# **Measurements of** $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ **Decays at BABAR**

Timofei Piatenko (on behalf of the BABAR Collaboration)

California Institute of Technology, MC 356-48, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

**Abstract.** We present measurements of the Branching Fraction and photon energy spectrum in  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$  decays in a sample of 89 million  $B\overline{B}$  pairs collected at the BABAR detector at Stanford Linear Accelerator Center's PEP-II asymmetric B-factory. Results from a fully-inclusive and a sum of 38 exclusive final states techniques are presented and found to be consistent with the Standard Model calculations, as well as experimental results obtained from semileptonic  $B \rightarrow X_c l \nu$  decays.

**Keywords:** *B* meson, radiative penguin decays, photon energy spectrum, semileptonic decays **PACS:** 13.30.Ce, 13.25.Hw, 12.39.Hg, 12.38.Lg

## MOTIVATION

An overall goal of the BABAR experiment is to precisely measure and over-constrain parameters of the Cabbibo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) mixing matrix, which governs the weak couplings of quarks in the Standard Model (SM). The smallest element of the CKM matrix,  $V_{ub}$ , can be obtained from measurements of the Branching Fraction (BF) of semileptonic  $B \rightarrow X_{\mu} l \nu$  decays that present a clean experimental signature. However, theoretical calculations of the decay amplitude are complicated by the Fermi motion of the b quark inside the B meson. While Operator Product Expansion (OPE) can be applied to deal with non-perturbative corrections to the quark-level calculations, the validity of this approach is limited by the kinematic restrictions imposed by experimental conditions. When the non-perturbative contributions are expanded in  $1/m_b$  in what is known as Heavy Quark Expansion (HQE), the terms can be re-summed into a Shape Function, which cannot be calculated analytically. The decay rate is given by a convolution of the Shape Function and the perturbative part[1]. Since the Shape Function applies to all decays of B meson to light quarks, it can be measured in kinematically simple radiative penguin  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$  decays by relating HQE parameters to moments of the  $E_{\gamma}$  spectrum:  $\langle E_{\gamma} \rangle \approx \frac{m_b}{2}, \langle E_{\gamma}^2 - \langle E_{\gamma} \rangle^2 \rangle \propto \mu_{\pi}^2$  ([2], [3], and [4]). Theoretically, there's less dependence on the heavy quark distribution at low  $E_{\gamma}$ , where different expansion schemes agree the best, while higher energy photons constitute a cleaner experimental signature.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE**

Current next-to-leading-order theoretical calculations give, for example,  $BF(B \rightarrow X_s\gamma, E_{\gamma} > 1.6 \text{ GeV}) = (3.61^{+0.37}_{-0.49}) \times 10^{-4}$ [5], making the measurement challenging. At the BABAR detector (described in detail in [6]), excellent energy resolution of the Electromagnetic Calorimeter allows for rather clean detection of high-energy photons, while superior performance of the particle identification system allows for ~ 4 $\sigma$  sep-

Contributed to Quark Confinement and the Hadron Spectrum 7, 09/02/2006--9/7/2006, Ponta Delgada, Azores, Portugal

aration between *K*'s and  $\pi$ 's. This helps suppress the overwhelming background from continuum  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$  events, where *q* is one of the lighter *u*, *d*, *s*, or *c* quarks.

Two separate analyses, both based on 89 million  $B\overline{B}$  pairs collected at BABAR at the  $\Upsilon(4s)$  resonance, were carried out. The fully-inclusive analysis[7] reconstructs the signal photon, but not the hadron, avoiding the issue of final state fragmentation and  $X_s$  modes missing from Monte Carlo simulation, problematic for the semi-inclusive method that uses a sum of 38 exclusive modes[8]. On the other hand, it suffers from a higher level of background and poorer  $E_{\gamma}$  resolution. The semi-inclusive analysis also has the benefit of working entirely in the *B* meson frame.

The fully-inclusive analysis applies a cut at 1.9 GeV on  $E_{\gamma}^*$  in the  $\Upsilon(4s)$  rest frame. The  $q\bar{q}$  background is suppressed using a lepton tag of the other *B* meson in the event, as well as event shape variables that take advantage of the fact that in the  $\Upsilon(4s)$  frame, *B*'s are produced almost at rest and decay isotropically, while continuum events tend to be jet-like. Photons consistent with the decay of a  $\pi^0$  or  $\eta$  are vetoed. Data collected about 40 MeV below the  $\Upsilon(4s)$  resonance is used to subtract remaining continuum background, while appropriate control samples are used to estimate the systematic effects of background resulting from non-signal decays of the *B* meson.

In the semi-inclusive analysis, 38 fully-reconstructed decay modes to  $\pi$ 's, K's,  $\pi^0$ 's, and  $\eta$ 's are combined. The decays are simulated using JETSET[9], which requires control sample studies to correct for missing modes. The BF, calculated for  $E_{\gamma} > 1.9 \text{ GeV}$  and  $0.6 < M(X_s) < 2.8 \text{ GeV}$ , is determined from a fit to beam energy substituted mass of the *B* meson,  $m_{\text{ES}} \equiv \sqrt{E_{Beam}^* - p_B^*}^2$ , where the star refers to the  $\Upsilon(4s)$  frame.

## **RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS**

Both analyses carry out fits to the moments of the  $E_{\gamma}$  distributions, shown in Figure 1. The fully-inclusive analyses obtains  $\langle E_{\gamma} \rangle = (2.288 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.015) \text{ GeV}$ and  $\langle (E_{\gamma} - \langle E_{\gamma} \rangle)^2 \rangle = (0.0328 \pm 0.0040 \pm 0.0023 \pm 0.0036) \text{ GeV}^2$ , while the semiinclusive results are  $\langle E_{\gamma} \rangle = (2.321 \pm 0.038^{+0.017}_{-0.038}) \text{ GeV}$  and  $\langle (E_{\gamma} - \langle E_{\gamma} \rangle)^2 \rangle = (0.0253 \pm 0.0101^{+0.0041}_{-0.0028}) \text{ GeV}^2$ . In the Kinetic scheme[2], these numbers correspond to  $m_b = (4.44 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.14) \text{ GeV}$  and  $\mu_{\pi}^2 = (0.64 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.24) \text{ GeV}^2$  for the fully-inclusive and  $m_b = (4.70^{+0.04}_{-0.08}) \text{ GeV}$  and  $\mu_{\pi}^2 = (0.29^{+0.09}_{-0.04}) \text{ GeV}^2$  for the semi-inclusive analyses. The errors are statistical and systematic, respectively, for the fully-inclusive result, and a combination of the two for the semi-inclusive.

The measured BF's for  $E_{\gamma}^{(*)} > 1.9 \text{ GeV}$  are  $BF(B \to X_s \gamma) = (3.67 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-4}$  and  $BF(B \to X_s \gamma) = (3.27 \pm 0.18^{+0.55+0.04}_{-0.40-0.09}) \times 10^{-4}$  for fully and semi-inclusive analyses, respectively. The errors are statistical, systematic, and due to the choice of the fit model. To compare BF results with theoretical calculations, one must choose a particular scheme and extrapolate the measurements down to  $E_{\gamma} > 1.6 \text{ GeV}$ . For the fully-inclusive approach, this yields, in the Kinetic scheme,  $BF(B \to X_s \gamma) = (3.94 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}$ . Similarly, the semi-inclusive analysis obtains  $BF(B \to X_s \gamma) = (3.35 \pm 0.19^{+0.56+0.04}_{-0.41-0.09}) \times 10^{-4}$ , except that here the Shape Function[3] and Kinetic schemes are averaged. The numbers agree well with the SM expectations.

Buchmüller and Flächer have recently combined all available measurements of the



**FIGURE 1.**  $E_{\gamma}$  spectra for fully-inclusive (left) and semi-inclusive (right) analyses. Data points are compared to Kinetic (dashed or solid line) and Shape Function (dotted or dashed line) schemes for the best-fit HQE parameters provided in the text.

 $E_{\gamma}$  spectrum in  $B \to X_s \gamma$  decays with lepton energy and hadron mass spectra from  $B \to X_c l \nu$  decays[10]. Performing combined fits, they obtain, in Kinetic scheme,  $m_b = (4.590 \pm 0.025_{exp} \pm 0.030_{HQE}) \text{ GeV}$  and  $\mu_{\pi}^2 = (0.401 \pm 0.019_{exp} \pm 0.035_{HQE}) \text{ GeV}^2$ , as well as a value for  $|V_{cb}| = (41.96 \pm 0.23_{exp} \pm 0.35_{HQE} \pm 0.59_{\Gamma_{SL}}) \times 10^{-3}$ . The first error is a combination of experimental statistical and systematic errors, the second accounts for theoretical uncertainties from HQE, and  $\Gamma_{SL}$  is the semileptonic decay rate. The study also demonstrates good agreement between  $B \to X_s \gamma$  and  $B \to X_c l \nu$  decays, confirming the validity of universality assumption for the Shape Function approach to non-perturbative corrections in inclusive decays of the *B* meson.

The BABAR collaboration is working on updating  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$  results with much greater statistical precision. The current full dataset consists of about 350 million  $B\overline{B}$  pairs, with plans to more than double this number by the end of 2008. Precision measurements of radiative  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$  decays are very important for assessing the validity of the Standard Model of particle physics. The current agreement between theoretical calculations and experimental results stands at around 10%, and the aim is to lower both errors to a 5% level in the near future.

### REFERENCES

- 1. G. Ricciardi (2006), hep-ph/0608220.
- 2. D. Benson, I. I. Bigi, and N. Uraltsev, Nucl. Phys. B710, 371-401 (2005), hep-ph/0410080.
- 3. S. W. Bosch, B. O. Lange, M. Neubert, and G. Paz, *Nucl. Phys.* **B699**, 335–386 (2004), hep-ph/0402094.
- 4. A. L. Kagan, and M. Neubert, Eur. Phys. J. C7, 5–27 (1999), hep-ph/9805303.
- 5. T. Hurth, E. Lunghi, and W. Porod, Nucl. Phys. B704, 56–74 (2005), hep-ph/0312260.
- 6. B. Aubert, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A479, 1–116 (2002), hep-ex/0105044.
- 7. B. Aubert, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 171803 (2006), hep-ex/0607071.
- 8. B. Aubert, et al., *Phys. Rev.* D72, 052004 (2005), hep-ex/0508004.
- 9. T. Sjostrand (1995), hep-ph/9508391.
- 10. O. Buchmuller, and H. Flacher, Phys. Rev. D73, 073008 (2006), hep-ph/0507253.