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#### Abstract

The Sloan Digital Sky Survey-II Supernova Survey has identified a large number of new transient sources in a $300 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ region along the celestial equator during its first two seasons of a three-season campaign. Multi-band (ugriz) light curves were measured for most of the sources, which include solar system objects, Galactic variable stars, active galactic nuclei, supernovae (SNe), and other astronomical transients. The imaging survey is augmented by an extensive spectroscopic follow-up program to identify SNe, measure their redshifts, and study the physical conditions of the explosions and their environment through spectroscopic diagnostics. During the survey, light curves are rapidly evaluated to provide an initial photometric type of the SNe, and a selected sample of sources are targeted for spectroscopic observations. In the first two seasons, 476 sources were selected for spectroscopic observations, of which 403 were identified as SNe. For the Type Ia SNe, the main driver for the Survey, our photometric typing and targeting efficiency is $90 \%$. Only $6 \%$ of the photometric SN Ia candidates were spectroscopically classified as non-SN Ia instead, and the remaining $4 \%$ resulted in low signal-to-noise, unclassified spectra. This paper describes the search algorithm and the software, and the real-time processing of the SDSS imaging data. We also present the details of the supernova candidate selection procedures and strategies for follow-up spectroscopic and imaging observations of the discovered sources.


Subject headings: cosmology: observations - methods: data analysis - tech-

[^1]niques: image processing - supernovae: general - surveys

## 1. Introduction

Measurements of luminosity distances to Type Ia supernovae (SNe) have played a central role in cosmology, leading two independent groups to the remarkable discovery of an unknown, presently-dominant component of the universe, dark energy, and strong evidence for an accelerating universe (Riess et al. 1998; Perlmutter et al. 1999). Current surveys that target high-redshift SNe from the ground - the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Supernova Legacy Survey (SNLS; Astier et al. 2006) and the Equation of State: Supernovae Trace Cosmic Expansion (ESSENCE2; Wood-Vasev et al. 2007; Miknaitis et al. 2007) - and from space using the HST (Riess et al. 2004a, 2007; Barbary et al. 2006) have substantially increased the sample of high- $z$ SNe, and have provided much-improved statistical constraints on the expansion history of the universe.

The discovery of cosmic acceleration was made possible in part through extensive observations of nearby Type Ia SNe by the Calán/Tololo Supernova Search (Hamuv et al. 1993, 1996a.b.c) and by the CfA follow-up program (Riess et al. 1995; Riess 1996; Riess et al. 1999; Jha et al. 2006, 2007), and by studies pioneered by Pskovskii (1977) and by Phillips (1993) of the relationship between peak brightness and light curve decline rate (Hamuy et al. 1996d; Riess et al. 1996; Phillips et al. 1999). Current low-redshift SN surveys and followup programs (Lick Observatory Supernova Search - LOSS3; Filippenko et al. 2001, Carnegie Supernova Project - CSP4; Hamuy et al. 2006, Nearby Supernova Factory - SNFactory; ${ }^{5}$; Aldering et al. 2002, and the CfA SN Group ${ }^{6}$ ), are continuing to discover SNe and compile a large number of high-quality multicolor light curves as well as multi-epoch optical spectra of SNe Ia to expand the library of local training data used as "templates". These high-quality data sets will be indispensable for calibrating the brightness-decline relation to high precision. Obtaining and studying multi-epoch spectra are also important for computing improved $K$ corrections and minimizing systematic uncertainties (Kim et al. 1996; Nugent et al. 2002;

[^2]Hsiao et al. 2007). Recent spectroscopic modeling efforts have also led to a better understanding of the physical mechanism responsible for the observed brightness-decline relation (see, e.g., Kasen \& Woosley 2007 and references therein).

As one of the three primary scientific components of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey-II (SDSS-II), the Supernova Survey takes repeated imaging scans of the same 300 square degrees of the sky during the Fall seasons of 2005 - 2007 to search for and measure light curves of SNe. The imaging survey is complemented by an extensive spectroscopic follow-up program to confirm the SN type and measure redshifts, and to study the detailed spectral properties of a sample of selected events.

This program exploits the unique capabilities of the SDSS 2.5 m telescope Gunn et al. 2006) and its CCD imaging camera (Gunn et al. 1998) to survey a large volume of space at moderately high cadence. The survey complements and improves upon other low-z and highz surveys in several important ways. The wide field-of-view camera operating in drift scan mode allows for efficient discoveries of type Ia SNe at $0.05 \lesssim z \lesssim 0.4$, a redshift interval that is not easily probed by other existing surveys that target either known nearby galaxies (low- $z$ ) or narrow pencil-beam volumes (high-z). Its well-calibrated multi-band photometric system (ugriz; Fukugita et al. 1996) enables precise measurements of supernova light curves with controlled systematics. The absolute magnitude scale is accurate to better than $\sim 2 \%$ in $r$ and $\sim 2-3 \%$ in the colors (Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2007), and a factor of $\sim 2$ improvement has been obtained from repeat imaging of the equatorial region (Ivezić et al. 2007). Finally, the survey is sensitive to the redshift interval that, given a large enough sample, enables cosmological distance measurements with data from a single telescope, eliminating the need for cross-calibration across two or more photometric systems.

This paper is part of a series describing the SDSS-II Supernova Survey. Here we present a technical description of the search algorithm, data processing, photometric typing of SN candidates, and spectroscopic target selection. Frieman et al. (2007) presents an overview of the program. Photometry and light curves of the full sample of spectroscopically confirmed SNe from the 2005 season are presented in Holtzman et al. (2007). Spectroscopic data and their analysis results are described in Zheng et al. (2007). Kessler et al. (2007) presents the SN Ia Hubble diagram and cosmological analysis from the 2005 season. The measurement of the low-redshift Type Ia SN rate is presented in Dilday et al. (2007). Detailed studies of two peculiar SNe discovered by the SDSS-II SN Survey, SN2005hk and SN2005gj, are presented in Phillips et al. (2007) and Prieto et al. (2007), respectively.

The main body of the paper is separated into two broad sections. The first part (\$2) presents the details of the real-time on-mountain data processing and the mechanics of the search pipeline, which identifies new transient events. The second part (§3) describes the
procedures for supernova candidate identification, photometric SN typing, and the algorithm adopted for selecting targets for spectroscopy. A brief discussion of follow-up imaging observations of a sample of spectroscopically confirmed SNe is presented in $\$ 4$. The general results from the 2005 and 2006 seasons are presented in $\$ 5$. We briefly summarize in $\$ 6$.

## 2. SDSS 2.5m Observations and Data Processing

The SDSS-II Supernova Survey has been allocated the bulk of the time during the Fall seasons (September 1 - November 30) of 2005-2007 on the SDSS telescope at Apache Point Observatory (APO). Imaging observations are scheduled on most nights excluding a 5-day period around full moon. Some nights are shared with the SEGUE program especially during stretches of consecutive nights with good observing conditions. An overview of the SDSS is given by York et al. (2000); see Frieman et al. (2007) for an overview of the SN Survey.

### 2.1. Survey Area: Stripe 82

The survey area is Stripe 82, the $300 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ southern equatorial stripe of the SDSS footprint, which covers the approximate coordinate ranges $-60^{\circ} \lesssim \alpha \lesssim+60^{\circ}(20 \mathrm{hrs}$ to 4 hrs in right ascension, RA or $\alpha$ ) and $-1.25^{\circ} \lesssim \delta \lesssim+1.25^{\circ}$ in declination $(\delta)$. The detailed Stripe 82 footprint of each camera column is shown in Table 1. This survey area was selected for three primary reasons: (1) extensive repeat observations were acquired as part of the SDSS-I survey, before the start of the SN Survey, (2) the area is easily accessible to most telescopes, and (3) it is accessible at low airmass during the Fall months, when most of the northern SDSS area is not. The advantages of having repeat observations from SDSS-I are three-fold. First, the data provide a catalog of known variable sources, which is crucial for distinguishing a small number of new SN candidates from a large population of foreground variable stars and background active galactic nuclei. Second, the deep coadded images constructed from the individual scans serve as references for image subtraction, enabling a more sensitive search of new transient events (the template is essentially noiseless in the detection process). Finally, the deep coadds also allow identification of faint host galaxies that are otherwise undetected in the single-scan images, which are frequently useful for prioritizing follow-up observations. The stripe contains over 3 million cataloged galaxies that are brighter than $r \sim 22.5 \mathrm{mag}$.

Due to gaps between the six CCD columns, the SDSS imaging camera is capable of scanning approximately half of the stripe (or one strip) in a single night. During the 2005


Fig. 1.- Number of imaging scans of the northern (black) and southern (red) strips for the 2005 (top) and 2006 (bottom) seasons as a function of right ascension. Note that, in contrast to the 2005 season, the 2006 scans are more evenly distributed in right ascension with very little difference between the northern and southern strips.
season, the observations alternated between the northern strip ( 82 N ) and the southern strip (82S) from night to night. In 2006, however, a significant effort was made to avoid long temporal gaps in any given part of the strip, so pieces of RA ranges from both strips were sometimes observed in a single night. We also note that approximately $10 \%$ of Stripe 82 is covered by both the northern and southern strips due to overlapping CCD columns, so
$\sim 30 \mathrm{deg}^{2}$ of the sky is observed on a cadence of 1 day (modulo weather losses). The lists of all SDSS-II SN runs, i.e., continuous imaging scans, and their corresponding RA ranges taken during the 2005 and 2006 seasons are given in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively. We also show in Figure 1 the number of visits made to each of the strips in 2005 and 2006 as a function of the RA. The complete set of corrected frames and the uncalibrated object catalogs from 2005 and 2006 are available online as part of the first SN data release (DRSN17). These data are also accessible from the Data Archive Server of the Sixth Data Releas $8^{8}$ (Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2007).

### 2.2. On-Mountain Processing

The SDSS 2.5 m telescope nominally performs observations every night during the search seasons, so the data must be processed within 24 hours to avoid a backlog of unprocessed data and to rapidly identify SN candidates for spectroscopic follow-up observations. A single night of observing produces up to $\sim 200 \mathrm{~Gb}$ of imaging data, so it is highly desirable to process the data on the mountain and significantly reduce the number of images that are transferred over the internet for visual inspection.

The data are processed on a dedicated 10 dual-processor ( 20 processors total) computer cluster that runs at APO. There are nine computers that process data; each of the 18 processors is responsible for processing all images from a single combination of filter (gri) and camera column $(1-6)$. Each computer has 400 Gb of RAID5 disk and 2 Gb memory. The 10th monitor/backup computer has 3 Tb of disk and 4 Gb memory and is used for process-management, data transfer, and software development. This setup was specifically chosen to meet our requirement of processing a full night's worth of data within 24 hours. An 11th computer is available as a spare but so far has not been needed - during the first two years of operation, there were no serious failures. Several disks failed, but the RAID system worked properly to prevent any loss of processing time while waiting for a disk to be replaced. There was one curious glitch that may be related to operation at high altitude ( 2800 m ). On occasion one of the computers would hang up, and cycling the power was the only way to revive the system. There were about 20 such incidents per season, although the probability seemed higher in the month of November, possibly due to better weather and longer nights resulting in more computing time. Since people are present at APO day and night, minimal processing time was lost from these hang-ups.

[^3]There are three main tasks for the process manager: (i) start jobs, (ii) monitor processing progress, and (iii) monitor disk space. Due to the structure of the data acquisition system, the image processing can start only after an imaging run has finished, and the master script is usually executed manually by a person in the morning. While this script could have been automatically started, it is better that a human reviews the observer logs and checks that processing starts smoothly. The master script schedules and allocates resources for copying data from the data acquisition computer to a local disk, photometric reduction in ugriz (§ 2.2.1) , and frame subtraction (§ 2.2.2) and object detection (§ 2.2.3) in gri. Several monitor scripts are used to check the status throughout the $\sim 20$ hours needed to process the data. Since data processing continues virtually round-the-clock, a few people often shared the monitoring burden. Continuous monitoring was necessary because there were two common problems that could interupt the processing. First, poor observing conditions caused the photometric reduction software to abort. In this case, the photometric reduction must be reprocessed with a more restrictive RA range. The second source of interruption was the computer hang-ups discussed above. The last process-manager issue concerns disk space. Rather than clearing disk space after each night's data have been processed, we kept all of the subtracted images at APO for $1-2$ weeks. The reason for keeping subtracted images is that for interesting candidates we could go back to earlier epochs and process $u$ and $z: u$ band was particularly useful to distinguish Type Ia and Type II supernovae. In addition, since the candidate position is known, we re-ran gri photometry at the known location in previous epochs to get a better estimate of the early-epoch fluxes and upper limits. The disk space was managed with a priority system so that clearing disk was mostly based on following a pre-defined algorithm and rarely involved a hasty decision. To avoid risks with automated disk-cleaning, a human-entered command was required.

Below we describe the search pipeline and the reduction procedures adopted to take the raw images to the point where they are transferred to the central SN database server at Fermilab.

### 2.2.1. PHOTO

The raw data are first processed through the Photo pipeline (Lupton et al. 2001, 2002; Stoughton et al. 2002). The software produces the corrected frames and generates bad-pixel maps, position-dependent PSF, and astrometric solution (Pier et al. 2003) that are used in subsequent processing stages. Our version of Photo does not identify sources in the images or perform photometric measurements (Hogg et al. 2001; Smith et al. 2002; Ivezić et al. 2004; Tucker et al. 2006), as they are not appropriate for efficiently identifying SN candidates,


Fig. 2.- Cumulative distributions of the $r$-band PSF during the 2005 (black) and 2006 (red) search seasons. More than half of the frames were acquired at $<1.2^{\prime \prime}$. Only frames successfully processed through Photo are included.
which are usually blended with their host galaxies. As with all standard SDSS data, images from each camera column are written in frames of $2048 \times 1361$ unique pixels or $13.51^{\prime} \times 8.98^{\prime}$ on the sky. The pipeline software was slightly tweaked to run on data taken under poor observing conditions (bright moon, low atmospheric transparency, and poor seeing). In 2005 and 2006, approximately $34 \%$ and $30 \%$, respectively, of the frames were acquired when the moon was above the horizon. Figure 2 shows the distributions of the $r$-band PSF of frames successfully processed through Photo during the first two seasons.

### 2.2.2. Image Subtraction

To identify new transients in the search data, the images are run through a difference imaging pipeline, which matches the PSF of the search and template frames, adjusts for the difference in photometric zeropoint, accurately registers their pixels, and performs the subtraction. The image templates were divided into four separate RA ranges so that human
scanning and target selection could begin well before the entire night of data was finished processing. The subtracted frames in each filter are searched for positive fluctuations that consist of at least 2 contiguous pixels each above $3.0 \sigma$ of the noise. The software is derived from version 7.1 of the Photpipe software used by the SuperMACHO and ESSENCE collaborations (Smith et al. 2002). Extensive changes have been implemented to operate successfully on processed SDSS data. The modified application is called Framesub.

The pipeline is operated in three modes: 1) sdssred mode, where the input of images is prepared for difference imaging. 2) sdssdiff, which performs a difference imaging analysis of the search data compared to the template data. To conserve computing time, only the gri frames are differenced and used for object detection. This is the default mode that produces the objects for manual inspection, and subsequently the SN candidates described below. 3) sdssforce mode, in which, after reliable detection of a supernova candidate, we difference all data on the object, including the $u$ and $z$ bands, and perform forced-positional photometry at the location of the candidate. The measurements of magnitudes by sdssdiff and sdssforce are referred to as search and forced photometry. The overall structure of the software and detailed descriptions of each mode are provided in Appendix A.

### 2.2.3. Object Detection and Filtering Algorithm

The individual peaks found by Framesub are filtered through a software called doObjects to remove statistical fluctuations and identify true astronomical sources. First, the singlefilter peaks are matched by position to identify sources that are detected in at least two of the three gri filters within $0.8^{\prime \prime}$. These sources are flagged as objects. Significant negative fluctuations are not flagged. The list of objects is compared against the list of known variable sources (the veto catalog) constructed from previous scans of Stripe 82. Any object that matches the position of a known variable is filtered out. Most of the sources in this catalog are variable stars, active galactic nuclei (AGN), and other persistently varying sources. Approximately $10 \%$ (20\%) of the objects identified by doObjects in 2005 (2006) were associated with sources in the veto catalog.

Given the large area covered by the survey and the overlap of the central RA range of Stripe 82 with the ecliptic plane, our detections are overwhelmingly dominated by solar system objects. The challenge is to remove as many of these objects as possible prior to handscanning, without filtering out real SN candidates. Objects with proper motions in excess of $\sim 1^{\prime \prime}$ per minute are easily rejected by requiring that the object is detected in the $r$ and $i$ bands within $0.8^{\prime \prime}$ (the filters are imaged in the order riuzg and the effective time difference between adjacent filters is 71.7 seconds). Objects that move as slowly as $\sim 0.2^{\prime \prime}$
per minute can be identified through motion between the $g$ and $r$ bands. Objects that move at a slower rate are slightly more difficult to identify; when they pass in front of a background galaxy, they can look like perfectly good SN candidates. Approximately $35 \%(40 \%)$ of the objects found in 2005 (2006) were tagged as moving objects and removed prior to human evaluation.

New transient sources are entered into a dedicated MySQL database and the cutout images are transferred to Fermilab for visual inspection.

### 2.3. Handscanning, Autoscanning, and SN Candidate Selection

In addition to epochs of SN light-curves, the difference imaging and object detection algorithms described above result in the detection of many background sources of variation, both physical and non-physical. In order to robustly reject background and allow us to focus further analysis on promising SN candidates, we require that a human visually inspect images of the objects, a process which we refer to as handscanning.

In addition to moving solar system objects, other major sources of contamination include artifacts caused by (1) subtraction of slightly misaligned images, which creates shapes with clusters of positive and negative counts (objects that we call dipoles) in the differenced images, (2) diffraction spikes, and (3) bright saturated stars. Satellite trails that are not properly masked by the software also contribute to the background. A small fraction of the dipoles are high proper-motion stars that have drifted between the epochs of the template and search images. Many of these background objects, however, can be quickly rejected by visual inspection. In Figure 3, we show a gallery of cutout search, template, and differenced images of various types of objects evaluated by scanners - none, artifact, dipole, variable, transient, SN gold, SN bronze, and SN other. All images shown are from the $r$ band. In Figure 4, we show gri images of a moving object correctly flagged by the software. These images show the source moved between the $g$ and $r$ exposures, which are separated by about 5 minutes.

As a convenient way for humans to handscan the detected objects, a web interface was constructed that queries the database and displays all of the relevant information about the object, including gri cutout images of the search, template, and differenced images, measured magnitudes, the mean sky coordinates and the relative positions measured in each filter, and a list of all previous detections (if any) within $0.8^{\prime \prime}$ of the object under inspection. The scanner evaluates the information and decides to either tag the object as a possible SN or to reject it as background. If an object is tagged as a SN and there are no previous detections
of objects within $0.8^{\prime \prime}$, it becomes a new SN candidate with a unique SN ID number. A candidate will always remain a candidate unless it is manually vetoed by one of the scanners (which rarely occurs). All of the candidates can be accessed through a public web sitt 9 .

During the 2005 observing season, we required that every object that is not rejected by doObjects be handscanned by a human. An average of about $3000-5000$ objects were inspected per full night of imaging during the Fall 2005 season. Six scanners were on duty on a given night, each scanner responsible for inspecting all objects from one of the six camera columns, or $500-800$ objects. Based on our experience in 2005, we made a number of changes to the handscanning procedure for the 2006 season described below.

### 2.3.1. Autoscanner

To reduce the number of objects to be scanned by humans, prior to the 2006 season we implemented a new software filter, called the autoscanner. The software performs two primary tasks: 1) identifies all objects detected in more than one epoch as well as bright ( $g$ or $r<21 \mathrm{mag}$ ) objects detected for the first time, and 2) uses statistical classification techniques to identify and filter out first-epoch background non-SN objects.

The reasons for performing 1) are as follows. First, the selection of objects detected in more than one epoch provides a very robust way of eliminating moving objects, one of the major contaminants of the 2005 SN candidates. Second, the selection of bright first-epoch objects enables us to discover nearby SNe; we can thereby obtain spectroscopic observations well before maximum light and provide rapid alerts to the SN community. In addition, bright, single-epoch candidates provide a back-up list of spectroscopic targets for nights when the spectroscopy queue is not filled by promising multi-epoch candidates. Although most of our targets were spectroscopically observed after two or more epochs of photometry, in a few instances, we did obtain spectroscopic observations of SN candidates based on a single epoch of detection. In the selection of these objects, the autoscanner also identifies objects associated with either a known variable in the veto catalog or a known SN candidate. In the former case, the object is filtered out. In the latter case, since there is no need for a human to scan the object, it is instead flagged by the autoscanner as a known SN candidate, stored in the database, and used in the light curve analysis (see Section 3.1).

For 2), the autoscanner attempts to identify and filter out bright first-epoch objects that belong to one of three classes of background; unmasked diffraction spikes, artifacts

[^4]

Fig. 3.- A gallery of various types of objects that a scanner evaluates. The panels show $r$ band search (left columns in each panel), template (central columns), and differenced (right columns) images of objects classified by scanners as "none", "artifact", "dipole", "variable", "transient", "SN gold", "SN bronze", and "SN other". Objects classified as "none" are typically detections near the threshold, and are often not visible to the human eye. Most "artifacts" are caused by different orientations of diffraction spikes in the search and template images. A "dipole" results from imperfect image registration as well as high proper-motion stars. A "variable" is an object associated with a persistent source and usually exhibits random temporal variability. These sources are newly variable sources that are not part of the veto catalog. A "transient" object is usually seen for the first time and not associated with a galaxy. The source can be a true SN with an undetected host galaxy or a slow-moving asteroid. An "SN gold" is a good SN candidate that is well-separated from the host. An "SN bronze" is a possible SN that lies close to the core of the galaxy and can, therefore, also be a variable active galactic nucleus. An "SN other" is also a possible SN, but is most likely a different type of variable source - in the case shown above, the source is a variable star in NGC1068 (M77). There is another category called "SN silver" (not shown), which is used for objects that are similar to a "transient", but detected in more than a single epoch (e.g., an SN with an undetected host galaxy). The distinction between gold/silver/bronze/other SN candidates is not important; all objects tagged as an "SN" become a SN candidate. Each cutout image is $20^{\prime \prime}$ on the side.

## moving



Fig. 4.- An example of a moving object that was correctly flagged by the autoscanner. As in Figure 3, the search, template, and differenced images are shown in the left, middle, and right columns, respectively. In addition to the $r$-band images (top row), we also display the $g$ (middle row) and $i$-band (bottom row) images to show the relative position of the source in each filter. Note that the faint source in the $g$-band differenced image appears slightly below and to the left of the center of the image. The images are acquired in the order $\operatorname{ri}(u z) g$ with a 71.7 -second delay between each filter.
of imperfect image registration (dipoles), and moving objects. The software treats the set of objects, along with their evaluations by a human, from the 2005 search as a training set, and compares the observed quantities for newly detected objects against this set. The method used to classify an object is the histogram method of probability density function (PDF) estimation. In this method the observable quantities, or attributes, of an object form a multi-dimensional space, and a classification decision is made by considering the number count of objects, in the training set, from each class in a bin centered at the point describing the object we wish to classify. This method has the advantages of being non-parametric, allowing the decision boundaries in the observable space to be arbitrarily complicated, and of explicitly retaining the correlations between observables. A caveat of using the histogram method of PDF estimation is that one must have a sufficient number of objects in the training set to sample the PDF well in all regions of interest. With $\approx 90,000$ objects in the training set, this is not a significant limitation for the SDSS-II SN Survey. A technical discussion of the algorithm is presented in Appendix B.

During the 2006 observing season, the autoscanner was used to reject moving objects from among the set of bright first-epoch objects that were to be handscanned. For such bright objects, only $\approx 0.7 \%$ of them are incorrectly tagged as moving objects by the autoscanner. In contrast to the 2005 observing season, we chose not to handscan epochs of known candidates or objects that showed variation in more than one observing season, and the autoscanner was used to reject such objects. Although some objects were classified as artifacts or dipoles, we chose not to reject these from the handscanning as they are a small fraction of the background in comparison to moving objects, and the relatively small reduction in handscanning that would be accomplished was determined to be outweighed by the risk of missing an early epoch of a nearby SN.

This new handscanning strategy and the autoscanner enabled a reduction in the number of objects scanned by more than an order of magnitude between 2005 and 2006, with no reduction in the quality or quantity of confirmed SNe. While scanning a single camera column in 2005 typically took $2-3$ hours per person for a full night of data, in 2006 a scanner could cover two columns in only $10-20$ minutes. The reduction of the 2006 handscanning by the autoscanner is summarized in Table 4.

A comparison of the objects classified by the autoscanner as artifacts to their human classification shows that the autoscanner is extremely efficient at recognizing artifacts, and that our concern about potentially rejecting nearby SNe was overly cautious. During the 2006 observing campaign, 3753 objects had been classified by the autoscanner as artifacts. Humans classified 2668 of these objects as artifacts and 3710 of them as some type of non-SN background. Of the remaining 43 objects classified by a humans as SN candidates, 41 were background (non-SN) erroneously classified by the scanner, one was a bright nova outburst, and one was the first epoch of a SN that was later (after the 2nd epoch detection) classified as a SN. Therefore, in cases where they disagree on classification of artifacts, the autoscanner appears to be more reliable than the human scanners.

## 3. Spectroscopic Target Selection and Follow-up Observations

Spectroscopic follow-up is an essential component of any current supernova survey, for both confirming the type of the SN candidate and measuring the cosmological redshift (preferably from host galaxy emission/absorption lines). For the SDSS-II SN survey, the amount of scheduled spectroscopic telescope time is larger than the amount of imaging time on the SDSS 2.5 m telescope by a large factor (see also Frieman et al. 2007). The telescopes used for spectroscopy in 2005 and 2006 included the 9.2 m HET, $3.6 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{NTT}, 3.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{ARC}, 8.2 \mathrm{~m}$ Subaru, 2.4 m MDM Hiltner, 4.2 m WHT, 4 m KPNO Mayall, 10 m Keck, 2.5 m NOT, and the 11 m

SALT.
On any given night during the fall season, there could be several telescopes that are simultaneously scheduled and observing a set of our SN candidates. This requires a significant amount of coordination between the various observers at each of the telescopes to avoid observing the same candidate. A single person (the first author of this paper) was responsible for coordinating all follow-up imaging and spectroscopic observations in 2005 and 2006. Telescopes separated by several time zones are relatively easy to coordinate, but those that have similar longitudes (e.g., MDM, ARC, KPNO) require real-time communication. In general, the brighter targets are parsed to telescopes with smaller aperture, but the magnitude limits must be adjusted depending on the observing conditions at each site. We have made every effort to avoid taking duplicate spectra, but on occasion the same object was observed nearly simultaneously on two telescopes.

A good night of imaging of half of Stripe 82 typically yields $100-300$ objects that are tagged as new SN candidates, which are publicly accessible through the web ${ }^{10}$ immediately after they are entered into the database. This number significantly exceeds the number of targets we can observe spectroscopically, and choices must be made. The following subsections describe the further prioritization of SN targets for spectroscopic observations. The algorithm and strategy depends on the amount of resources available, which is something that evolved quite substantially between the 2005 and 2006 search seasons.

### 3.1. SN Photometric Typing

After each night of imaging on the SDSS 2.5 m telescope, the gri light curves of all active candidates are compared against a library of light curve templates of different SN types. The purpose of this procedure is twofold -1 ) to quickly identify the best-matching template and provide estimates of the redshift, extinction, the approximate date of maximum light, and current apparent magnitudes, and 2) to compute other quantities that are useful for prioritizing follow-up spectroscopy, such as the amount of host galaxy contamination. The process is essential since the number of active SN candidates (a good fraction of which are not SNe ) at any given time exceeds the number that can be observed spectroscopically by a large factor. A reliable system is necessary to make efficient use of the valuable spectroscopic resources. Several techniques and algorithms for SN photometric identification have been introduced previously by Poznanski et al. (2002, 2006), Johnson \& Crotts (2006), Riess et al. (2004b), Sullivan et al. (2006), Kunz et al. (2007), and Kuznetsova \& Connolly (2007). The

[^5]method adopted here is most similar to that used by the SNLS spectroscopic follow up described in Sullivan et al. (2006). Below, we describe the details of the algorithm.

The measured light curves are compared against a library of light curve templates, which are grouped into three types - SN Ia, SN Ib/c, and SN II- and are generated from multi-epoch spectra constructed and compiled by P. Nugent ${ }^{11}$ and also from the SUSPECT databas ${ }^{12}$. Specifically, for the Type Ia, we use Nugent's Branch-normal, 1991T-like, and 1991bg-like templates. The Branch-normal spectra are used for computing synthetic light curves of SNe Ia with a range of luminosities parameterized by the decline rate. The model is described in Appendix C. The set of templates used for the Type Ib/c are Nugent's normal and hypernova $\mathrm{Ib} / \mathrm{c}$ spectra, as well as spectra and light curves of SN1999ex and SN2002ap from the SUSPECT database. Similarly, we use Nugent's II-P, II-L, and IIn spectra, and SUSPECT's 1993J (IIb), 1998S (IIn), and SN1999em (II-P) to generate a set of Type II light curves.

The light curves in the observed ugriz filters are calculated on a grid of four parameters $\left(z, A_{V}, T_{\max },\left[\Delta m_{15}(B)\right.\right.$, template SN$\left.]\right)$, where $z$ is the redshift, $A_{V}$ is the host galaxy extinction in the $V$ band and assumes $R_{V}=3.1$, and $T_{\max }$ is the time of rest-frame $B$ band maximum light. The last parameter refers to either the decline rate parameter for the Branch-normal Ia models $\left(\Delta m_{15}(B)\right)$, or the particular SN template for the peculiar SNe Ia (1991T-like and 1991bg-like) and the core-collapse models. We do not attempt to fit or correct for the Milky Way extinction. In this procedure, we assume a cosmology to convert the redshift to a luminosity distance, similar to the method adopted by the SNLS described in Sullivan et al. (2006). The adopted cosmological parameters are $\Omega_{m}=0.3$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda}=0.7$. For the SN Ia templates, we also assume a fiducial peak $B$-band absolute magnitude of $M_{B}=-19.0+5 \log \left(H_{0} / 70\right) \mathrm{mag}$, where $H_{0}$ is the Hubble constant in units of $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{Mpc}$, for a standard $\Delta m_{15}(B)=1.1 \mathrm{SN}$ Ia. As shown by Sullivan et al. (2006), a particular set of assumed cosmological parameters in the computation of the model light curves does not significantly bias the population of targets for spectroscopic observations. At low redshift $(z \lesssim 0.2)$, the luminosity distances are not sensitive to our choice of $\Omega_{m}$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda}$. Above $z \sim 0.2$, the statistical uncertainties in the fluxes and the combination of varying $z$ and $A_{V}$ can compensate for differences in luminosity distance that might result from a different set of cosmological parameters. The assumption, however, could systematically bias the estimated photometric redshifts, but that is not a concern for the purposes of target selection.

The templates are grouped into three SN types - Ia, Ibc, and II - and the fitter records

[^6]the best-fit parameters and the minimum value of the $\chi^{2}$ statistic on the four-dimensional parameter grid within each of the three types. In addition to identifying the SN type with the lowest $\chi^{2}$ value, which we refer to as "type-best", we examine the relative values of the $\chi^{2}$ and determine whether the SN candidate should be considered as "typed" according to one or both of the following two criteria. If we denote the value of the $\chi^{2}$ of the best-matching type by $\chi_{\text {min }}^{2}$, the next best type as $\chi_{1}^{2}$, and the worst one as $\chi_{2}^{2}$ (i.e., $\chi_{\text {min }}^{2}<\chi_{1}^{2}<\chi_{2}^{2}$ ), the "A" criterion is satisfied if $\chi_{\text {min }}^{2}<\chi_{1}^{2}-\chi_{\text {min }}^{2}$. If "type-best" is a Ia and satisfies the above criterion, the candidate is said to have a "type-A of Ia". The "B" criterion is satisfied if $\chi_{\text {min }}^{2}<N\left(\chi_{\text {min }}^{2}+\chi_{1}^{2}+\chi_{2}^{2}\right) / 3$ with $N=0.5$. Similarly, if the best-fit type is a SN Ia and satisfies the above $\chi^{2}$ criterion, the candidate is said to have a "type-B of Ia". The value of 0.5 adopted for $N$ works well for SN candidates with low $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$, and was empirically determined from a sample of spectroscopically confirmed SNe from our 2004 engineering run (Sako et al. 2005). Also computed are the estimated current $g$ and $r$ magnitudes from the model light curves. We also search for the nearest galaxy within $10^{\prime \prime}$ from the SN position in the SDSS galaxy catalog and refit and retype the light curves using the best estimate of its redshift as a prior. We adopt galaxy photometric redshifts from Ovaizu et al. (2007) and spectroscopic redshifts from the SDSS DR5 (Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2007).

We note that the absolute $\chi^{2}$ values for the model fits do not appear to be very meaningful. The models have not been calibrated against real data and there are no errors associated with the light curves (see, e.g., Appendix (C). The current implementation also does not attempt to reject outlier points due to poor zeropointing and imperfect image registration, which are common features of the search photometry. The best-fit $\chi^{2}$ value for the SN Ia model of a true SN Ia may be large compared to the number of degrees of freedom, but the confidence can be high if that value is well below the values of the other SN types. The relative values of the $\chi^{2}$ are, therefore, important and useful discriminators. In Figure 55, we show the values of $\chi_{\text {min }}^{2}, \chi_{1}^{2}<\chi_{2}^{2}$, and $0.5\left(\chi_{\text {min }}^{2}+\chi_{1}^{2}+\chi_{2}^{2}\right) / 3$ as functions of the number of epochs for SN2006fz, a Type Ia at $\mathrm{z}=0.105$, with a flat galaxy photometric redshift prior. For this candidate, the model with the minimum $\chi^{2}$ corresponds to the SN Ia model, and both the "A" and "B" criteria are satisfied at all epochs. Also shown in Figure 6 are the light curve fits to SN2006fz using the first $2,4,6$, and 8 epochs of search photometry.

The overall performance of the photometric typing software is demonstrated in Figure 7, where we plot the fraction of the spectroscopically confirmed SNe Ia, whose best-fit model is that of a SN Ia as a function of the number of the light curve epochs. The figure also shows the fraction of SN Ia that satisfy one of the "A" or "B" criteria with or without a nearestneighbor host galaxy redshift prior. Note that the fractions increase between 2 to $\sim 4$ epochs, but the improvement beyond $\sim 5$ epochs is marginal. The fraction with the best type equal to a Ia does not reach unity because the spectroscopic sample includes 1) peculiar SNe Ia,


Fig. 5.- Fit results for SN2006fz (SN Ia at $\mathrm{z}=0.105$; internal candidate ID 13135) as functions of the number of epochs in the light curve. The bottom curve (labeled with filled circles) shows the minimum $\chi^{2}$ values, which at every epoch correspond to the Ia model. The top two curves labeled with filled stars and triangles show values relevant for the "A" and "B" typing criteria, respectively. For this particular $S N, \chi_{\text {min }}^{2}$ is always below these two curves, which implies that this SN is a Type Ia at high-confidence.
2) candidates with poorly subtracted images and photometry epochs, 3) SNe Ia discovered well after maximum light, and 4) SNe with low-S/N light curves whose best-fit type drifts with the number of epochs. Candidates that fall into the first three categories were targeted for spectroscopy because they were nearby and bright enough to be observed on 3-m class telescopes, and those candidates were observed even if their best-fit photometric type was not a SN Ia (see § 3.3). The candidates in the fourth category were observed because they were at one time typed as a SN Ia. We also show in Figure 8 the time of $B$-band maximum light estimated from 2-4 light curve epochs in comparison with the "final" estimate of the peak using the full light curves. Again, the reliability improves substantially between 2 and $\sim 4$ epochs.

Studies of the software and handscanning efficiencies as functions of the object brightness with the fakes (see Section A.2) show that the SN candidate identification and spectroscopic follow-up observations are essentially complete out to $z \sim 0.12$ (Dilday et al. 2007). The one


Fig. 6.- Light curves of SN2006fz (same SN as shown in Figure (5) and fits to the best-fit SN Ia models after 2, 4, 6, and 8 epochs of detections without a galaxy photometric redshift prior. Search photometry from gri are shown. Model light curves in $u$ and $z$ are shown as well.
exception to this is our bias against highly-extincted SNe, since the grid of light curve models did not extend beyond $A_{V}=1.0$ in 2005 and $A_{V}=3.0$ in 2006. A post-season analysis of


Fig. 7.- The fraction of spectroscopically confirmed SNe Ia whose best-fit model is that of a SN Ia as a function of the number epochs (top curve with stars). Also shown is the fraction of SNe Ia, which satisfy one of the "A" or "B" criteria with or without a galaxy redshift prior (bottom curve with triangles). A large fraction of the sources ( $>80 \%$ ) are typed as SN Ia after only 2 epoch of imaging.
the 2005 candidates with an extended $A_{V}$ range recovered two additional photometric SN Ia candidates with large extinction in this redshift range. There is no evidence for an additional population of low- $z$ SNe Ia that were missed due to the models adopted.

The light curve fits generally run on the gri search photometry, which is usually accurate to within $\sim 0.1$ mag. Whenever the computer cluster at APO is idle, we run the pipeline in sdssforce mode (see, 82.2 .2 ) to produce $u$ and $z$-band differenced images from all runs available on the mountain for each of the SN candidates. This typically includes frames from several pre-discovery epochs. The $u$-band photometry is sometimes useful for distinguishing SNe Ia from core-collapse SNe that are generally bluer $(u-g \lesssim 0.5)$ during the early phases after explosion.


Fig. 8.- Distribution of the difference between the time of $B$-band maximum estimated using the full light curves and that using the first 2 (top), 3 (middle), and 4 (bottom) epochs of the spectroscopically confirmed SNe Ia. Values are taken from fits with a flat redshift prior. The distributions are fit with a single gaussian, and the $\sigma$ values are listed in each panel. The width of the distribution stays approximately constant above 4 epochs. The vertical dashed lines represent the x -axis origin; not the centroid of the distributions.

### 3.2. Observability Index

After the SN photometric typing process, the software computes three quantities that help prioritize the target list for spectroscopic observations. These quantities are referred to as "weights" and they are constructed specifically to identify candidates that are 1) near or before peak brightness, 2) less contaminated by galaxy light, and 3) not heavily extincted by intervening dust. The product of the three weights defines the "observability index"; candidates with higher observability index are generally assigned higher priority.

For 1), we define the "time weight" as,

$$
W_{C}= \begin{cases}e^{|t|\left\{\Delta m_{15}(B) /[10(1+z)]\right\}} & \text { if } t<0 \text { days }  \tag{1}\\ e^{-t\left\{\Delta m_{15}(B) /[20(1+z)]\right\}} & \text { if } t \geq 0 \text { days }\end{cases}
$$

where $t$ is the current estimate of the SN epoch in days relative to $B$-band maximum brightness. Note that $W_{C}$ is large when the SN is young, as SN are most easily classified near maximum light (Filippenko 1997), and decays exponentially with a characteristic time scale of 20 days. The decline-rate parameter $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ and redshift $z$ are also adopted from the best-fit values obtained from the light curve fits. If the best-fit type is a core-collapse SN , $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ is set to unity.

The second weight computed by the software is the "contamination weight" defined as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{T}=e^{-2 / \theta} \times\left(\frac{F_{\mathrm{SN}, r}}{F_{\mathrm{Gal}, r}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is the distance in arcseconds between the SN and the centroid of the nearest-neighbor host galaxy. The quantity $F_{\mathrm{SN}, r}$ is proportional to the current $r$-band flux of the SN , again, as estimated from the best-fit light curve model,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{SN}, r}=10^{-0.4 r_{\mathrm{SN}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F_{\mathrm{Gal}, r}$ is the estimated local galaxy $r$-band flux at the position of the SN defined to be,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{Gal}, r}=10^{-0.4\left(r_{\mathrm{Gal}}+d / d_{\mathrm{eff}}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the angular separation between the SN and the centroid of the nearest-neighbor source and $d_{\text {eff }}$ is the effective radius of that source along position angle of the SN . This quantity is computed according to,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathrm{eff}}=\frac{i \mathrm{soA}^{2}\left(1-e^{2}\right)}{1-e^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\phi$ is the position angle of the SN measured from the position angle of host galaxy's semimajor axis (isoPhi) with ellipticity given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2}=1-\left(\frac{i \mathrm{soB}}{i \mathrm{soA}}\right)^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The isophotal galaxy parameters (isoA, isoB, and isoPhi), which are used to measure the galaxy's ellipticity of the 25 magnitudes per arcsecond isophote, are adopted from the SDSS

DR4 catalog 13 . Clearly, candidates with larger values of $W_{T}$ suffer less contamination from the host galaxy light.

The third and final weight is the "dust weight", which estimates the amount of dust extinction from both host galaxy morphology and color, and is defined to be,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{D}=e^{-d_{\mathrm{eff}} / d} \times\left(1+3 f_{\mathrm{deV}}+5 c_{\mathrm{ellip}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $f_{\text {deV }}$ is the fractional probability of the source being well represented by a de Vaucouleurs profile and is again computed from values in the SDSS catalog, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{deV}}=\frac{\text { deV_L }}{\text { deV_L }+ \text { exp_L }+ \text { star_L }} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where deV_L, exp_L, star_L are the null hypothesis probabilities of the object being wellrepresented by a de Vaucouleurs profile, an exponential function, and a stellar profile (PSF), respectively. The last quantity in parentheses of Equation 7 characterizes the color of the galaxy, and is defined to be,

$$
c_{\text {ellip }}= \begin{cases}\left(r_{\text {Gal }}-i_{\text {Gal }}-0.4\right) / 0.2 & \text { if }\left(r_{\text {Gal }}-i_{\text {Gal }}\right)>0.4 \text { and }\left(g_{\text {Gal }}-r_{\text {Gal }}\right)>0.9  \tag{9}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

where $g_{\text {Gal }}, r_{\text {Gal }}, i_{\text {Gal }}$ are the host galaxy $g, r$, and $i$-band model magnitudes. This quantity is non-zero only for red elliptical galaxy candidates, which typically populate the color-color space bounded by $(r-i)>0.4$ and $(g-r)>0.9$. Note that the light curves also provide an independent (and usually more reliable) estimate of the amount of dust extinction.

The final observability index is defined as the product of the three weights,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { observability index }=W_{C} \times W_{T} \times W_{D} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In practice, the observability index is rarely used for the bright ( $r \lesssim 20.5 \mathrm{mag}$ ) low- $z \mathrm{SN}$ candidates, since there are enough spectroscopic resources to observe nearly all of those targets. At high $z$, however, the number of SN Ia candidates exceeds the number we can observe given our limited resources on large-aperture telescopes. In order to select the best targets from a large number of candidates that satisfy the light curve selection criteria, the sources are ranked based on the value of the observability index. Manual screening and selection of the sources by the spectroscopic coordinator is still required. We describe our strategy in detail below.
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### 3.3. Spectroscopic Follow-up Strategy

Spectra are obtained by the various spectroscopic teams, who independently apply for observing time during each of the search seasons. The teams agreed to confirm types and obtain redshifts of SN Ia candidates at the highest priority, but each team generally has one or more of their own SN projects that they pursue in parallel. Some of these include 1) multi-epoch spectroscopy of peculiar SNe, 2) SNe in underluminous host galaxies, 3) SN Ib/c and hypernova candidates, 4) detailed spectroscopic properties of SN Ia hosts, and 5) multiepoch studies of line features and their diversity in SNe Ia. Whenever possible, we also try to obtain spectra of other variable objects if a scanner has classified them as "interesting". An example of this is a dwarf nova discovered in Aquarius (Prieto et al. 2006).

In 2005, the primary telescopes used for observing the low-redshift candidates were the ARC 3.5 m , WHT 4.2 m and the MDM 2.4 m telescopes. The high-z candidates were observed with either the HET or Subaru. The resources were sufficient to obtain spectra of most $z \lesssim 0.15$ SN Ia candidates as well as core-collapse SNe at $z \lesssim 0.06$, but only a fraction of the $z \gtrsim 0.15$ candidates were observed.

During the Fall 2006 campaign, the various spectroscopic teams were awarded sufficient 3 m -class telescope time to obtain a spectrum of essentially all SN candidates with $r \lesssim$ 20.5 mag (an average SN Ia at $z \lesssim 0.15$ and core-collapse SN at $z \lesssim 0.06$ near maximum light) and obtain multi-epoch spectra of a large sample of nearby $(z \lesssim 0.1)$ SNe Ia.

We generally require at least two epochs of detection before we target the candidate for spectroscopic observation, to guarantee that the source is not an asteroid. This also enables a better estimate of the epoch of peak brightness of the SN. Since the primary goal of our survey is to obtain well-sampled light curves of Type Ia SNe, SN Ia candidates that are discovered early are generally given higher priority for spectroscopy. This is especially true for the very low- $z$ candidates $(z \lesssim 0.1)$ to enable rapid confirmation and dissemination to the public.

In practice, we initially select SN Ia candidates that satisfy at least one of the "A" or "B" criteria either with or without a galaxy photometric redshift prior. There are times, however, during stretches of poor weather at APO and/or when several 3m-class spectroscopic telescopes are online, when there are not enough new and bright SN Ia candidates to observe. This was not an issue during most of the 2005 season, but it occurred frequently in 2006. In such cases, we loosen the selection criteria and inspect all currently-bright sources irrespective of their best-fit SN type; there is only small number ( $\sim 30-50$ ) of sources with $r \lesssim 20.5 \mathrm{mag}$ at any given time. When necessary, we also target candidates that have only a single epoch of detection. Extremely red single-epoch targets that are not associated with a host galaxy
are generally avoided (to minimize the chance of observing an asteroid).
On the other hand, there is never a shortage of higher- $z$ SN Ia targets at $z \gtrsim 0.2$, so the "A" or "B" criterion with or without a galaxy photometric redshift prior is always used in the pre-selection process. At these redshifts, we generally avoid candidates that are located within $\sim 0.5^{\prime \prime}$ of the centroid of a host galaxy, since they have a relatively higher chance of being an active galactic nucleus. Candidates at $z \sim 0.3$ that are well-separated from the host and suffer a relatively low level of extinction (as estimated from the multi-band light curve fits) are high-priority (priority 0 and 1 ) targets for HET, which is a queue-scheduled telescope (Shetrone et al. 2007). Lower- $z$ candidates $(z \sim 0.2)$ are assigned lower HET priority (mostly priority 2 , but some at priority 3 ). Targets are generally kept active in the HET queue until they are $\sim 20$ days past maximum light as estimated from the light curve fits. The Subaru telescope has focused on SN Ia candidates in a similar redshift range, but, to take advantage of its superb image quality, the Subaru telescope has generally been assigned targets that suffer a relatively large amount of host contamination (i.e., a low value of $W_{C}$; see Section (3.2).

The spectra are often analyzed in real time by the observers on site, and feedback is given to the spectroscopic coordinator. Depending on the observing conditions, the target list is adjusted in real time. At the end of the night, the observers provide a preliminary spectroscopic type of each of the candidates observed. The type and the preliminary redshift is entered immediately into the database, and the results are disseminated to the community through the Central Bureau Electronic Telegrams. All nearby SNe ( $z \lesssim 0.08$ ), however, are also announced to a list of subscribers via email usually within hours from confirmation, allowing rapid complementary follow up on other telescopes. Information on all spectroscopically confirmed SNe is placed on a public web page ${ }^{14}$ as soon as the SN type has been entered into the database. A list of all spectroscopically confirmed SNe from 2005 and 2006 is presented in Tables 5and 6, respectively.

## 4. Imaging Follow-up

Throughout the 2005 search, we used some of our ARC 3.5 m and MDM 2.4 m time, as well as the imager on the NMSU 1m telescope, University of Hawaii 88-inch telescope, the 1.8 m Vatican Advanced Technology Telescope (VATT), and the 3.5 m WIYN telescope to (1) augment the light curve points of spectroscopically confirmed SNe Ia during periods of poor weather conditions at APO and (2) obtain late-time photometry of SNe that had

[^8]faded below the SDSS detection limit. Some imaging observations were performed by the VATT, the WIYN, and the 1.5 m telescope at Maidanak Observatory during the 2006 season. Additional imaging data were obtained on the ARC 3.5 m during November of 2006 when the Dual Imaging Spectrograph was not functional. This effort of follow-up imaging was not carried over for the MDM and for ARC during September and October, since we concluded that spectroscopy is the more valuable use of our resources. In December of both 2005 and 2006, however, imaging observations were performed to get light curves of SNe discovered in November past maximum light. Deep multi-band imaging of several host galaxies of SNe Ia were also obtained by the 2.5 m Isaac Newton Telescope.

A few high-profile SDSS-II SN targets were also observed extensively by several follow-up programs - optical imaging and spectroscopy by the CfA SN Group, optical and infrared imaging and optical spectroscopy by the CSP, and optical spectroscopy by the SNFactory.

## 5. Results from Fall 2005 and 2006

The 2005 season resulted in 73 unique SDSS imaging runs acquired on 59 different nights. Approximately half of the frames were taken under non-photometric conditions, bright moon, and/or poor seeing. A total of 155,616 objects were visually inspected during this season, of which 24,402 were tagged as potential SNe, resulting in 11,385 unique SN candidates (see Table 7). Interestingly, 6,618 of those candidates were detected in only a single epoch, and are mostly likely moving solar system objects that were not filtered properly. A few of these single-epoch candidates may be fast transients that rise and fade on timescales shorter than $\sim 1$ day (Becker et al. 2004). Most of the remaining 4767 candidates are true variables or transient sources. A short summary of the 2005 and 2006 seasons is shown in Table 7 ,

For the 2005 search season, we acquired 248 spectra from 187 distinct SN candidates under various observing conditions. A total of 130 unique candidates were spectroscopically confirmed to be of Type Ia. One of these SNe Ia (SN2005hj) was co-discovered and spectroscopically observed by the Texas Supernova Search (Quimby et al. 2007). The number of confirmed core-collapse SNe were 7 and 13 for Type Ib/c and II, respectively. One of these Type II SNe (SN2005mj) was spectroscopically confirmed by the ESSENCE Group. An additional 40 candidates were observed in the post-season during December 2005 - January 2006. A sample of 41 SN targets including SN2005hk (Phillips et al. 2007) and SN2005gj (Prieto et al. 2007) for which we acquired 16 and 9 spectra, respectively, were observed spec-

[^9]troscopically more than once. The host galaxy of one 2005 candidate (SN7017) was observed during the 2006 search season. Interestingly, that supernova was still active and visible a year after discovery; the spectrum was identified as a peculiar SN similar to SN2002ic and SN2005gj.

In 2006, 90 SDSS imaging runs were taken on 60 nights. The number of visuallyinspected objects was reduced by more than an order of magnitude to 14,430 . This improvement is due to the modified moving object finder and the choice of scanning only second-epoch and bright first-epoch objects. We identified a total of 3694 unique SN candidates. Surprisingly, there is still a somewhat large sample (599 out of 3694) of slow-moving single-epoch objects that were tagged by a scanner as a possible SN and made the candidates list.

During the second search season, a total of 449 spectra were acquired, $\sim 80 \%$ more than the 2005 season, from 285 unique candidates. Though the majority of these were observations of new 2006 candidates, a handful of host galaxies of the 2005 candidates were observed as well. A sample of 197 candidates, including five candidates that were observed by the CfA SN Group and the ESSENCE Survey, were spectroscopically confirmed to be SNe Ia and 14 candidates were identified as spectroscopically probable SNe Ia. The number of confirmed core-collapse SNe were 7 and 19 for Type Ib/c and II, respectively.

Table 8 summarizes the spectroscopic observations grouped into candidates discovered in 2005 and 2006. We performed 172 (241) observations of new SN Ia candidates discovered in 2005 (2006), of which 130 (197) were spectroscopically confirmed to be SNe Ia, and 16 (14) candidates were identified as spectroscopically probable SNe Ia; the latter are denoted SN Ia? in Tables 5and 6. In addition, observations of 7 (7) additional candidates in 2005 (2006) resulted in galaxy spectra (either the SN had faded or the galaxy was too bright relative to the SN) with spectroscopic redshifts consistent with photometric redshifts estimated from the multi-band light curves of the SNe. Therefore, in the first two years, $90 \%$ of SN Ia targets resulted in spectra of SNe Ia, probable SNe Ia, or host galaxies of photometric SN Ia candidates. A total of 12 SN Ia targets (3\%) were classified instead as core-collapse SNe, 4 targets ( $1 \%$ ) were classified as either an AGN or a flaring M-dwarf, and 9 targets (2\%) resulted in galaxy spectra with spectroscopic redshifts inconsistent with photometric redshifts estimated from the SN Ia model fits. Finally, there is a sample of 18 spectra ( $4 \%$ ), most of which were taken under poor weather conditions, that are unidentified.

Evaluation of the full light curves after the end of each search season enables identification of additional photometric SN Ia candidates that, for various reasons, were not spectroscopically observed during the search. We have identified at least $\sim 200$ high-quality SN Ia candidates from the 2005 candidates list, and have already acquired host galaxy spec-
tra for a significantly-sized subsample. These targets are also good fillers during periods of poor observing conditions at APO that result in a lack of good new SN candidates. As of the writing of this paper, we have measured redshifts for 81 host galaxies of candidates with Ia-like light curves. An additional 13 candidates were identified to be good Ia candidates with host galaxy redshifts from the SDSS redshift survey.

## 6. Summary

The search pipeline of the SDSS-II SN Survey has enabled efficient discoveries of variable and transient astronomical sources, filtering over 375,000 objects detected each season into several thousands of SN candidates. A reliable photometric SN typing system and spectroscopic follow-up algorithm have allowed spectroscopic observations of $\sim 150 \mathrm{SNe}$ Ia per season with $90 \%$ targeting efficiency. After two seasons, the search and spectroscopic followup algorithms have reached a relatively mature stage, and it is unlikely that major changes will be made for the third and final season of 2007. If the observing conditions resemble those of the previous two years, we expect to increase our sample of spectroscopically confirmed SN Ia by an additional $\sim 150-200$ events, reaching a sample of $\sim 500$ confirmed SNe Ia for the completed survey. A majority of the low-redshift sources will have well-sampled multi-band light curves that can serve as templates for future studies.

Spectroscopic target selection by a human is appropriate (and preferred) for a relatively small survey like the SDSS-II SN survey, but this is unlikely to be feasible for future largescale surveys that will discover thousands or tens of thousands of SNe over the period of a few years. Based on our experience, however, we believe that much of the candidate identification process can be automated, and with just $2-4$ epochs of multi-band imaging, SN candidates can be assigned probabilities that are reliable enough for performing follow-up spectroscopy. More quantitative studies of SN identification using photometric data alone will be presented in a future article.
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## A. Supernova Software

This appendix describes the details of the supernova software that runs on the computer cluster at APO.

The majority of Framesub is written in the Perl language. This provides the internal glue that strings together the various processing steps. In general, the image-level computations are written in the C language. These applications are called by the Perl scripts. The implementation of Framesub on the computing cluster is controlled by shell scripts.

As a programmatic summary, the Framesub pipeline consists of a series of stages, each of which has actions which it undertakes, as well as dependencies on the successful completion of previous stages. By default, an ensemble of images is passed from stage to stage using input and output lists. If an image fails the assigned actions in a particular stage, the frame is not passed into the input list for the subsequent stages of processing. A record is kept of the fact that it failed, and all such failures must be addressed individually. Below we describe the three modes in which the pipeline is run.

## A.1. sdssred

This mode performs the basic reduction and preparation of the data for image subtraction.

- FINDNEWIM: After processing by Photo, Framesub must initialize its input list of images. This stage searches for the correct data products $\sqrt{16}$ for the camera column-filter combination assigned to it. This includes the fpC science image, a fpM mask image, an asTrans file providing the astrometry, and a psField file that contains Photo's model of the PSF (Stoughton et al. 2002).
- MKSATMASK: The fpM file contains a pixel-by-pixel mask corresponding to any operations that have happened on the science image. This includes interpolation over CCD defects and cosmic rays, the masking of saturated pixels, and interpolation over bleed trails from the saturated stars. This stage translates the SDSS-format mask file into a mask image understood by Framesub. In practice, this is merely a reassignment to the numerical values of the mask bits.

[^10]We provide an additional level of editing in these images by masking halos around clusters of saturated pixels, as well as extending diffraction spike masks at the orientation correct for the camera rotation. These additional masks are only added for clusters of more than 20 saturated pixels. An operational scale length $r$ for the halo and diffraction masking is determined by using the number of saturated pixels $N_{\text {sat }}$ and solving for $r$ through $N_{\text {sat }}=\pi r^{2}$.

- SDSSPSF: This stage converts the solution for the PSF obtained by Photo contained in the psField files, into a format readable by Dophot (Schechter et al. 1993). The Photo PSF solution is later used to make an aperture correction to the difference image photometric measurements (see section A.3).
- SDSSSTARS: This stage obtains a list of the positions, magnitudes, and magnitude errors for the calibration stars contained within the frame and stores them in a text file suitable as input to SDSSZERO, which computes the zeropoints of each of the frames (see below). The list of calibration stars is also an optional input to DIFFIM, providing a list of preferred sources to be used in deriving the optimal convolution kernel (see section A.3). The magnitudes and magnitude errors were initially based on the DR4 database (Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2006), but were later updated based on the catalog provided by Ivezić et al. (2007).
The difference images obtained by the SN Survey are always photometrically scaled to the template image; the following two stages are included when running sdssred on the template images.
- STARDOPHOT: This stage performs doPhot photometry on a list of calibration stars provided by SDSSSTARS, using the modified version of the Dophot software package.
- SDSSZERO: This stage performs zeropointing of the frame. The magnitudes and magnitude errors of the calibration stars are first converted to flux units via $10^{-0.4 m}$, and then the model, ADU counts $=\mathrm{A}$ flux, is solved for A using the weighted least-squares procedure. The zeropointing algorithm does iterative sigma clipping at \{24.0, 12.0, 6.0, 6.0, 6.0\} standard deviations from the best fit zeropoint model. The final zeropoint, the statistical error on the zeropoint, and the RMS of the zeropoint are then converted into magnitudes and stored for use during the SN search.


## A.2. sdssred With Fakes

To monitor the software and human search efficiencies, we insert artificial SNe (fakes) into the data stream in real-time. Prior to the beginning of the survey, a library of fakes
was generated (1000 fakes in 2005). Each fake is assigned a redshift, celestial position $(\alpha, \delta)$, date of peak-magnitude in $V$ band, and an intrinsic luminosity. The redshift distribution was generated according to $d N / d z \propto z^{2}$ and the celestial positions are then chosen to be near to a galaxy with the same redshift, based on a catalog of photometric redshifts (Oyaizu et al. 2007).

The fakes generated during the 2005 observing campaign did not include extinction and reddening; however the set of fakes generated during the 2006 campaign included extinction, with $A_{V}$ chosen from an exponential distribution, $P\left(A_{V}\right) \propto e^{-A_{V} / 0.4}$.

The following stages are used to generate realistic Type Ia SN light curves for the fakes in real time.

- SDSSFAKESELECT: To minimize computation time for inserting fakes into the data stream and still retain the ability to simulate the magnitude at an arbitrary lightcurve epoch, a range of dates for which the fake magnitude is within the expected limit of the SDSS telescope was computed. This stage checks the library of fakes to see whether any fake has a position within the limits of the field and that the present MJD falls within the expected range of observability.
- STARDOPHOT_FAKE: This stage performs Dophot photometry on calibration stars within the frame. This is necessary to dynamically derive the magnitude to ADU conversion for the fakes.
- SDSSZERO_FAKE: This stage computes a zeropoint for the frame, based on the dophot photometry from the previous stage. It is identical to the SDSSZERO stage described in section A. 1 .
- SDSSFAKEINSERT: This stage inserts the fake SNe into the search image. Given the current MJD and the fake light-curve parameters described above, the theoretical magnitude is computed in real-time. The light-curve model is identical to the stretch model used for online photometric typing, as described in §3.1. Given the magnitude and the zeropoint computed above, an integrated flux value is computed. The PSF model for the fake comes from the Photo derived PSF; this is remapped to the astrometric grid of the search image and photometrically scaled. Poisson noise is added to each pixel. Finally, the unperturbed search image is copied and the ADU values for each pixel are overlaid on the search image, which is passed to the subsequent processing stages. A record of changes to the image is written to the fpC file header, and the magnitudes and corresponding MJD are written to a MySQL database.


Fig. 9.- Template (left) and search (right) $r$-band images centered on the host galaxy of SN2005ir, a Type Ia SN at $z=0.076$. The SN is visible above and slightly to the right of the of the host galaxy in the right-hand image. The search image was part of run 5823, and was taken under photometric conditions with $\sim 1.1^{\prime \prime}$ seeing. Images are $3.3^{\prime}$ on the side. Note the enhanced density of faint sources ( $r \gtrsim 23 \mathrm{mag}$ ) visible in the template image that are not detected in the search image.

## A.3. sdssdiff

This operational mode works with two sets of images that have been successfully run through the sdssred stages. One set of data is considered the "template" for difference imaging, and they are subtracted from the science images to yield only those objects that have varied in position or brightness.

In practice, most of our template images consist of the PSF-optimized coadds of SDSS Stripe 82 (Annis et al. 2006). The images consist of $5-10$ coadded exposures, depending on the position in the stripe, from runs prior to the 2005 SN search season. Only images with seeing $\lesssim 1.1^{\prime \prime}$ are used. Figure 9 shows an $r$-band coadd image of the field around SN2005ir in comparison with a single-exposure search image. Some of our fields near the edge of the stripe fall outside of the coadd, and for these we use single-epoch templates from a night with good seeing.

- MATCHTEMPL: Since the SDSS camera is used in drift-scan mode, the data from a given run comprises a long swath of the sky. This tapestry is split by Photo into individual fields of $2048 \times 1489$ pixels, with 128 pixels of overlap between neighboring fields. Because Stripe 82 is an equatorial field, this corresponds to a slice in $\alpha$. It is not guaranteed that the $\alpha$ boundaries of the science image agree exactly with those of the template, meaning in general we will need to identify and combine the two template images that overlap the science image. However, the pointing model of the telescope is sufficiently accurate that the overlap in $\delta$ is nearly complete.
- GLUETEMPL: This stage implements the pixel-level coaddition of the overlapping template images into a single template image whose RA coverage is similar to the input science image.
- REMAP: Because the images may still be misaligned in RA by a fractional pixel offset, and in declination by multiple pixels, we apply a remapping kernel that exactly aligns the glued template image with the science image. For this operation, we use the astrometry included in the asTrans files to determine, for each pixel in the science image, what position in the template image needs to be resampled. We apply a windowed-sinc resampling of the template image with a $9 \times 9$-pixel footprint (i.e., a Lanczos 4 kernel) to yield a final remapped glued template image. The template is always remapped to the reference frame of the science image, to avoid convolving the science data. Because the template image generally has much higher signal-to-noise (except possibly near the beginning of the stripe where only single-exposure templates are available), the "smoothing" effects due to convolution are not a serious issue. We propagate the pixel noise and pixel masks through this convolution process.
- DIFFIM: After the astrometric registration described above, we implement a photometric registration that matches the PSF of the two images, so that they may be subtracted on a pixel-by-pixel basis. This uses a modified version of the Alard (2000) algorithm as described in Becker et al. (2004).

In this procedure, known objects (stars or galaxies) are used to determine a set of convolution kernels that minimize the difference between each convolved template object and the respective science object. Objects that include masked pixels are rejected, as are variable objects. The ensemble of kernels is then used to construct a single kernel function that varies spatially with position on the image, to account for differences in the PSF across the frames. This function is allowed to vary quadratically across the image. We then apply this kernel to each pixel in the image and subtract the convolved template from the science image, yielding a difference image in which the static signal has been subtracted, and only objects that have varied in position or brightness
remain. We choose to always apply this kernel to the template image, even though in good seeing conditions this requires us to actually deconvolve the template data. We propagate the image noise and mask similar to the REMAP process.

- DIFFDOPHOT: We next perform object detection on the difference image, again using the modified Dophot package. The software is able to read the propagated noise and mask images to assist in the object detection and measurement. The background is explicitly set to zero, assuming that the difference imaging has produced a backgroundsubtracted image. The Photo PSF model and aperture corrections are used to perform the photometry. We only perform photometry on positive-going excursions, thus objects that fade in brightness are not measured.
- PIXCHK: We next read in the difference, noise, and mask images surrounding each detected object and examine the neighboring pixels. The total number of positivevalued pixels, negative-valued pixels, and masked pixels are calculated in an aperture, as well as the total amount of flux in positive-valued and negative-valued pixels. These will be used to reject dipoles due to image misalignment or general failures in the difference imaging.
- DIFFCUT: This stage rejects candidates from the photometry list based upon photometry flags and PIXCHK values. In particular, objects for which Dophot is unable to perform a 4-parameter fit using the mean PSF parameters are rejected (Dophot types 4 or 6 ). Objects with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ smaller than 3.0 are also rejected, as well as objects with excessive fractions of masked pixels (0.6) or fractions of flux in negative pixels (0.65), amongst other tests. These cuts yield the set of objects ingested into the database by DoObjects.


## A.4. sdssforce

This mode is used to perform forced-positional PSF photometry. The coordinates of the candidate are determined from a $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$-weighted average of all objects associated with the SN. The PSF model is adopted from output of Photo. In practice, this mode is used to measure magnitudes in the $u$ and $z$ bands, which are not automatically processed by sdssdiff, as well as ugriz magnitudes in images obtained before the SN discovery to obtain measurements of low-S/N detections and upper limits. This mode yields more accurate measurements of the fluxes than those produced by sdssdiff, and they generally agree with the final photometry to within $\sim 0.05 \mathrm{mag}$ in all filters (Holtzman et al. 2007).

## B. Autoscanner

As a further illustration of the histogram method of PDF estimation, we note that Bayes' theorem, applied to classification, says that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P\left(c_{i} \mid \theta\right)}{P\left(c_{j} \mid \theta\right)}=\frac{P\left(\theta \mid c_{i}\right) P\left(c_{i}\right)}{P\left(\theta \mid c_{j}\right) P\left(c_{j}\right)} \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(a \mid b)$ is the conditional probability for $a$ given $b, c_{i}$ represents object class $i$, and $\theta$ denote particular values for the set of observables of an object. If this ratio is larger than one, then the object is more likely to belong to class $i$ than to class $j$.

Applied to the problem at hand, this can be written as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P\left(c_{i} \mid \theta\right)}{P\left(c_{j} \mid \theta\right)}=\frac{\left(N_{i}^{\prime} / N_{i}\right)\left(N_{i} / N\right)}{\left(N_{j}^{\prime} / N_{j}\right)\left(N_{j} / N\right)}=\frac{N_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{j}^{\prime}} \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{i}$ is the total number of objects in the training set belonging to class $i, N$ is the number of total objects in the training set, and a denotes objects possessing observables $\theta$. The $\theta$ represents a subset of all possible observables, which is equivalent to marginalizing over all other observables, and the set used differs according to which class of background is being tested.

The criterion used by the autoscanner to identify objects of class $i$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N_{i}^{\prime}}{N_{j}^{\prime}}>\frac{N_{i}}{N_{j}} \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ represents the classes of background (artifact, mover, dipole), and class $j$ is always taken as SN. The motivation for this criterion is that we are searching for an overdensity of objects of class $i$ in the region of observable space in question. In practice the threshold is a free parameter that can be adjusted to control the relative level of accepted signal to background events.

In this experiment, background events dominate over SNe epochs by a large factor, and a Bayesian classifier would reject SN epochs that lie in a reasonable region of the observable space if the region overlaps with background events, even far away from the peak of the background distribution. A cartoon of the situation is shown in Figure 10. Thus, the autoscanner can also be regarded as a Bayesian classifier where the thresholds are adjusted a priori to mitigate false negatives, at the cost of more background in the scanning.

The training set for the autoscanner consists of all objects from the 2005 observing season that were ranked by a human as an artifact, a dipole, a moving object, or a SN. This


Fig. 10.- Hypothetical distributions of an observable for signal (dashed) and background (solid). The peak of the signal occurs at 0 ; however, the vastly greater number of background events swamps the signal. While it is true that an object with a value of this observable of 0 is more likely to be a background event, this is clearly not the behavior we want from our classifier, as we are trying to isolate the relatively rare signal events (i.e. SN epochs). The effect of recasting the problem from one of relative probabilities to one of overdensities. The peak of the signal (dashed) is now strongly inconsistent with background.
set is comprised of 91220 total objects, of which 65576 are a sub-class of background, and 25644 are objects ranked as a SN. The quantities used for classification of artifacts are, a measure of the objects ellipticity, the signal-to-noise ratio and the value of the reduced $\chi^{2}$ when fitting the object to a model of the PSF. In computing the $\chi^{2}$, we do not account for possible error in the PSF model and so objects with large signal-to-noise values also have large $c h i^{2}$ values. Therefore, in classifying artifacts it is important to retain the correlation between $c h i^{2}$ and signal-to-noise ratio. The quantities used for classifying dipoles are the measured flux in negative valued pixels, and the ratio of measured flux in negative valued pixels to the flux in positive valued pixels. The quantities used for classifying moving objects are the measured magnitudes, the magnitude of the apparent motion between different filters, and an angle describing the apparent motion between filters. If the object has a detection in the 3 filter-bands gri, then the angle is the angle between the "vector" that describes the apparent motion between the $r$ and $i$ filters, and the "vector" that describes the apparent motion between the $i$ and $g$ filters. If the object is truly moving then these apparent motions
should be nearly co-linear. If the object is only detected in 2 of the gri filters then the angle is the angle between the apparent motion between the 2 filters and the "unit vector" aligned in the direction of increasing right-ascension. Main-belt asteroids typically have an apparent motion in a fixed direction, and using this angle in the classification is a way to encode that information.

## C. SN Ia Light Curve Models

This appendix describes the SN Ia light curve models used for photometric typing, redshift estimate, and selection of targets for spectroscopic observations. To calculate synthetic light curves of Branch-normal SNe Ia at various values of $\Delta m_{15}(B)$, the $B$-band magnitude change in 15 days from $B$-band maximum (Phillips 1993), we adopt the results of Phillips et al. (1999), who found relationships between the peak absolute BVI magnitudes of nearby SNe Ia as a function of $\Delta m_{15}(B)$. These authors parameterize the peak magnitude relative to that of a reference SN Ia with $\Delta m(B)=1.1$ using a quadratic function of the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta M_{\max }=a \times\left[\Delta m_{15}(B)-1.1\right]+b \times\left[\Delta m_{15}(B)-1.1\right]^{2} \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are $a$ and $b$ coefficients for each of the $B V I$ filters, as listed in Table 3 of Phillips et al. (1999). The $a$ coefficients $\left(a_{B}=0.79, a_{V}=0.67\right.$, and $\left.a_{I}=0.42\right)$ decrease as a function of the filter effective wavelength. This shows that SNe Ia with larger $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ are dimmer and redder; those with smaller $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ are intrinsically more luminous and bluer. We find that the $a$ coefficient as a function of wavelength can be well-represented by the following linear relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\lambda)=1.248-1.045 \times 10^{-4} \lambda(\AA) \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use this relation to perform color corrections directly onto the template spectra. Operationally, defining $F_{\nu}(\lambda, x=0)$ to be the monochromatic flux ( $\mathrm{erg} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1}$ ) of the standard template spectrum at rest-frame wavelength $\lambda$, then the luminosity-corrected value is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\nu}(\lambda, x) \longrightarrow F_{\nu}(\lambda, x=0) \times 10^{-0.4\left(a x+b x^{2}\right)} \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \equiv \Delta m_{15}(B)-1.1, b=0.633$ (Phillips et al. 1999), and $a$ is given in Equation C2, Although this color correction is valid at $B$-band maximum, we assume that it is valid at all epochs. This provides a way to compute synthetic light curves in the ugriz system (or any other filter set) for a SN Ia with a given $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ at arbitrary redshifts.

Finally, we stretch the light curves according to the method described in Perlmutter et al. (1997). The Nugent templates folded through the $B$-band filter transmission curve yield


Fig. 11. - The stretch factor $s$ at $z=0$ as a function of $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ adopted in this work (black) in comparison to that of Perlmutter et al. (1997) represented by a dashed line.
$\Delta m_{15}(B)=1.05$, so we define $s$ to be unity when $\Delta m_{15}(B)=1.05$. For other values of $\Delta m_{15}(B)$, we express the stretch factor as $s=(1+z)(15 / \tau)$, where we have factored out the cosmological time delay factor, and $\tau$ is represented by the following 3rd-order polynomial,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau[\Delta m(B)]=c_{0}+c_{1} \Delta m_{15}(B)+c_{2}\left[\Delta m_{15}(B)\right]^{2}+c_{3}\left[\Delta m_{15}(B)\right]^{3} \tag{C4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}=3.455, c_{1}=13.719, c_{2}=-3.601$, and $c_{3}=0.946$. This gives an adequate representation of the $B$-band light curve for $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ between 0.5 and 2.5. This function $s\left[\Delta m_{15}(B)\right]$ at zero redshift is plotted in Figure 11. The same relation derived by Perlmutter et al. (1997) is also shown for comparison. Figure 12 shows rest-frame $g$-band light curves for different values of $\Delta m_{15}(B)$. Peak absolute magnitudes in ugriz as function of $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ are shown in Figure 13 .

Although this procedure does not always accurately reproduce the multi-band light curves, most notably the variation of the secondary peak in the red with $\Delta m_{15}(B)$ (Riess et al. 1996), they appear to be of sufficient quality for the purposes of photometric typing.


Fig. 12.- Sample SN Ia rest-frame $g$-band light curves for four values of $\Delta m_{15}(B)=$ $0.8,1.1,1.4$, and 1.7.


Fig. 13.- Resulting peak absolute magnitudes for a SN Ia at zero redshift in the SDSS ugriz system as functions of $\Delta m_{15}(B)$. The curves are analogous to those shown in Figure 8 of Phillips et al. (1999).
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Table 1. Stripe 82 footprint

| Strip | camcol | $\delta_{\min }{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\delta_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 N | 1 | $-1.058^{\circ}$ | $-0.833^{\circ}$ |
| $\ldots$ | 2 | $-0.638^{\circ}$ | $-0.413^{\circ}$ |
| $\ldots$ | 3 | $-0.219^{\circ}$ | $+0.007^{\circ}$ |
| $\ldots$ | 4 | $+0.201^{\circ}$ | $+0.426^{\circ}$ |
| $\ldots$ | 5 | $+0.621^{\circ}$ | $+0.846^{\circ}$ |
| $\ldots$ | 6 | $+1.041^{\circ}$ | $+1.266^{\circ}$ |
| 82 S | 1 | $-1.267^{\circ}$ | $-1.042^{\circ}$ |
| $\ldots$ | 2 | $-0.848^{\circ}$ | $-0.622^{\circ}$ |
| $\ldots$ | 3 | $-0.428^{\circ}$ | $-0.203^{\circ}$ |
| $\ldots$ | 4 | $-0.008^{\circ}$ | $+0.217^{\circ}$ |
| $\ldots$ | 5 | $+0.411^{\circ}$ | $+0.637^{\circ}$ |
| $\ldots$ | 6 | $+0.831^{\circ}$ | $+1.057^{\circ}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ These values correspond to the nominal $\delta$ values. The exact telescope pointing differs slightly from night to night resulting in a shift in $\delta$ by as much as $0.003^{\circ}$ in either direction.

Table 2. 2005 SDSS Supernova Imaging Runs

| Run | Strip | $\mathrm{MJD}_{\text {start }}$ | $M^{\prime} D_{\text {end }}$ | $\mathrm{RA}_{\text {start }}$ | $R A_{\text {end }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5566 | N | 53616.215 | 53616.469 | -32.72 | +58.91 |
| 5582 | S | 53622.207 | 53622.477 | -40.36 | +57.41 |
| 5590 | N | 53623.156 | 53623.324 | -50.67 | +9.96 |
| 5597 | S | 53625.176 | 53625.266 | -50.62 | -18.43 |
| 5603 | N | 53626.141 | 53626.449 | -50.62 | +60.17 |
| 5607 | S | 53627.137 | 53627.441 | -50.59 | +59.90 |
| 5610 | N | 53628.129 | 53628.434 | -50.57 | +60.21 |
| 5619 | S | 53634.129 | 53634.438 | -50.68 | +60.71 |
| 5622 | N | 53635.137 | 53635.445 | -50.72 | +60.66 |
| 5628 | S | 53636.133 | 53636.281 | -50.70 | +1.99 |
| 5633 | N | 53637.129 | 53637.371 | -50.68 | +36.45 |
| 5637 | S | 53638.254 | 53638.477 | -20.72 | +60.72 |
| 5642 | N | 53639.289 | 53639.484 | -9.82 | +60.69 |
| 5646 | S | 53640.234 | 53640.441 | -13.85 | +60.70 |
| 5654 | N | 53641.113 | 53641.121 | -55.42 | -53.02 |
| 5658 | N | 53641.359 | 53641.465 | +16.08 | +54.56 |
| 5666 | S | 53643.430 | 53643.484 | +41.25 | +60.71 |
| 5670 | N | 53644.109 | 53644.430 | -55.53 | +60.79 |
| 5675 | S | 53645.180 | 53645.219 | -55.52 | -41.75 |
| 5681 | S | 53646.418 | 53646.480 | +29.97 | +52.88 |
| 5698 | S | 53648.164 | 53648.289 | -55.62 | -9.81 |
| 5702 | N | 53649.102 | 53649.129 | -55.55 | -46.42 |
| 5709 | N | 53654.152 | 53654.359 | -51.60 | +23.25 |
| 5713 | S | 53655.094 | 53655.363 | -55.54 | +41.47 |
| 5719 | N | 53656.090 | 53656.246 | -55.45 | +1.14 |
| 5729 | S | 53657.203 | 53657.215 | -46.95 | -43.05 |
| 5730 | S | 53657.258 | 53657.324 | +37.20 | +60.71 |
| 5731 | N | 53657.332 | 53657.441 | +21.17 | +60.69 |
| 5732 | S | 53657.449 | 53657.488 | +47.11 | +60.73 |
| 5743 | N | 53663.086 | 53663.164 | -55.42 | -26.98 |
| 5744 | N | 53663.176 | 53663.418 | -29.75 | +57.83 |
| 5745 | S | 53663.430 | 53663.488 | +33.09 | $+55.10$ |
| 5754 | S | 53664.117 | 53664.367 | -55.60 | +34.37 |
| 5759 | N | 53665.082 | 53665.395 | -55.52 | +57.81 |
| 5760 | S | 53665.406 | 53665.492 | +25.13 | +55.68 |
| 5763 | S | 53666.082 | 53666.246 | -55.49 | +3.94 |
| 5765 | N | 53666.332 | 53666.480 | +2.30 | +54.86 |
| 5770 | N | 53668.094 | 53668.406 | -55.54 | +57.94 |
| 5771 | S | 53668.418 | 53668.492 | +33.09 | +60.79 |
| 5776 | S | 53669.078 | 53669.391 | -55.50 | +57.82 |
| 5777 | N | 53669.406 | 53669.496 | +25.61 | +57.96 |
| 5781 | N | 53670.098 | 53670.410 | -55.31 | +57.86 |
| 5782 | S | 53670.422 | 53670.500 | +32.65 | +60.66 |
| 5786 | S | 53671.273 | 53671.484 | -14.82 | +60.65 |
| 5792 | N | 53673.066 | 53673.379 | -55.42 | +57.91 |
| 5797 | S | 53674.062 | 53674.375 | -55.57 | +58.05 |

Table 2-Continued

| Run | Strip | MJD $_{\text {start }}$ | MJD $_{\text {end }}$ | RA $_{\text {start }}$ | RA $_{\text {end }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5800 | N | 53675.062 | 53675.371 | -55.61 | +56.07 |
| 5807 | S | 53676.172 | 53676.465 | -47.67 | +57.89 |
| 5808 | N | 53676.473 | 53676.496 | +49.27 | +57.96 |
| 5813 | N | 53677.082 | 53677.359 | -55.56 | +44.59 |
| 5820 | S | 53679.152 | 53679.441 | -44.54 | +59.96 |
| 5823 | N | 53680.070 | 53680.391 | -55.46 | +60.71 |
| 5836 | S | 53681.113 | 53681.438 | -55.48 | +60.70 |
| 5842 | N | 53683.129 | 53683.449 | -55.43 | +60.76 |
| 5847 | S | 53684.301 | 53684.398 | +25.71 | +60.74 |
| 5853 | S | 53685.062 | 53685.172 | -58.95 | -19.28 |
| 5864 | N | 53686.141 | 53686.152 | -50.06 | -45.42 |
| 5865 | N | 53686.172 | 53686.242 | -42.96 | -18.11 |
| 5866 | N | 53686.273 | 53686.391 | +18.21 | +60.73 |
| 5870 | S | 53687.109 | 53687.250 | -55.57 | -6.16 |
| 5871 | S | 53687.258 | 53687.340 | +31.39 | +60.74 |
| 5872 | N | 53687.352 | 53687.449 | +25.14 | +60.78 |
| 5878 | N | 53693.059 | 53693.395 | -59.98 | +60.68 |
| 5882 | S | 53694.074 | 53694.410 | -60.11 | +60.71 |
| 5889 | S | 53696.355 | 53696.402 | +44.01 | +60.78 |
| 5895 | S | 53697.059 | 53697.395 | -60.11 | +60.71 |
| 5898 | N | 53698.059 | 53698.129 | -59.98 | -33.63 |
| 5902 | N | 53699.082 | 53699.301 | -60.13 | +18.78 |
| 5905 | S | 53700.059 | 53700.391 | -59.99 | +60.69 |
| 5909 | N | 53702.059 | 53702.211 | -59.35 | -4.10 |
| 5915 | N | 53703.066 | 53703.156 | -60.01 | -27.07 |
| 5918 | N | 53704.059 | 53704.379 | -54.46 | +60.67 |
| 5924 | S | 53705.043 | 53705.379 | -60.06 | +60.76 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. 2006 SDSS Supernova Imaging Runs

| Run | Strip | MJD $_{\text {start }}$ | MJD $_{\text {end }}$ | RA $_{\text {start }}$ | RA $_{\text {end }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6281 | N | 53974.141 | 53974.203 | -56.82 | -34.52 |
| 6283 | N | 53974.270 | 53974.336 | -11.69 | +12.42 |
| 6287 | S | 53975.133 | 53975.465 | -58.78 | +60.70 |
| 6293 | N | 53977.371 | 53977.387 | +7.31 | +13.45 |
| 6313 | N | 53989.121 | 53989.160 | -60.05 | -45.99 |
| 6314 | N | 53989.176 | 53989.473 | -47.39 | +60.70 |
| 6330 | S | 53990.312 | 53990.387 | +1.17 | +27.82 |
| 6348 | S | 53993.277 | 53993.371 | -31.19 | +2.94 |
| 6349 | S | 53993.379 | 53993.414 | +26.11 | +38.98 |
| 6353 | S | 53994.125 | 53994.156 | -59.99 | -48.77 |
| 6355 | S | 53994.301 | 53994.484 | -5.78 | +59.80 |
| 6360 | N | 53995.129 | 53995.254 | -59.98 | -14.92 |
| 6362 | N | 53995.344 | 53995.391 | +9.13 | +25.90 |
| 6363 | N | 53995.414 | 53995.453 | +25.67 | +40.04 |
| 6367 | S | 53996.168 | 53996.469 | -47.82 | +60.72 |
| 6370 | N | 53997.105 | 53997.199 | -59.99 | -26.15 |
| 6373 | N | 53997.352 | 53997.422 | -17.22 | +8.09 |
| 6374 | N | 53997.434 | 53997.469 | +38.16 | +50.88 |
| 6377 | S | 53998.105 | 53998.312 | -60.00 | +14.85 |
| 6383 | N | 54000.191 | 54000.430 | -32.88 | +53.50 |
| 6391 | N | 54003.145 | 54003.160 | -60.06 | -54.52 |
| 6400 | N | 54005.078 | 54005.160 | -60.02 | -30.98 |
| 6401 | S | 54005.168 | 5400.191 | -50.90 | -41.62 |
| 6402 | S | 54005.230 | 54005.332 | -24.92 | +11.76 |
| 6404 | S | 54005.383 | 54005.484 | +24.97 | +60.75 |
| 6408 | S | 54006.094 | 54006.125 | -59.99 | -48.77 |
| 6409 | N | 54006.137 | 54006.395 | -32.79 | +60.78 |
| 6412 | N | 54007.094 | 54007.191 | -58.91 | -24.18 |
| 6414 | N | 54007.258 | 54007.484 | -25.93 | +54.91 |
| 6417 | S | 54008.094 | 54008.430 | -60.13 | +60.68 |
| 6418 | N | 54008.438 | 54008.461 | +53.21 | +60.70 |
| 6421 | N | 54009.090 | 54009.422 | -60.12 | +60.69 |
| 6422 | S | 54009.434 | 54009.484 | +37.09 | +56.10 |
| 6425 | S | 54010.090 | 54010.426 | -60.04 | +60.77 |
| 6430 | N | 54011.152 | 54011.488 | -60.10 | +60.71 |
| 6433 | S | 54012.082 | 54012.418 | -60.08 | +60.73 |
| 6435 | N | 54012.434 | 54012.484 | +36.09 | +54.35 |
| 6441 | N | 54019.102 | 54019.289 | -60.13 | +6.64 |
| 6444 | N | 54019.371 | 54019.480 | +21.13 | +60.66 |
| 6447 | S | 54020.090 | 54020.367 | -60.05 | +40.10 |
| 6448 | S | 54020.395 | 54020.457 | +39.08 | +60.79 |
| 6450 | N | 54021.305 | 54021.492 | -6.74 | +60.79 |
| 6453 | S | 54022.137 | 54022.293 | -60.01 | -4.47 |
| 6458 | S | 54024.316 | 54024.492 | -6.73 | +55.85 |
| 6461 | N | 54025.152 | 54025.488 | -60.11 | +60.71 |
| 6464 | S | 54026.090 | 54026.133 | -59.99 | -45.02 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3-Continued

| Run | Strip | $\mathrm{MJD}_{\text {start }}$ | $\mathrm{MJD}_{\text {end }}$ | $\mathrm{RA}_{\text {start }}$ | $\mathrm{RA}_{\text {end }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6468 | S | 54028.078 | 54028.254 | -60.06 | +2.96 |
| 6471 | S | 54028.352 | 54028.492 | +9.53 | +60.74 |
| 6474 | N | 54029.082 | 54029.336 | -60.05 | +31.41 |
| 6476 | N | 54029.414 | 54029.496 | +30.56 | +60.66 |
| 6479 | S | 54030.082 | 54030.293 | -60.09 | +15.96 |
| 6480 | S | 54030.371 | 54030.445 | +33.19 | +59.24 |
| 6484 | N | 54031.070 | 54031.402 | -60.01 | +60.66 |
| 6488 | S | 54032.070 | 54032.164 | -60.06 | -26.83 |
| 6494 | S | 54034.137 | 54034.223 | -61.51 | -31.27 |
| 6501 | S | 54035.234 | 54035.492 | -32.56 | +60.72 |
| 6504 | N | 54036.074 | 54036.410 | -60.00 | +60.67 |
| 6508 | S | 54037.062 | 54037.398 | -60.52 | +60.59 |
| 6513 | N | 54039.070 | 54039.406 | -60.08 | +60.73 |
| 6518 | S | 54040.078 | 54040.414 | -60.12 | +60.69 |
| 6522 | N | 54041.074 | 54041.152 | -60.00 | -31.86 |
| 6524 | N | 54041.227 | 54041.379 | +6.11 | +60.75 |
| 6525 | S | 54041.387 | 54041.449 | +39.12 | +60.68 |
| 6528 | S | 54047.070 | 54047.164 | -60.11 | -25.23 |
| 6530 | S | 54047.254 | 54047.441 | -7.98 | +60.74 |
| 6533 | N | 54048.066 | 54048.344 | -60.10 | +39.61 |
| 6534 | N | 54048.367 | 54048.430 | +38.70 | +60.71 |
| 6537 | S | 54049.223 | 54049.438 | -17.38 | +60.78 |
| 6542 | S | 54050.062 | 54050.215 | -59.99 | -4.60 |
| 6545 | S | 54050.344 | 54050.398 | +41.22 | +60.68 |
| 6548 | N | 54051.059 | 54051.164 | -60.03 | -22.01 |
| 6552 | N | 54052.070 | 54052.406 | -60.05 | +60.77 |
| 6555 | S | 54053.070 | 54053.320 | -60.02 | +31.00 |
| 6556 | S | 54053.328 | 54053.418 | +28.37 | +60.71 |
| 6559 | N | 54054.090 | 54054.293 | -60.06 | +14.05 |
| 6564 | N | 54055.066 | 54055.316 | -60.10 | +30.18 |
| 6565 | N | 54055.328 | 54055.418 | +28.17 | +60.66 |
| 6568 | S | 54056.070 | 54056.406 | -60.05 | +60.77 |
| 6571 | S | 54057.055 | 54057.152 | -53.76 | -19.32 |
| 6577 | N | 54058.066 | 54058.398 | -59.99 | +60.68 |
| 6580 | S | 54059.066 | 54059.398 | -60.06 | +60.76 |
| 6584 | N | 54060.137 | 54060.422 | -42.83 | +60.78 |
| 6590 | S | 54061.074 | 54061.203 | -60.09 | -13.52 |
| 6592 | S | 54061.277 | 54061.410 | +12.07 | +60.73 |
| 6596 | S | 54062.070 | 54062.402 | -60.07 | +60.75 |
| 6600 | N | 54063.055 | 54063.320 | -60.10 | +34.82 |
| 6604 | S | 54064.309 | 54064.402 | +27.24 | +60.78 |
| 6609 | N | 54065.320 | 54065.398 | +33.18 | +60.73 |
| 6615 | S | 54068.059 | 54068.180 | -60.05 | -16.78 |
| 6618 | S | 54068.211 | 54068.387 | $-1.81$ | +60.77 |

Table 4. Reduction of 2006 Handscanning by Autoscanner

|  | number of objects |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total to be scanned $^{\text {a }}$ | 145,428 |
| 1st epoch $^{\text {Bright }^{\mathrm{b}}}$ | 118,584 |
| Scanned $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 21,882 |
| 2nd epoch $_{\text {Scanned }}$ | 8,373 |
| Total scanned $^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 26,844 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The number of objects that humans would have scanned in 2006 without the autoscanner.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Objects that were detected for the first time and brighter than 21 mag in $g$ or $r$.
cActual number of "Bright", first-epoch objects that were scanned.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Total number of objects scanned in 2006. This number differs slightly from the sum of the scanned first- and second-epoch objects because some scanners on a few occasions chose to scan first-epochs objects as faint as 21.5 mag in $g$ or $r$.

Table 5. List of spectroscopically confirmed supernovae in 2005

| Candidate ID ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IAU } \\ \text { Name } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{z}^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RA }^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & \text { (degrees } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dec } \\ \text { n J2000) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Discovery } \\ & \text { Date } \end{aligned}$ | Type ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \# of Spectra ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 722 | 2005 ed | 0.0859 | 0.70569 | +0.75127 | 2005-09-04 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 739 | 2005 ef | 0.1072 | 14.59529 | +0.67906 | 2005-09-04 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 744 | 2005ei | 0.1286 | -30.80149 | +0.31750 | 2005-09-04 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 762 | 2005 eg | 0.1912 | 15.53535 | -0.87899 | 2005-09-04 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 774 | 2005ex | 0.0936 | 25.46351 | -0.87638 | 2005-09-04 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 1032 | 2005 ez | 0.1299 | 46.79571 | +1.11953 | 2005-09-06 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 1112 | 2005fg | 0.2585 | -20.98238 | -0.37519 | 2005-09-10 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 1114 | 2005lb | 0.0257 | -16.29145 | -0.25250 | 2005-09-10 | SN II | 1 |
| 1119 | $2005 f \mathrm{c}$ | 0.2982 | -39.58644 | +0.89466 | 2005-09-10 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 1166 |  | 0.3825 | 9.35564 | +0.97328 | 2005-09-10 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 1241 | $2005 f f$ | 0.089 | -22.32746 | -0.77659 | 2005-09-10 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 1253 | 2005fd | 0.2625 | -36.20095 | +0.16308 | 2005-09-10 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 1316 | 2005fe | 0.2174 | -25.13617 | +0.49444 | 2005-09-10 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 1371 | 2005fh | 0.1189 | -10.62619 | +0.42939 | 2005-09-10 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 1472 | 2005lc | 0.0144 | 45.54660 | -1.16651 | 2005-09-10 | SN II | 1 |
| 1580 | 2005 fb | 0.186 | 45.32310 | -0.64409 | 2005-09-11 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 1686 | ... | 0.1367 | 2.24835 | -0.21039 | 2005-09-11 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 1688 |  | 0.3591 | -38.64223 | +0.32454 | 2005-09-11 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 1794 | 2005fj | 0.1426 | -42.16314 | -0.44537 | 2005-09-11 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2000 | 2005hl | 0.0243 | -46.16752 | +0.54298 | 2005-09-13 | SN Ib | 1 |
| 2017 | 2005fo | 0.2629 | -31.05666 | +0.59352 | 2005-09-13 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2030 | 2005fl | 0.2343 | -48.15841 | -1.25328 | 2005-09-13 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2031 | 2005fm | 0.1530 | -47.95680 | -1.17143 | 2005-09-13 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2053 | 2005fk | 0.2651 | -41.16734 | -0.38295 | 2005-09-13 | SN Ic | 1 |
| 2102 | 2005fn | 0.0958 | -47.77902 | +0.19114 | 2005-09-13 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2165 | $2005 f \mathrm{f}$ | 0.291 | 17.09174 | -0.09627 | 2005-09-14 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2246 | 2005fy | 0.1952 | 50.09041 | -0.88558 | 2005-09-14 | SN Ia | 4 |
| 2308 | 2005ey | 0.149 | 34.27287 | +0.28030 | 2005-09-14 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 2330 | 2005fp | 0.2135 | 6.80711 | +1.12060 | 2005-09-14 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2366 | 2005fq | 0.1462 | 13.43351 | -0.56021 | 2005-09-15 | SN II | 1 |
| 2372 | 2005 ft | 0.1798 | 40.52072 | -0.54085 | 2005-09-15 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 2422 | 2005fi | 0.268 | 1.99454 | +0.63820 | 2005-09-15 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2440 | 2005fu | 0.194 | 42.63370 | +0.80780 | 2005-09-15 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2533 | 2005fs | 0.344 | 31.22069 | -0.32647 | 2005-09-15 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2561 | 2005fv | 0.1170 | 46.34345 | +0.85837 | 2005-09-15 | SN Ia | 4 |
| 2635 | 2005fw | 0.1440 | 52.70433 | -1.23808 | 2005-09-16 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 2661 |  | 0.1930 | -6.79249 | +0.09721 | 2005-09-16 | SN II | 1 |
| 2689 | 2005fa | 0.166 | 24.90035 | -0.75875 | 2005-09-16 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2744 | 2005 hm | 0.0350 | -35.24730 | -1.02741 | 2005-09-16 | SN Ib | 1 |
| 2789 | 2005fx | 0.2907 | -15.79855 | +0.40110 | 2005-09-17 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2916 | 2005fz | 0.121 | -44.07830 | +0.56956 | 2005-09-23 | SN Ia | 4 |
| 2943 | 2005go | 0.2662 | 17.70495 | +1.00792 | 2005-09-24 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 2992 | 2005gp | 0.1266 | 55.49706 | -0.78267 | 2005-09-24 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 3080 | 2005ga | 0.1739 | 16.93234 | -1.03950 | 2005-09-25 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 3087 | 2005 gc | 0.1654 | 20.40671 | -0.97723 | 2005-09-25 | SN Ia | 2 |

Table 5-Continued
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| Candidate ID ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | IAU <br> Name | $z^{\text {b }}$ | $R^{\prime}{ }^{c}$ <br> (degrees | Dec <br> J2000) | Discovery Date | Type ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \# \text { of } \\ \text { Spectra }^{\mathrm{e}} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6196 | 2005ig | 0.2809 | -22.36885 | -0.50266 | 2005-10-21 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 6249 | 2005ii | 0.2950 | 3.26555 | -0.62012 | 2005-10-21 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 6295 | 2005js | 0.0793 | 23.67298 | -0.60537 | 2005-10-21 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 6304 | 2005jk | 0.185 | 26.49762 | +1.19596 | 2005-10-21 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 6315 | 2005ix | 0.2557 | -49.51717 | +1.09200 | 2005-10-21 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 6406 | 2005ij | 0.1240 | 46.08861 | -1.06296 | 2005-10-22 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 6422 | 2005id | 0.187 | -10.86119 | -0.66322 | 2005-10-22 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 6471 |  | 0.2018 | -50.61388 | +0.49495 | 2005-10-22 | SN II | 1 |
| 6558 | 2005hj ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 0.0574 | 21.70167 | $-1.23812$ | 2005-10-22 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 6649 | 2005jd | 0.318 | 34.27586 | +0.53484 | 2005-10-23 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 6696 |  | 0.2382 | -20.92067 | +0.47925 | 2005-10-23 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 6699 | 2005ik | 0.313 | -37.18502 | -1.05699 | 2005-10-23 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 6773 | 2005iu | 0.0916 | -54.93498 | +0.21737 | 2005-10-24 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 6777 | 2005iy | 0.4043 | -38.78356 | +0.38561 | 2005-10-24 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 6780 | 2005iz | 0.206 | -31.93139 | +0.26708 | 2005-10-24 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 6852 | 2005jf | 0.3007 | 53.41983 | -0.11210 | 2005-10-24 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 6924 | 2005ja | 0.329 | -1.03067 | $+0.87698$ | 2005-10-24 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 6933 | 2005jc | 0.214 | 11.35172 | +1.07558 | 2005-10-24 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 6936 | 2005jl | 0.1810 | -36.76618 | -0.69978 | 2005-10-24 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 6962 | 2005je | 0.0930 | 38.86091 | +1.07488 | 2005-10-24 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 6968 | . . . | 0.0983 | 19.55569 | -0.90655 | 2005-10-24 | SN Ia? | 3 |
| 7017 | $\ldots$ | 0.1430 | 31.36534 | -0.49791 | 2005-10-24 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 7143 | 2005jg | 0.306 | $-14.73764$ | -0.20737 | 2005-10-26 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 7147 | 2005jh | 0.1099 | -9.98155 | -0.05548 | 2005-10-26 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 7243 | 2005jm | 0.2045 | -31.92092 | +0.47193 | 2005-10-27 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 7335 | 2005kn | 0.197 | -41.11480 | -0.35534 | 2005-10-27 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 7426 | 2005jb | 0.386 | -20.98663 | -0.36792 | 2005-10-27 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 7460 | 2005jx | 0.2133 | -36.41594 | -0.68170 | 2005-10-27 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 7473 | 2005 ji | 0.220 | 4.32641 | -0.25726 | 2005-10-27 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 7475 | 2005jn | 0.3191 | 4.75348 | -0.28149 | 2005-10-27 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 7512 | 2005jo | 0.2354 | 52.09032 | -0.32609 | 2005-10-27 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 7779 | 2005jw | 0.376 | -49.91979 | -0.00717 | 2005-10-28 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 7847 | 2005jp | 0.2126 | 32.45995 | -0.06179 | 2005-10-28 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 7876 | 2005ir | 0.0767 | 19.18251 | +0.79463 | 2005-10-28 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 7947 | 2005jj | 0.368 | -45.81392 | +0.40835 | 2005-10-28 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 8030 | 2005jv | 0.423 | 40.20883 | $+0.99314$ | 2005-10-28 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 8046 | 2005ju | 0.2591 | 39.11682 | +0.51126 | 2005-10-29 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 8151 | 2005 hk | 0.0131 | 6.96200 | -1.19815 | 2005-10-29 | SN Ia | 11 |
| 8213 | 2005ko | 0.1855 | -2.47907 | -0.92139 | 2005-10-31 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 8495 | 2005 mi | 0.2151 | -24.73898 | -0.74816 | 2005-10-31 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 8598 | 2005jt | 0.3613 | 42.66734 | -0.06596 | 2005-11-01 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 8679 | 2005jr | 0.2945 | 15.05152 | +0.34081 | 2005-11-01 | SN II | 1 |
| 8707 | 2005mh | 0.3951 | 41.23617 | +0.20359 | 2005-11-01 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 8719 | 2005 kp | 0.1174 | 7.72148 | -0.71884 | 2005-11-01 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 8921 | 2005ld | 0.1462 | $-34.99800$ | -0.00792 | 2005-11-02 | SN Ia | 2 |

Table 5-Continued

| Candidate $\mathrm{ID}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | IAU <br> Name | $z^{\text {b }}$ | $R^{c}{ }^{c}$ <br> (degrees | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ \mathrm{J} 2000) \end{gathered}$ | Discovery Date | Type ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \# of Spectra ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9032 | 2005le | 0.2549 | -22.11544 | $-0.49357$ | 2005-11-02 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 9045 | 2005 kq | 0.3903 | -12.16286 | -0.60863 | 2005-11-02 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 9118 | 2005 kr | 0.1344 | 47.12358 | +0.88893 | 2005-11-03 | SN Ic | 1 |
| 9207 | 2005lg | 0.3509 | 19.08363 | -0.80780 | 2005-11-03 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 9273 | 2005ks | 0.0992 | -35.51432 | -0.03245 | 2005-11-03 | SN Ic | 1 |
| 9457 | 2005li | 0.2572 | -24.18564 | +0.25304 | 2005-11-04 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 9467 | 2005lh | 0.2191 | -31.04860 | +1.18079 | 2005-11-04 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 10028 | 2005kt | 0.0662 | 17.74185 | +0.27615 | 2005-11-07 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 10096 | 2005lj | 0.0789 | 29.42928 | -0.17945 | 2005-11-07 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 10106 |  | 0.1479 | 47.69327 | -0.20488 | 2005-11-07 | SN Ia? | 2 |
| 10297 | 2005 mk | 0.1486 | -19.33066 | +0.83301 | 2005-11-08 | SN II | 1 |
| 10367 | 2005 kb | 0.0155 | 12.71115 | +0.85362 | 2005-11-08 | SN II | 2 |
| 10434 | 2005lk | 0.1037 | -30.04406 | -1.19371 | 2005-11-08 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 10449 | 200511 | 0.246 | -22.97138 | -1.12817 | 2005-11-08 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 10550 | 2005lf | 0.3008 | -10.32462 | $-1.20487$ | 2005-11-08 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 10805 | 2005 ku | 0.0456 | $-15.07246$ | -0.01371 | 2005-11-10 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 10907 | 2005lm | 0.0853 | 3.77046 | +0.35514 | 2005-11-12 | SN II | 1 |
| 11017 | $2005 \mathrm{mj}{ }^{\text {g }}$ | 0.210 | -37.04401 | -1.06939 | 2005-11-13 | SN II | 1 |
| 11067 | 2005 ml | 0.119 | 33.51840 | -0.23918 | 2005-11-14 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 11206 | 2005 mm | 0.3805 | 3.28979 | +1.14552 | 2005-11-20 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 11300 | 2005ln | 0.130 | 6.75050 | -0.58662 | 2005-11-20 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 11320 | 2005 mo | 0.2743 | 57.55376 | -0.24022 | 2005-11-22 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 11332 | 2005 mn | 0.0474 | 57.32682 | -0.69207 | 2005-11-23 | SN Ib | 4 |
| 11452 | 2005lo | 0.300 | 9.29943 | -1.20342 | 2005-11-24 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 11557 | 2005lq | 0.382 | 40.40017 | +0.20502 | 2005-11-24 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 11650 | 2005mp | 0.275 | 16.19032 | +0.05563 | 2005-11-25 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 11864 | 2005lp | 0.305 | 26.92832 | +0.20722 | 2005-11-26 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12136 | 2005 mq | 0.352 | -9.90923 | -0.34989 | 2005-11-27 | SN Ia | 1 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Internal SN candidate designation.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Final spectroscopic redshifts. When narrow galaxy lines are detected in at least one of the spectra, the redshifts are given to four decimal places. The typical uncertainties are $\sim 0.0005$. When only the SN is detected, the redshifts are given to three decimal places and the uncertainties are $\sim 0.005$ (see Zheng et al. 2007).
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Right Ascension is provided in decimal degrees defined in the range $\left[-180^{\circ},+180^{\circ}\right]$.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The final spectroscopic type of the SN candidate. Spectroscopically probable SNe Ia are designated "SN Ia?" (see Zheng et al. 2007).
${ }^{\text {e }}$ This includes all spectral observations performed including those of the SN candidate and possibly its host galaxy.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Spectroscopically confirmed by Quimby et al. (2007).
${ }^{5}$ Spectroscopically confirmed by the ESSENCE Group.

Table 6. List of spectroscopically confirmed supernovae in 2006

| Candidate $I D^{\text {a }}$ | IAU <br> Name | $\mathrm{z}^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RA }^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & \text { (degrees } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ \text { n J } 2000 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Discovery Date | Type ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \# of Spectra ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12778 | 2006fs | 0.10 | -42.50417 | +0.40879 | 2006-08-27 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12779 | 2006fd | 0.08 | -50.52813 | +1.22112 | 2006-08-27 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12780 | $2006 \mathrm{eq}^{\text {f }}$ | 0.05 | -37.84531 | +1.22811 | 2006-08-27 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 12781 | 2006er | 0.08 | 5.40656 | -1.00997 | 2006-08-27 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12782 | 2006fq | 0.07 | 5.00338 | -0.62497 | 2006-08-27 | SN II | 1 |
| 12819 | 2006ho | 0.11 | 8.36686 | -0.32024 | 2006-08-29 | SN II | 1 |
| 12820 | 2006 fg | 0.04 | 9.58353 | -0.29499 | 2006-08-29 | SN II | 4 |
| 12841 | 2006 gk | 0.29 | -38.65275 | -1.03367 | 2006-09-11 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12842 | 2006ez | 0.09 | -36.54476 | -1.01596 | 2006-09-11 | SN II | 4 |
| 12843 | 2006 fa | 0.17 | -36.12191 | -0.98027 | 2006-09-11 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 12851 | 2006gm | 0.25 | -15.21893 | -0.62737 | 2006-09-11 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12853 | 2006 ey | 0.17 | -43.23444 | +0.72307 | 2006-09-11 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12855 | 2006fk | 0.17 | -29.74447 | +0.71624 | 2006-09-11 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 12856 | 2006f | 0.17 | -27.13453 | +0.75598 | 2006-09-11 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12860 | 2006fc | 0.12 | -36.30580 | +1.17591 | 2006-09-11 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 12869 | 2006ge | 0.28 | -32.60088 | +0.00108 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12874 | 2006fb | 0.24 | -6.03538 | -0.17711 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12881 | 2006gu | 0.24 | 10.16235 | -0.07357 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12883 | 2006 fr | 0.31 | -47.37411 | +0.39867 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 12897 | 2006 eb | 0.02 | 18.42139 | -0.10158 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12898 | 2006fw | 0.08 | 26.79308 | -0.14696 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 12907 | 2006fv | 0.13 | 20.40784 | +0.41453 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 12927 | 2006fj | 0.19 | 41.78614 | +0.77513 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12928 | 2006ew | 0.14 | -54.99097 | -0.97569 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12930 | 2006ex | 0.15 | -50.31722 | -0.47453 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12950 | 2006fy | 0.08 | -8.33255 | -0.84028 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 12971 | 2006 ff | 0.23 | 6.64850 | -0.30207 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12972 | 2006 ft | 0.26 | 7.95859 | -0.38296 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12977 | 2006gh | 0.25 | 13.69561 | -0.25086 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12979 | 2006gf | 0.12 | 11.60148 | +0.00348 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12983 | 2006 gl | 0.27 | 16.45878 | +0.14480 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 12991 | 2006gd | 0.15 | 17.60995 | -1.06803 | 2006-09-12 | SN II | 1 |
| 13005 | 2006fh | 0.12 | 27.39546 | -0.64988 | 2006-09-12 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13025 | 2006fx | 0.22 | -18.43267 | +0.41590 | 2006-09-15 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 13038 | 2006 gn | 0.10 | -12.17322 | +0.50461 | 2006-09-15 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13044 | 2006 fm | 0.12 | -27.45725 | +0.50326 | 2006-09-15 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 13045 | 2006fn | 0.18 | -14.97506 | $+0.53767$ | 2006-09-15 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13070 | 2006fu | 0.20 | -2.21494 | -0.74634 | 2006-09-15 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13072 | 2006fi | 0.23 | -25.04053 | $+0.02437$ | 2006-09-15 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 13099 | 2006gb | 0.27 | -0.18127 | -1.25038 | 2006-09-16 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13135 | 2006fz | 0.10 | 4.17229 | -0.42454 | 2006-09-16 | SN Ia | 9 |
| 13136 | 2006go | 0.37 | 6.14068 | -0.27906 | 2006-09-16 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13152 | 2006 gg | 0.20 | 7.05211 | +0.11801 | 2006-09-16 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13174 | 2006ga | 0.24 | 13.23469 | +0.44786 | 2006-09-16 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 13195 | 2006fo | 0.02 | 38.16203 | +0.61751 | 2006-09-16 | SN Ic | 11 |

Table 6-Continued

| Candidate ID ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | IAU <br> Name | $z^{\text {b }}$ | RA ${ }^{c}$ <br> (degrees | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dec } \\ \mathrm{s} \text { in } \mathrm{J} 2000) \end{array}$ | Discovery Date | Type ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \# of Spectra ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13254 | 2006gx | 0.18 | 42.05867 | $-0.34703$ | 2006-09-16 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13305 | 2006he | 0.21 | -28.89951 | +0.69121 | 2006-09-17 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13327 | 2006jf | 0.28 | -21.27261 | +0.00204 | 2006-09-17 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13354 | 2006hr | 0.16 | 27.56482 | $-0.88727$ | 2006-09-17 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13355 | 2006kh | 0.06 | 27.29935 | $-0.60526$ | 2006-09-17 | SN II | 1 |
| 13357 | 2006gw | 0.33 | 29.47294 | $-0.53415$ | 2006-09-17 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13370 | 2006 gv | 0.20 | 27.15155 | +0.32822 | 2006-09-18 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13376 | 2006 gq | 0.07 | 26.30225 | +0.35644 | 2006-09-18 | SN II | 4 |
| 13425 | 2006gp | 0.21 | -21.45832 | +0.05499 | 2006-09-18 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13449 | 2006ix | 0.08 | -0.19154 | -0.31104 | 2006-09-18 | SN II | 1 |
| 13467 | 2006hq | 0.07 | -5.05354 | $+0.20523$ | 2006-09-18 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 13506 | 2006hg | 0.24 | 25.24334 | -0.72785 | 2006-09-19 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13511 | 2006hh | 0.24 | 40.61235 | $-0.79412$ | 2006-09-19 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13518 | $2006 \mathrm{mn}^{\text {g }}$ |  | 16.95163 | -0.10984 | 2006-09-19 | SN Ia |  |
| 13577 | 2006 kg | 0.23 | 16.07075 | +0.76913 | 2006-09-19 | SN II | 1 |
| 13578 | 2006hc | 0.20 | 17.39470 | $+0.70416$ | 2006-09-19 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13610 | 2006hd | 0.30 | -33.98522 | +0.72628 | 2006-09-19 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13641 | 2006hf | 0.22 | -14.78127 | $-0.98114$ | 2006-09-19 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13655 | 2006hs | 0.26 | 39.02022 | -0.99418 | 2006-09-19 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13727 | 2006hj | 0.23 | -42.41192 | +0.93262 | 2006-09-20 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 13736 | 2006hv | 0.15 | -23.16671 | +1.03097 | 2006-09-20 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 13757 | 2006hk | 0.28 | -9.87691 | $-1.15785$ | 2006-09-20 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13796 | 2006hl | 0.15 | -9.30843 | +0.53288 | 2006-09-20 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 13830 | 2006 hm | 0.33 | -8.43828 | $-0.38228$ | 2006-09-20 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13835 | 2006hp | 0.25 | 6.05964 | $-0.24826$ | 2006-09-20 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13894 | 2006jh | 0.13 | 1.69061 | $-0.03676$ | 2006-09-22 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13934 | 2006jg | 0.33 | $-17.88899$ | -0.43527 | 2006-09-22 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 13936 | 2006hz | 0.23 | 3.17083 | $-0.53548$ | 2006-09-22 | SN II | 1 |
| 13956 | 2006hi | 0.26 | 20.94181 | $+0.81673$ | 2006-09-23 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14019 | 2006ki | 0.22 | -43.35758 | -0.64795 | 2006-09-27 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14024 | 2006ht | 0.20 | -41.80144 | $+0.91635$ | 2006-09-27 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14108 | 2006hu | 0.14 | 53.59465 | -1.12321 | 2006-09-28 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14157 | 2006kj | 0.21 | 51.13669 | +1.02236 | 2006-09-28 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 14212 | 2006iy | 0.20 | -29.52989 | +1.04501 | 2006-09-29 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14261 | 2006jk | 0.29 | -31.75960 | +0.25379 | 2006-09-29 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14279 | 2006hx | 0.04 | 18.48878 | $+0.37167$ | 2006-09-29 | SN Ia | 6 |
| 14284 | 2006ib | 0.18 | 49.04929 | -0.60094 | 2006-09-29 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14298 | 2006jj | 0.27 | -45.10495 | +1.22326 | 2006-09-29 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14318 | 2006py | 0.06 | -19.57480 | -0.13692 | 2006-09-30 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 14331 | 2006kl | 0.22 | 7.88868 | -0.13581 | 2006-09-30 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14377 | 2006hw | 0.14 | 48.26431 | $-0.47163$ | 2006-09-30 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14397 | 2006kk | 0.39 | 6.91610 | +0.64951 | 2006-09-30 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14421 | 2006ia | 0.17 | 31.82988 | +1.25208 | 2006-09-30 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14437 | 2006hy | 0.14 | -27.91904 | -1.19639 | 2006-10-01 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 14450 | 2006kn | 0.12 | -45.47827 | +0.90048 | 2006-10-01 | SN II | 1 |

Table 6-Continued

| Candidate ID ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | IAU <br> Name | $z^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{RA}^{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> (degrees | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dec } \\ \mathrm{s} \text { in } \mathrm{J} 2000) \end{array}$ | Discovery Date | Type ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \# of Spectra ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14451 | 2006ji | 0.18 | -51.80505 | $+0.92707$ | 2006-10-01 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14456 | 2006jm | 0.33 | -16.44890 | +1.05066 | 2006-10-01 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14481 | 2006lj | 0.24 | 2.68173 | $+0.20131$ | 2006-10-01 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14492 | 2006jo | 0.08 | 20.81130 | $-0.32964$ | 2006-10-01 | SN Ib | 2 |
| 14599 | 2006jl | 0.05 | -51.98055 | $-0.57454$ | 2006-10-01 | SN II | 4 |
| 14735 | 2006 km | 0.30 | 35.15803 | $+0.34838$ | 2006-10-02 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14782 | 2006jp | 0.16 | $-45.76575$ | -0.27904 | 2006-10-02 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14815 | 2006iz | 0.14 | -40.92836 | +0.55949 | 2006-10-03 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 14816 | 2006ja | 0.11 | -23.28370 | $+0.50606$ | 2006-10-03 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 14846 | 2006jn | 0.23 | 7.66324 | $+0.14158$ | 2006-10-03 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14871 | 2006jq | 0.11 | 54.27710 | $+0.00936$ | 2006-10-03 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 14979 | 2006jr | 0.18 | 54.94643 | +0.99282 | 2006-10-03 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 14984 | 2006js | 0.19 | -46.16643 | -0.09279 | 2006-10-03 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15002 | 2006ko | 0.38 | 22.24981 | +0.76995 | 2006-10-04 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 15009 | 2006kp | 0.29 | 32.79856 | +0.69583 | 2006-10-04 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 15031 | 2006iw | 0.03 | -9.66882 | $+0.25973$ | 2006-10-04 | SN II | 5 |
| 15057 | $2006 \mathrm{md}^{\text {g }}$ |  | 17.88126 | 0.40949 | 2006-10-04 | SN Ia |  |
| 15129 | 2006 kq | 0.20 | -41.09761 | $-0.32143$ | 2006-10-04 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15132 | 2006jt | 0.14 | -30.29977 | +0.19769 | 2006-10-04 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 15136 | 2006ju | 0.15 | -8.83745 | $-0.71836$ | 2006-10-05 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 15161 | 2006jw | 0.25 | 35.84291 | $+0.81900$ | 2006-10-12 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 15170 | 2006jx | 0.25 | 58.05858 | $+0.29207$ | 2006-10-12 | SN Ib | 1 |
| 15171 | 2006 kb | 0.12 | -55.20746 | -1.06449 | 2006-10-13 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 15201 | 2006ks | 0.21 | -22.48059 | +0.00364 | 2006-10-13 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15203 | 2006jy | 0.20 | 15.73471 | +0.18309 | 2006-10-13 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15213 | 2006lk | 0.31 | 53.01914 | $-0.10014$ | 2006-10-13 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15217 | 2006jv | 0.37 | 22.63421 | $+0.21980$ | 2006-10-13 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15219 | 2006ka | 0.25 | 34.61115 | +0.22669 | 2006-10-13 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15222 | 2006jz | 0.20 | 2.85338 | $+0.70274$ | 2006-10-13 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15229 | 2006 kr | 0.22 | 4.83198 | +1.09072 | 2006-10-13 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15234 | 2006 kd | 0.13 | 16.95834 | $+0.82820$ | 2006-10-13 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 15254 | 2006oy | 0.20 | -46.50709 | $-0.36005$ | 2006-10-14 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15259 | 2006 kc | 0.22 | -22.45580 | $-0.40782$ | 2006-10-14 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15286 | g | 0.00 | -56.60836 | -1.11915 | 2006-10-15 | SN Ia | ... |
| 15287 | 2006kt | 0.23 | -36.04032 | $-1.05743$ | 2006-10-15 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15301 | 2006lo | 0.18 | -36.42008 | +0.58911 | 2006-10-15 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15320 | 2006 kv | 0.06 | 0.77773 | +0.91377 | 2006-10-16 | SN II | 4 |
| 15339 | 2006 ns | 0.12 | -36.61574 | +0.78484 | 2006-10-17 | SN II | 4 |
| 15340 | 2006mu | 0.14 | -23.99717 | $+0.82135$ | 2006-10-17 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 15354 | 2006lp | 0.22 | 6.77368 | -0.12598 | 2006-10-17 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 15356 | 2006lm | 0.28 | -24.94668 | +0.40995 | 2006-10-17 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15365 | 2006 ku | 0.19 | -5.44334 | +1.24909 | 2006-10-18 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15369 | 2006ln | 0.24 | -11.16705 | -0.56261 | 2006-10-18 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 15383 | 2006lq | 0.32 | 34.14953 | $-0.15516$ | 2006-10-18 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15421 | 2006kw | 0.18 | 33.74160 | +0.60251 | 2006-10-21 | SN Ia | 1 |

Table 6-Continued

| Candidate $I D^{a}$ | IAU <br> Name | $z^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{RA}^{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> (degrees | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ \text { in } \mathrm{J} 2000 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Discovery Date | Type ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \# of Spectra ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15425 | 2006 kx | 0.16 | 55.56108 | +0.47829 | 2006-10-21 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15433 | 2006 mt | 0.22 | 14.87963 | $-0.25651$ | 2006-10-21 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 15440 | 20061r | 0.26 | 39.72067 | +0.09013 | 2006-10-21 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15443 | 2006lb | 0.18 | 49.86744 | -0.31803 | 2006-10-21 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15453 | 2006ky | 0.18 | -40.33170 | -1.02429 | 2006-10-21 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15456 | 200611 | 0.38 | -28.13274 | -0.90341 | 2006-10-21 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15459 | 2006la | 0.12 | -19.29859 | -0.90175 | 2006-10-21 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15461 | 2006kz | 0.18 | -33.15252 | -0.49468 | 2006-10-21 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15466 | 2006mz | 0.25 | -42.35496 | -0.12322 | 2006-10-21 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15475 | 2006lc | 0.02 | -18.89802 | -0.16487 | 2006-10-21 | SN Ic | 7 |
| 15504 | 2006oc | 0.27 | -14.29855 | -0.87642 | 2006-10-22 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15508 | 20061s | 0.14 | 27.16898 | -0.57661 | 2006-10-22 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 15557 | 2006oz | 0.00 | -27.77686 | $+0.89735$ | 2006-10-23 | SN Ib | 1 |
| 15583 | 2006 mv | 0.17 | 37.73108 | $+0.94630$ | 2006-10-23 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15584 | 2006nt | 0.28 | 43.49546 | +0.98696 | 2006-10-23 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15648 | 2006ni | 0.17 | -46.28162 | -0.19482 | 2006-10-23 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15674 | 2006 nu | 0.20 | -19.17084 | +0.26291 | 2006-10-23 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15704 | 2006nh | 0.36 | 40.21073 | $+0.65875$ | 2006-10-24 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15734 | 2006ng | 0.40 | 5.53028 | -0.09564 | 2006-10-24 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15749 | 2006mw | 0.12 | 51.28531 | -0.04058 | 2006-10-24 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 15756 | 2006nf | 0.39 | 0.37483 | $+0.27597$ | 2006-10-24 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15760 | $2006 \mathrm{mh}^{\mathrm{g}}$ | ... | 18.80583 | 0.39925 | 2006-10-24 | SN Ia | . |
| 15776 | 2006na | 0.32 | 32.82944 | -0.99826 | 2006-10-24 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15833 | 2006mx | 0.13 | -59.13613 | +0.57021 | 2006-10-26 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 15868 | 2006pa | 0.25 | 38.09980 | -0.71358 | 2006-10-28 | SN Ia? | 3 |
| 15872 | 2006 nb | 0.21 | 36.72250 | $-0.32781$ | 2006-10-28 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15897 | 2006pb | 0.17 | 11.68145 | -1.03290 | 2006-10-29 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 15901 | 2006od | 0.17 | 31.97630 | -0.53539 | 2006-10-29 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16000 | 2006nj | 0.40 | 21.11762 | $+0.07439$ | 2006-10-30 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16021 | 2006 nc | 0.12 | 13.84373 | -0.38881 | 2006-10-30 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 16032 | 2006nk | 0.20 | 44.06922 | $-0.41077$ | 2006-10-30 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16069 | 2006nd | 0.13 | -18.75392 | -1.00660 | 2006-10-31 | SN Ia | 4 |
| 16072 | 2006 nv | 0.29 | 3.12444 | -0.97731 | 2006-10-31 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16073 | 2006of | 0.16 | 8.10776 | -1.05400 | 2006-10-31 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16087 | 2006pc | 0.06 | 26.04409 | -0.15589 | 2006-11-01 | SN II | 1 |
| 16093 | 2006oe | 0.34 | -9.63753 | +1.13248 | 2006-11-01 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16099 | 2006nn | 0.20 | 26.42094 | -1.05439 | 2006-11-01 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16100 | 2006nl | 0.19 | 30.43629 | -1.03241 | 2006-11-01 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16106 | 2006no | 0.25 | -27.91065 | -1.14878 | 2006-11-01 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16108 | 2006og | 0.16 | -56.98224 | +0.57061 | 2006-11-01 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16116 | 2006oh | 0.16 | -4.69799 | -1.10581 | 2006-11-01 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16165 | 2006nw | 0.16 | 30.73314 | $-0.53387$ | 2006-11-02 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16179 | 2006nx | 0.14 | 53.37764 | $-0.67729$ | 2006-11-03 | SN Ic | 6 |
| 16185 | 2006ok | 0.10 | 16.86807 | $-0.26937$ | 2006-11-09 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16192 | 2006ny | 0.08 | 9.82211 | +0.08393 | 2006-11-09 | SN II | 2 |

Table 6-Continued

| Candidate $I D^{a}$ | IAU <br> Name | $z^{\text {b }}$ | RA $^{c}$ <br> (degrees | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dec } \\ \mathrm{s} \text { in } \mathrm{J} 2000) \end{array}$ | Discovery Date | Type ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \# of Spectra ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16206 | 2006pe | 0.16 | 5.78819 | $-0.05363$ | 2006-11-09 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16211 | 2006 nm | 0.31 | -11.83591 | +0.26693 | 2006-11-09 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16213 | 2006oi | 0.20 | 8.97105 | +0.25840 | 2006-11-09 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16215 | 2006ne | 0.05 | 18.40767 | +0.42388 | 2006-11-09 | SN Ia | 8 |
| 16232 | 2006oj | 0.34 | 17.20541 | $-0.98955$ | 2006-11-10 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16259 | 2006ol | 0.12 | -7.97003 | +0.85635 | 2006-11-10 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16276 | 2006om | 0.16 | 20.57866 | +1.01062 | 2006-11-10 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16280 | 2006 nz | 0.04 | 14.12170 | $-1.22673$ | 2006-11-11 | SN Ia | 4 |
| 16281 | 2006pd | 0.19 | -7.05785 | -0.66805 | 2006-11-11 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16287 | 2006np | 0.11 | 46.66451 | +0.06403 | 2006-11-11 | SN Ia | 6 |
| 16314 | 2006oa | 0.06 | -39.07107 | -0.84347 | 2006-11-12 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 16333 | 2006on | 0.07 | -31.00630 | $-1.07020$ | 2006-11-12 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 16350 | 2006ph | 0.24 | -36.36051 | $-0.88583$ | 2006-11-14 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16352 | 2006pk | 0.25 | -13.75064 | $-0.87336$ | 2006-11-14 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 16357 | 2006pi | 0.37 | -29.18082 | -0.60684 | 2006-11-14 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16392 | 2006ob | 0.06 | 27.95060 | +0.26331 | 2006-11-14 | SN Ia | 6 |
| 16402 | 2006sv | 0.30 | $-23.63228$ | +0.26527 | 2006-11-14 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 16414 | 2006pj | 0.31 | -20.67354 | +0.73814 | 2006-11-14 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16421 | 2006pg | 0.32 | 51.83630 | +1.08966 | 2006-11-14 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16442 | 2006 oo | 0.28 | -30.05319 | -0.73322 | 2006-11-15 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16473 | 2006pl | 0.22 | $-31.38785$ | +0.58852 | 2006-11-15 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 16482 | 2006pm | 0.20 | -31.29049 | $+0.93367$ | 2006-11-15 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16541 | 2006pn | 0.13 | 57.26188 | -0.53277 | 2006-11-16 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16567 | 2006pf | 0.40 | 25.43805 | $-0.18915$ | 2006-11-17 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16578 | 2006po | 0.18 | -54.18680 | -0.84849 | 2006-11-17 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16618 | 2006pq | 0.20 | 21.27937 | $-1.21894$ | 2006-11-18 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16619 | 2006ps | 0.10 | 25.93874 | -1.11192 | 2006-11-18 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 16631 | 2006pv | 0.21 | 54.62279 | $-0.67557$ | 2006-11-18 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16641 | 2006pr | 0.11 | 23.56123 | -0.40363 | 2006-11-18 | SN Ia | 3 |
| 16644 | 2006 pt | 0.30 | 36.81737 | -0.39348 | 2006-11-18 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16668 | 2006pu | 0.15 | 40.72425 | +0.89287 | 2006-11-18 | SN II | 1 |
| 16692 | 2006op | 0.03 | -39.61722 | +0.99331 | 2006-11-18 | SN Ia? | 2 |
| 16737 | 2006qc | 0.20 | -47.16732 | $-0.95232$ | 2006-11-20 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16748 | 2006sx | 0.23 | 7.95132 | -0.51394 | 2006-11-20 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 16758 | 2006pw | 0.33 | -18.38765 | +1.15081 | 2006-11-20 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16774 | 2006sy | 0.21 | 35.27168 | $+0.82840$ | 2006-11-20 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 16776 | 2006qd | 0.27 | -12.97859 | -0.17608 | 2006-11-20 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16779 | 2006qa | 0.40 | 45.29054 | -0.02624 | 2006-11-20 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16781 | 2006qb | 0.33 | 52.06103 | -0.20096 | 2006-11-20 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16789 | 2006pz | 0.33 | 43.78434 | $+0.23477$ | 2006-11-20 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16793 | 2006qg | 0.23 | -31.42464 | $+0.44701$ | 2006-11-20 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16836 | 2006sw | 0.33 | 16.25085 | $-0.37464$ | 2006-11-21 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16840 | 2006qf | 0.17 | 57.98849 | $-0.39658$ | 2006-11-21 | SN II | 1 |
| 16847 | 2006px | 0.28 | -6.67875 | +0.96110 | 2006-11-21 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16872 | 2006qh | 0.12 | $-33.02908$ | +0.70684 | 2006-11-21 | SN Ia | 1 |

Table 6-Continued

| Candidate $I D^{a}$ | IAU <br> Name | $z^{\text {b }}$ | RA $^{c}$ <br> (degrees | Dec <br> J2000) | Discovery Date | Type ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | \# of Spectra ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16894 | 2006tb | 0.30 | 56.31453 | -0.12854 | 2006-11-22 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16899 | 2006ta | 0.29 | 52.46008 | +0.29509 | 2006-11-22 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16938 | 2006qe | 0.39 | -11.91674 | -0.53442 | 2006-11-22 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16941 | 2006sz | 0.19 | -4.28142 | -0.55636 | 2006-11-22 | SN Ia? | 1 |
| 16953 | 2006pp | 0.34 | 12.24364 | +0.47695 | 2006-11-23 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16956 | 2006qj | 0.11 | -57.22662 | -0.31996 | 2006-11-23 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 16988 | 2006qk | 0.06 | -23.61507 | +0.15419 | 2006-11-24 | SN Ic | 4 |
| 17048 | 2006qi | 0.19 | 27.58151 | +0.88490 | 2006-11-24 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 17081 | 2006ql | 0.27 | 27.53797 | +0.42048 | 2006-11-25 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 17106 | 2006tc | 0.21 | 47.00818 | $+0.94343$ | 2006-11-25 | SN Ia | 1 |
| 17117 | 2006qm | 0.14 | 40.60031 | -0.79652 | 2006-11-25 | SN Ia | 2 |
| 17135 | 2006 rz | 0.03 | 56.52825 | +0.38975 | 2006-11-26 | SN Ia | 4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Internal SN candidate designation.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Preliminary spectroscopic redshifts. The typical uncertainties are $\sim 0.01$.
${ }^{c}$ Right Ascension is provided in decimal degrees defined in the range $\left[-180^{\circ},+180^{\circ}\right]$.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The preliminary spectroscopic type of the SN candidate. Spectroscopically probable SNe Ia are designated "SN Ia?" (see Zheng et al. 2007).
${ }^{e}$ This includes all spectral observations performed including those of the SN candidate and possibly its host galaxy.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Spectroscopically confirmed by the CfA SN Group.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Spectroscopically confirmed by the ESSENCE Group.

Table 7. Summary of the 2005 and 2006 search seasons

| Number of | 2005 | 2006 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Nights on SDSS 2.5m | 59 | 60 |  |
| Runs | 73 | 90 |  |
| Total number of objects | 375,187 | 391,435 |  |
| $\quad$ Number of objects scanned | 155,616 | 14,430 |  |
| $\quad$ Number of objects tagged as SN | 24,402 | 4,189 |  |
| SN candidates discovered $^{\text {a }}$ | 11,385 | 3,694 |  |
| $\quad$ Single-epoch candidates $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 6,618 | 599 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The number of unique SN candidates tagged by a scanner. This number differs from the number of objects tagged as a SN because it includes multiple objects from the same candidate.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Candidates that were detected in only a single run. Most of these sources are likely to be solar system objects.

Table 8. Spectroscopic Observations of 2005 and 2006 Candidates

| Number of Spectroscopic Observations | 2005 | 2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SN Ia candidates ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 173 | 241 |
| Identified as SN Ia | $130^{\text {b }}$ | $197{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Identified as probable SN Ia | 16 | 14 |
| Resulting in host galaxy spectra of photometric SN Ia | 7 | 7 |
| Resulting in other host galaxy spectra | 3 | 6 |
| Identified as SN Ib/c | 1 | 0 |
| Identified as SN II | $5^{\text {d }}$ | 6 |
| Identified as AGN | 2 | 0 |
| Identified as flaring M-dwarf | 1 | 1 |
| Unidentified | 8 | 10 |
| Non-SN Ia candidates ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 24 | 42 |
| Identified as SN Ib/c | 7 | 6 |
| Identified as SN II | 7 | 14 |
| Photometric SN Ia candidates with host galaxy spectroscopy ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 81 | 6 |
| Other SN candidates with host galaxy spectroscopy ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 26 | 0 |
| Duplicate and multi-epoch spectra ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 86 | 148 |
| Total | 390 | 437 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of candidates targeted for spectroscopy that had been photometrically identified as a possible SN Ia.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ This includes one SN Ia that was spectroscopically confirmed by the Texas Supernova Search Quimby et al. 2007).
${ }^{\text {c }}$ This includes five SNe Ia that were spectroscopically confirmed by the CfA SN Group and the ESSENCE Group.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ This includes one SN II that was spectroscopically confirmed by the ESSENCE Group.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ This includes observations of core-collapse SNe, novae, and other interesting transients.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Of the 113 host galaxy spectra obtained, the measured redshifts of a sample of 81 sources were consistent with the photometric redshift estimated from the SN Ia model light curve fits, and were thus identified as photometric SN Ia.
${ }^{\text {g }}$ These were targeted as host galaxies of photometric SN Ia candidates. The observations, however, resulted in either noisy, unclassifiable spectra or measured spectroscopic redshifts that are inconsistent with the SN Ia light curve redshifts implying that the candidates are not SNe Ia.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ The total number of duplicate and multi-epoch spectra; not the number of candidates targeted for multi-epoch spectroscopy.
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