# A Study of the $a_0(980)$ Meson in Radiative $J/\psi$ Decays\*

#### William S. Lockman

Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics Santa Cruz, CA USA 95064 ...Representing the MARK III Collaboration\* at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Stanford, CA USA 94309

### ABSTRACT

Using the decay sequence  $J/\psi \to \gamma X, X \to a_0^{\pm}(980)\pi^{\mp}, a_0^{\pm}(980) \to \eta \pi^{\pm}$ , where X is the  $f_1(1285)$  or  $\eta(1400)$ , the  $\eta \pi^+$  vs.  $\eta \pi^-$  Dalitz plot intensity distributions are fitted with a model containing a coupled-channel parametrization of the  $a_0(980)$ . Preliminary values for the spin of X, the mass and width of the  $a_0^{\pm}(980)$  to  $\eta \pi^{\pm}$ , and the ratio of  $a_0^+(980)$  coupling strengths,  $g_K^2 I g_{\eta}^2$ , to  $\overline{K}^0 K^+$  and  $\eta \pi^+$  are determined. From this model, the predictions for the ratios of branching ratios  $r_X = B(X \to a_0(980)\pi) \cdot B(a_0(980) \to K\overline{K}) / B(X \to a_0^{\pm}(980)\pi) \cdot B(a_0^{\pm}(980) \to \eta \pi^{\pm})$  are compared with those obtained from MARK III Partial Waves analyses of the decays  $J/\psi \to \gamma K g K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$  and  $J/\psi \to \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ .

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The MARK III collaboration<sup>‡</sup> has recently performed isobar model partial wave analyses (PWA) of the decays:

$$J/\psi \to \gamma K_S^0 K^{\pm} \pi^{\mp} \tag{1}$$

and

$$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta \pi^+ \pi^- \tag{2}$$

The PWA intensities for both decays are shown in Fig. 1. Below 1.35 GeV/ $c^2$ , the  $f_1(1285)$  is observed in the  $1^{++} a_0(980)\pi$  partial wave, as shown in Figs. 1(b) and 1(d) for channels (1)<sup>1</sup> and (2)<sup>2</sup>, respectively. A pseudoscalar state at -1400 MeV/ $c^2$ , the  $\eta(1400)$ , is observed by both analyses to decay through  $a_0(980)$  (cf., Figs. 1(a) and 1(c)). To determine whether the same pseudoscalar state is observed in both decays, a coupled-channel analysis is performed to determine the ratio  $g_K^2 / g_\eta^2$  of  $a_0^+(980)$  coupling strengths to  $\overline{K}^0 K^+$  and  $\eta \pi^+$ . The ratio of branching ratios

$$r = \frac{B(X \to a_0(980)\pi) \cdot B(a_0(980) \to K\overline{K})}{B(X \to a_0^{\pm}(980)\pi^{\pm}) \cdot B(a_0^{\pm}(980) \to \eta\pi^{\pm})}$$
(3)

for the decay of X, where X is either the  $f_1(1285)$  or  $\eta(1400)$ , can then be estimated by the coupled channel model and compared with that obtained by the partial wave analyses. The coupled channel predictions and PWA ratios are referred to as  $r_{cc}$  and  $r_{pwa}$ , respectively. The PWA product branching ratios are listed in Table I.

<sup>\*</sup>Work supported in part by Department of Energy contracts No. DE-AC03-76SF00515, No. DE-ACOZ 76ER01195, No. DE-AC02-87ER40318, No. DE-AC03-81ER40050, and No. DE-AM03-76SF00010, and by the National Science Foundation.



Fig. 1. (a-b):  $0^{-+} a_0(980)\pi$  and  $1^{++} K^* K$  partial wave intensities for decay (1). The spin intensity to the left of the dashed line in l(b) corresponds to I++ $a_0(980)\pi$ . (c-d):  $0^{-+} a_0(980)\pi$  and I++ $a_0(980)\pi$  partial wave intensities for decay (2).

#### II DATA

Events from decay (2) are observed in the  $\gamma\gamma\gamma\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$  final state. Events with  $\geq 3$  photons and two charged tracks with *zero* net charge are subjected to a four-constraint (4C) kinematic fit to the hypothesis  $\gamma\gamma\gamma\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ . Candidate events with at least one  $\gamma\gamma$  combination within 70 MeV/ $c^{2}$  of the  $\eta$  mass and no combination within 35 MeV/ $c^{2}$  of the  $\pi^{0}$  mass are then K-fitted to the hypothesis  $\gamma\eta\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ . The final sample is obtained by requiring that the 5C fit x\*-probability  $P(\chi^{2}) > 10\%$ , the energy of each photon  $E\gamma > 100$  MeV and that  $|cos\gamma| < 0.95$ , where y is the angle between the photon and the

q-boost vector in the  $\eta$  rest frame. As shown in Fig. 2, clear  $a_0^{\pm}(980)$  signals are observed in both the  $1.22 < m_{\eta \pi \pi} < 1.35$  GeV/ $c^2$  and  $1.35 < m_{\eta \pi \pi} < 1.45$  GeV/ $c^2 \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$  mass intervals (henceforth referred to as the " $f_1(1285)$  region" and " $\eta(1400)$  region", respectively).

## III COUPLED CHANNEL MODEL

The observed **Dalitz** spectra shown in Figs. **2(a)** and **2(d)** are fitted with a model which describes the decay

$$X \to a_0^{\pm}(980)\pi^{\mp}, a_0^{\pm}(980) \to \eta\pi^{\pm}$$
 (4)

From the measured four-vectors of the final state, the amplitude for this decay is constructed using an invariant tensor **formalism**.<sup>4,5</sup>. The resulting symmetrized spin-one intensity is

$$I_1(m_+^2, m_-^2) = \left| \vec{p}_+ B W_+ + \vec{p}_- B W_- \right|^2 dLIPS$$
(5)

where  $m_+$  and  $\vec{p}_+$  ( $m_-$  and  $\vec{p}_-$ ) are the invariant mass and 3-momentum, respectively, of the  $\eta \pi^+$  ( $\eta \pi^-$ ) system and *dLIPS* is the Lorentz invariant phase space volume element.

For a spin-zero parent, the corresponding symmetrized intensity is

$$I_{0}(m_{+}^{2}, m_{-}^{2}) = \frac{m_{+}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{\eta\pi\pi}^{2}} BW^{+} + \frac{m_{-}^{2} - m_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{\eta\pi\pi}^{2}} BW^{-} \Big|^{2} dLIPS$$
(6)

MARK	Ш	$a_0(980)\pi$	partial	wave	results
------	---	---------------	---------	------	---------

Decay sequence		M <sub>X</sub>	Гх	Branching ratio	Ref.
		[MeV/c <sup>2</sup> ]	[MeV]	[10-4]	
$J/\psi \to \gamma X, X \to a_0(980)\pi, a_0(980) \to K\overline{K}$	0-+	1416 <sup>+8</sup> <sup>+7</sup> -5	54 <sup>+37</sup> +13 -21 -24	6.6+1.7 +2.4	(1)
	1++	1285	25	0.66 ±0.26 ±0.29	(3)
$J/\psi \to \gamma X, X \to a_0^{\pm}(980)\pi^{\mp}, a_0^{\pm}(980) \to \eta \pi^{\pm}$	0-+	1 <b>40</b> 0±6	<b>4</b> 5±13	3.38±0.33±0.59	(2)
	1++	1285	25	2.60±0.28±0.51	(2)

The  $a_0^{\pm}(980)$  propagators  $BW^{\pm}$  are described by a modified version of the Flatte coupled channel model:6

$$BW^{\pm} \propto \frac{\sqrt{g_{\eta}^2}}{m_R^2 - m_{\pm}^2 - im_R(\Gamma_{\eta \pi^{\pm}} + \Gamma_{KK^{\pm}})}$$
 (7)

where

$$\Gamma_{\eta\pi^{\pm}} = \bar{g}_{\eta}^{2} \frac{p_{\eta}}{m_{\pm}} \tag{8}$$

$$\Gamma_{KK^{\pm}} = \begin{cases} g_K^2 \frac{p_K}{m_{\pm}}, p_K^2 > 0\\ i g_K^2 \frac{v^{|} R_F^{|}}{m_{\pm}}, p_K^2 < 0 \end{cases}$$
(9)

where  $p_{\eta}$  ( $p_K$ ) is the momentum of the  $\eta(K)$  in the  $\eta \pi(K\overline{K})$  rest frame and  $g_{\eta}^2(g_K^2)$  is the coupling strength of the  $a_0^+(980)$  to the  $\eta \pi^+(\overline{K}^0K^+)$  final state.

To account for events not described by the above amplitudes, an additional term,

$$I_{back} \propto dLIPS$$
 (10)

is included in the fit. The fit is performed by minimizing the negative log likelihood7

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{events} \log\left\{f\frac{I_k}{\int \varepsilon I dLIPS} + (1-f)\frac{1}{\int \varepsilon dLIPS}\right\}$$
(11)

where  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  is the detection efficiency and  $I_k$  is the

spin-zero or spin-one intensity distribution, evaluated for the  $k^{th}$  event. The parameters determined from the fit are: *f*, the fraction of observed events attributed to the decay (4);  $m_{R'}$ , the  $a_0(980)$ resonance mass; the  $a_0(980)$  width to  $\eta \pi^+$ ; and the coupling strength ratio,  $g_K^2 / g_{\eta}^2$ .

## IV. RESULTS

The results of fitting the Dalitz plots in Figs. 2(a) and 2(d) are summarized in Table II. For each  $\eta \pi \pi$  mass interval, a comparison between alternate parent spin hypotheses is shown. For the  $f_1(1285)$  region, spin-one is favored by approximately 6 standard deviations, while the spin-zero hypothesis is favored in the  $\eta(1400)$  region by approximately  $7\sigma$ . For the fits with the smallest log likelihood,  $m_R$  and  $\Gamma_{\eta\pi}$  are in good agreement with values obtained by the LASS experiment.8

Since we are fitting only to the  $\eta\pi$  channel, the coupling ratio  $g_K^2 / g_\eta^2$  is rather poorly determined. A more precise measurement of this quantity is made by the LASS experiment who perform a simultaneous fit to their data and to those of Gay et *al.*<sup>9</sup> in both the  $\eta\pi$  and  $\overline{K}K$  channels. The coupling ratio obtained by the LASS experiment is

$$g_K^2 / g_\eta^2 = 2.4_{-0.7}^{+1.1} \tag{12}$$

Incorporating this value with its asymmetric **er**ror into our log likelihood fit yields the results shown in Table III.

fit interval:	f <sub>1</sub> (128	region	η(140	region
parent spin:	0	1	0	1
f	1.mO-to.147	<b>0.888 ±</b> 0.073	0.654 ± 0.083	<b>0.539 ±</b> 0.066
$m_R \; ({\rm GeV}/c^2)$	1.023f0.008	1.001 ± 0.007	I.004 <b>±</b> 0.010	0.999 ± 0.007
$\Gamma_{\eta\pi}$ (GeV)	0.105 ± 0.018	<b>0.090 ±</b> 0.017	0.105 ± 0.023	0.055f0.016
$g_{K}^{2}/g_{\eta}^{2}$	1.823f0.747	<b>0.672 ±</b> 0.634	1.375f0.684	2.371 ± 1.258
Likelihood	-76.3	-94.1	-94.4	-70.3

Table II.

1

MARK III coupled channel fit results



Fig. 2. Dalitz plots and projections corresponding to the  $f_1(1285)$  region, 2(a-c), and the  $\eta(1400)$  region(d-f). The Dalitz boundaries in 2(a) and 2(d) correspond to  $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$  masses of 2.283 and 2.400 GeV/ $c^2$ , respectively. The heavy solid curves in 2(b-c) and 2(e-f) are the projections of the spin-one and spin-zero intensities, respectively, added to the background. The light curves represent the background intensities.

Table III.	MARK III coupled channel fit results incorporating the cou- pling constant ratio obtained by the LASS experiment.			
fit interval:	<b>f<sub>1</sub>(1285)</b> region	<b>η(1400)</b> region		
parent spin:	1	0		
f	0.876 ± 0.070	0.660 ±0.081		
$m_R~({\rm GeV}/c^2)$	1.007 <b>±0.009</b>	<b>1.007±</b> 0.010		
$\Gamma_{\eta\pi}(\text{GeV})$	0.097 ± 0.021	0.102 ± 0.022		
$g_K^2 / g_\eta^2$	1.631 ±0.584	1.944 ± 0.553		
Likelihood	-92.6	-93.9		

The relative errors on the coupling constant ratios are reduced; the values of the remaining parameters and log likelihoods do not change significantly.

Using the coupling ratios presented in Table III, the intensities in Eqs. (5) and (6) are numerically integrated over the  $f_1(1285)$  and  $\eta(1400)$  regions of  $\gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$  and  $\gamma K \bar{K}\pi$  phase space, respectively, to obtain the predicted ratios of branching ratios,  $r_{cc}$ . In Fig. 3, the dependence of  $r_{cc}$  on the coupling ratio is shown for the  $f_1(1285)$  and  $\eta(1400)$  mass intervals. In Table IV, these values are compared with those obtained from the PWA analyses of Reactions (1) and (2),  $r_{pwa}$ .

Table IV. ratio of branching ratio comparison

state X	r <sub>cc</sub>	r <b>pwa_</b>
$f_1(1285)$	0.25 ± 0.09 ± 0.05	0.25 ± 0.10 ± 0.16
η(1400)	<b>0.69±</b> 0.20 <b>±</b> 0.14	<b>1.95±</b> 0.54 +0.74 -0.67

The first *error* associated with each entry is statistical, while the second error is systematic. The statistical errors on  $r_{cc}$  have been determined from the covariance matrix of the fitted parameters in Table III. The systematic errors have been estimated by (i) performing the fits in larger and



Fig. 3. The prediction,  $r_{cc}$ , plotted versus the coupling ratio, for the coupled channel fit results in the  $f_1(1285)$  region (solid curve) and in the  $\eta(1400)$  region (dashed curve). The open circle and open square correspond to the values obtained from the fit for the coupling ratio in the  $f_1(1285)$  and  $\eta(1400)$  regions, respectively. The error bars are statistical only.

smaller  $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$  mass intervals, (ii) imposing more restrictive criteria on the acceptance of the charged and neutral tracks and (iii) using a more elaborate coupled-channel **parametrization**.<sup>10,11</sup> In the  $f_1(1285)$  region, the agreement between  $r_{cc}$  and  $r_{pwa}$  is good. In this interval, only the  $a_0(980)\pi$ amplitudes are kinematically accessible, greatly reducing the uncertainties in the PWA results.

In the  $\eta(1400)$  interval,  $r_{pwa}$  appears to be larger than the coupled channel prediction, although the statistical and systematic uncertainties are large (both  $K^*K$  and  $a_0(980)\pi$  partial waves contribute to the  $K\overline{K}\pi$  width in this mass range). One possible explanation for the apparent discrepancy is that additional S-wave amplitudes, mistakenly identified as  $a_0(980)\pi$ , contribute to the  $K\overline{K}\pi$  structure at 1400 MeV/ $c^2$ . While this cannot be ruled out with the present statistics, a more likely explanation is that not all of the  $0^{-+}a_0(980)\pi$  partial wave is resonant. Due to limited statistics and the lack of a reference wave, the resonant component is difficult to estimate. However, the intensity distribution in Fig. **1(a)** appears to contain a flat background. Refitting this distribution with an S-wave relativistic Breit-Wigner intensity and a flat background over the interval from 1.375 to 1.500  $\text{GeV}/c^2$  yields a branching ratio

$$B(J / \psi \to \gamma X, X \to a_0(980)\pi, a_0(980) \to K\overline{K}\pi) = (0.40 \pm 0.20^{\pm 0.15}_{-0.00}) \times 10^{-3}$$
(13)

for the  $\eta$ (1400) state, and

$$r_{\rm PWA} = 1.18 \pm 0.60^{+0.45}_{-0.41} \tag{14}$$

in agreement with the coupled channel prediction.

#### IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The consistency of the MARK III partial wave analyses of reactions (1) and (2) has been examined through a preliminary coupled-channel analysis of  $a_0^{\pm}(980)$  decays to  $\eta \pi^{\pm}$ . In the  $f_1(1285)$ and  $\eta(1400)$  mass regions, the spin of the  $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$  is determined to be 1 and 0, respectively, in agreement with the PWA results for reaction (2). In the  $f_1(1285)$  region, the values obtained for the  $a_0(980)$ mass and  $\eta \pi$  width agree with those measured in the  $\eta(1400)$  region, and with values presented by the LASS experiment. The values obtained for  $g_K^2 / g_\eta^2$  appear to be somewhat larger than the SU(3) value of 0.75,12 assuming a pseudoscalar **nonet** mixing angle of -19.5<sup>"</sup>. The ratio of  $f_1(1285)$ branching ratios determined by the coupled channel analysis agrees with that obtained by the PWA. In the case of the  $\eta(1400)$ , the two methods yield consistent results if 20-40% of the  $0^{-+}$  $a_0(980)\pi$  signal is assumed to be nonresonant, as suggested by Fig. 1 (a).

- <sup>‡</sup> Z. Bai, G. T. Blaylock, T. Bolton, J. C. Brient, T. Browder, J. S. Brown, K. O. Bunnell, M. Burchell, T. H. Burnett, R. E. Cassell, D. Coffman, V. Cook, D. H. Coward, F. DeJongh, D. E. Dorfan, J. Drinkard, G. P. Dubois, G. Eigen, K. F. Einsweiler, B. I. Eisenstein, T. Freese, C. Gatto, G. Gladding, C. Grab, J. Hauser, C. A. Heusch, D. G. Hitlin, J. M. Izen, P. C. Kim, J. Labs, A. Li, W. S. Lockman, U. Mallik, C. G. Matthews, A. I. Mincer, R. Mir, P. M. Mockett, B. Nemati, A. Odian, L. Parrish, R. Partridge, D. Pitman, S. A. Plaetzer, J. D. Richman, H. F. W. Sadrozinski, M. Scarlatella, T. L. Schalk, R. H. Schindler, A. Seiden, C. Simopoulos, I. E. Stockdale, W. Toki, B. Tripsas, F. Villa, M. Wang, S. Wasserbaech, A. J. Weinstein, S. Weseler, H. J. Willutzki, D. Wisinski, W. J. Wisniewski, R. Xu, and Y. Zhu. <sup>1</sup>Z. Bai et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2507 (1990).
- <sup>2</sup>M. Burchell, this conference.
- <sup>3</sup>Z. Bai et al., SLAC-PUB-5201, August, 1990.
- 4C. Zemach, Phys. Rev. 140, B97 (1965).
- <sup>5</sup>J.Drinkard, A Study of the  $K\overline{K}\pi$  Final State in J/ $\psi$  Radiative Decays, University of California Santa Cruz report SCIPP-90/04 (Ph. D. Thesis), March, 1990 (unpublished).
- 6S. M. Flattè, Phys. Lett 63B, 224 (1976).
- <sup>7</sup>The resolution in  $m_{\pm}^2$  is -10 MeV<sup>2</sup>/ $c^4$ , which is small compared to the measured width of the  $a_0(980)$  presented in Section IV. Thus, the resolution function is approximated by a &function in the likelihood expression.
- <sup>8</sup>T.Bienz Strangeonium Spectroscopy at 11 GeV/c and Cerenkov Ring Imaging at the SLD, SLAC

report SLAC-0369 (Ph. D. Thesis), July, 1990 (unpublished).

- <sup>9</sup>J. B. Gay et al., Phys. Lett. 63B, 220 (1976).
- <sup>10</sup>N. A. Tornqvist, Ann. Phys. 123, 1 (1979); M. Roos and N. A. Tornqvist, Z. Phys. C5, 205 (1980); N. A. Tornqvist, Acta Phys. Pol. B16, 503 (1985).
- <sup>11</sup>N. N. Achasov et al., Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **32(4)**, 566 (1980).
- <sup>12</sup>W. Dunwoodie, private communication.