

For submission to The Astrophysical Journal

X-ray Luminosity and Absorption Column Fluctuations in the H₂O Maser Galaxy NGC 4258 from Weeks to Years

Antonella Fruscione¹, Lincoln J. Greenhill^{1,2}, Alexei V. Filippenko³, James M. Moran¹,
James R. Herrnstein⁴, Elizabeth Galle¹

ABSTRACT

We report monitoring of the 0.3-10 keV spectrum of NGC 4258 with the the XMM-*Newton* observatory at five epochs over 1.5 years. We also report reprocessing of an overlapping four epoch series of archival *Chandra* observations (0.5-10 keV). By including earlier *ASCA* and *Beppo-SAX* observations, we present a new, nine year time-series of models fit to the X-ray spectrum of NGC 4258. We model the *Chandra* and XMM-*Newton* data self-consistently with partially absorbed, hard power-law, soft thermal plasma, and soft power-law components. Over the nine years, the photo-electric absorbing column ($\sim 10^{23}$ cm⁻²) did not vary detectably, except for a $\sim 40\%$ drop between two *ASCA* epochs separated by 3 years (in 1993 and 1996) and a $\sim 60\%$ rise between two XMM-*Newton* epochs separated by just 5 months (in 2001 and 2002). In contrast, factor of 2-3 changes are seen in absorbed flux on the timescale of years. These are uncorrelated with changes in absorbing column and indicative of central engine variability. The most rapid change in luminosity (5-10 keV) that we detect (with XMM-*Newton* and *Chandra*) is on the order of 30% over 19 days. The warped disk that is a known source of H₂O maser emission in NGC 4258 is believed to cross the line of sight to the central engine. We propose that the variations in absorbing column arise from inhomogeneities in the rotating disk, as they sweep across the line of sight. We estimate that the inhomogeneities are $\sim 10^{15}$ cm in size

¹Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138 afruscione@cfa.harvard.edu; greenhill@cfa.harvard.edu; moran@cfa.harvard.edu; egalle@cfa.harvard.edu

²Visiting physicist, Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, M.S. 29, 2575 Sand Hill Rd, Menlo Park, CA 94025

³Department of Astronomy, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 alex@astro.berkeley.edu

⁴Renaissance Technologies, Inc., 600 Rt. 25A, E. Setauket, NY 11733; jrh@rentec.com

at radii > 0.27 pc, which is consistent with the estimated radius of the disk crossing. Some previous studies report detection of weak Fe $K\alpha$ emission in NGC 4258. We do not detect this line emission in any of our XMM-*Newton* spectra with a 90% upper limit to the equivalent width of ~ 49 eV for one observation. Weak, time variable Fe line absorption has also been reported for a previous *Chandra* study. We do not observe evidence of absorption lines in the XMM-*Newton* or reprocessed *Chandra* data. The absence of Fe line emission is consistent with the disk being optically thin to hard photons as well as it subtending a small solid angle as seen from the central engine.

Subject headings: accretion, accretion disks — black hole physics — galaxies: active — galaxies: individual (NGC 4258) — galaxies: Seyfert — X-rays: galaxies

1. Introduction

Radio interferometric imaging studies of H₂O maser emission have directly demonstrated that, in at least a few cases, type-2 active galactic nuclei (AGN) contain thin, nearly edge-on, warped accretion disks, with large columns of molecular gas inside ~ 1 pc, e.g., NGC 4258 (Miyoshi et al. 1995), NGC 1068 (Greenhill & Gwinn 1997), and the Circinus galaxy (Greenhill et al. 2003). In these systems, the maser emission is likely stimulated by irradiation and heating of relatively cold gas by hard X-rays (e.g., Neufeld & Maloney 1995). Because the structure of the maser emission can be readily resolved in velocity and angle, it has been possible to use it to map dense ($n_{\text{H}_2} \sim 10^{9\pm 1} \text{ cm}^{-3}$), warm ($T \sim 300 - 1000$ K) accretion disk gas (Elitzur 1992).

The H₂O source in the low luminosity ($L/L_{\text{Eddington}} \approx 10^{-4}$) AGN of NGC 4258 (M106) is remarkable because the disk is extremely well described by a relatively simple dynamical model (Miyoshi et al. 1995). The maser emission has been mapped from radii of 0.14 pc to 0.28 pc around a $3.9 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$ central mass. Maser positions, line-of-sight velocities and accelerations, and proper motions are all measurable, and they fit a simple geometrically thin, slightly warped, Keplerian disk model to high accuracy (Herrnstein et al. 1999). The knowledge of these quantities represent strong constraints for hard X-ray studies aiming at understanding processes related to the central engine: emission mechanisms and surrounding disk structure.

Structure and energetics of type-2 AGN, in fact, are still not well understood mainly because X-ray spectra are complex superpositions of components due to several processes (compact and extended emission, absorption, scattering, and reflection with different ioniza-

tion states) and most astronomical wave-bands currently cannot resolve structures < 1 pc in size. Many AGN models postulates that all AGN are intrinsically the same and orientation plus obscuration effects regulate their classification (e.g., Antonucci & Miller 1985; Urry & Padovani 1995; Elvis 2000). However the actual nature of the obscuring material is still very much under debate and several locations and structures have been proposed: for example a geometrically thick structure, galactic dust (Malkan et al. 1998), a geometrically thin absorber confined to radii comparable to the broad line regions (e.g., Madejski et al. 2000; Risaliti et al. 2002, 2004), an outflow (Elvis et al. 2000).

In the case of NGC 4258 the explanation for the unusual low-luminosity is also an unsettled question: is it the result of low accretion rate or radiatively inefficient accretion? Neufeld & Maloney (1995) suggested the former; other authors (e.g., Lasota et al. 1996; Gammie, Narayan, & Blandford 1999) have modeled the global spectral energy distribution of NGC 4258 with advection-dominated, radiatively inefficient accretion flows (ADAF); Yuan et al. (2002) have suggested an alternative model in which outflow from the ADAFs powers the jet in NGC 4258, the emission from which dominates the continuum from radio to X-ray wavebands; in each case the hard X-ray continuum is expected to arise from a different location (a corona above the disk, hot plasma centered on the central engine, or the base of a jet).

While early soft (< 2.5 keV) X-ray observations detected emission from NGC 4258 (Fabbiano, Kim & Trinchieri 1992, Pietsch et al. 1994), only *ASCA* X-ray observation in the hard band (2-10 keV) allowed Makishima et al. (1994) to model for the first time the spectrum of the central X-ray source of NGC 4258 as an absorbed power law, weak Fe $K\alpha$ line, and optically thin soft thermal component. Reynolds, Nowak & Maloney (2000) report on later *ASCA* observations and time variability on time scales of years in the absorbing column (30%) and in the 5-10 keV flux (a factor of two). Observations with the *Beppo-SAX* PDS detected an extension of the power law component to 70 keV with no significant reflection component: NGC 4258 is one of the only 5 Seyfert 2 galaxies, among a total of 31, for which model fits to the *Beppo-SAX* data are not improved by the addition of a reflection component (Risaliti 2002); *Beppo-SAX* MECS observations detected a factor of two variations in count rate (3-10 keV) on time scales < 100 ks (Fiore et al. 2001), though Reynolds, Nowak & Maloney (2000) did not report variability over 200 ks for a later epoch.

Observations of NGC 4258 with *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* have been the first to separate the central compact emission component from the bulk of the extended soft emission that originates in well-known non-stellar spiral arms on kpc scales (e.g., Wilson et al. 2001). The first *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* spectra are in agreement with *ASCA* and *Beppo-SAX* spectra, except that Fe $K\alpha$ emission is not detected, which has raised doubts about the

original detections. Nonetheless, the line emission is presumed to be closely associated with the central engine, and non-detection may be indicative of variability in line equivalent width of a factor of two on time scales of one to two years. Time variability and narrow line-width would argue in favor of an association between the line emission and accretion disk material (Reynolds, Nowak & Maloney 2000) at radii between $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$ and ~ 1 pc, either by reflection of light from a variable central source or by variation in disk structure (Pietsch & Read 2002). Variability on shorter time scales (~ 10 ks) has been claimed by Young & Wilson (2004) in the possible detection of weak absorption features at 6.4 and 6.9 keV, which presumably would be caused by disk or outflow material at radii $\ll 1$ pc.

We report in this paper the monitoring of NGC 4258 at five epochs with *XMM-Newton* (including the epoch reported by Pietsch & Read (2002)) and four epochs with *Chandra* (Young & Wilson 2004) that are publically available. In total, the *XMM-Newton* and *Chandra* monitoring covers 2.2 years, 2000.2 to 2002.4. The primary goal of the program was characterization of time variability in the absorbing column that might be related to substructure of the accretion disk traced by maser emission. We present a time series of spectral models for NGC 4258, where we have minimized sources of systematic uncertainty by reprocessing and reanalyzing all existing *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* datasets using a consistent set of models and techniques, as well as the most recent calibration databases. We describe the *XMM-Newton* and *Chandra* datasets, their calibration, and the spectral fitting in Section 2. We discuss our findings in Section 3. UT dates are used through this paper.

2. Observations and Analysis

2.1. XMM-Newton Observations

NGC 4258 was observed four times from 2001 May to 2002 May as part of an *XMM-Newton* GO program. Table 1 lists the observation log for the EPIC instrument including the useful exposure times before and after filtering for high background times following the procedure outlined below. For completeness and consistency in analysis, we have included the first *XMM-Newton* observation of NGC 4258, obtained in 2000 December (Pietsch & Read 2002).

All EPIC PN and MOS observations were taken in Prime Full Window mode with a Medium Filter. The entire data analysis was accomplished with a combination of tasks in the *XMM-Newton* and *Chandra* data analysis software systems (Science Analysis Software - SAS - versions 20030110_1802/20040318_1831 and *Chandra* Interactive Analysis of Observations - CIAO - versions 3.0.1/3.0.2). We generated calibrated EPIC event files using the *eproc*

and *emproc* routines and filtered the final event list to include only “good” events - with patterns 0-4 (singles and doubles) for the PN camera and 0-12 for the MOS cameras. We also rejected bad times due to high background flares (Figure 1) through a comparison of the source and background light curves for each observation. Ratios of source and background count rates were calculated for each 100 s time-bin, and we eliminated all time intervals with source-to-background count ratios lower than 5. Useful integration times were reduced as shown in Table 1. The observation most affected by overall high background levels as well as strong flares was on 2001 Dec 17 (Figure 1).

We compared the expected and observed distributions of event grades calculated with the SAS routine *epatplot* to quantify the effects of event pile-up, which were not detectable.⁵

Spectra were extracted using the SAS routine *especget* excluding events near the edge of the CCDs and near bad pixels which may have incorrect energies. We chose a circular source extraction region of 300 pixel radius (or 15'') which covers about 80% of the PSF and a background extraction region of 1200 pixel radius (60'') lying $\sim 6'$ from the source on the same chip as the source region. We binned the spectral pulse-invariant (PI) channels by a factor of 10 in all spectra to obtain uniform binning while increasing the statistics in each individual bin (Figures 2-6).

The most recent response matrices (version of 2003-01-29) for each instrument observing mode and observation date were obtained from the XMM-*Newton* calibration archive and used in the spectral fitting. The same source and background extraction regions were used for deriving the light curves (Figure 1) for the PN and MOS cameras. All light curves were extracted over the entire energy range (nominally 0.2-15 keV) taking into account an average dead-time correction calculated from the *livetime* and *ontime* keywords recorded in the data. Average count rates for all observations are listed in Table 1.

We note in passing that we also checked the XMM-*Newton* RGS spectra which however provided no additional constraints to the spectral modeling because of the extremely poor signal-to-noise ratio in the covered waveband (< 2.5 keV).

⁵When two (or more) photons are detected in a single pixel within one read-out period, they are perceived to be a single, “piled-up”, event, and the count rate is depressed. The resulting distribution of grades and the energy spectrum are distorted since the apparent energy is approximately the sum of the photon energies.

2.2. *Chandra* Observations

The *Chandra* ACIS-S camera observed NGC 4258 four times from 2000 March to 2001 May (Table 2) with useful exposure times ranging from ~ 1.6 to ~ 20 ks, as described by Pietsch & Read (2002) and Young & Wilson (2004). The 2000 April 17 (13 ks) and 2001 May 29 (20 ks) observations were optimized to study the faint “anomalous arms” of NGC 4258. As a result, both suffer from significant photon pile-up in the nuclear region, of order 20-30%. Detailed modeling was needed to account for this effect when fitting spectra (see §2.3.1).

We re-analyzed all *Chandra* data to ensure that the most recent calibration data was used and that the analysis techniques were consistent with those used for the XMM-*Newton* data. We reprocessed the data using the *Chandra* calibration database (CALDB) versions 2.23 and 2.26 and CIAO software version 3.0.1 and 3.0.2. In the data preparation phase we checked for background flares (none present in any of the data sets) and defined extraction regions: a $2''$ radius source region on the nucleus and a $10''$ radius background region about $2'$ from the nucleus. Responses were derived from the latest calibration data available in CALDB 2.26 and included the effects due to a reduction of the low energy quantum efficiency of the ACIS instrument⁶. As in the XMM-*Newton* analysis, extracted spectra were binned by a factor of 10 in spectral PI channels (Figures 7, 8, 11, 12). In some cases we verified the results by also binning to ≥ 15 counts per bin for comparison.

2.3. Spectral Analysis

We used version 3.0.2 of *Sherpa* (Freeman, Doe & Siemiginowska 2001) to fit models to our spectra iteratively, widening the energy interval being fit and adding model components as required to improve the significance of the spectral fit (Figures 2-8, 11,12) .

For the XMM-*Newton* data we started by fitting the 2-10 keV energy range with a simple power-law model, absorbed by both intrinsic absorption and Galactic absorption (N_H), the latter fixed at the value $1.19 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Murphy et al. 1996). We verified that the background is negligible for all observation so we neither subtracted it nor modeled it during the spectral fitting. We then extended the energy range to 0.3 keV adding a thermal plasma component (the “mekal” model: Mewe, Gronenschild & van den Oord 1985; Mewe, Lemen & van den Oord 1986; Kaastra 1992; Liedahl, Osterheld & Goldstein 1995) with elemental abundances as a fixed fraction of the cosmic values (Anders & Greves 1989), and

⁶More details can be found at http://cxc.harvard.edu/cal/Acis/Cal_prods/qeDeg/.

a soft power-law component, both absorbed by the Galactic N_H . The best fit values for all the free parameters in the models are listed in Table 2, together with model-derived fluxes and luminosities in various energy ranges (assuming a distance of 7.2 Mpc (Herrnstein et al. 1999) so $1'' = 35$ pc).

In order to assess the quality of the fit we used the χ^2 statistic proposed by Kearns, Primini, & Alexander (1995) and implemented in *Sherpa* (so-called “chi primini” statistics) which is appropriate for non-background-subtracted data, can be used even when the number of counts in each bin is small (< 5) and it is not biased (see e.g., Freeman 2001).

Spectral fits obtained with a bremsstrahlung component instead of a soft power-law component work equally well at soft energies and neither has a significant influence on the best fit parameters for the direct AGN component (both in term of slope and absorption). These soft components may reflect some integrated Galactic contribution, e.g., from the X-ray binary population. The thermal plasma component is needed to account for the strong iron L complex detected at ~ 1 keV.

For the *Chandra* observations the background was also negligible, so we neither subtracted it nor modeled it. However, in any case, we note that background subtraction is not recommended (Davis 2001) for data to which pile-up models will be applied. We again started the analysis by fitting a simple absorbed power-law model in the 2-10 keV energy range. We then added two model components to extend the fit to 0.5 keV. Finally, for the two longest *Chandra* observations, we added a pile-up model to the fit (see below). The “chi primini” statistic was used for the two shortest *Chandra* observations, while the “chi dvar” statistics (a χ^2 statistics with variance computed from the observed counts data) was preferred for the two longest piled-up *Chandra* observations for speed reasons.

Inspection of the residuals to the best fits to XMM-*Newton* and *Chandra* data did not reveal the presence of statistically significant emission or absorption lines in any of the spectra. To calculate upper limits to Fe $K\alpha$ emission line equivalent widths, we added a Gaussian component to the 2-10 keV power-law model (Table 2), fixing the line energy (E_{line}) at 6.4 keV and obtaining upper limits to the equivalent widths (W_{line}) using the estimated upper bound of the uncertainty in line normalization (90% confidence). In one case (the 2001 June 17 XMM-*Newton* observation) a line can be fit, if E_{line} is allowed to vary (Table 2), however the fit is only improved at $< 2\sigma$ level by the addition of the Gaussian model component (reduced $\chi^2=1.05$ for 159 degrees of freedom without a line, reduced $\chi^2=1.02$ for 156 degrees of freedom with a line) which we therefore do not classify as a detection.

2.3.1. Pile-up Modeling of Chandra Data

As noted earlier, two of the *Chandra* observations (on 2000 April 17 and 2001 May 28) were strongly affected by photon pile-up. We accounted for this at the spectral fitting level by including the model by Davis (2001), as implemented in *Sherpa*, in our overall spectral fit. Following the instructions for using the model for *Chandra* data we:

- (i) re-included all events that the automated pipeline classifies as “afterglow” events before performing the spectral extraction,
- (ii) verified the constancy of the source during the observation,
- (iii) extracted the spectra from a $2''$ radius source region around the nucleus, and
- (iv) did not subtract the background in the fitting process.

Davis’s pile-up model is described by various parameters, two of which are generally the most crucial: α (which represents the probability of getting a “good” event grade - essentially a non-background event - when two photons pile together), and f (the fraction of flux falling into the pile-up region). To investigate systematic errors that may result from the details of how pile-up is modeled, we processed each pile-up epoch in three ways: with α and f free to vary, α free and f fixed, and α and f both fixed. The results of the fits are reported in Table 3. For both epochs the three pile-up corrected models are statistically consistent within the 90% errors and the global pile-up fraction (i.e. the estimated percentage of observed counts containing two or more photons piled together) is not significantly affected by the choice of α and f parameters. However, we note a systematic bias in parameters when either α or f are held fixed (e.g., we obtain a steeper photon index, Γ_{pl}). We also note better agreement with the non-piled-up *Chandra* epochs, and better reduced χ^2 , when α and f are allowed to vary during the fit. For this reason we adopt “unfrozen” models and from a comparison of parameters listed in Table 2 suggest that the systematic uncertainties in L_{2-10} and Γ_{pl} are on the order of 20% and in N_H on the order of 10%. (Hard absorbed flux is not significantly affected.) Differences between our best-fit parameters and those of Young & Wilson (2004) are probably in part related to the treatment of pile-up⁷.

⁷See also Novak 2003 at <http://space.mit.edu/CXC/analysis/PILECOMP/> for a detailed discussion of modeling piled-up spectra with high energy tails.

2.4. Comparison of Results Obtained for Different Missions

In Table 2 we report a cumulative record of spectral parameters from the literature and obtained by us in processing new and archival *Chandra* and XMM-*Newton* datasets. Care in comparison of results obtained with different instruments is warranted because of different angular and energy resolutions, energy coverage and cross-calibration effects (see e.g. Marshall et al. 2001 on *Chandra*-XMM-*Newton* cross-calibration). For example, analysis of *Beppo*-SAX data (extraction radius $3'$ in the 2-10 keV band) has delivered the steepest Γ_{pl} , and *Beppo*-SAX was effectively the only mission sensitive to emission above ~ 10 keV. Analyses of *Chandra* data consistently provide the shallow estimates of Γ_{pl} and low estimates of N_H (for epochs free of pile-up and epochs where pile-up parameters are free to vary, see e.g., Figure 13). This may be in part a consequence of angular resolution, i.e., a small extraction radius ($2''$ or ~ 70 pc) better isolates the central engine from surrounding soft nuclear emission. (XMM-*Newton* supports the next smallest extraction radius, $15''$ or ~ 520 pc). On the other hand, hard and soft components can be disentangled in analysis of somewhat lower angular resolution data (e.g., XMM-*Newton*) if the source model is accurate. In that case, the limited effective collecting area of *Chandra* above ~ 6 keV may be a more significant factor, as it reduces constraint on the hard portion of the spectrum and increases correlations between fit parameters (such as N_H and Γ_{pl}). In contrast, XMM-*Newton* count rates are higher, provide better constraint on the hard portion of the spectrum, and probably provide more robust parameter estimates (for this galaxy).

3. Discussion

We have added four new epochs to the monitoring record of hard X-ray emission from NGC 4258 which now extends to 9 years. The five XMM-*Newton* observations by themselves provide a much needed time-series of 0.3-10 keV spectra with high count rate and spectral resolutions that is unaffected by serious instrumental systematics, such as pile-up. The time separations between XMM-*Newton* epochs span 0.12 to 1.5 years. Taken together, the time series of *Chandra* and XMM-*Newton* epochs spans 2.2 years with a minimum separation of 0.05 years (3 weeks).

The source models fit to the XMM-*Newton* data are on average consistent with the range of models fit to data from other satellites. For the five XMM-*Newton* epochs, we obtain an absorbing column $N_H = 8.6\text{-}13.2 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, absorption-corrected luminosity $L_X = 5.4\text{-}9.1 \times 10^{40} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ (2-10 keV) or $L_X = 2.9\text{-}4.4 \times 10^{40} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ (5-10 keV), photon index $\Gamma_{pl} = 1.5\text{-}1.7$, and thermal plasma temperature $kT = 0.5\text{-}0.6$ keV (Table 2). The characteristics of the soft emission component do not in general display substantial range,

and the XMM-*Newton* results are statistically consistent with estimates reported elsewhere.

3.1. Continuum Variability

Count rates and estimates of absorbed flux (f_X) obtained just with XMM-*Newton* (2-10 keV and 5-10 keV) demonstrate intensity variations of 10-30% on time scales as short as six months (Figures 1, 14; Table 2). However, comparing XMM-*Newton* and *Chandra* data that are unaffected by pile-up, we observe a $\sim 30\%$ decrease in hard (5-10 keV) absorbed flux between epochs separated by 19 days (2001 May 29 and 2001 Jun 17). Count rates estimated by Young & Wilson (2004) also appear to indicate variation between two epochs separated by ~ 1 month (2000 March 8 and 2000 April 17). However, interpretation of this comparison requires caution because of systematic uncertainty associated with pile-up modeling applied to the later epoch (see §2.3.1).

Evidence of more rapid variability has been reported, but the contributions of systematics can be difficult to assess, such as pile-up (in *Chandra* data), background variability or the presence of other variable sources in the extraction region. For example, Fiore et al. (2001) report *Beppo-SAX* light curve variations of 10-20% on time-scales of ~ 1 hour and 100% on time scales on order of half a day, but without background subtraction and for an extraction region of $3'$ (which includes, for example, the soft companion discovered by *Chandra* $\sim 3''$ to the southwest of the nucleus (Pietsch & Read 2002)). In contrast, on time scales of ~ 3 hours, we observe with XMM-*Newton* no variability appearing in background-subtracted light curves for both the PN and MOS instruments (Figure 1). Similarly, Reynolds, Nowak & Maloney (2000) observe no variability with *ASCA* on scales up to ~ 2 days.

We also detect variability in unabsorbed luminosity (L_X) with XMM-*Newton* (Figure 14). In the ~ 1 year prior to the last epoch, L_X (2-10 keV) dropped $\sim 20\%$ to $\sim 5.4 \times 10^{40}$ erg s $^{-1}$, possibly as part of a long-term decline by approximately a factor of two from the peak detected by *Chandra* on 2000 March 8. This large variation is comparable in magnitude to what has been seen for *ASCA*, *Beppo-SAX*, and early *Chandra* epochs (Figure 14), when L_X (2-10 keV) varied by factors on the order of two (see also Reynolds, Nowak & Maloney 2000). We exclude the possibility that cross correlation in the modeling causes these variations, referring to Figure 14 and the absence of any correlation between estimates of L_X and N_H . (We recall that N_H and Γ appear to be somewhat correlated among models for *Chandra* data (see §2.3.2), but emphasize that over a wide range, variations in L_X do not appear to be correlated with changes in either N_H or Γ .)

Although there is no one-to-one correspondence, we note that two of the lowest estimates

of L_X are associated with the two largest estimates of N_H . In the ~ 6 months prior to the last XMM-*Newton* epoch, N_H increased $\sim 50\%$, returning to a high level ($1.3 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) not reported since the first *ASCA* observations in 1993. In both cases, $L_X(5\text{-}10 \text{ keV})$ is $< 4 \times 10^{40} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$. We speculate that reductions in L_X might affect the ionization state of the absorber, resulting in increased columns in some cases, though we acknowledge that NGC 4258 is a weak source, and it is difficult to establish a connection. If true, then a change in ionization would suggest that the absorber is not entirely neutral.

3.2. Absorbing Gas

NGC 4258 is an unusual target to model because the central mass and the geometry and dynamics of surrounding dense material are accurately known from modeling of radio interferometry data. The best fit warped disk model, which depends on observed maser positions and Doppler velocities, is highly inclined. In particular the warp of the disk brings it across the line of sight to the center (Herrnstein et al. 2004), thus placing high-density gas (on the order of $10^{9\pm 1} \text{ cm}^{-3}$; Elitzur 1992) between us and the central engine.

To interpret the time variability in the absorption column density, we begin with the assumption that inhomogeneities in the cold disk move across our line of sight as the disk rotates and that these are responsible for observed changes in absorption. (We note that the basis of this argument is the shape of the disk. It relies on the masers as tracers of gas position on the plane of the sky and line-of-sight velocity but not on an assumption that the masers correspond to physically distinct, co-moving volumes of gas, though that may be inferred *a posteriori* if the disk is found to be inhomogeneous.)

We estimate the physical size of inhomogeneities from the variability time scale. A non-self gravitating viscous disk obeys the equation $h/r \sim c_s/V_{rot}$ (e.g. Frank, King & Raine 1992), where h is the scale height, r is radius, c_s is sound speed ($\sim 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), and $V_{rot} = \sqrt{GM_\bullet/r}$ is the disk rotational velocity. A reasonable limit on clump size is $r_c \lesssim h$, and for a variability time scale τ , $r_c \sim V_{rot}\tau$. Combining these expressions, we obtain $r > \sqrt{GM_\bullet\tau/c_s}$, where M_\bullet is the central mass. For NGC4258, $\tau \sim 5$ months, $M_\bullet = 3.9 \times 10^7 M_\odot$, and $c_s \sim 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for gas warm enough to support maser action, from which we obtain $r \gtrsim 0.27 \text{ pc}$. This is close to the outer radius of the maser material and the radius at which Neufeld & Maloney (1995) propose the disk makes a transition from atomic gas (at larger radii) to molecular gas (at smaller radii), because of oblique irradiation by X-rays from the center and the decrease in self-shielding by disk material with increasing radius. Perhaps more important, the limiting radius 0.27 pc is where the warp of the disk brings it across the line of sight to the center (Herrnstein et al. 2004).

The estimated size of column density enhancements is on the order of 10^{15} cm, which corresponds to the upper limit on the disk thickness obtained for hydrostatic equilibrium (Moran et al. 1995). Advective accretion models (e.g., Narayan 1999) have been proposed for NGC 4258 (e.g. Gammie, Narayan, & Blandford 1999, and references therein) in which larger accretion rates require much thicker disks and for which the masers are relegated to a thin surface skin. The difficulty with this model is the much more massive disk it requires. The gross model geometry of the disk would not change, it would still cross the line of sight to the center. However, it would be difficult to obtain a column density of only $\sim 10^{23}$ cm $^{-2}$ for known densities of $10^{9\pm 1}$ cm $^{-3}$ if the disk thickness were $\gg 10^{15}$ cm.

Three other reservoirs for absorbing gas are also conceivable, an edge-on molecular torus at much larger radii than the “maser disk” (as is often assumed in the AGN unification paradigm), an outflow (e.g., Elvis 2000), and an extension of the disk to much smaller radii. We argue against the presence of a massive torus because the CO distribution in NGC 4258 is not centrally peaked (Plante et al. 1991, and references therein). We argue against outflow because NGC 4258 is a low-luminosity AGN and the possibility of it driving a massive outflow seems remote. In addition, radio and optical imaging show a narrowly collimated flow (Herrnstein et al. 1997; Cecil et al. 2000) that lies well away from the line of sight to the central engine. The possibility of absorption arising in a disk-like structure at small radii ($\ll 0.14$ pc) is possible but unlikely given the three dimensional geometry of the maser disk. We note that the disk inclination is $\sim 82^\circ$ at a radius of 0.14 pc. For an $m = 1$ warping mode, the inclination is more face-on at smaller radii. Hence, disk material at small radii cannot lie along the line of sight to the central engine unless the disk is significantly thickened ($h/r > 0.3$). If the absorbing material is neutral and cold, this raises the question, what process provides vertical support? The problem of support is mitigated if the disk is very hot, but the X-ray spectrum of NGC 4258 does not show signs of very hot gas.

3.3. Fe K α Emission Line

We do not detect Fe K α line emission in any of the XMM-*Newton* epochs or in any of the *Chandra* epochs. The XMM-*Newton* observation on 2001 May 6 puts a stringent 90% upper limit to the equivalent width of $EW < 49$ eV. In light of the last detection of the line with *ASCA* at epoch 1999 May 15 (Table 2), Pietsch & Read (2002) and Reynolds & Nowak (2003) concluded the line is variable, fading on a time scale of < 10 months. The apparent disappearance, which now extends through May 2002 is difficult to explain, assuming the earlier detections with *ASCA* (at multiple epochs) were robust.

The accretion disk is the most prominent reservoir of cold material known in close

proximity to the central engine. Assuming that the line originates in the disk, its weakness is consistent with the inferred relatively low column density of the disk ($\sim 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$), which enables transmission of incident hard X-rays (i.e., 6.4 keV) virtually unattenuated. As a result, reflection should be negligible (see also Fiore et al. 2001), and Ghisellini, Haardt, & Matt (1994) have argued that at such low column densities, equivalent widths for line fluorescence should be $\ll 100 \text{ eV}$

The weakness of the line is also consistent with the disk subtending a small solid angle ($\Omega/2\pi \ll 1$) as seen from the source of hard X-ray continuum near the disk center. This would be the case if NGC 4258 were to host an advection-dominated accretion flow (ADAF). Herrnstein et al. (1997) measured the angular structure of radio continuum emission in the vicinity of the disk center, and showed that any ADAF must be compact, < 100 Schwarzschild radii. No cold material would extend toward the center, and as a result the disk solid angle would be small. Although the presence of an ADAF could explain the weakness of Fe line emission, our earlier argument that a large mass accretion rate and thick disk are inconsistent with the observed X-ray absorbing column remains valid. In addition, we note that Blandford & Begelman (1999) suggest that ADAFs should be accompanied by massive winds (thus depleting the accretion flow), while Fiore et al. (2001) argue that such winds are inconsistent with the broadband spectral energy distribution of NGC 4258. In place of an ADAF as the source of hard X-ray continuum emission, a disk corona remains a possibility. Although in this case the disk could extend inward far enough that it would subtend a large solid angle ($\Omega/2\pi \sim 1$), the relative (optical) thinness of the disk alone could explain the weakness of any Fe $K\alpha$ emission. It also remains possible that the line emission arises from scattering, fluorescence, or reflection by material that lies away from the disk, but apart from the narrowly collimated radio synchrotron jet (Herrnstein et al. 1997), none is known.

Past time variability of the line is more difficult to explain: light travel time arguments applied to the fading suggest that the line emitting region is $< 0.5 \text{ pc}$ in size, corresponding to the approximate outer diameter of the maser disk. The change in the line may be the result of change in the disk across its surface area or change in the central illumination. However, if both X-ray and radio (maser) line emission are the result of irradiation of the disk surface, then it is notable that the brightness and velocity extent of H_2O maser emission have not changed substantially since monitoring began in 1992 (e.g., Nakai, Inoue, & Miyoshi 1993; Bragg et al. 2000; Argon et al. 2004). This would suggest that the disk (at 0.14-0.28 pc radii) and its source of illumination has remained relatively unchanged over the period that the Fe line has faded. However, considering the fact that both maser emission and Fe line emission maybe influenced by other effects (e.g. propagation along the disk, gas heating and cooling rates), coordinated X-ray and radio monitoring, covering time scales of $\lesssim 1$ day to ~ 6 months is needed to more firmly detect the line and to test proposed emission and

variability mechanisms.

3.4. Fe absorption lines

Time variable Fe line absorption (at 6.4 and 6.9 keV) has been reported from a study of one (2000 April 28) of the *Chandra* observations by Young & Wilson (2004). In our analysis we find no evidence of absorption lines neither in the XMM-*Newton* nor in the *Chandra* spectra. Because our findings differ from what was previously reported, we tested our results by retracing, to the best of our knowledge, these authors' data analysis steps. We repeated the analysis using several binning schemes for the extracted spectra (including a minimum of 15 counts per bin as used by the previous authors); we inspected the data split in two equal time intervals; we applied pile-up models with various choices of parameters. Figures 8 to 10 illustrate our results: no significant absorption feature can be inferred from our data and best fit models. We can only speculate about possible origins for the difference: differences in calibration, slight differences in the data preparation, treatment of the background or in the modeling of pile-up. We note that the 2000 April 28 epoch is the one most affected by photon pile-up at a level of $\sim 30\%$ (see table 3 and 2.3.1).

4. Summary and Conclusions

In this paper we have analyzed X-ray (0.3-10 keV) observations of NGC 4258 obtained with the XMM-*Newton* and *Chandra* observatories over ~ 2 years. Including earlier observations by *ASCA* and *Beppo-SAX*, we present for the first time a new nine year time-series of models fit to the X-ray data from NGC 4258.

Spectral and time variability analyses lead to the following main results:

- The XMM-*Newton* and *Chandra* spectra of NGC 4258 data are well fit by a model consisting of several components: a partially absorbed, hard ($> 2\text{keV}$) power-law, a soft thermal plasma, and a soft power-law. The soft emission, some of which arises $\lesssim 70$ pc from the central engine, does not vary appreciably from observation to observation.
- From XMM-*Newton* data, which is free of systematics such as pile-up, we observe long-term we observe long term time variability in the source count rate and absorbed flux over time scales on the order of 6 months; no evidence for variability is detected within our individual ~ 3 hour integrations.

- From XMM-*Newton* data we detect a $\sim 60\%$ increase in N_H over ~ 5 months, returning to a high level not reported since *ASCA* observations in 1993, $N_H \sim 1.3 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.
- Changes in N_H and f_X are not correlated, which indicates intrinsic variability of the central engine that is in one case 30% over 19 days (5-10 keV). We note that two of the largest estimates of unabsorbed luminosity are associated with the lowest estimates of N_H , and we speculate that reductions in L_X might affect the ionization state of the absorber.
- The geometry and orientation of the accretion disk in NGC 4258 is well known from interferometric mapping of maser emission that arises in the accretion disk. We infer that the disk is somewhat inhomogeneous, based on observed time variability in N_H and an assumption that the absorbing gas lies in the disk. Using the rotation curve of the disk, we estimate the absorbing gas may lie at the same radius as where the disk is (independently) believed to cross our line of sight to the central engine (because the disk is warped) and that the inhomogeneities are on the order of 10^{15} cm in size.
- We do not detect Fe $K\alpha$ line emission in any of the XMM-*Newton* or *Chandra* epochs, thus extending the “disappearance” of the line from the last *ASCA* detection in 1999 May to 2002 May. The inferred line emission region is comparable in size to the maser disk. If the line arises from the disk (e.g., by fluorescence), then it is difficult to understand the variability because the maser emission has not changed substantially.
- We do not observe evidence for absorption lines in any XMM-*Newton* or *Chandra* spectra.

Our inference that the accretion disk is inhomogeneous at radii on the order of 0.3 pc raises the question, what mechanism is responsible? Assessment of the Toomre Q-parameter at this radius suggests that self-gravity is unimportant except at the highest possible densities supportive of maser action (cf. Maoz 1995). Magnetic fields may play a role in confining inhomogeneities, but the field strength and configuration are not known (Herrnstein et al. 1998; Modjaz et al. 2004). While the confinement mechanism is not determinant, we note that detection of inhomogeneities in the disk empirically supports techniques to estimate a distance to NGC 4258 from maser proper motions and centripetal accelerations, because these rely on the apparent maser dynamics tracking the rotation of disk material (Herrnstein et al. 1999, and references therein).

We thank Tom Aldcroft, Jeremy Drake, Martin Elvis, Greg Madejski, Guido Risaliti and Aneta Siemiginowska for helpful discussions and insightful comments. AF and LJG are

grateful to Martin Elvis for fostering their collaboration. This work has been supported by NASA GO grant NAG5-10194 and NASA science grant NAS8-39073 to the Chandra X-Ray Center.

REFERENCES

- Anders, E., & Grevesse N. 1989, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 53, 197
- Antonucci, R. R. J., & Miller, J. S. 1985, *ApJ*, 297, 621
- Argon, A. L., Greenhill, L. J., Humphreys, E. M., Reid, M. J., Moran, J. M. 2004, *ApJ*, in prep.
- Blandford, R. D & Begelman, M. C. 1999, *MNRAS*, 303, L1
- Bragg, A. E., Greenhill, L. J., Moran, J. M., & Henkel, C. 2000, *ApJ*, 535, 73
- Cecil, G., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, 536, 675
- Davis, J.E., 2001, *ApJ*, 562, 575
- Elitzur, M. 1992, *Astronomical Masers*, (Dordrecht: Kluwer)
- Elvis, M. 2000, *ApJ*, 545, 63
- Fabbiano, G., Kim, D.-W., & Trinchieri, G. 1992, *ApJS*, 80, 531
- Fiore, F., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 150
- Frank, J., King, A. R., & Raine, D. J. 1992, "Accretion Power in Astrophysics," 2nd ed., (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Freeman, P. E. 2001, "Issues in Model Fitting in the X-Ray Regime", GSFC X-Ray School, <http://exc.harvard.edu/sherpa/documents/presentations/freemanI.pdf>
- Freeman, P. E., Doe, S., & Siemiginowska, A. 2001, *SPIE Proc.*, vol. 4477, p. 76
- Gammie, C. F., Narayan, R., & Blandford, R. 1999, *ApJ*, 516, 177
- Ghisellini, G., Haardt, F., & Matt, G. 1994, *MNRAS*, 267, 743
- Greenhill, L. J., & Gwinn, C. R. 1997, *Ap&SS*, 248, 261
- Greenhill, L. J., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 590, 162

- Herrnstein, J. R., Moran, J. M., Greenhill, L. J., Diamond, P. J., Miyoshi, M., Nakai, N., & Inoue, M. 1997, *ApJ*, 475, L17
- Herrnstein, J. R., Moran, J. M., Greenhill, L. J., Blackman, E. G., & Diamond, P. J. 1998, *ApJ*, 508, 243
- Herrnstein, J. R., et al. 1999, *Nature*, 400, 539
- Herrnstein, J. R., Moran, J. M., Greenhill, L. J., & Trotter, A. S. 2004, in preparation
- Kaastra, J.S. 1992, An X-Ray Spectral Code for Optically Thin Plasmas (Internal SRON-Leiden Report, updated version 2.0)
- Kearns, K., Primini, F., & Alexander, D. 1995, *ASP Conf. Ser. 77: Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems IV*, 4, 331
- Lasota, J.-P., Abramowicz, M. A., Chen, X., Krolik, J., Narayan, R., & Yi, I. 1996, *ApJ*, 462, 142
- Liedahl, D.A., Osterheld, A.L., & Goldstein, W.H. 1995, *ApJL*, 438, 115
- Maoz, E. 1995, *ApJ*, 455, L131
- Madejski, G. M., Zycki, P. T., Done, C., Valinia, A., Blanco, P., Rothschild, R., & Turek, B. 2000, *ApJ*, 535, L87
- Makishima, K., et al. 1994, *PASJ*, 45, L77
- Malkan, M. A., Gorjian, V., & Tam, R. 1998, *ApJS*, 117, 25
- Marshall, H.L., et al. 2002, “Cross-Calibrating *Chandra* with *XMM-Newton*”, 1st *Chandra Calibration Workshop*, http://cxc.harvard.edu/ccw/proceedings/02_proc/presentations/h_marshall/cross_cal/
- Mewe, R., Gronenschild, E.H.B.M., & van den Oord, G.H.J. 1985, *A&AS*, 62, 197
- Mewe, R., Lemen, J.R., & van den Oord, G.H.J. 1986, *A&AS*, 65, 511
- Miyoshi, M., Moran, J., Herrnstein, J., Greenhill, L., Nakai, N., Diamond, P., & Inoue, M. 1995, *Nature*, 373, 127
- Modjaz, M., Moran, J. M., Greenhill, L. J. 2004, *ApJ*, in prep.
- Moran, J., Greenhill, L., Herrnstein, J., Diamond, P., Miyoshi, M., Nakai, N., & Inoue, M. 1995, *PNAS*, 92, 11427

- Murphy, E.M. Lockman, F.J., Laor, A., Elvis, M. 1996, APJS,105,369
- Nakai, N., Inoue, M., & Miyoshi, M. 1993, Nature, 361, 45
- Neufeld, D. A., Maloney, P. R., & Conger, S. 1994, ApJ, 436, L127
- Neufeld, D. A., & Maloney, P. R. 1995, ApJ, 447, L17
- Pietsch, W., Vogler, A., Kahabka, P., Jain, A., & Klein, U. 1994, A&A, 284, 386
- Pietsch, W. & Read, A. M. 2002, A&A, 384, 793
- Plante, R. L., Lo, K. Y., Roy, J., Martin, P., & Noreau, L. 1991, ApJ, 381, 110
- Reynolds, C. S., Nowak, M. A., & Maloney, P. R. 2000, ApJ, 540, 143
- Reynolds, C. S., & Nowak, M. A. 2003, Phys. Reports, 377, 389
- Risaliti, G., Elvis, M., & Nicastro, F. 2002, ApJ, 571, 234
- Risaliti, G., in preparation
- Urry, C. M. & Padovani, P. 1995, PASP, 107, 803
- Wilson, A. S., Yang, Y., & Cecil, G. 2001, ApJ, 560, 689
- Young, A. J., & Wilson, A. S., 2004, ApJ, 601, 133
- Yuan, F., Markoff, S., Falcke, H., & Biermann, P. L. 2002, A&A, 391, 139

Table 1. XMM-*Newton* EPIC OBSERVATIONS

Observation Date	Instrument	Exposure Time [s]	Filtered Exp. Time ^a [s]	Average Count rate [c s ⁻¹]
2000-12-08 ^b	PN	14442	14442	0.734±0.007
	MOS1	20250	20250	0.224±0.004
	MOS2	20261	20261	0.228±0.003
2001-05-06	PN	8597	8597	0.623±0.009
	MOS1	11998	11998	0.180±0.004
	MOS2	12001	11985	0.182±0.004
2001-06-17	PN	9055	9055	0.568±0.008
	MOS1	12438	12438	0.166±0.004
	MOS2	12441	12441	0.166±0.004
2001-12-17	PN	7917	6850	0.523±0.009
	MOS1	12459	10783	0.147±0.004
	MOS2	12498	10584	0.147±0.004
2002-05-22	PN	12089	11755	0.420±0.007
	MOS1	15664	15461	0.113±0.003
	MOS2	15664	15664	0.122±0.003

^aTotal “good” exposure time after filtering out times when the ratio of source counts to background count was less than 5.

^bThis observation was also analyzed and published by Pietsch & Read (2002).

Table 2. SPECTRAL DATA FOR NGC 4258 (a)

Observatory	Date	kT [keV]	$f_{0.5-2}^{(b)}$ [10^{-12}]	$L_{0.5-2}^{(c)}$ [10^{38}]	$N_H^{(d)}$ [10^{22} cm $^{-2}$]	Γ_{pl}	$f_{5-10}^{(b)}$ [10^{-12}]	$L_{5-10}^{(c)}$ [10^{40}]	$f_{2-10}^{(b)}$ [10^{-12}]	$L_{2-10}^{(c)}$ [10^{40}]	E_{line} [keV]	EW_{line} [eV]	$f_{line}^{(b)}$ [10^{-13}]	Ref.
ASCA	1993 May 05	$0.5 \pm 0.2^{(e,f)}$		15 ± 2		1.78 ± 0.29				4.2	6.5 ± 0.2	250 ± 100		1
	1993 May 05			$13.6^{+2.1}_{-2.2}$		$1.78^{+0.22}_{-0.26}$	5.1	4						2
ASCA	1996 May 23			9.2 ± 0.9		$1.71^{+0.18}_{-0.17}$	8.3	6.1						2
ASCA	1996 Jun 5			$8.8^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$		1.83 ± 0.13	8.8	6.4						2
ASCA	1996 Dec 18			9.7 ± 0.8		1.87 ± 0.15	9.5	6.9						2
Beppo-SAX	1998 Dec 19		$1.6^{(e)}$			2.11 ± 0.14	5.2	3.8	8	10	6.57 ± 0.20	85 ± 65		3
ASCA	1999 May 15	$0.47^{+0.03}_{-0.09}$		8.2 ± 0.9		$1.79^{+0.31}_{-0.11}$				5.8	$6.45^{+0.10}_{-0.07}$	107^{+42}_{-37}		2 ^(g)
	1999 May 15	$0.36^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$		$9.5^{+2.1}_{-0.9}$		$1.86^{+0.40}_{-0.13}$	4.0	2.9						2 ^(h)
Chandra	2000 Mar 08			7.2 ± 1.8		1.4 ± 0.5			14.4	13.2				4
	2000 Mar 08	N/A	N/A	$6.9^{+2.2}_{-1.8}$		1.3 ± 0.6				12	6.4	< 887		5
	2000 Mar 08	N/A	N/A	$6.0^{+1.8}_{-1.4}$		$1.0^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$	15.9	11.0	21.2	17.4	6.4	< 369	< 12	6
Chandra	2000 Apr 17	$1.3^{+\infty}_{-0.9}$	0.07	1.6		$1.5^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$				13				5
	2000 Apr 17 ⁽ⁱ⁾	0.5 ± 0.1		1.7		1.4 ± 0.3	8.3	5.8	11.8	10.6	6.4	< 61	< 1.2	6
XMM-Newton	2000 Dec 08			8.0 ± 0.4		1.64 ± 0.08			7.6	7.5	6.45	< 40		4
	2000 Dec 08	0.6 ± 0.03	0.2	14.6		1.7 ± 0.1	6.1	4.4	9.0	9.1	6.4	< 69	< 1.0	6
XMM-Newton	2001 May 06	$0.5^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	0.2	15.3		1.9 ± 0.1	4.8	3.5	7.1	7.8	6.4	< 49	< 0.6	6
Chandra	2001 May 28	$1.3^{+\infty}_{-0.8}$	0.06	1.4		$1.5^{+0.6}_{-0.3}$				7.9	6.4	< 132		5
	2001 May 28 ⁽ⁱ⁾	$0.6^{+\infty}_{-0.18}$		1.3		$1.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	6.6	4.5	9.4	8.1	6.4	< 191	< 2.8	6
Chandra	2001 May 29	$1.0^{+0.6}_{-0.7}$	0.06	1.5		1.4 ± 0.3				7.4	6.4	< 94		5
	2001 May 29	0.8 ± 0.2		1.5		1.3 ± 0.2	6.2	4.3	8.8	7.6	6.4	< 100	< 1.4	6
XMM-Newton	2001 Jun 17	0.5 ± 0.05	0.2	14.1		1.8 ± 0.1	4.3	3.1	6.4	6.5	$6.3^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$	$66 \pm 43^{(j)}$	0.7	6
XMM-Newton	2001 Dec 17	$0.5^{+0.06}_{-0.12}$	0.3	16.4		$1.5^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	4.1	2.9	5.8	5.5	6.4	< 67	< 0.7	6
XMM-Newton	2002 May 22	0.5 ± 0.04	0.2	14.9		1.5 ± 0.2	3.7	2.8	4.9	5.4	6.4	< 100	< 0.9	6

References– 1: Makishima et al. (1994), 2: Reynolds et al. (2000), 3: Fiore et al. (2001), 4: Pietsch and Read (2002), 5: Young and Wilson (2004), 6: this work

^(e) Results reported here are from model fits performed on the 0.3-10 keV (XMM-Newton) and 0.5-10 keV (*Chandra*) energy ranges. The models comprise several components. For *Chandra*: Galactic absorption ($N_H(gal) = 1.19 \times 10^{20}$ cm $^{-2}$, frozen in the fits), intrinsic absorption (N_H), low energy thermal plasma component (kT), power law (Γ_{pl}), and Gaussian Fe K-line (E_{line} , W_{line}). For XMM-Newton an additional soft power law absorbed by $N_H(gal)$ has also been added. The slope of this component remains almost constant in all observation with an average $\Gamma >= 1.6 \pm 0.2$. The energy of the Fe K-line was frozen at 6.4 keV for all observations except for the XMM-Newton 2001-06-17. All errors are quoted at 90% confidence.

^(b) Absorbed fluxes (ergs cm 2 s $^{-1}$) in the 0.5-2 keV, 5-10 keV, and 2-10 keV bands and Fe K-line flux or upper limit. For *Beppo-SAX* data, f_{5-10} is derived via PIMMS assuming the published values of f_{2-10} , N_H and Γ_{pl}

^(c) Absorption-corrected luminosities (ergs s $^{-1}$) in the 0.5-2 keV, 5-10 keV and 2-10 keV bands, assuming a distance of 7.2 Mpc. Both Galactic and intrinsic absorption have been removed. For *ASCA* and *Beppo-SAX* data L_{5-10} is derived via PIMMS assuming the values of f_{5-10} , N_H and Γ_{pl} .

^(d) Intrinsic equivalent hydrogen column density

Table 3. COMPARISON OF PILE-UP MODELING FOR CHANDRA OBSERVATIONS^(a)

Date	N_H [10^{22} cm $^{-2}$]	Γ_{pl}	f_{5-10} [10^{-12}]	L_{5-10} [10^{40}]	f_{2-10} [10^{-12}]	L_{2-10} [10^{40}]	W_{line} eV	Pile-up Model $\alpha^{(b)}$	$f^{(c)}$	% ^(d)	χ^2/dof
2000 Apr 17	$6.7^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$ $7.3^{+1.2}_{-1.2}$ $7.3^{+1.0}_{-1.0}$ $7.2^{+0.7}_{-0.4}$	1.4 ± 0.3 $1.8^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$ 1.7 ± 0.4 $1.5^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$	8.3 8.0 9.4	5.8 5.7 6.6	11.8 12.2 14.1	10.6 12.1 13.7 13	< 61 < 76 < 73	0.65 0.60 0.5 ^(e) 0.41	0.90 0.95 ^(e) 0.95 ^(e) 0.95 ^(e)	28 30 29 1.1=113/103	1.2=66.8/59 1.4=85.8/60 1.5=89.7/61 1.1=113/103
2001 May 28	6.0 ± 0.7 $6.2^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ 6.6 ± 0.8 $6.5^{+0.6}_{-0.3}$	$1.4^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ 1.5 ± 0.3 1.7 ± 0.3 $1.5^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$	6.6 8.9 5.8	4.5 6.2 4.0	9.4 13.0 8.8	8.1 11.5 8.3 7.9	< 191 < 203 < 234 < 132	0.43 0.31 0.5 ^(e) 0.43	0.91 0.95 ^(e) 0.95 ^(e) 0.95 ^(e)	16 17 20 1.1=158/143	1.3=75.9/59 1.3=78.1/60 1.5=88.8/61 1.1=158/143

^(a)Model components as in Table 2.

^(b)Probability of obtaining a good event grade when two photons pile together.

^(c)Fraction of flux falling into the pile-up region.

^(d)Percentage of observed counts containing two or more photons piled together.

^(e)Frozen parameter

^(f)Best-fit parameters from Young & Wilson (2004).




Fig. 1.— XMM-*Newton* EPIC background-subtracted light curves binned in 100 s bins for all five observation epochs. PN, MOS1 and MOS2 data are shown in the three rows. The continuous lines represent the level of the background. The light curves were extracted for the nuclear region of NGC 4258 (15'' extraction radius) over the entire instrumental energy range (nominally 0.2-15 keV). Note that during epoch 4 (2001-12-17) the observation was affected by strong background flares and a generally very high background level. Also note that the time spacing is *not* uniform between the different epochs.




Fig. 2.— XMM-*Newton* EPIC spectra of the nucleus (15'' extraction radius) of NGC 4258 for the observation of 2000-12-08. Data were “grouped” by 10 pulse-invariant (PI) channels. PN, MOS1 and MOS2 data were fitted simultaneously with a model consisting of 2 power laws plus a thermal spectrum absorbed by intrinsic and Galactic gas (N_H) (see text). The best fit (solid line) and residuals (in units of sigmas) are shown for all instruments. These are the same data presented by Pietsch & Read (2002) (note that their Figures 2 and 5 report units of counts $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{keV}^{-1}$ while they should read counts $\text{s}^{-1} \text{keV}^{-1}$, as in our plots, given that the spectra are convolved with the instrumental ancillary response function).




Fig. 3.— Same as Fig. 2 for the XMM-Newton observation of 2001-05-06.




Fig. 4.— Same as Fig. 2 for the XMM-Newton observation of 2001-06-17.




Fig. 5.— Same as Fig. 2 for the XMM-*Newton* observation of 2001-12-17.




Fig. 6.— Same as Fig. 2 for the XMM-*Newton* observation of 2002-05-22.




Fig. 7.— *Chandra* spectrum of the nucleus ($2''$ extraction radius) of NGC 4258 for the observation of 2000-03-08. Data were “grouped” by 10 PI channels. The data were fitted with a model consisting of a power law plus a thermal component absorbed by intrinsic and Galactic gas (N_H) (see text). These are the same data presented by Pietsch & Read (2002) (the final note of the caption in Fig. 2 applies).




Fig. 8.— Same as Fig. 7 for the observation of 2000-04-17. This observation was affected by pile-up, of $\sim 30\%$, which has been included in the modeling. Data were “grouped” by 10 pulse-invariant channels (panel a) or by a minimum number of counts of 15 per channel (panel b). The latter grouping scheme was the same as that used by Young & Wilson (2004) for the same dataset.




Fig. 9.— Residuals (in units of $\Delta\chi^2(\sigma)$) to an absorbed power law plus thermal plasma model for the 2000-04-17 observation. The figure compares directly to Figure 5 from Young & Wilson (2004): data were grouped by a minimum number of counts per channel of 15, and the three panels represent the whole observations and the same divided in two equal ~ 7000 s segments. The 2σ levels are shown as dotted lines.




Fig. 10.— Same as Fig. 9 with grouping by 10 PI channels.




Fig. 11.— Same as Fig. 7 for the observation of 2001-05-28. This observation was affected by pile-up of $\sim 16\%$.




Fig. 12.— Same as Fig. 7 for the observation of 2001-05-29.




Fig. 13.— Contour plots of confidence regions for the model column density (N_H) and photon index (Γ) parameters. Contours for two sample XMM-Newton and Chandra observations are overlaid in the figure. Confidence intervals for each parameter are estimated independently and the three level represent confidence of 68.3%, 90% and 99% (corresponding to 1, 1.6 and 2.6 σ respectively). The plus sign marks the best-fit value.




Fig. 14.— Time series of model column density (N_H), photon index (Γ), unabsorbed luminosity (L_X), and absorbed flux (f_X), as listed in Table 2. (*lower right*)— Plot of N_H vs hard L_X , which tracks the hard f_X closely. No correlation is apparent, indicating that variations in flux are intrinsic to the central engine. Color is used to indicate mission while symbol type is used to code the reporting authors. Each dataset has been processed by more than one team. Plots of L_X (2-10 keV) and f_X (5-10 keV) are more sparse than plots of N_H and Γ because no single band is used throughout the literature.