Complexity and Ultradiffusion

CONSTANTIN P. BACHAS[*]

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University, Stanford, California, 94305

and

B. A. HUBERMAN[†]

Xerox Palo Alto Research Center
Palo Alto, California 94304

ABSTRACT

We solve exactly the problem of diffusion in an arbitrary hierarchical space. We prove that for a given "tree-silhouette" $0 < s < 1$ the dynamic critical exponent ν ranges from $\frac{s}{1-s}$ for either uniformly or randomly multifurcating trees, to s for the most diverse ones, in qualitative agreement with a static measure of the tree's complexity. We conclude that uniform trees are optimal for information diffusion, that in thermally activated processes the temperature dependence of ν varies with the underlying tree structure, and that thin elongated trees (*brooms*) are the only ones capable of producing a $1/f$ spectrum.

Submitted to *Physical Review Letters*

The realization that diffusive processes on hierarchical structures can lead to an anomalous decay of the autocorrelation function,[1] led to several other studies generalizing the original work.[2-6] All of these, however, exploit the intrinsic self-similarity of uniformly multifurcating trees, which in particular allows for the application of renormalization group techniques.[7] One may thus question the relevance of such calculations to the many natural[8] and artificial[9] systems that exhibit a hierarchical organization, since such an organization does not necessarily imply a uniform-tree representation. For example, the ground states of the mean-field spin-glass, which possess an ultrametric topology,[8] cannot possibly be represented by the indistinguishable leaves of a uniform tree, since they are known to carry different weights.[10]

It is therefore desirable to determine how the relaxational dynamics of a hierarchical system depend on its underlying tree structure. This letter reports the results of such a study. By exactly solving the equation of diffusion in a generic hierarchical space, we have shown that for a given tree silhouette (to be defined below) relaxation is fastest for either uniformly or randomly multifurcating structures and slowest for very diverse ones, in qualitative agreement with a static measure of the tree's complexity.[11] Corollaries of these results include the identification of infinitely thin elongated trees (*brooms*) as the only ones capable of producing a $1/f$ spectrum, and the realization that in thermally activated processes, the underlying tree structure can change the temperature dependence of the dynamic critical exponent ν.

Consider ultradiffusion in an arbitrary tree. The dynamical equation is given by

$$\frac{dP_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \epsilon_{ij} \ P_j \qquad (1)$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, N$ labels the tree leaves or sites, P_i is the occupation probability for site i, and the hopping rate ϵ_{ij} is only a function of the nearest common ancestor A of i and j on the tree: $\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_{ji} = \epsilon_{A(i,j)}$ for $i \neq j$, while $\epsilon_{ii} = -\sum_{j \neq i} \epsilon_{ij}$ thus conserving probability. By appropriately stretching the tree, we can always assume that $\epsilon_A = e^{-h_A}$, where h_A is the height of the branching point A from the bottom of the tree, as shown in Fig. 1(a). We call such trees "metric trees", to stress that not only their topology but also the heights of their branches matter. There is clearly one ultrametric space and one ultradiffusion problem for every metric tree. Note also that there is no loss of generality in having assumed symmetric transition probabilities, since the weight of any leaf can be effectively increased by letting it multifurcate appropriately at low altitude.

We have solved the diffusion Eq. (1) explicitly, by deriving the complete set of eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the transition matrix ϵ. In order to succinctly describe the results we first introduce some notation and terminology (see also Fig. 1(a)): for any branch point or tree leaf B, we denote by B_n its unique n^{th} ancestor ($B_0 = B$ by convention, B_1 is the father, B_2 the grandfather and so on), by N_B the number of final descendants or tree leaves generated by B ($N_B = 1$ if B is itself a leaf), and by S_B the number of immediate offsprings or sons of B, when B is a branch point. We also introduce the characteristic function

$$\chi_i \ (B) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is a descendant of } B \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \ . \end{cases}$$

where here $i = 1, \ldots, N$ runs over the leaves. We assume for convenience that branchings may only occur at integral multiples of some minimum adjustable height interval Δh, and will occasionally use the word m^{th} generation for all branches at height $h = m \cdot \Delta h$. If $n(h)$ is their total number, we define the silhouette slope $s(h) = -\dfrac{\Delta \log n(h)}{\Delta h} = \dfrac{1}{\Delta h} \log \dfrac{n(h)}{n(h + \Delta h)}$, and shall refer to its asymptotic value $s = \lim\limits_{h \to \infty} s(h)$ as the tree's silhouette. Large and small values of s correspond to fat and thin trees, respectively.

Now, for every leaf or branch point B other than the root, there is an eigenvector of ϵ

$$V_i(B) = \frac{1}{N_B} \, \chi_i(B) - \frac{1}{N_{B_1}} \, \chi_i(B_1) \qquad (2)$$

which corresponds to the exchange of probability between the descendants of B, and those of all his brothers. Its eigenvalue (or inverse characteristic time) depends only on the father B_1:

$$\lambda(B_1) \equiv -\frac{1}{\tau_{B_i}} = -\left\{ N_{B_i} \, \epsilon^{-h_{B_i}} + \sum_{n=2}^{\text{root}} \left(N_{B_n} - N_{B_{n-1}} \right) e^{-h_{B_n}} \right\} \qquad (3)$$

and is in fact $(S_{B_1} - 1)$ times degenerate, since the S_{B_1} eigenvectors corresponding to the sons of B_1 obey the linear relation: $\sum\limits_{\text{brothers } c} N_c \cdot V(c) = 0$.

Finally there is an eigenvector with zero eigenvalue that corresponds to the steady state of equal probability $1/N$ for all sites, and which we denote by $V_i(\text{root})$.

The reader can verify these assertions by working out simple examples; a complete derivation will be given in Ref. 12.

For a particle starting out at a leaf I at time zero, the initial condition can be written as

$$P_i(t = 0) = \delta_{I,i} = \sum_{n=0}^{\text{root}} V_i\,(I_n)$$

It then follows that at later times

$$P_i(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\text{root}} V_i\,(I_{n-1})\,e^{-t/\tau_{I_n}} + \frac{1}{N} \ . \tag{4}$$

We will be mainly interested in the autocorrelation function, i.e. the probability that the particle returns to its point of departure; using Eqs. (2) and (4) it can be written as

$$P_I(t) = \frac{1}{N} + \sum_{n=1}^{\text{root}} \left(\frac{1}{N_{I_{n-1}}} - \frac{1}{N_{I_n}} \right) e^{-t/\tau_{I_n}} \ . \tag{5}$$

Summing over all initial conditions I, we obtain the average autocorrelation function in the form

$$\overline{P}(t) = \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\text{branch points} \\ B}} (S_B - 1)\,e^{-t/\tau_B} \tag{6}$$

For finite trees the decay of this function is clearly exponential, and is determined by the smallest non-zero eigenvalue $\lambda(\text{root})$. For infinite trees however, the asymptotic behaviour of $\overline{P}(t)$ is in general modified due to the accumulation of eigenvalues near zero. In the remainder of this letter we shall study the dependence of this asymptotic behaviour on the precise structure of the tree.

Consider first a regular uniformly multifurcating tree,[1-6] i.e. one for which every branch at every generation produces b offsprings as shown in Fig. 1(b); its

silhouette is thus $s = \dfrac{1}{\Delta h} \log b$. Since $h_{B_n} = h_B + n \cdot \Delta h$ and $N_B = b^{h_B/\Delta h}$, we easily deduce from Eqs. (6) and (3) that

$$\overline{P}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (b-1) b^{-m} \cdot exp\left[-t \cdot \left(b \cdot e^{-\Delta h} \right)^m \cdot \left(\frac{e^{\Delta h} - 1}{e^{\Delta h} - b} \right) \right] \sim t^{-\nu} \text{ uniform}$$

where the dynamic critical exponent

$$\nu_{\text{uniform}} = \frac{\log b}{\Delta h - \log b} = \frac{s}{1-s} \tag{7}$$

depends only on the silhouette which we have assumed lies between $0 < s < 1$. For $s > 1$ the eigenvalues of the transition matrix diverge, and relaxation is unstable. Notice however that the values $s = 0$ and 1 are allowed as asymptotic limits reached from above and below at large h, and leading to logarithmic and stretched exponential decay, respectively.

From Eq. (7) we conclude that among uniform trees the fatter ones relax faster. We must therefore fix the tree's silhouette, in order to study the effect of its structure on dynamics. The following result then shows that stable relaxation is fastest in uniform trees, which are therefore optimal for information diffusion:

Theorem 1: The dynamic critical exponent of any tree with silhouette $0 < s < 1$, obeys $\nu \leq \dfrac{s}{1-s}$ provided there exists some $w < e$ so that no branch-point B has descendants growing faster that $w^{(h_B - h)}$.[13]

Sketch of proof: Using the fact that the average of exponentials is larger than the exponential of the average and that:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{B:h_B=h} (S_B - 1) = n(h - \Delta h) - n(h) = e^{-s \cdot h} \left(e^{s \cdot \Delta h} - 1 \right)$$

6

we obtain

$$\overline{P}(t) \geq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(e^{s \cdot \Delta h} - 1 \right) e^{-m \cdot \Delta h \cdot s} e^{-t/\tau_m} \tag{8}$$

where τ_m^{-1} is the average inverse characteristic time of the mth generation, that can be bounded from above as follows

$$\tau_m^{-1} = \sum \frac{1}{t_B} \left(s_B - 1 \right) \bigg/ \sum (s_B - 1) \leq e^{m(s-1)\Delta h}$$
$$\cdot \left\{ \frac{(w^{\Delta h} - 1)}{\left(e^{s \cdot \Delta h} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{w}{e} \right)^{\Delta h} \right)} \right\} \tag{9}$$

with the summations running over all branch points of the mth generation. Using inequality (9) in (8), we can finally bound $\overline{P}(t)$ from below by a function with asymptotic power-law decay with exponent ν_{uniform} **QED**.

We next consider random trees, constructed by allowing the multifurcation number x of every branch at every generation to be an independent random variable with probability distribution $p(x)$. The average silhouette is

$$s = \frac{1}{\Delta h} \cdot \log\langle x \rangle$$

where $\langle x \rangle = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} p(x) \cdot x$. It will suffice to average Eq. (5) over all trees, as this automatically takes care of averaging over initial conditions. The trick is to note that $\alpha_n \equiv \frac{N_{In}}{\langle x \rangle^n}$, and $\Delta \alpha_\kappa \equiv \frac{N_{I_\kappa} - N_{I_{\kappa-1}}}{\langle x \rangle^\kappa}$ for $\kappa > n$ are (a) independent random variables, and (b) converge[14] as $n \to \infty$ to random variables α and $\Delta \alpha$ with stationary probability measures $P_1(\alpha)$ and $p(1) \cdot \delta(\Delta \alpha) + P_2(\Delta \alpha)$ respectively.

7

Thus the summand on the right hand side of Eq. (5) becomes at large n:

$$S_n = \langle x \rangle^{-n} \Bigg\{ \langle x \rangle \cdot \int\limits_{\langle x \rangle^{-n-1}}^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \, P_1(\alpha) \exp\left(-t \cdot \alpha \left(\frac{\langle x \rangle}{e} \right)^{(n-1)\Delta h} \right) .$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\ell > n-1} \left[p(1) + \tilde{P}_2 \left(t \left(\frac{\langle x \rangle}{e} \right)^{\ell \cdot \Delta h} \right) \right]$$

$$- \int\limits_{\langle x \rangle^{-n}}^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} P_1(\alpha) \exp\left(-t \cdot \alpha \left(\frac{\langle x \rangle}{e} \right)^{n \cdot \Delta h} \right)$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\ell > n} \left[p(1) + \tilde{P}_2 \left(t \cdot \left(\frac{\langle x \rangle}{e} \right)^{\ell \cdot \Delta h} \right) \right]$$

with $\tilde{P}_2$ the Laplace transform of P_2. If the vanishingly small cutoff of the α-integration, that comes from demanding $N_{I_n} \geq 1$, could be removed the summand would obey the homogeneity relation $S_{n+1}(t) = e^{-s \cdot \Delta h} S_n \left(t e^{(s-1) \cdot \Delta h} \right)$, from which we could deduce that the average autocorrelation function has a power law decay with exponent $\nu_{\text{random}} = \frac{s}{1-s} = \nu_{\text{uniform}}$. Using the integral equation that defines P_1, we have in fact shown that the divergence of the α-integration is at most logarithmic[12] which implies at most $\log t$ modifications to the above power law decay. This result can be understood by noticing that both uniform and random trees are balanced, self-similar structures and hence relax at roughly the same rate.

In order to show that asymptotic diversity, or lack of balance, does actually lead to slower relaxation, we next consider a tree for which the left-half members of every generation trifurcate, while the right-half members only give rise to a single son, as shown in Fig. 1(c). A straightforward calculation[12] then gives a

8

power-law decay with critical exponent $\nu = \dfrac{\log 2}{\Delta h} = s$. The following theorem shows that, remarkably, this is the slowest allowed relaxation.

Theorem 2: The dynamic critical exponent is bounded from below by the silhouette ($\nu \geq s$).

Proof: Since $N_B \geq 1$, we have $\dfrac{1}{\tau_B} \geq e^{-h_B}$ and hence:

$$\overline{P}(t) \leq \sum_B (s_B - 1)e^{-te^{-h_B}} =$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(e^{s \cdot \Delta h} - 1 \right) e^{-n \cdot \Delta h \cdot s} \cdot e^{-te^{-n \cdot \Delta h}} \sim \left(\frac{1}{t} \right)^s \quad \underline{\text{QED}}$$

We summarize our results schematically in Fig. 2. As can be seen the dynamic critical exponent is maximized (fastest relaxation) by both uniform and random trees, and minimized (slowest relaxation) by very diverse trees. The same qualitative picture actually obtains if instead of $-\nu$ one plots a static measure of the tree's complexity, or lack of self-similarity, defined by counting the number of non-isomorphic pieces at every generation.[11] This measure should be contrasted with the information-theoretic measure (Shannon's entropy), which is defined by the size of the smallest algorithm that describes how to construct an exact replica of a given tree, and is thus maximized for random trees.[15]

A couple of other interesting conclusions follow from our results:

(1) In thermally activated processes $\epsilon_{ij} = \exp(-V_{ij}/kT)$, and hence assuming that the structure of the tree is not itself a dynamic variable, rescaling the temperature simply amounts to rescaling all heights (uniformly stretching the tree). Thus s is proportional to T, which implies that $\nu = \dfrac{T}{T_c - T}$

for a uniform tree, while $\nu = T \cdot \left(\dfrac{\log 2}{T_c' \log 3} \right)$ for the unbalanced tree of Fig. 1(c), where the critical temperatures are in both cases the thresholds above which relaxation becomes unstable. We conclude that the temperature dependence of the critical exponent may reveal the structure of the underlying tree.

(2) In view of theorem 2, the relaxation of a hierarchical system can have a $1/f$-noise spectrum, only if the underlying tree is a "broom", i.e. has vanishing silhouette. Of course any tree would tend to a broom if infinitely stretched (eg. by taking $T \to 0$). It is, however, also possible to construct brooms with finite hopping rates, by ensuring that the majority of branches are infertile;[12] these can serve as models for the production of $1/f$ noise at finite temperature or in processes that are not thermally activated.

In summary, we have solved exactly the problem of diffusion in arbitrary hierarchical spaces, and shown that the dynamic critical exponent has the qualitative features required for a measure of complexity. Our study also shows that although asymptoptic complexity (diversity) leads to slower relaxation, a $1/f$ spectrum cannot be obtained unless the tree has vanishing silhouette.

REFERENCES

1. B. A. Huberman and M. Kerszberg, J. Phys. A18, L331 (1985).

2. S. Grossman, F. Wegner and K. H. Hoffmann, J. Phys. Lett. 46, L575 (1985).

3. S. Teitel and E. Domany, P.R.L. 55, 2176 (1985). See also A. Maritan and A. L. Stella, PRL 56, 1754 (1986) and S. Teitel and E. Domany, PRL 56, 1755 (1986).

4. M. Schreckenberg, Z. Phys. B60, 483 (1985).

5. A. T. Ogielski and D. L. Stein, PRL 55, 1634 (1985).

6. G. Paladin, M. Mézard, C. de Dominicis, J. Physique Lett. 46, L985 (1985).

7. For an exact renormalization group calculation of ultradiffusion in ordered trees, see A. Maritan and A. L. Stella, J. Phys. A19, L269 (1986).

8. For spin glasses see: M. Mézard, G. Parisi, N. Sourlas, G. Toulouse and M. Virasoro, PRL 52, 1156 (1984); for chaotic transport: J. Meiss and E. Ott, PRL 55, 2741 (1985); for algebraic relaxations see eg. J. Souletie and J. L. Tholence, Phys. Rev. B32, 516 (1985); A. Ansari et al, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sc. (USA) 82, 5000 (1985); the robustness of hierarchies is discussed in G. Athanasiu, C. Bachas, W. Wolff, SLAC preprint 3888 (Feb. 1986).

9. J. G. March and H. A. Simon, Organizations N.Y.: Wiley, (1958); T. Hogg and B. A. Huberman, Phys. Rev. A32, 2338 (1985); S. Kirkpatrick and G. Toulouse, J. Physique 46, 1277 (1985).

10. M. Mezard, G. Parisi, M. Virasoro, J. Physique Lett. 46 (1985) L-217.

11. B. A. Huberman and T. Hogg, Physica D (August 1986).

12. C. Bachas and B. A. Huberman, in preparation.

13. The assumption ensures the absence of vanishing characteristic times, i.e. stable relaxation everywhere on the tree.

14. T. E. Harris, The Theory of Branching Processes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1963).

15. A. N. Kolmogorov, Probl. Inform. Transm. $\underline{1}$, 1 (1965).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1.

(a) A generic tree illustrating our notation; the root is the father of B_1 and C, and the grandfather of B; B_1 has 3 sons and 6 final descendants.

(b) A uniformly bifurcating tree.

(c) A very diverse (non self-similar) tree, with the same silhouette as (b). At each generation the left-half members trifurcate. This tree gives the slowest possible relaxation as discussed in the text.

Fig. 2.

Schematic plot of the dynamic critical exponent ν, versus the Shannon entropy of trees with fixed silhouette s. The broken lines are rigorous upper and lower bounds. A similar plot is obtained if $-\nu$ is replaced by a static measure of complexity that counts non-isomorphic pieces at every level of the tree.[11]

(a) $C_1 = B_2 = $ root

h_{B_1}

B_1

B

C

(b)

(c)

7-86

5477A1

Fig. 1

$\dfrac{S}{1-S}$

ν

S

Uniform   Diverse   Random

Fig. 2