1/\epsilon_{x0}^2} \end{array} \tag{7}$$

We expect the injected ellipse to start to rotate in phase space. From this point of view we extrapolate from Eq. 6 the assumed time evolution of the distribution function.

$$\Psi_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi d(t)} \exp(-I\left\{b(t) + c(t)\cos(2\Omega)\right\}) \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega = \phi - \omega_0 t - \bar{\phi} \tag{8}$$

where the unknown functions $d(t), b(t), c(t)$ have to be determined from Eq. 3. We realize that we may rearrange the exponent of the distribution function and write Eq. 8 as:

$$\Psi_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi d(t)} \exp(-I\left\{u(t)\cos(\Omega)^2 + v(t)\sin(\Omega)^2\right\}) \quad \text{with} \quad u(t) = b(t)+c(t), \ \ v(t) = b(t)-c(t) \tag{9}$$

The function $d(t)$ has to be determined by the normalization condition of the distribution function. This is done in the appendix.

$$\int\!\!\int d\phi dI\,\Psi = 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad d(t) = 1/\sqrt{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2} = 1/\sqrt{u(t)v(t)}\,. \tag{10}$$

We introduce Eq. 8 into Eq. 3, perform the partial differentiation and order the resulting equation in terms of the canonical variables and their combinations:

$$\begin{array}{crl} \text{constant}: & -\tau d(t)'/d(t) & = 2 - 2\sigma b(t) \\ I: & -\tau b(t)' & = -2b(t) + 2\sigma(b(t)^2 + c(t)^2) \\ I\cos(2\Omega): & -\tau c(t)' & = -2c(t) + 4\sigma b(t)c(t) \\ \cos(2\Omega): & 0 & = -2c(t)\sigma + 2c(t)\sigma \\ I\cos(2\Omega)^2: & 0 & = 2c(t)^2\sigma - 2c(t)^2\sigma \end{array} \tag{11}$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to t. The fourth and the fifth of the relations in Eq. 11 are already fulfilled. The first relation follows from the second and the third relation using normalization condition Eq. 10. The remaining set of two differential equations in $b(t), c(t)$ can be solved by introducing the functions u, v defined in Eq. 9.

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} u' \\ v' \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} - 2\sigma \begin{pmatrix} u^2 \\ v^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

These two equations are of Riccati's type. The solution is given by:

$$u(t) = 1/(1 - u_0 e^{-2t/\tau})\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad v(t) = 1/(1 - v_0 e^{-2t/\tau})\sigma \ . \tag{13}$$

where u_0 and v_0 are integration constants. We use the initial condition for $b(t=0) = b_0, c(t=0) = c_0$ in Eq. 7 and determine u_0, v_0 as:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{longitudinal} & & & & \text{transverse} \\ 1 - \sigma_{z0}^2/\sigma_{z\infty}^2 & \Longleftarrow & u_0 & \Longrightarrow & 1 - 1/(\epsilon_{x\infty} b_0 - \epsilon_{x\infty}\sqrt{b_0^2 - 1/\epsilon_{x0}^2}) \\ 1 - \sigma_{\epsilon 0}^2/\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}^2 & \Longleftarrow & v_0 & \Longrightarrow & 1 - 1/(\epsilon_{x\infty} b_0 + \epsilon_{x\infty}\sqrt{b_0^2 - 1/\epsilon_{x0}^2}) \end{array} \tag{14}$$

These relations are more transparent in the longitudinal phase space since we restricted the initial distribution to an untilted ellipse in phase space. For $\sigma_{z0}/\sigma_{z\infty} = \sigma_{\epsilon 0}/\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}$ or $g = 1$, the functions $u(t), v(t)$ become equal and the distribution function no longer depends on the angle variable ϕ.

Using Eqs. 13, 14 and 7 we obtain in the transverse plane the necessary condition for $c(t) = 0$:

$$b_0 = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{x0}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta_0}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\beta_0} + \frac{\beta_0}{\beta}\left[\alpha_0 - \frac{\beta_0}{\beta}\alpha_0\right]^2\right) \equiv \beta_{mag} \ , \tag{15}$$

The combination of twiss parameters on the right hand side, β_{mag}, is known as the β-magnification factor [4], [5] and [6].

The functions $u(t), v(t)$ approach the same equilibrium value: $u(t \to \infty), v(t \to \infty) = 1/\sigma$. Furthermore it follows that $u(t)$ is monotonic increasing (decreasing) if u_0 is negative (positive). The same statement holds for $v(t)$. The function $c(t) = (u - v)/2$ will therefore tend to zero and the distribution function at equilibrium will be equally distributed with respect to the angle variable ϕ.

1.b Mismatched Beam injected Off-Axis

Up to this point we have assumed that the center of mass of the distribution is injected at the origin of phase space (on-axis) and will remain there throughout the damping process. It is clear that the off-centered distribution induces an additional angle ϕ dependence in the distribution function which will persist even if the injected beam is matched.

We denote the position of the injected center of mass by (ϵ_0, z_0) or (x_0, p_0). In phase space (ξ, η) we obtain the position of the injected center of mass as:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{longitudinal} & & & & \text{transverse} \\ \epsilon_0\sqrt{\alpha R/\nu_{s0}} & \Longleftarrow & \hat{\xi}(t=0) & \Longrightarrow & x_0/\sqrt{\beta} \\ z_0\sqrt{\nu_{s0}/\alpha R} & \Longleftarrow & \hat{\eta}(t=0) & \Longrightarrow & (\alpha x_0 + \beta p_0)/\sqrt{\beta} \end{array} \tag{16}$$

A natural way to take into account the off-axis injection is by shifting the canonical variables:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi & \rightarrow & \xi - \hat{\xi}(t) \\ \eta & \rightarrow & \eta - \hat{\eta}(t) \end{array}$$

where the functions $\xi(t), \eta(t)$ have to satisfy the Fokker-Planck equation with the initial condition given by Eq.16. The corresponding substitution in action-angle variables might look like:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sqrt{I}\cos(\Omega) & \rightarrow & \sqrt{I}\cos(\Omega) - \sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\cos(\phi_0 - \bar{\phi}) \\ \sqrt{I}\sin(\Omega) & \rightarrow & \sqrt{I}\sin(\Omega) - \sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\sin(\phi_0 - \bar{\phi}) \end{array} \tag{17}$$

where Ω is defined in Eq. 8 and $\hat{I}(t)$ and the constant ϕ_0 are related to the initial values of $(\hat{\xi}(0), \hat{\eta}(0))$:

$$\hat{I}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\xi}(0)^2 + \hat{\eta}(0)^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \tan(\phi_0) = \hat{\xi}(0)/\hat{\eta}(0) \tag{18}$$

We introduce the substitution rules of Eq. 17 into the distribution function Eq. 9:

$$\Psi_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi d(t)} \exp(-u(t)\left\{\sqrt{I}\cos(\Omega) - \sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\cos(\Omega_0)\right\}^2 - v(t)\left\{\sqrt{I}\sin(\Omega) - \sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\sin(\Omega_0)\right\}^2) \tag{19}$$

with:

$$\Omega = \phi - \omega t - \bar{\phi}, \qquad \Omega_0 = \phi_0 - \bar{\phi}$$

The distribution function in Eq. 19 has to satisfy Eq.3. Following section 1.a quite closely we perform the partial differentiation in Eq. 3 and order the result in terms of canonical variables and their linear independent combinations. Thus we obtain the functional dependence: of $\hat{I}(t)$:

$$\hat{I}(t) = \hat{I}(0)e^{-2t/\tau} , \tag{20}$$

and $\hat{I}(0)$ is given by Eq 18. The normalization function $d(t)$ and the functional dependance of $u(t), v(t)$ remain unchanged with respect to the case of on-axis injection. These functions are given by: Eq. 10, 13 and 14.

2 Distribution Function in the Presence of Nonlinear Fields

Nonlinear fields will induce a tune spread in the bunch population and, as a consequence, cause the injected emittance to filament [7]. When injected off-axis, the center of mass position observed with a beam position monitor will be seen to decohere [8]. This effect is not particular to the injection of electron rings. Decoherence was used in proton rings to study the influence of higher order multipole fields on the beam [9], [10].

A convenient way to deal with nonlinear fields is to introduce action angle variables and to average the perturbation over the angle variable [12]. This averaged Hamiltonian is now a function of the action variable only and the tune depends on the action variable.

$$H(I) = \frac{\nu_0}{R}(I - \frac{1}{2}\mu I^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(I) = R\frac{dH(I)}{dI} = \nu_0(1 - \mu I) \ . \tag{21}$$

In the longitudinal plane μ originates from the expansion of the RF wave with respect to the longitudinal position, in the transverse case from octupole fields. From Ref. [11] we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{longitudinal} & & \text{transverse} \\ \frac{h^2\alpha}{8R\nu_{s0}} & \Longleftarrow \quad \mu \quad \Longrightarrow & -\frac{1}{16\nu_x\pi}\oint ds\beta(s)^2K_3(s), \end{array} \tag{22}$$

where h denote the harmonic number and $K_3(s)$ contains the distribution of magnetic octupoles around the ring. The Fokker-Planck equation is given by:

$$\tau\Psi_t = 2\Psi + 2(I+\sigma)\Psi_I + 2\sigma I\Psi_{II} - \tau\omega(I)\Psi_\phi + \frac{1}{2}\sigma\frac{1}{I}\Psi_{\phi\phi} \tag{23}$$

with $\omega(I) = 2\pi\nu(I)/T_0$ where T_0 denotes the time for one revolution and σ as defined in Eq. 4. Using Hamilton-Jacobi perturbation method one may derive an additional contribution to μ which originates from the sextupole distribution around the ring. In this case the action angle variables have to be transformed from (ϕ, I) to (ϕ', I') [13], [14]. However, the Fokker-Planck equation in the canonical variables (ϕ', I') would be even more difficult to solve.

We consider on-axis injection and try to approach the solution with a test function which is very close to Eq. 8.

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi d(t)} \exp(-I\{b(t) + c(t)\cos(2\Omega)\}) \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega = \phi + h(t) + f(t)I - \bar{\phi} \tag{24}$$

Note that Ω now contains the action variable and $h(t)$ and $f(t)$ are yet unknown functions. Next we insert Eq. 24 into Eq. 23 and order the resulting equation in terms of canonical variables. We obtain the same set of differential equations which had been derived for the linear case in Eq. 11 plus five additional terms:

$$I\sin(2\Omega) \quad \times \quad c(t)\Big(\tau h'(t) + \tau\omega_0 - 6\sigma f(t)\Big) \tag{25}$$

$$I^2\sin(2\Omega) \quad \times \quad c(t)\Big(-\tau f'(t) + \tau\omega_0\mu + 2f(t) - 4\sigma b(t)f(t)\Big) \tag{26}$$

$$I^2\sin(4\Omega) \quad \times \quad \sigma c(t)^2 f(t) \tag{27}$$

$$I^3\sin(2\Omega)^2 \quad \times \quad \sigma c(t)^2 f(t)^2 \tag{28}$$

$$I^2\cos(2\Omega) \quad \times \quad \sigma c(t) f(t)^2 \tag{29}$$

where $b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are given by the corresponding functions of the linear case in section 1. We will now show that, under certain assumptions which apply for a damping ring, the terms in Eqs. 27, 28 and 29 are small compared to the other terms. We mentioned in the discussion at the end of section 1.a that the function $c(t)$ goes to zero as t approaches infinity. The initial value $c(0) = c_0$ is known from Eq. 7 to be in the order of $1/\sigma_0$ and σ_0 was defined previously in Eq. 4. We estimate the magnitude of $c(t), b(t)$ and I, which will be different at injection than at equilibrium:

$$\begin{array}{lcc} & \text{injection} & \text{equilibrium} \\ c(t) \sim & 1/\sigma_0 & 0 \\ b(t) \sim & 1/\sigma_0 & 1/\sigma \\ I \sim & \sigma_0 & \sigma \end{array} \tag{30}$$

We are able to estimate the magnitude of the different terms in Eq. 26:

$$\underbrace{\tau f'(t)}_{f(t)} - \underbrace{2f(t)}_{f(t)} + \underbrace{4\sigma b(t)f(t)}_{f(t)\sigma/\sigma_0 \to f(t)} = \underbrace{\tau\omega_0\mu}_{\mu}$$

and $f(t)$ will be of the order μ. All terms on the left hand side contribute with the same magnitude. In the case of Eq. 25 we have:

$$\underbrace{\tau h'(t)}_{h(t)} = -\underbrace{\tau\omega_0}_{1} - \underbrace{6\sigma f(t)}_{\sigma\mu}$$

and $h(t)$ is in the order of 1. For the five terms in Eqs. 25 to 29 we may summarize their order of magnitude:

				injection	equilibrium
I	$\sin(2\Omega)$	$c(t)\tau h'(t)$	$\sim$	1	0
I^2	$\sin(2\Omega)$	$c(t)\tau f'(t)$	$\sim$	$\sigma_0\mu$	0
σI^2	$\sin(4\Omega)$	$c(t)^2 f(t)$	$\sim$	$\sigma\mu$	0
σI^3	$\sin(2\Omega)^2$	$c(t)^2 f(t)^2$	$\sim$	$\sigma_0\sigma\mu^2$	0
σI^2	$\cos(2\Omega)$	$c(t) f(t)^2$	$\sim$	$\sigma_0\sigma\mu^2$	0

Since damping rings operate by definition in the regime $\sigma_0 >> \sigma$ we keep only terms of the order 1 and $\mu\sigma_0$. All other terms of the order $\sigma\mu$ and $\sigma_0\sigma\mu^2$ will be neglected in this approximations. Since we ignored only terms containing $\mu\sigma$ it is clear that the solution will be exact in the limit of no quantum excitation. Furthermore the solution will reproduce the distribution function of the linear problem with $\mu = 0$.

The functions $f(t)$ and $h(t)$ are thus given by the differential equations:

$$\tau f'(t) - 2f(t) + 4\sigma b(t)f(t) = \tau\omega_0\mu \tag{31}$$

$$\tau h'(t) = -\tau\omega_0 \tag{32}$$

Both functions have to satisfy the initial condition $h(0) = f(0) = 0$. As a solution for $f(t)$ we find:

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0\mu\tau\frac{e^{2t/\tau} - 2(u_0+v_0)t/\tau - u_0v_0e^{-2t/\tau} - 1 + u_0v_0}{e^{2t/\tau} - u_0 - v_0 + u_0v_0e^{-2t/\tau}} \tag{33}$$

with u_0, v_0 defined in Eq. 14. By integrating Eq. 32 we find $h(t) = -\omega_0 t$ and Ω in Eq. 24 is given by:

$$\Omega = \phi - \omega_0 t + f(t)I - \bar{\phi} \tag{34}$$

A particularly important role will be played by the function $f(t)$ since it is the driving term for the filamentation process. Shortly after injection, i.e. $t << \tau$, $f(t)$ behaves like $\omega\mu t$ and increases linearly with time. Then after the damping process $f(t)$ approaches the limit $f(t \to \infty) = \omega\mu\tau/2$. The functions $b(t), c(t)$ and $d(t)$ are tied via Eqs. 9 and 10 to $u(t)$ and $v(t)$, which are given in Eqs. 13 and 14. We mentioned previously that the distribution function in Eq. 24 is an exact solution to the Fokker-Planck equation if we neglect quantum excitation. In this limit u_0, v_0 goes to infinity and the function $f(t)$ becomes:

$$\text{no quantum excitation:} \qquad f(t) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0\mu\tau(e^{2t/\tau} - 1) \tag{35}$$

It should be stressed that the distribution function in Eq. 24 will loose it's phase dependance in the limit of $t \to \infty$ as $c(t)$ approaches zero. Furthermore it follows from Eq. 30, that the equilibrium distribution will be Gaussian and independent of μ. On the other hand, it is well known that nonlinear fields will affect the equilibrium distribution. This was shown for example in Ref. [3] using the canonical variables ξ, η and solving the Fokker-Planck equation with $\Psi_t = 0$.

In our approach which is based on action angle variables and an averaged Hamiltonian we loose this asymptotic characteristic of the distribution function. This is probably the price we have to pay in order to 'buy' the explicit time dependence of the distribution function.

So far, we have considered the injected distribution to be centered on the closed orbit. In a similar way we may derive an approximated solution to the Fokker-Planck equation for an off-axis injected distribution. The distribution function

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi d(t)}\exp(-u(t)\left\{\sqrt{I}\cos(\Omega) - \sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\cos(\Omega_0)\right\}^2 - v(t)\left\{\sqrt{I}\sin(\Omega) - \sqrt{\hat{I}(t)}\sin(\Omega_0)\right\}^2) \tag{36}$$

with:

$$\Omega = \phi - \omega t + f(t)I - \bar{\phi}, \qquad \Omega_0 = \phi_0 - \bar{\phi}, \quad \hat{I}(t) = \hat{I}(0)e^{-2t/\tau}$$

and $f(t)$ given by Eq. 33 satisfies the Fokker Planck equation if we neglect again terms of the order $\mu\sigma$. The functions $u(t), v(t)$ and the normalization function $d(t)$ are defined in section 1.a and are not affected by the nonlinear terms in the Hamiltonian. This is not surprising: The normalization function $d(t)$ corresponds to the area of the beam ellipsoid, which should remain constant in the absence of damping and quantum excitation, as required by Liouville's theorem. Hence, the whole filamentation process cannot affect the area of the injected beam and thus the emittance of the distribution.

3 Various Moments of the Distribution Function:

By virtue of the relatively simple algebraic form of the distribution function we may evaluate first and second moments. In the appendix the different moments of the mismatched and centered distribution function are derived. It turns out that the odd moments will vanish because of the symmetry: $\Psi(I,\phi,t) = \Psi(I,\phi+\pi,t)$. We want to compare the analytic formula of the second moment $<z^2>$ with multi-particle simulation.

First we discuss the multi-particle simulation. The one turn map in longitudinal phase space includes radiation damping and quantum excitation (QE) and consists of three steps:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{over the ARC :} & \Delta z = & -\alpha\epsilon \\ \text{RF cavity :} & \Delta\epsilon = & -\frac{eV_{RF}}{E_0}\left[\sin(\phi_s - \frac{h}{R}z) - \sin(\phi_s)\right] \\ \text{Damping+QE :} & \Delta\epsilon = & -\lambda\epsilon + \sigma_{\epsilon\infty}\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}\,\hat{q} \end{array}$$

where ϕ_s denotes the synchronous phase, $\hat{q}$ is a random Gaussian variable with unit standard deviation and the damping coefficient is defined by: $\lambda = \exp(-2T_0/\tau)$ [15]. One damping time corresponds to about 15000 revolutions. 3000 particles were tracked over 20000 turns and the second moment were calculated after every 3 turns.

From the appendix we obtain with Eq. 1 the time evolution of the second moment:

$$<z^2>= \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon\infty}}{\sigma_{z\infty}(b(t)^2 - c(t)^2)} \left[b(t) - c(t)\left(\cos(2h(t) - 2\bar{\phi})\Re\left\{Z(t)^{3/2}\right\} - \sin(2h(t) - 2\bar{\phi})\Im\left\{Z(t)^{3/2}\right\}\right)\right] \quad (37)$$

with

$$Z(t) = 1/(1 - \frac{i4f(t)b(t) + f(t)^2}{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2})$$

The comparison between analytic result and simulation is shown in Figure 1. The pictures on the bottom and on the top display data belonging to the same run. On the top picture we see a fairly good agreement within the first 1000 turns. A slight disagreement shows up as a 'wiggly' pattern after 2000 turns (bottom pictures). However, this pattern does not originate from the approximations done in section 2, since it persists in the case without quantum excitation where the above equation is an exact solution of the Fokker-Planck equation.

The Hamiltonian formalism is based on differential equations and assumes the RF cavity to be spread over the ARC, whereas the mapping used in the simulation consists of difference equations. This might be the actual source of the small discrepancy in the bottom pictures of Figure 1.

Figure 1 Time evolution of the bunch length



Matched Beam Injected Off-Axis

A matched beam implies $c(t) \equiv 0 \rightarrow u(t) = v(t)$ and the distribution function in Eq. 36 simplifies to:

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi b(t)} \exp(-b(t)(I + \hat{I}(t) - 2\sqrt{I\hat{I}(t)}\cos(\phi - \omega t + f(t)I - \phi_0))) \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{I}(t) = \hat{I}(0)e^{-2t/\tau} \tag{38}$$

A sufficient condition for the beam to be matched to the lattice in the transverse plane is $\beta_{mag} = 1$, or equivalent: $b_0 = 1/\epsilon_{x0}$. In the longitudinal plane $g = 1$ is required. The integration over phase space leads to the following expressions for the first moments of the distribution function:

$$\begin{aligned} <\xi> &= \frac{\sqrt{2\hat{I}(t)}}{(1+\theta^2)^2} e^{-\frac{\theta^2 \hat{I}(t)b(t)}{1+\theta^2}} \Big[(1-\theta^2)\sin(\Phi_1) - 2\theta\cos(\Phi_1)\Big] \\ <\eta> &= \frac{\sqrt{2\hat{I}(t)}}{(1+\theta^2)^2} e^{-\frac{\theta^2 \hat{I}(t)b(t)}{1+\theta^2}} \Big[(1-\theta^2)\cos(\Phi_1) + 2\theta\sin(\Phi_1)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

with:

$$\theta = \frac{f(t)}{b(t)} \quad \text{and:} \quad \Phi_1 = \omega t + \phi_0 - \frac{\theta \hat{I}(t)b(t)}{1+\theta^2}$$

From the discussion in section 2 we realize that θ behaves short after injection as $\theta(t << \tau) = \sigma_0\mu\omega t$ and will increase with time. The nominator in Eqs. 39 grows with time and causes the decoherence of the center of mass motion. After a sufficient number of damping times θ approaches the limit: $\theta(t >> \tau) = \sigma\mu\omega\tau/2$. At that time the center of mass motion approaches zero due to $\hat{I}(t \rightarrow \infty) = 0$. The second moments are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} <\xi^2> &= \frac{1}{b(t)} + \hat{I}(t)\left\{1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{4\theta^2 \hat{I}(t)b(t)}{1+4\theta^2}}}{(1+4\theta^2)^3}\Big[(1-12\theta^2)\cos(2\Phi_2) + (2\theta - 8\theta^3)\sin(2\Phi_2)\Big]\right\} \\ <\eta^2> &= \frac{1}{b(t)} + \hat{I}(t)\left\{1 + \frac{e^{-\frac{4\theta^2 \hat{I}(t)b(t)}{1+4\theta^2}}}{(1+4\theta^2)^3}\Big[(1-12\theta^2)\cos(2\Phi_2) - (2\theta - 8\theta^3)\sin(2\Phi_2)\Big]\right\} \end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\Phi_2 = \omega t + \phi_0 - \frac{\theta \hat{I}(t)b(t)}{1+4\theta^2}$$

At this point we want to compare the analytic relation for the second moment in the longitudinal plane: $<z^2> - <z>^2$ to multi-particle simulations which were done with 3000 particles. The data of first 2000 turns in the pictures on the bottom of figure 2 are expanded in the top picture of figure 2. The analytic expression is in good agreement with the simulation result. A small deviation within the first synchrotron oscillation is a consequence of the Hamiltonian in action variable which was obtained by averaging over the phase terms. The bottom pictures show the initial growth of the bunch length due to filamentation. After turn 2500 the bunch length starts to decrease due to radiation damping and approaches slowly the equilibrium value: $\sigma_z(t)/\sigma_{z\infty} = 1$.

4 Summary

In section 2 we presented an approximate solution to the Fokker-Planck equation which describes the injection process into a damping ring under the influence of nonlinear fields. Explicit time dependence of the first and second moments were derived and compare well to results obtained from multi-particle simulations. These simulations included radiation damping and the effect of quantum excitation on the particle trajectory. It is hoped that the analytic results may help us to better understand and to optimize the performance of existing damping rings.

Acknowledgement

At this point I would like thank Sam Heifets who initiated the Fokker-Planck type of analysis and Jim Spencer for many useful suggestions. To Michiko Minty, Dianne Yeremian and Bill Spence I am grateful for various



Figure 2 Time evolution of the bunch length after off-axis injection

Appendix: Higher-order Moments of the Centered Distribution Function

In this part we want to derive higher order moments of the distribution functions discussed in section 1 and 2.

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi d(t)} \exp(-I\{b(t) + c(t)\cos(2\Omega)\}) \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega = \phi + h(t) + f(t)I - \bar{\phi} \tag{40}$$

It is important to notice the symmetry $\Psi(I,\phi,t) = \Psi(I,\phi+\pi,t)$ which reflects the invariance of the distribution function under the transformation $(\xi,\eta) \to (-\xi,-\eta)$. As a consequence all moments of odd order will vanish. What remains are the moments of even order which will be treated in action-angle variables.

$$<\xi^{2m}>= \iint \xi^{2m} d\xi d\eta = 2^m \iint I^m \sin(\phi)^{2m} dI d\phi \quad \text{and:} \quad <\eta^{2m}>= \iint \eta^{2m} d\xi d\eta = 2^m \iint I^m \cos(\phi)^{2m} dI d\phi$$

Let us evaluate first the expression $<\eta^{2m}>$. We use the above expression for the distribution function and obtain:

$$<\eta^{2m}>= \frac{2^m}{2\pi d} \int_0^\infty dI e^{-Ib} R_m(I) \quad \text{with:} \quad R_m(I) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-Ic\cos(2\Omega)} \cos(\phi)^{2m} \tag{41}$$

Next we use the identity [16]:

$$\cos(\phi)^{2m} = \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \binom{2m}{k} \cos(2\phi m - 2\phi k)$$

We introduce this expression in the definition of $R_m(I)$, integrate with respect to Ω and obtain the result in terms of Bessel functions:

$$R_m(I) = \frac{2\pi}{2^m} \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \binom{2m}{k} J_{m-k}(iIc) \cos(2h(t) + 2f(t)I - 2\bar{\phi}) \tag{42}$$

where i denotes the imaginary unit. Equation 41 can now be integrated and the result contains Hypergeometric functions [16]:

$$\begin{aligned} <\eta^{2m}> \quad &= \quad \frac{(2m)!}{2d(t)} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{1}{(m-l)!\, l!\,(1+\delta_{l,0})} \Big(\frac{-c(t)}{2}\Big)^l \\ &\times\Big\{ \quad e^{2h(t)l+2\bar{\phi}l} F(\frac{l+m+1}{2}, \frac{l-m}{2}; l+1, -z_l)/\sqrt{(\beta_l(t)^2 - c(t)^2)(l+m+1)} \\ &\quad + e^{2h(t)l+2\bar{\phi}l} F(\frac{l+m+1}{2}, \frac{l-m}{2}; l+1, -z_{-l})/\sqrt{(\beta_{-l}(t)^2 - c(t)^2)(l+m+1)}\Big\} , \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where:

$$\beta_l(t) = b(t) - i2lf(t), \qquad z_l = c(t)^2/(\beta_l(t)^2 - c(t)^2),$$

and $\delta_{l,0}$ is the Kronecker δ. A similar expression may be derived for the other canonical variable:

$$\begin{aligned} <\xi^{2m}> \quad &= \quad \frac{(2m)!}{2d(t)} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \frac{1}{(m-l)!\, l!\,(1+\delta_{l,0})} \Big(\frac{c(t)}{2}\Big)^l \\ &\times\Big\{ \quad e^{2h(t)l+2\bar{\phi}l} F(\frac{l+m+1}{2}, \frac{l-m}{2}; l+1, -z_l)/\sqrt{(\beta_l(t)^2 - c(t)^2)(l+m+1)} \\ &\quad + e^{-2h(t)l-2\bar{\phi}l} F(\frac{l+m+1}{2}, \frac{l-m}{2}; l+1, -z_{-l})/\sqrt{(\beta_{-l}(t)^2 - c(t)^2)(l+m+1)}\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Where a minus at $c(t)^l$ is the only difference to the previous relation. For $m = 0$ we obtain the normalization condition which was used earlier in this paper.

$$1 \equiv <\eta^0> = \frac{1}{d(t)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2}} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad d(t) = 1/\sqrt{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2} \tag{45}$$

The second moment for $m = 1$ describes the evolution of the bunch length, energy spread or beam size. We obtain after some rearrangements:

$$<\eta^2> = \frac{1}{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2} \Big[b(t) - c(t)\Big(\cos(2h(t) - 2\bar{\phi})\Re\Big\{Z(t)^{3/2}\Big\} - \sin(2h(t) - 2\bar{\phi})\Im\Big\{Z(t)^{3/2}\Big\}\Big) \Big] \tag{46}$$

$$<\xi^2> = \frac{1}{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2} \Big[b(t) + c(t)\Big(\cos(2h(t) - 2\bar{\phi})\Re\Big\{Z(t)^{3/2}\Big\} - \sin(2h(t) - 2\bar{\phi})\Im\Big\{Z(t)^{3/2}\Big\}\Big) \Big] \tag{47}$$

These expressions contain the real and the imaginary part of the following complex function:

$$Z(t) = 1/(1 - \frac{i4f(t)b(t) + f(t)^2}{b(t)^2 - c(t)^2})$$

As mentioned before the function $f(t)$ will increase shortly after injection linearly with time and $Z(t)$ will act like a damping term. Later, when the beam approaches equilibrium $t \to \infty$: $Z(t)$ will also approach a limiting value. With $b(t \to \infty) = 1/\sigma$ and: $c(t \to \infty) = 0$ we find:

$$Z(t \to \infty) = 1/(1 - i2\omega_0\mu\tau\sigma - \omega_0^2\mu^2\tau^2\sigma^2/4)$$

The contribution of $Z(t)$ to the beam size scales with $c(t)$ and will be small as $c(t)$ approaches zero after a couple of radiation damping times.

References

[1] Ingo Hofmann, *Filamentation of Phase Space and Coherent Noise for Beams Injected into Storage Rings,* Particle Accelerators, **34**, pp. 211 (1990).

[2] H. Moshammer, *Transient Response of the Beam after Injection into a Storage Ring,* SLAC-PUB 5824, May (1991).

[3] J. Jowett, *Introductory Statistical Mechanics for Electron Storage Rings.* SLAC-PUB 4033, July (1986).

[4] Matt Sands, *A Beta Mismatch parameter* SLAC-AP-85, April (1991).

[5] N. Merminga *et al* , *Transverse Phase Space in the Presence of Dispersion,* SLAC-PUB 5514, May (1991).

[6] F. J. Decker *et al* , *Dispersion and betatron Matching into the Linac* SLAC-PUB 5484, May (1991).

[7] Tor Raubenheimer, *The Generation and Acceleration of Low Emittance Flat Beams for Future Linear Colliders,* SLAC-387, Nov (1991).

[8] R. E. Meller, A. W. Chao, J. M. Peterson, S. G. Peggs and M. Furman, *Decoherence of Kicked Beams,* SSC-N 360, May (1987).

[9] Nicholas Savill, *Smear Studies for the Dynamic Aperture Experiment,* CERN SL-AP Note 90-19, July (1991).

[10] Nikolitsa Merminga, *A Study of Nonlinear Dynamics in the Fermilab TEVATRON,* FNAL-508, Jan (1989).

[11] T. Suzuki, *Hamilton Formulation for Synchrotron Oscillations and Sacherer's Integral Equation,* Particle Accelerators, **12**, pp. 237 (1982).

[12] V. I. Arnold, *Dynamical Systems III,* Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1985).

[13] G. Gallavotti, *The Elements of Mechanics,* Springer Verlag New York, (1983).

[14] Ron Ruth, *Nonlinear Dynamics Aspects of Particle Accelerators,* Springer Lecture Notes 247, Proceedings, Sardinia (1985).

[15] K. Hirata and K. Ruggiero *Treatment of Radiation in Electron Storage Rings,* Particle Accelerators, **28**, pp. 137 (1990).

[16] I. Gradstein and I. Ryshik, *Tables of Series, Sums and Integrals,* Harri Deutsch, Thun Frankfurt, (1981).