# GENERALIZED PARTON DISTRIBUTIONS IN TERMS OF LIGHT-CONE WAVE FUNCTIONS

DAE SUNG HWANG

Depatment of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul 143-747, Korea Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford Univ., Stanford, CA 94309, USA

The matrix elements of local operators such as electromagnetic current, energy momentum tensor, angular momentum, and generalized parton distributions have exact representations in terms of light-cone Fock state wavefunctions of bound states such as hadrons. We present formulae which express the form factors and the generalized parton distributions in terms of the light-cone wavefunctions.

### 1. Introduction

The light-cone expansion is constructed by quantizing QCD at fixed lightcone time  $\tau = t + z$  and forming the invariant light-cone Hamiltonian:  $H_{LC}^{QCD} = P^+P^- - \vec{P}_{\perp}^2$  where  $P^{\pm} = P^0 \pm P^z$ . The proton state, for example, satisfies:  $H_{LC}^{QCD} |\psi_p\rangle = M_p^2 |\psi_p\rangle$ . The expansion of the proton eigensolution  $|\psi_p\rangle$  on the color-singlet B = 1, Q = 1 eigenstates  $\{|n\rangle\}$  of the free Hamiltonian  $H_{LC}^{QCD}(g=0)$  gives the light-cone Fock expansion <sup>1,2</sup>:

$$\left| \psi_{p}(P^{+}, \vec{P}_{\perp}) \right\rangle = \sum_{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}x_{i} \,\mathrm{d}^{2} \vec{k}_{\perp i}}{\sqrt{x_{i} \,16\pi^{3}}} \,16\pi^{3}\delta\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) \,\delta^{(2)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{k}_{\perp i}\right) \\ \times \psi_{n}(x_{i}, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i}) \left| n; \, x_{i}P^{+}, x_{i}\vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i}\right\rangle \,.$$
(1)

The light-cone momentum fractions  $x_i = k_i^+/P^+$  and  $\vec{k}_{\perp i}$  represent the relative momentum coordinates of the QCD constituents. The physical transverse momenta are  $\vec{p}_{\perp i} = x_i \vec{P}_{\perp} + \vec{k}_{\perp i}$ . The  $\lambda_i$  label the light-cone spin projections  $s^z$  of the quarks and gluons along the quantization direction z. The physical gluon polarization vectors  $\epsilon^{\mu}(k, \lambda = \pm 1)$  are specified in light-cone gauge by the conditions  $k \cdot \epsilon = 0$ ,  $\eta \cdot \epsilon = \epsilon^+ = 0$ . The *n*-particle states are normalized as

$$\langle n; p_i'^+, \vec{p}'_{\perp i}, \lambda_i' \mid n; p_i^+, \vec{p}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i \rangle$$
  
=  $\prod_{i=1}^n 16\pi^3 p_i^+ \delta(p_i'^+ - p_i^+) \, \delta^{(2)}(\vec{p}'_{\perp i} - \vec{p}_{\perp i}) \, \delta_{\lambda_i'\lambda_i} \,.$  (2)

Work supported in part by the Department of Energy contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

The light-cone wavefunctions  $\psi_n(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i)$  are universal, process independent, and thus control all hadronic reactions. Given the light-cone wavefunctions, one can compute the moments of the helicity distributions measurable in polarized deep inelastic experiments <sup>2</sup>. Exclusive semi-leptonic *B*-decay amplitudes involving timelike currents <sup>3</sup>, electromagnetic and gravitational form factors of hadrons <sup>4</sup>, and generalized parton distributions appearing in deeply virtual Compton scattering can also be evaluated exactly in terms of light-cone wavefunctions <sup>5</sup>.

## 2. An Example of Light-Cone Fock State Decomposition and Wavefunction

In the language of light-cone quantization, the electron anomalous magnetic moment  $a_e = \alpha/2\pi$  is due to the one-fermion one-gauge boson Fock state component of the physical electron. We employ light-cone gauge  $A^+ = 0$  so that the gauge boson polarizations are physical. The light-cone fractions  $x_i = k_i^+/P^+$  are positive:  $0 < x_i \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_i x_i = 1$ . We adopt a non-zero boson mass  $\lambda$  for the sake of generality.

The two-particle Fock state for an electron with  $J^z = +\frac{1}{2}$  has four possible spin combinations:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Psi_{\text{two particle}}^{\uparrow}(P^{+}, \vec{P}_{\perp} = \vec{0}_{\perp}) \right\rangle & (3) \\ &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \vec{k}_{\perp} \mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{x(1-x)} 16\pi^{3}} \left[ \psi_{+\frac{1}{2}+1}^{\uparrow}(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) \left| +\frac{1}{2} + 1; xP^{+}, \vec{k}_{\perp} \right\rangle \right. \\ &\left. +\psi_{+\frac{1}{2}-1}^{\uparrow}(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) \left| +\frac{1}{2} - 1; xP^{+}, \vec{k}_{\perp} \right\rangle \right. \\ &\left. +\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}+1}^{\uparrow}(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) \left| -\frac{1}{2} + 1; xP^{+}, \vec{k}_{\perp} \right\rangle \\ &\left. +\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}-1}^{\uparrow}(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) \left| -\frac{1}{2} - 1; xP^{+}, \vec{k}_{\perp} \right\rangle \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the two-particle states  $|s_{\rm f}^z, s_{\rm b}^z; xP^+, \vec{k}_{\perp}\rangle$  are normalized as in (2). Here  $s_{\rm f}^z$  and  $s_{\rm b}^z$  denote the z-component of the spins of the constituent fermion and boson, respectively. The wavefunctions can be evaluated explicitly in QED perturbation theory using the rules given in Refs. <sup>2,4,6</sup>:

$$\begin{cases} \psi^{\dagger}_{\pm\frac{1}{2}+1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) = -\sqrt{2}\frac{(-k^{1}+ik^{2})}{x(1-x)}\varphi ,\\ \psi^{\dagger}_{\pm\frac{1}{2}-1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) = -\sqrt{2}\frac{(+k^{1}+ik^{2})}{1-x}\varphi ,\\ \psi^{\dagger}_{-\frac{1}{2}+1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) = -\sqrt{2}(M-\frac{m}{x})\varphi ,\\ \psi^{\dagger}_{-\frac{1}{2}-1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) = 0 , \end{cases}$$
(4)

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

where

$$\varphi = \varphi(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) = \frac{\frac{e}{\sqrt{1-x}}}{M^2 - \frac{\vec{k}_{\perp}^2 + m^2}{x} - \frac{\vec{k}_{\perp}^2 + \lambda^2}{1-x}} .$$
(5)

Similarly, the wavefunctions for an electron with  $J^z = -\frac{1}{2}$  are given by

$$\begin{cases} \psi^{\downarrow}_{\pm\frac{1}{2}+1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) = 0 , \\ \psi^{\downarrow}_{\pm\frac{1}{2}-1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) = -\sqrt{2} \left(M - \frac{m}{x}\right)\varphi , \\ \psi^{\downarrow}_{-\frac{1}{2}+1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) = -\sqrt{2} \frac{-k^{1} + ik^{2}}{1 - x}\varphi , \\ \psi^{\downarrow}_{-\frac{1}{2}-1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) = -\sqrt{2} \frac{k^{1} + ik^{2}}{x(1 - x)}\varphi . \end{cases}$$
(6)

The coefficients of  $\varphi$  in (4) and (6) are the matrix elements of  $\frac{\overline{u}(k^+,k^-,\vec{k}_{\perp})}{\sqrt{k^+}}\gamma$ .  $\epsilon^* \frac{u(P^+,P^-,\vec{P}_{\perp})}{\sqrt{P^+}}$  which are the numerators of the wavefunctions corresponding to each constituent spin  $s^z$  configuration. The two boson polarization vectors in light-cone gauge are  $\epsilon^{\mu} = (\epsilon^+ = 0, \epsilon^- = \frac{2\overline{\epsilon}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{k}_{\perp}}{k^+}, \vec{\epsilon}_{\perp})$  where  $\vec{\epsilon} = \vec{\epsilon_{\perp}}^{\uparrow,\downarrow} = \mp (1/\sqrt{2})(\hat{x} \pm i\hat{y})$ . The polarizations also satisfy the Lorentz condition  $k \cdot \epsilon = 0$ . In the above we have generalized the framework of QED by assigning a mass M to the external electrons, but a different mass m to the internal electron lines and a mass  $\lambda$  to the internal photon line <sup>6</sup>. The idea behind this is to model the structure of a composite fermion state with mass M by a fermion and a vector constituent with respective masses m and  $\lambda$ . In next sections we will express the form factors in terms of the example light-cone wavefunctions presented in this section in order to show the meaning of the formulae clearly. These formulae can be generalized to those expressed in terms of general light-cone wavefunctions  $^{4,5}$ .

## 3. Electromagnetic Form Factors

For a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  composite system, the Dirac and Pauli form factors  $F_1(q^2)$ and  $F_2(q^2)$  are defined by

$$\langle P'|J^{\mu}(0)|P\rangle = \overline{u}(P') \left[ F_1(q^2)\gamma^{\mu} + F_2(q^2)\frac{i}{2M}\sigma^{\mu\alpha}q_{\alpha} \right] u(P) , \qquad (7)$$

where  $q^{\mu} = (P' - P)^{\mu}$  and u(P) is the bound state spinor. In the light-cone formalism the Dirac and Pauli form factors are conveniently identified from the spin-conserving and spin-flip vector current matrix elements of the  $J^+$  current <sup>6</sup>:

$$\left\langle P+q,\uparrow \left| \frac{J^+(0)}{2P^+} \right| P,\uparrow \right\rangle = F_1(q^2) ,$$
 (8)

$$\left\langle P+q,\uparrow \left|\frac{J^+(0)}{2P^+}\right|P,\downarrow \right\rangle = -(q^1 - \mathrm{i}q^2)\frac{F_2(q^2)}{2M} \ . \tag{9}$$

We use the light-cone frame:  $q = (q^+, \vec{q}_\perp, q^-) = \left(0, \vec{q}_\perp, \frac{-q^2}{P^+}\right), P = (P^+, \vec{P}_\perp, P^-) = \left(P^+, \vec{0}_\perp, \frac{M^2}{P^+}\right)$ , where  $q^2 = -2P \cdot q = -\vec{q}_\perp^2$ . We use the component notation  $a = (a^+, \vec{a}_\perp, a^-)$  and our metric is defined by  $a^\pm = a^0 \pm a^3$  and  $a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}(a^+b^- + a^-b^+) - \vec{a}_\perp \cdot \vec{b}_\perp$ .

We can express the Dirac and Pauli form factors  $F_1(q^2)$  and  $F_2(q^2)$ using the light-cone wavefunction. From (4) and (8) we have

$$F_1(q^2) = \left\langle \Psi^{\uparrow}(P^+, \vec{P}_{\perp} = \vec{q}_{\perp})) | \Psi^{\uparrow}(P^+, \vec{P}_{\perp} = \vec{0}_{\perp}) \right\rangle$$
(10)

$$\begin{split} &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{k}_{\perp} \mathrm{d}x}{16\pi^3} \Big[ \psi^{\dagger \ *}_{+\frac{1}{2}+1}(x, \vec{k}'_{\perp}) \psi^{\dagger}_{+\frac{1}{2}+1}(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) + \psi^{\dagger \ *}_{+\frac{1}{2}-1}(x, \vec{k}'_{\perp}) \psi^{\dagger}_{+\frac{1}{2}-1}(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) \\ &+ \psi^{\dagger \ *}_{-\frac{1}{2}+1}(x, \vec{k}'_{\perp}) \psi^{\dagger}_{-\frac{1}{2}+1}(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) \Big] \ , \end{split}$$

where

$$\vec{k}'_{\perp} = \vec{k}_{\perp} + (1-x)\vec{q}_{\perp}$$
 (11)

The Pauli form factor is obtained from the spin-flip matrix element of the  $J^+$  current. From (4), (6) and (9) we have

$$-\frac{(q^{1}-\mathrm{i}q^{2})}{2M}F_{2}(q^{2}) = \left\langle \Psi^{\uparrow}(P^{+},\vec{P}_{\perp}=\vec{q}_{\perp}))|\Psi^{\downarrow}(P^{+},\vec{P}_{\perp}=\vec{0}_{\perp})\right\rangle$$
(12)  
$$= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\vec{k}_{\perp}\mathrm{d}x}{16\pi^{3}} \Big[\psi^{\uparrow *}_{+\frac{1}{2}-1}(x,\vec{k}'_{\perp})\psi^{\downarrow}_{+\frac{1}{2}-1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) + \psi^{\uparrow *}_{-\frac{1}{2}+1}(x,\vec{k}'_{\perp})\psi^{\downarrow}_{-\frac{1}{2}+1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp})\Big].$$

#### 4. Generalized Parton Distributions

We begin with the kinematics of virtual Compton scattering

$$\gamma^*(q) + p(P) \to \gamma(q') + p(P') . \tag{13}$$

We specify the frame by choosing a convenient parametrization of the lightcone coordinates for the initial and final proton:  $P = \begin{pmatrix} P^+ & \vec{0}_\perp & \frac{M^2}{P^+} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $P' = \begin{pmatrix} (1-\zeta)P^+ & -\vec{\Delta}_\perp & \frac{M^2+\vec{\Delta}_\perp^2}{(1-\zeta)P^+} \end{pmatrix}$ , where M is the proton mass. The four-momentum transfer from the target is  $\Delta = P - P' = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta P^+ & \vec{\Delta}_\perp & \frac{t+\vec{\Delta}_\perp^2}{\zeta P^+} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $t = \Delta^2$ . In addition, overall energymomentum conservation requires  $\Delta^- = P^- - P'^-$ , which connects  $\vec{\Delta}_\perp^2$ ,  $\zeta$ , and t according to  $t = 2P \cdot \Delta = -\frac{\zeta^2 M^2 + \vec{\Delta}_\perp^2}{1-\zeta}$ .

4

In the limit  $Q^2=-q^2\rightarrow\infty$  at fixed  $\zeta$  and t the Compton amplitude is given by  $^{7,8}$ 

$$M^{IJ}(\vec{q}_{\perp}, \vec{\Delta}_{\perp}, \zeta) = \epsilon^{I}_{\mu} \epsilon^{*J}_{\nu} M^{\mu\nu}(\vec{q}_{\perp}, \vec{\Delta}_{\perp}, \zeta) = -e^{2}_{q} \frac{1}{2\overline{P}^{+}} \int_{\zeta-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \quad (14)$$

$$\times \left\{ t^{IJ}(x, \zeta) \ \overline{U}(P') \Big[ H(x, \zeta, t) \ \gamma^{+} + E(x, \zeta, t) \ \frac{i}{2M} \sigma^{+\alpha}(-\Delta_{\alpha}) \Big] U(P) \right\}$$

$$+ s^{IJ}(x,\zeta) \,\overline{U}(P') \Big[ \widetilde{H}(x,\zeta,t) \,\gamma^+ \gamma_5 \,+\, \widetilde{E}(x,\zeta,t) \,\frac{1}{2M} \,\gamma_5(-\Delta^+) \Big] U(P) \,\} ,$$

where  $\overline{P} = \frac{1}{2}(P' + P)$ . For circularly polarized initial and final photons  $(I, J \text{ are } \uparrow \text{ or } \downarrow))$  presented below (6), we have  $t^{\uparrow\uparrow}(x,\zeta) = t^{\downarrow\downarrow}(x,\zeta) = \frac{1}{x-i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{x-\zeta+i\epsilon}$ ,  $s^{\uparrow\uparrow}(x,\zeta) = -s^{\downarrow\downarrow}(x,\zeta) = \frac{1}{x-i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{x-\zeta+i\epsilon}$ , and  $t^{\uparrow\downarrow}, t^{\downarrow\uparrow}, s^{\uparrow\downarrow}$  and  $s^{\downarrow\uparrow}$  are zero.

Since the coupling of the electromagnetic current  $e_q J^+(0)$  on the quark line is identical to the Compton amplitude with  $e_q^2 t^{IJ}$  replaced simply by the quark charge  $e_q$ , one finds

$$\int_{\zeta-1}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{1-\frac{\zeta}{2}} H(x,\zeta,t) = F_1(t) , \quad \int_{\zeta-1}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{1-\frac{\zeta}{2}} E(x,\zeta,t) = F_2(t) . \quad (15)$$

Analogous sum rules relate  $\widetilde{H}$  and  $\widetilde{E}$  with the form factors of the axial vector current  $J_5^{\mu}(y) = \overline{\psi}(y)\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\psi(y)$ . The factors  $(1-\zeta/2)$  in (15) appear because we use the normalization convention for the Compton form factors which involves  $\overline{P}^+$  on the right-hand side of (14), and at the same time parametrize light-cone momentum fractions with respect to  $P^+ = (1-\zeta/2)\overline{P}^+$ .

In the domain  $\zeta < x < 1$ , for a general value of  $\zeta$  between 0 and 1, we can express the generalized parton distributions as the overlap of the light-cone wavefunctions <sup>5</sup>:

$$\frac{\sqrt{1-\zeta}}{1-\frac{\zeta}{2}} H_{(2\to2)}(x,\zeta,t) - \frac{\zeta^2}{4(1-\frac{\zeta}{2})\sqrt{1-\zeta}} E_{(2\to2)}(x,\zeta,t)$$
(16)

$$= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\vec{k}_{\perp}}{16\pi^{3}} \Big[ \psi^{\dagger}_{+\frac{1}{2}+1}(x',\vec{k}'_{\perp})\psi^{\dagger}_{+\frac{1}{2}+1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) + \psi^{\dagger}_{+\frac{1}{2}-1}(x',\vec{k}'_{\perp})\psi^{\dagger}_{+\frac{1}{2}-1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) \\ + \psi^{\dagger}_{-\frac{1}{2}+1}(x',\vec{k}'_{\perp})\psi^{\dagger}_{-\frac{1}{2}+1}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp}) \Big] , \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \frac{(\Delta^{1}-i\Delta^{2})}{2M} E_{(2\to2)}(x,\zeta,t)$$
(17)

$$= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \vec{k}_{\perp}}{16\pi^3} \Big[ \psi^{\dagger \ *}_{+\frac{1}{2}-1}(x', \vec{k}'_{\perp}) \psi^{\downarrow}_{+\frac{1}{2}-1}(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) + \psi^{\dagger \ *}_{-\frac{1}{2}+1}(x', \vec{k}'_{\perp}) \psi^{\downarrow}_{-\frac{1}{2}+1}(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}) \Big] ,$$
  
where

$$x' = \frac{x-\zeta}{1-\zeta}, \quad \vec{k}_{\perp}' = \vec{k}_{\perp} - \frac{1-x}{1-\zeta} \ \vec{\Delta}_{\perp} \ .$$
 (18)

Analogous formulae hold in the domain  $\zeta - 1 < x < 0$ , where the struck parton in the target is an antiquark instead of a quark. In the domain  $0 \le x \le \zeta$ , the parton number changing  $n + 1 \rightarrow n - 1$  ( $\Delta n = -2$ ) off-diagonal transition matrix elements contribute. The formulae for the domain  $0 \le x \le \zeta$  are given in Ref. <sup>5</sup>. The same situation also occurs in the heavy meson decays since the decay form factors are timelike <sup>3</sup>. In general, when the initial and final hadrons have different values of the + component of momentum, there are parton number changing contributions as well as parton number conserving ones.

#### 5. Conclusions

The light-cone Fock representation allows one to compute the matrix elements of local currents as overlap integrals of the light-cone wavefunctions which are frame independent. In particular, we can evaluate the forward and non-forward matrix elements of the electroweak currents, moments of the deep inelastic structure functions, as well as the electromagnetic form factors. Given the local operators for the energy-momentum tensor  $T^{\mu\nu}(x)$ and the angular momentum tensor  $M^{\mu\nu\lambda}(x)$ , one can directly compute momentum fractions, spin properties, the gravitomagnetic moment, and the form factors  $A(q^2)$  and  $B(q^2)$  appearing in the coupling of gravitons to composite systems <sup>4,5</sup>. We presented the formulae which express the electromagnetic form factors and generalized parton distributions as overlap integrals of the light-cone wavefunctions. These formulae provided useful physical intuitions in the related processes.

#### Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Stan Brodsky, Markus Diehl, Bo-Qiang Ma, and Ivan Schmidt for the collaborations on the contents presented in this article. This work is supported in part by the LG Yonam Foundation.

#### References

- 1. S. J. Brodsky, H. Pauli, and S. S. Pinsky, Phys. Rept. 301 (1998) 299.
- 2. G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D22 (1980) 2157.
- 3. S. J. Brodsky and D. S. Hwang, Nucl. Phys. B543 (1999) 239.
- S. J. Brodsky, D. S. Hwang, B. Ma, and I. Schmidt, Nucl. Phys. B593 (2001) 311.
- 5. S. J. Brodsky, M. Diehl, and D. S. Hwang, Nucl. Phys. B596 (2001) 99.
- 6. S. J. Brodsky and S. D. Drell, Phys. Rev. D22 (1980) 2236.
- 7. X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 610; Phys. Rev. D55 (1997) 7114.
- 8. A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D56 (1997) 5524.