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#### Abstract

We compute the six-dimensional hexagon integral with three non-adjacent external masses analytically. After a simple rescaling, it is given by a function of six dual conformally invariant cross-ratios. The result can be expressed as a sum of 24 terms involving only one basic function, which is a simple linear combination of logarithms, dilogarithms, and trilogarithms of uniform degree three transcendentality. Our method uses differential equations to determine the symbol of the function, and an algorithm to reconstruct the latter from its symbol. It is known that six-dimensional hexagon integrals are closely related to scattering amplitudes in $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills theory, and we therefore expect our result to be helpful for understanding the structure of scattering amplitudes in this theory, in particular at two loops.


## 1 Introduction

Scalar $n$-point integrals in dimensions $D>4$ are interesting objects for a number of reasons. They appear in the $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ part of $(D=4-2 \epsilon)$-dimensional one-loop amplitudes [1], which are required for computations at higher loop orders.

Quite generally, higher-dimensional scalar integrals are related to tensor integrals in $D=4$ dimensions [2]. In particular, the $D=6$ dimensional hexagons are related to finite tensor integrals [3] that appear in $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills (SYM). More precisely, they appear as derivatives of four-dimensional two-loop tensor integrals. Moreover, applying a further differential operator, the integrals reduce to four-dimensional one-loop tensor integrals [4]. See Ref. [5] for related work on differential equations relevant for integrals in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM.

Finite dual conformal invariant functions $[6,7]$ are also prototypes of functions that can appear in the remainder function of MHV amplitudes and the ratio function of non-MHV amplitudes in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM $[8,9,10]$. Recently, the massless and one-mass hexagon integrals in $D=6$ dimensions were computed in Refs. [4, 11, 12]. It was noted that the massless hexagon integral in $D=6$ resembles very closely the analytical result of the two-loop remainder function for $n=6$ points [13, 14, 15]. In this note, we extend the computations of hexagon integrals in $D=6$ dimensions to the case of three non-adjacent external masses.

Our strategy is the following. We derive simple differential equations that relate the threemass hexagon to known pentagon integrals. These differential equations, together with a boundary condition, completely determine the answer in principle. We find it convenient to first compute the symbol [16] of the answer, and then reconstruct the function from that symbol.

## 2 Integral representation and differential equations

We consider the hexagon integral with three massive corners,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{9}:=\int \frac{d^{6} x_{i}}{i \pi^{3}} \frac{1}{x_{1 i}^{2} x_{2 i}^{2} x_{4 i}^{2} x_{5 i}^{2} x_{7 i}^{2} x_{8 i}^{2}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used dual (or region) coordinates $p_{j}^{\mu}=x_{j}^{\mu}-x_{j+1}^{\mu}$ (with indices being defined modulo 9 ), and $x_{i j}^{\mu}=x_{i}^{\mu}-x_{j}^{\mu}$. The on-shell conditions read $x_{12}^{2}=0, x_{45}^{2}=0$ and $x_{78}^{2}=0$. As a scalar integral, $H_{9}$ is a function of the (non-zero) external Lorentz invariants $x_{j k}^{2}$. We work in signature $(-+++)$, so that the Euclidean region has all (non-zero) $x_{j k}^{2}$ positive.

Dual conformal covariance [6, 7] of $H_{9}$, in particular under the inversion of all dual coordinates, $x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\mu} / x^{2}$, allows us to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{9}=: \frac{1}{x_{15}^{2} x_{27}^{2} x_{48}^{2}} \Phi_{9}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{6}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the cross ratios

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}:=\frac{x_{25}^{2} x_{17}^{2}}{x_{15}^{2} x_{27}^{2}}, \quad u_{2}:=\frac{x_{58}^{2} x_{41}^{2}}{x_{48}^{2} x_{15}^{2}}, \quad u_{3}:=\frac{x_{82}^{2} x_{74}^{2}}{x_{22}^{2} x_{48}^{2}}, \\
& u_{4}:=\frac{x_{24}^{2} x_{15}^{2}}{x_{14}^{2} x_{25}^{2}}, \quad u_{5}:=\frac{x_{57}^{2} x_{48}^{2}}{x_{47}^{2} x_{58}^{2}}, \quad u_{6}:=\frac{x_{81}^{2} x_{72}^{2}}{x_{82}^{2} x_{17}^{2}}, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

are invariant under dual conformal transformations. Furthermore, the one-loop hexagon integral with three non-adjacent masses is invariant under the action of the dihedral symmetry group $D_{3} \simeq S_{3}$, generated by the cyclic rotation $c$ and the reflection $r$ acting on the dual coordinates via

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j}^{\mu} \xrightarrow{c} x_{j+3}^{\mu} \text { and } x_{j}^{\mu} \xrightarrow{r} x_{9-j}^{\mu}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where as usual all indices are understood modulo 9. It is easy to see that under the symmetry the six conformal cross ratios group into two orbits of three elements,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1} \xrightarrow{c} u_{2} \xrightarrow{c} u_{3} \xrightarrow{c} u_{1}, \quad u_{4} \xrightarrow{c} u_{5} \xrightarrow{c} u_{6} \xrightarrow{c} u_{4}, \\
& u_{1} \underset{r}{r} u_{3}, \quad u_{4} \underset{r}{r} u_{5},  \tag{5}\\
& u_{2} \stackrel{r}{\longleftrightarrow} u_{2}, \quad u_{6} \stackrel{r}{\longleftrightarrow} u_{6} .
\end{align*}
$$

One can easily derive a differential equation for $H_{9}$ by noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{21} \cdot \partial_{x_{2}}+1\right) \frac{1}{x_{1 i}^{2} x_{2 i}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left(x_{2 i}^{2}\right)^{2}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying this differential operator to Eq. (1), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{21} \cdot \partial_{x_{2}}+1\right) H_{9}=\int \frac{d^{6} x_{i}}{i \pi^{3}} \frac{1}{\left(x_{2 i}^{2}\right)^{2} x_{4 i}^{2} x_{5 i}^{2} x_{7 i}^{2} x_{8 i}^{2}}=: P_{8} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The one-loop pentagon integral $P_{8}$ appearing as an inhomogeneous term in this equation is equivalent to a known four-dimensional pentagon integral $[4]^{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{8}=: \frac{1}{x_{25}^{2} x_{27}^{2} x_{48}^{2}} \Psi_{8}\left(u_{3}, u_{4} u_{2}, u_{5}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{8}(u, v, w)=\frac{1}{1-u-v+u v w} & {\left[\log u \log v+\mathrm{Li}_{2}(1-u)+\mathrm{Li}_{2}(1-v)+\mathrm{Li}_{2}(1-w)\right.}  \tag{9}\\
- & \left.\mathrm{Li}_{2}(1-u w)-\mathrm{Li}_{2}(1-v w)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

We can rewrite Eq. (7) as a differential equation for the rescaled hexagon integral $\Phi_{9}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{6}\right)$ that depends on cross-ratios only,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} \Phi_{9}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{6}\right)=\Psi_{8}\left(u_{3}, u_{4} u_{2}, u_{5}\right), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Figure 1: (a) depicts the representation of $H_{9}$ as a line integral, see Eqs. (12) and (13). The differential operator in Eq. (7) localizes the $y_{1}$ integration to $x_{2}$, yielding $P_{8}\left(x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{7}, x_{8}\right)$, see (b).
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}:=u_{1}+u_{1} u_{6}\left(u_{6}-1\right) \partial_{6}+\left(u_{4}-1\right) \partial_{4}+u_{1}\left(u_{1}-1\right) \partial_{1}+u_{1}\left(1-u_{6}\right) u_{3} \partial_{3}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\partial_{i}:=\partial / \partial u_{i}$. By cyclic and reflection symmetry, we have a total of six differential equations. It turns out that only five of them are independent. The remaining freedom can be fixed, e.g., by the boundary condition $H_{9}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, 0,0,0\right)=H_{6}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$, with $H_{6}$ given explicitly in Refs. [4, 11]. (Alternatively, one could derive further differential equations, as in Ref. [4]). Therefore, the set of equations and the boundary condition completely determine $H_{9}$.

In the next section, we will use this set of differential equations to determine the symbol $\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\right)$, where $\tilde{\Phi}_{9}$ is obtained from $\Phi_{9}$ by a simple rescaling, see Eq. (16). Then, we will reconstruct the function $\tilde{\Phi}_{9}$ (and equivalently $H_{9}$ ) from its symbol.

We note that there is a simple line integral representation of $H_{9}$ [4], see Fig. 1(a),

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{9}=\int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{1} d \xi_{4} d \xi_{7} \frac{1}{\left(y_{1}-y_{4}\right)^{2}\left(y_{4}-y_{7}\right)^{2}\left(y_{7}-y_{1}\right)^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{1}^{\mu}=x_{1}^{\mu}+\xi_{1} x_{21}^{\mu}, y_{4}^{\mu}=x_{4}^{\mu}+\xi_{4} x_{54}^{\mu}$ and $y_{7}^{\mu}=x_{7}^{\mu}+\xi_{7} x_{87}^{\mu}$. The pentagon integral $P_{8}$ can be expressed in a similar way, which allows us to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{9}=\int_{0}^{1} d \xi_{1} P_{8}\left(y_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right), x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{7}, x_{8}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this form, the differential equation (7) has the interpretation of localizing one of the line integrals, in this case $y_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \rightarrow x_{2}$, see Fig. 1(b). It is interesting that similar integrals where certain propagators are localized at cusp points have also appeared in computations of two-loop Wilson loops [17].

From this discussion it is also clear that the integral reduces further in degree under the action of other differential operators, until one eventually obtains a rational function. More explicitly, the operator $\left(x_{54} \cdot \partial_{x_{5}}+1\right)$ acting on $P_{8}$ similarly gives a first-order differential equation relating
$\Psi_{8}$ to a single-log function, namely a 3-mass box integral with two doubled propagators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{7}:=\int \frac{d^{6} x_{i}}{i \pi^{3}} \frac{1}{\left(x_{2 i}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(x_{4 i}^{2}\right)^{2} x_{7 i}^{2} x_{8 i}^{2}}=: \frac{1}{x_{25}^{2} x_{27}^{2} x_{58}^{2}} \chi_{7}\left(u_{3} u_{5}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{7}(y)=\log (y) /(y-1)$. Acting further on $X_{7}$ with $\left(x_{87} \cdot \partial_{x_{8}}+1\right)$ gives the 3-mass triangle with three doubled propagators, which is a constant up to the usual prefactors, $1 /\left(x_{25}^{2} x_{58}^{2} x_{82}^{2}\right)$.

The representation (12) may also be useful for numerical checks. For future reference, it can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{9}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{6}\right) \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d \xi_{1} d \xi_{4} d \xi_{7}}{\left(u_{2} \bar{\xi}_{1} \bar{\xi}_{4}+u_{4} u_{2} \xi_{1} \bar{\xi}_{4}+\xi_{4}\right)\left(u_{3} \bar{\xi}_{4} \bar{\xi}_{7}+u_{5} u_{3} \xi_{4} \bar{\xi}_{7}+\xi_{7}\right)\left(u_{1} \bar{\xi}_{7} \bar{\xi}_{1}+u_{6} u_{1} \xi_{7} \bar{\xi}_{1}+\xi_{1}\right)}, \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{\xi}_{i}:=1-\xi_{i}$.

## 3 Symbols from differential equations

We find that the following definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{9}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{6}\right)=: \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_{9}}} \tilde{\Phi}_{9}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{6}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to a pure function $\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\left(u_{i}\right)$, i.e., a function that can be written as a linear combination of transcendental functions, with numerical coefficients only. Here

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{9}:= & \left(1-u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}+u_{4} u_{1} u_{2}+u_{5} u_{2} u_{3}+u_{6} u_{3} u_{1}-u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4} u_{5} u_{6}\right)^{2} \\
& -4 u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}\left(1-u_{4}\right)\left(1-u_{5}\right)\left(1-u_{6}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Using this definition, and $D_{1}\left(1 / \sqrt{\Delta_{9}}\right)=0$, we can rewrite Eq. (10) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{1} \tilde{\Phi}_{9}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{6}\right)=\tilde{\Psi}_{8}\left(u_{3}, u_{4} u_{2}, u_{5}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{D}_{1}:= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}_{9}}\left(1-u_{3}-u_{2} u_{4}+u_{2} u_{3} u_{4} u_{5}\right) \times \\
& \times\left[u_{1} u_{6}\left(u_{6}-1\right) \partial_{6}+\left(u_{4}-1\right) \partial_{4}+u_{1}\left(u_{1}-1\right) \partial_{1}+u_{1}\left(1-u_{6}\right) u_{3} \partial_{3}\right]  \tag{19}\\
= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}_{9}}\left(1-u_{3}-u_{2} u_{4}+u_{2} u_{3} u_{4} u_{5}\right)\left(D_{1}-u_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Psi}_{8}(u, v, w):=(1-u-v+u v w) \Psi_{8}(u, v, w) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find it convenient to convert (18) into a differential equation for the symbol of $\tilde{\Phi}_{9}$, which reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}_{1} \mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\right)\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{6}\right)=\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{8}\right)\left(u_{3}, u_{4} u_{2}, u_{5}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the differentiation of a symbol is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}\left(a_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes a_{n}\right)=\partial_{x} \log \left(a_{n}\right) \times a_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{n-1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following set of variables is useful to describe the solution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}:=\frac{g_{i}-\sqrt{\Delta_{9}}}{g_{i}+\sqrt{\Delta_{9}}}, \quad i=1 \ldots 6 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}:=1-u_{1}-u_{2}+u_{3}+u_{1} u_{2} u_{4}-u_{2} u_{3} u_{5}-2 u_{3} u_{6}+u_{1} u_{3} u_{6}+2 u_{2} u_{3} u_{5} u_{6}-u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4} u_{5} u_{6}, \\
& g_{4}:=1-u_{1}-u_{2}-u_{3}+2 u_{1} u_{2}-u_{1} u_{2} u_{4}+u_{2} u_{3} u_{5}+u_{1} u_{3} u_{6}-2 u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{5} u_{6}+u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} u_{4} u_{5} u_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

and where $g_{2}, g_{3}\left(g_{5}, g_{6}\right)$ are obtained from $g_{1}\left(g_{4}\right)$ by cyclic mappings $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1 ; 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow$ $6 \rightarrow 4$. These variables have a nice behavior under the differential operators, e.g.,

$$
\tilde{D}_{1} \log \left(W_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
-1, & \text { if } i=6  \tag{24}\\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{D}_{4} \log \left(W_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i=1 \\
-1, & \text { if } i=2 \text { or } 4 \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\right.
$$

where $\tilde{D}_{4}$ is defined as the image of $\tilde{D}_{1}$ under the reflection $u_{4} \leftrightarrow u_{6}$ and $u_{2} \leftrightarrow u_{3}$. Given these variables, we can write the solution to Eq. (21) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\right)\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{6}\right)=\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{8}\right)\left(u_{3}, u_{4} u_{2}, u_{5}\right) \otimes W_{6}+T \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ satisfies $\tilde{D}_{1} T=0$. Taking into account the differential equations related to (21) by symmetry further restricts the form of $T$. The particular solution we obtained is in general not an integrable symbol. We therefore proceed and add a particular $T_{h}$ satisfying $\tilde{D}_{i} T_{h}=0$ (for $i=1 \ldots 5$ ) to obtain an integrable symbol. Finally, additional terms satisfying the homogeneous equations $\tilde{D}_{i} T=0$ are fixed by demanding that the symbol for $\tilde{\Phi}_{6}$ for the massless hexagon $[4,11]$ is reproduced when $u_{4}=u_{5}=u_{6}=0$.

Following this procedure, we find that the symbol $\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\right)$ can then be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{6} \mathcal{S}\left(f_{i}\right) \otimes W_{i} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}$ are the following degree three functions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}:=\tilde{\Psi}_{8}\left(u_{2}, u_{1} u_{6}, u_{4}\right)+\tilde{\Psi}_{8}\left(u_{1}, u_{2} u_{5}, u_{4}\right)+\tilde{\Psi}_{8}\left(u_{2}, u_{3} u_{6}, u_{5}\right)-F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}, u_{5}, u_{6}\right), \\
& f_{4}:=-\tilde{\Psi}_{8}\left(u_{1}, u_{3} u_{5}, u_{6}\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the quantities $f_{2}, f_{3}\left(f_{5}, f_{6}\right)$ are obtained from $f_{1}\left(f_{4}\right)$ by cyclic mappings $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow$ $1 ; 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 4$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F:=2 \tilde{\Psi}_{8}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{4}\right)+\log u_{1} \log u_{5}+\log u_{2} \log u_{6}-\log u_{3} \log u_{4} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that one can rearrange terms in Eq. (27) because of the identity,

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \tilde{\Psi}_{8}\left(u_{3}, u_{2} u_{4}, u_{5}\right)+\tilde{\Psi}_{8}\left(u_{1}, u_{3} u_{5}, u_{6}\right)+\tilde{\Psi}_{8}\left(u_{2}, u_{1} u_{6}, u_{4}\right)  \tag{29}\\
& -\tilde{\Psi}_{8}\left(u_{3}, u_{1} u_{4}, u_{6}\right)-\tilde{\Psi}_{8}\left(u_{1}, u_{2} u_{5}, u_{4}\right)-\tilde{\Psi}_{8}\left(u_{2}, u_{3} u_{6}, u_{5}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 Twistor geometry associated to a three-mass hexagon

The differential equation technique allowed us to obtain the symbol of the one-loop three-mass hexagon integral. If we want to find the analytic expression for the integral, we need to integrate the symbol to a function. We follow here the approach of Ref. [18], which, after making a suitable choice for the functions that should appear in the answer, allows us to reduce the problem of integrating the symbol to a problem of linear algebra. The algorithm of Ref. [18], however, requires the arguments of the symbol to be rational functions (of some parameters). From Eq. (26) it is clear that in our case this requirement is not immediately fulfilled, because the variables $W_{i}$ are algebraic functions of the cross ratios $u_{i}$. In order to bypass this problem, we have to parametrize the six cross ratios such that $\Delta_{9}$ becomes a perfect square.

A convenient way to find a parametrization that turns $\Delta_{9}$ into a perfect square is to write the six cross ratios as ratios of twistor brackets. Indeed, even though we work in $D=6$ dimensions where the link to twistor space is not immediately obvious, we can nevertheless consider the cross ratios as being parametrized by cross ratios in twistor space $\mathbb{C P}^{3}$, because the functional dependence of $\Phi_{9}$ is only through the six conformally invariant quantities $u_{i}$, which do not make reference to the six-dimensional space. In other words, we can consider the external momenta to lie in a four-dimensional subspace, even as we integrate over six components of loop momentum. Furthermore, in Ref. [15] it was noted that in terms of momentum twistor variables, the equivalent of $\Delta_{9}$ in the massless case becomes a perfect square. Hence, momentum twistors seem to provide a natural framework to search for a suitable parametrization. We therefore briefly review the geometry of a three-mass hexagon configuration in momentum twistor space.

In order to describe this geometry, we assume that the dual coordinates $x_{i}$ are elements of four-dimensional Minkowski space $\mathbb{M}^{4}$. As the dependence of $\Phi_{9}$ is solely through cross ratios, we can assume that this condition is satisfied, as long as the 'projection' to the four-dimensional space leaves the cross ratios invariant. The twistor correspondence then associates to each point $x_{i}$ in $\mathbb{M}^{4}$ a projective line $X_{i}$ in momentum twistor space, and two points $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ in $\mathbb{M}^{4}$ are lightlike separated if and only if the corresponding lines $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ intersect. In our case this implies that the six lines must intersect pairwise (See Fig. 2). Denoting the intersection points by $Z_{1}, Z_{4}$ and $Z_{7}$, we can define six more twistors by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=Z_{i} \wedge Z_{i-1}, \quad i \in\{1,2,4,5,7,8\} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2: The one-loop three-mass hexagon integral (left), and its geometric configuration in momentum twistor space $\mathbb{C P}^{3}$ (right). Only the intersection points $Z_{1}, Z_{4}$ and $Z_{7}$ have an intrinsic geometrical meaning, whereas all other twistors can be moved freely along the lines.

Note that the only points in twistor space that have an intrinsic geometric meaning are $Z_{1}, Z_{4}$ and $Z_{7}$, whereas the other six points are defined through Eq. (30), which is left invariant by the redefinitions

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
Z_{2} \rightarrow Z_{2}+\alpha_{2} Z_{1}, & Z_{5} \rightarrow Z_{5}+\alpha_{5} Z_{4}, & Z_{8} \rightarrow Z_{8}+\alpha_{8} Z_{7} \\
Z_{9} \rightarrow Z_{9}+\alpha_{9} Z_{1}, & Z_{3} \rightarrow Z_{3}+\alpha_{3} Z_{4}, & Z_{6} \rightarrow Z_{6}+\alpha_{6} Z_{7} \tag{31}
\end{array}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ are non-zero complex numbers. These shifts simply express the fact that we can move the points along the line without altering the geometric configuration. Furthermore, the intersection of two lines $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ can be expressed through the condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle X_{i} X_{j}\right\rangle:=\langle(i-1) i(j-1) j\rangle=\left\langle Z_{i-1} Z_{i} Z_{j-1} Z_{j}\right\rangle=\epsilon_{I J K L} Z_{i-1}^{I} Z_{i}^{J} Z_{j-1}^{K} Z_{j}^{L}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the twistor brackets, the cross ratios $u_{i}$ can be parametrized as

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{1}=\frac{\left\langle X_{2} X_{5}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{1} X_{7}\right\rangle}{\left\langle X_{1} X_{5}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{2} X_{7}\right\rangle}, & u_{2}=\frac{\left\langle X_{5} X_{8}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{4} X_{1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle X_{4} X_{8}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{1} X_{5}\right\rangle}, & u_{3}=\frac{\left\langle X_{8} X_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{7} X_{4}\right\rangle}{\left\langle X_{2} X_{7}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{4} X_{8}\right\rangle}, \\
u_{4}=\frac{\left\langle X_{2} X_{4}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{1} X_{5}\right\rangle}{\left\langle X_{1} X_{4}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{2} X_{5}\right\rangle}, & u_{5}=\frac{\left\langle X_{5} X_{7}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{4} X_{8}\right\rangle}{\left\langle X_{4} X_{7}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{5} X_{8}\right\rangle}, & u_{6}=\frac{\left\langle X_{8} X_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{7} X_{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle X_{8} X_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{1} X_{7}\right\rangle} . \tag{33}
\end{array}
$$

It is clear that the dihedral symmetry of the integral is reflected at the level of the twistors by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i} \xrightarrow{c} Z_{i+3} \text { and } Z_{i} \xrightarrow{r} Z_{8-i}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where again all indices are understood modulo 9. This action on the twistors induces an action on the lines $X_{i}$ and the planes $\bar{Z}_{i}=Z_{i-1} \wedge Z_{i} \wedge Z_{i+1}$ via

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{i} \xrightarrow{c} X_{i+3} \text { and } X_{i} \xrightarrow{r}-X_{9-i}, \\
& \bar{Z}_{i} \xrightarrow{c} \bar{Z}_{i+3} \text { and } \bar{Z}_{i} \xrightarrow{r}-\bar{Z}_{8-i} . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

We now choose a particular representation for the twistors. Since the points $Z_{1}, Z_{4}$ and $Z_{7}$ play a special role, we choose their homogeneous coordinates as

$$
Z_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{36}\\
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad Z_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad Z_{7}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

As the other six points do not carry any intrinsic geometric meaning, we prefer not to fix them, but choose their homogeneous coordinates to be

$$
Z_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1  \tag{37}\\
x_{i} \\
y_{i} \\
z_{i}
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { for } i \in\{2,3,5,6,8,9\}
$$

(The $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ defined here should not be confused with the previous definitions, where they were dual coordinates.) In this parametrization the cross ratios then take the form

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{1}=\frac{\left(y_{9}-y_{6}\right)\left(z_{2}-z_{5}\right)}{\left(y_{2}-y_{6}\right)\left(z_{9}-z_{5}\right)}, & u_{2}=\frac{\left(x_{5}-x_{8}\right)\left(z_{3}-z_{9}\right)}{\left(x_{3}-x_{8}\right)\left(z_{5}-z_{9}\right)}, & u_{3}=\frac{\left(x_{6}-x_{3}\right)\left(y_{8}-y_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{8}-x_{3}\right)\left(y_{6}-y_{2}\right)}, \\
u_{4}=\frac{\left(z_{2}-z_{3}\right)\left(z_{9}-z_{5}\right)}{\left(z_{2}-z_{5}\right)\left(z_{9}-z_{3}\right)}, & u_{5}=\frac{\left(x_{5}-x_{6}\right)\left(x_{3}-x_{8}\right)}{\left(x_{3}-x_{6}\right)\left(x_{5}-x_{8}\right)}, & u_{6}=\frac{\left(y_{6}-y_{2}\right)\left(y_{8}-y_{9}\right)}{\left(y_{8}-y_{2}\right)\left(y_{6}-y_{9}\right)} . \tag{38}
\end{array}
$$

Note that the cross ratios only depend on 12 out of the 18 homogeneous coordinates defined in Eq. (37), which is a consequence of the shift invariance (31). The action of the dihedral symmetry that permutes the cross ratios is implemented in this parametrization via

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} \xrightarrow{c} y_{i+3} \xrightarrow{c} z_{i+6} \xrightarrow{c} x_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{i} \stackrel{r}{\longleftrightarrow} z_{8-i} \quad \text { and } y_{i} \stackrel{r}{\longleftrightarrow} y_{8-i} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

This action seems to be inconsistent with Eq. (34). However, we have broken the symmetry by freezing $Z_{1}, Z_{4}$ and $Z_{7}$ to constant values, and the symmetry is now reflected at the level of the cross ratios via Eq. (39). Finally, we note that $\Delta_{9}$ becomes a perfect square in these variables,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{9}=\frac{\left(\left(x_{6}-x_{8}\right)\left(y_{9}-y_{2}\right)\left(z_{3}-z_{5}\right)+\left(x_{5}-x_{3}\right)\left(y_{8}-y_{6}\right)\left(z_{2}-z_{9}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(x_{3}-x_{8}\right)^{2}\left(y_{6}-y_{2}\right)^{2}\left(z_{9}-z_{5}\right)^{2}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eq. (40) is manifestly invariant under the transformations (39). Having obtained a parametrization that makes $\Delta_{9}$ into a perfect square, we can write the symbol in a form in which all the entries are rational functions of the variables we just defined, and hence the symbol now takes a form which allows it to be integrated using the algorithm of Ref. [18]. Furthermore, using this parametrization it is trivial to check that the symbol of $\tilde{\Phi}_{9}$ obtained in the previous section has the correct dihedral symmetry. In particular, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left[\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\right)\right]=\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad r\left[\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\right)\right]=-\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parametrization (38) also makes it very easy to check the various soft limits of $H_{9}$. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{4} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow z_{3} \rightarrow z_{2}, \quad u_{5} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow x_{6} \rightarrow x_{5}, \quad u_{6} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow y_{9} \rightarrow y_{8} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We checked that in taking these limits $\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\right)$ reduces to the symbols for the massless and one-mass hexagon integrals $[4,11,12]$.

## 5 Integrating the symbol: the one-loop three-mass hexagon integral

As the parametrization of the cross ratios in terms of momentum twistors introduced in the previous section turns $\Delta_{9}$ into a perfect square, we can now integrate the symbol using the algorithm of Ref. [18]. However, even though the parametrization (38) makes all the symmetries manifest, it uses a redundant set of parameters. We therefore choose a minimal set of parameters by breaking the $S_{3}$ symmetry down to its alternating subgroup $A_{3} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ by fixing six of the twelve parameters,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{6}=y_{9}=z_{3}=0 \text { and } x_{3}=y_{6}=z_{9}=1 . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cross ratios then take the form

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{1}=\frac{z_{2}-z_{5}}{\left(1-y_{2}\right)\left(1-z_{5}\right)}, & u_{2}=\frac{x_{5}-x_{8}}{\left(1-x_{8}\right)\left(1-z_{5}\right)}, & u_{3}=\frac{y_{8}-y_{2}}{\left(1-x_{8}\right)\left(1-y_{2}\right)},  \tag{44}\\
u_{4}=\frac{z_{2}\left(1-z_{5}\right)}{z_{2}-z_{5}}, & u_{5}=\frac{x_{5}\left(1-x_{8}\right)}{x_{5}-x_{8}}, & u_{6}=\frac{y_{8}\left(1-y_{2}\right)}{y_{8}-y_{2}},
\end{array}
$$

and $\Delta_{9}$ can now be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{9}=\frac{\left(x_{8} y_{2} z_{5}+\left(1-x_{5}\right)\left(1-y_{8}\right)\left(1-z_{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(1-x_{8}\right)^{2}\left(1-y_{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-z_{5}\right)^{2}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note in passing that the Jacobian of the parametrization (44) is non-zero for generic values of the parameters.

In a nutshell, the algorithm of Ref. [18] proceeds in two steps:

1. Given the symbol of $\tilde{\Phi}_{9}$ computed in Section 3, it constructs a set of rational functions $\left\{R_{i}\left(x_{5}, x_{8}, y_{2}, y_{8}, z_{2}, z_{5}\right)\right\}$ such that, e.g., symbols of the form $\mathcal{S}\left(\operatorname{Li}_{n}\left(R_{i}\right)\right)$ span the vector space of which $\mathcal{S}\left(\Phi_{9}\right)$ is an element.
2. Once a suitable set of rational functions has been obtained, it makes an ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\varphi}=\sum_{i} c_{i} \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(R_{i}\right)+\sum_{i, j} c_{i j} \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(R_{i}\right) \log R_{j}+\sum_{i, j, k} c_{i j k} \log R_{i} \log R_{j} \log R_{k}, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $c_{i}, c_{i j}$ and $c_{i j k}$ are rational numbers to be determined such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\varphi})=\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the objects appearing in this last equation are tensors (i.e., elements of a vector space), the coefficients $c_{i}, c_{i j}$ and $c_{i j k}$ can equally well be seen as coordinates in a vector space, and the problem of finding the coefficients reduces to a problem of linear algebra.

We have implemented the algorithm of Ref. [18] into a Mathematica code, which we have applied to the function $\tilde{\Phi}_{9}\left(x_{5}, x_{8}, y_{2}, y_{8}, z_{2}, z_{5}\right)$. The result we obtain takes a strikingly simple form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{9}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{6}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_{9}}} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{g \in S_{3}} \sigma(g) \mathcal{L}_{3}\left(x_{i, g}^{+}, x_{i, g}^{-}\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(g)$ denotes the signature of the permutation ( +1 for $\left\{1, c, c^{2}\right\},-1$ for $\left\{r, r c, r c^{2}\right\}$ ), and where we defined

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{3}\left(x^{+}, x^{-}\right):=\frac{1}{18}\left(\ell_{1}\left(x^{+}\right)-\ell_{1}\left(x^{-}\right)\right)^{3}+L_{3}\left(x^{+}, x^{-}\right), \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{3}\left(x^{+}, x^{-}\right):=\sum_{k=0}^{2} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{(2 k)!!} \log ^{k}\left(x^{+} x^{-}\right)\left(\ell_{3-k}\left(x^{+}\right)-\ell_{3-k}\left(x^{-}\right)\right), \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{n}(x):=\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{Li}_{n}(x)-\operatorname{Li}_{n}(1 / x)\right) . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The arguments appearing in the polylogarithms can be written in the form $x_{i, g}^{ \pm}:=g\left(x_{i}^{ \pm}\right)$, for $g \in S_{3}$, with

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
x_{1}^{+}:=\chi(1,4,7), & x_{2}^{+}:=\chi(2,5,7), & x_{3}^{+}:=\chi(2,4,8), & x_{4}^{+}:=\chi(1,5,8), \\
x_{1}^{-}:=\bar{\chi}(1,4,7), & x_{2}^{-}:=\bar{\chi}(2,5,7), & x_{3}^{-}:=\bar{\chi}(2,4,8), & x_{4}^{-}:=\bar{\chi}(1,5,8), \tag{52}
\end{array}
$$

where we defined

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(i, j, k):=-\frac{\langle 4 \overline{7}\rangle\left\langle X_{i} X_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{j} 17\right\rangle}{\langle 1 \overline{7}\rangle\left\langle X_{j} X_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{i} 47\right\rangle}, \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\langle i \jmath\rangle=\langle i(j-1) j(j+1)\rangle$. The function $\bar{\chi}$ is related to $\chi$ by Poincaré duality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\chi}(i, j, k):=-\frac{\langle\overline{4} 7\rangle\left\langle X_{i} X_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{j} \overline{1} \cap \overline{7}\right\rangle}{\langle\overline{1} 7\rangle\left\langle X_{j} X_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle X_{i} \overline{4} \cap \overline{7}\right\rangle} . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\Phi_{9}$ manifestly has the cyclic symmetry. The reflection symmetry however needs some explanation, because $\tilde{\Phi}_{9}$ is odd under reflection. In twistor variables, $\Delta_{9}$ becomes a perfect square, and so we can remove the square root and rewrite $\sqrt{\Delta_{9}}$ as a rational function of twistor brackets. This procedure however introduces an ambiguity for the sign of the square root. In particular, the rational function we obtained is now odd under the reflection (34), so that $\Phi_{9}$ is again even.

We stress that Eq. (48) is only valid in the region where $\Delta_{9}<0$. In this region, since $\chi$ and $\bar{\chi}$ are related by Poincaré duality, the function Eq. (48) is manifestly real, and we checked numerically that Eq. (48) agrees with the parametric integral representation for $\Phi_{9}$ given in Eq. (15). Note that, as multiple zeta values are in the kernel of the symbol map, we could a priori add to Eq. (48) terms proportional to $\zeta_{2}$ without altering its symbol ${ }^{2}$. The numerical agreement with the integral representation (15) however shows that such terms are absent in the present case.

## 6 Conclusion

Using a differential equation method to determine the symbol of a function, and an algorithm to reconstruct the function from its symbol, we have computed analytically the one-loop nonadjacent three-mass hexagon integral in $D=6$ dimensions. Just as for the massless and one-mass hexagon integrals, the result is given in terms of classical polylogarithms of uniform transcendental weight three, which are functions of six dual conformally invariant cross-ratios. Because of the high degree of symmetry of the integral, the result is extremely compact: it can be expressed as a sum of 24 terms involving only one basic function, which is a simple linear combination of logarithms, dilogarithms, and trilogarithms. Given the relation between one-loop hexagon integrals in $D=6$ dimensions and higher-loop amplitudes in $D=4$ dimensions, we expect that our result will help to understand the structure of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM amplitudes and Wilson loops, particularly at two loops.
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Note added: After this calculation was completed, we were informed of an independent computation of the symbols of hexagon integrals, using a different method [19].

[^1]
## A Special cases

For $u_{4}=u_{5}=u_{6}=1$, the differential equations simplify considerably. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u_{1}+u_{1}\left(u_{1}-1\right) \partial_{1}\right] \Phi_{9}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, 1,1,1\right)=\Psi_{8}\left(u_{2}, u_{3}, 1\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{8}(u, v, 1)=\log u \log v /(u-1) /(v-1)$, and the two cyclically related equations. The solution is simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{9}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, 1,1,1\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\log u_{i}}{u_{i}-1} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $u_{5}=u_{6}=1$ is also very simple,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{9}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}, 1,1\right)=\frac{\log u_{3}}{u_{3}-1} \Psi_{8}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{4}\right) . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B Arguments in terms of space-time cross ratios

In this appendix we present the expressions of the functions $x_{i}^{+}$defined in Eq. (52) in terms of the space-time cross ratios $u_{i}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{+}=\frac{2 u_{3}\left(1-u_{6}\right)\left[1-u_{3} u_{6}-u_{2}\left(1-u_{3} u_{5} u_{6}\right)\right]-\left(1-u_{3} u_{6}\right)\left(g_{1}-\sqrt{\Delta_{9}}\right)}{2 u_{3}\left(1-u_{6}\right)\left[1-u_{2}-u_{3}\left(1-u_{2} u_{5}\right) u_{6}\right]}, \\
& x_{2}^{+}=\frac{2 u_{1} u_{3}\left(1-u_{6}\right)\left[1-u_{2} u_{4}-u_{3}\left(1-u_{2} u_{4} u_{5}\right)\right]-\left(1-u_{3}\right)\left(g_{6}-\sqrt{\Delta_{9}}\right)}{2 u_{1}\left(1-u_{6}\right)\left[1-u_{2} u_{4}-u_{3}\left(1-u_{2} u_{4} u_{5}\right)\right]}, \\
& x_{3}^{+}=\frac{2 u_{3}\left(1-u_{6}\right)\left[\left(1-u_{2} u_{5}\right)\left(1-u_{3} u_{5}\right)-u_{1}\left(1-u_{5}\right)\right]-\left(1-u_{3} u_{5}\right)\left(g_{1}-\sqrt{\Delta_{9}}\right)}{2 u_{1} u_{3} u_{5}\left(1-u_{6}\right)\left[1-u_{2} u_{4}-u_{3}\left(1-u_{2} u_{4} u_{5}\right)\right]}, \\
& x_{4}^{+}=-u_{6} \frac{2 u_{3}\left(1-u_{6}\right)\left[1-u_{5}-u_{1}\left(1-u_{2} u_{4} u_{5}\right)\left(1-u_{3} u_{5} u_{6}\right)\right]+\left(1-u_{3} u_{5} u_{6}\right)\left(g_{6}-\sqrt{\Delta_{9}}\right)}{2\left(1-u_{6}\right)\left[1-u_{2}-u_{3}\left(1-u_{2} u_{5}\right) u_{6}\right]} . \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

The variables $x_{i}^{-}$are obtained from $x_{i}^{+}$by replacing $\sqrt{\Delta_{9}}$ by $-\sqrt{\Delta_{9}}$. Also, in Eq. 48 we define the action of the odd permutations $g$ to include the replacement $\sqrt{\Delta_{9}} \rightarrow-\sqrt{\Delta_{9}}$.

The twistor variables $x_{i}, y_{i}$ and $z_{i}$ rationalize the $x_{i}^{ \pm}$, so that they take the form,

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{1}^{+} & =\frac{x_{8}}{1-y_{8}}, \\
x_{2}^{+} & =-\frac{x_{8}\left(y_{2}-y_{8}\right)}{\left(1-x_{8}\right)\left(1-y_{8}\right)}, \\
x_{3}^{+} & =\frac{x_{8}\left(1-y_{2}\right)}{x_{5}\left(1-y_{8}\right)}, \\
x_{4}^{+} & =\frac{x_{8} y_{8}}{\left(1-y_{8}\right)\left(x_{5}-x_{8}\right)}, \\
x_{1}^{-} & =\frac{\left(1-x_{5}\right)\left[1-x_{8}\left(1-y_{2}\right)-y_{8}-z_{2}\left(1-x_{8}-y_{8}\right)\right]}{y_{2}\left[\left(1-x_{5}\right)\left(1-y_{8}\right)-z_{5}\left(1-x_{8}-y_{8}\right)\right]},  \tag{59}\\
x_{2}^{-} & =-\frac{\left(1-x_{5}\right)\left(y_{2}-y_{8}\right)\left[1-x_{8}\left(1-y_{2}\right)-y_{8}-z_{2}\left(1-x_{8}-y_{8}\right)\right]}{y_{2}\left(1-x_{8}\right)\left[\left(z_{2}\left(1-x_{5}\right)-z_{5}\right)\left(1-y_{8}\right)+z_{5} x_{8}\left(1-y_{2}\right)\right]}, \\
x_{3}^{-} & =\frac{\left(1-y_{2}\right)\left(1-x_{5}\right)\left[\left(x_{5}\left(1-y_{8}\right)-x_{8}\right)\left(1-z_{2}\right)+x_{8} y_{2}\left(1-z_{5}\right)\right]}{y_{2} x_{5}\left[\left(z_{2}\left(1-x_{5}\right)-z_{5}\right)\left(1-y_{8}\right)+z_{5} x_{8}\left(1-y_{2}\right)\right]}, \\
x_{4}^{-} & =\frac{y_{8}\left(1-x_{5}\right)\left[\left(x_{5}\left(1-y_{8}\right)-x_{8}\right)\left(1-z_{2}\right)+x_{8} y_{2}\left(1-z_{5}\right)\right]}{y_{2}\left(x_{5}-x_{8}\right)\left[\left(1-x_{5}\right)\left(1-y_{8}\right)-z_{5}\left(1-x_{8}-y_{8}\right)\right]} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that these expressions correspond to a particular choice for the sign of square root.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Refs. $[4,5]$, the notation $\tilde{\Psi}$ was used for $\Psi_{8}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Note that a constant term proportional to $\zeta_{3}$ is excluded because of the reality condition on the function.

