SLAC-PUB-1100
(TH) and (EXP)
August 1972

QUANTUM ELECTRODYNAMICS AND RENORMALIZATION THEORY IN THE INFINITE MOMENTUM FRAME*

Stanley J. Brodsky and Ralph Roskies†
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

ABSTRACT

Old fashioned (time-ordered) perturbation theory evaluated in the infinite momentum frame is shown to provide a viable calculational alternative to the usual Feynman procedure for quantum electrodynamics. The renormalization procedure can be implemented in a straightforward manner. We also introduce a convenient method for automatically including Z-graph (backward-moving fermion) contributions. We have calculated the electron anomalous moment through fourth order in perturbation theory in agreement with the Sommerfield-Petermann results, and have calculated representative contributions to the sixth order moment. Our results agree with those of Levine and Wright. The validity of the infinite momentum method as a renormalizable calculational procedure in quantum electrodynamics gives field-theoretic parton calculations for composite particles a rigorous basis, provided that a covariant regularization procedure is used. These new techniques also show how to renormalize field theory quantized on the light cone and how to implement the Feynman gauge.

---

* Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

† Permanent address: Physics Department, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

(Submitted to the XVI International Conference on High Energy Physics
6 September - 13 September 1972, and to Physics Letters)

Over the past few years it has become very evident that the use of an infinite momentum reference frame[1] has remarkable calculational and pedagogical advantages for obtaining covariant current algebra,[2] parton model[3,4] and eikonal scattering[5,6] results. We have found that old fashioned (time-ordered) perturbation theory for quantum electrodynamics evaluated in an infinite momentum reference frame represents a viable, instructive, and frequently advantageous calculational alternative to the usual Feynman diagram approach. The renormalization procedure can be implemented in a straightforward manner. We have calculated the electron anomalous magnetic moment through fourth order in agreement with the Sommerfield-Petermann result,[7] and have calculated representative contributions to the sixth order moment. Our results agree with those of Levine and Wright[8] and represent the first independent confirmation of their result for these contributions.

An outline of our techniques follows; a more complete discussion will be published separately.[9]

The electron vertex in quantum electrodynamics may be computed in perturbation theory using the standard time-ordered momentum space expansion of the S-matrix. Although the final results are independent of the choice of Lorentz frame, it is very convenient to choose a limiting reference frame in which the incident electron momentum P is large.[1] In a general frame, a Feynman amplitude of order e^n requires the evaluation of n! time-ordered contributions, but in a frame chosen such that

$$p = \left(\sqrt{P^2 + m^2}, \vec{O}_\perp, P\right) \rightarrow \left(P + \frac{m^2}{2P}, \vec{O}_\perp, P\right) \tag{1a}$$

$$q = \left(\frac{q\cdot p}{P}, q_\perp, O\right) \tag{1b}$$

$(2q\cdot p = -q^2 = \vec{q}_\perp^{\,2})$ only the relatively few time-ordered graphs, in which the momenta of all the internal (on-mass-shell) particles $\vec{p}_i = x_i\vec{P} + \vec{k}_{i\perp}$ have positive components along $\vec{P}$ $(0 < x_i < 1)$, have a surviving contribution in the limit $P \to \infty$. In general, the limit $P \to \infty$ is uniform with respect to the

$$\frac{d^3p_i}{2E_i} = \frac{d^2k_{i\perp}dx_i}{2x_i}$$

phase space integrations for all renormalized amplitudes. Thus the order α correction to the anomalous moment $a = F_2(0)$ is obtained from only one forward-moving time-ordered graph[5,6,10] (see Figure 1), up to 3 time-ordered graphs yield the Feynman amplitude for the order α^2 corrections; between 1 and 15 forward-moving time-ordered graphs contribute to various Feynman amplitudes at order α^3.

As emphasized by Drell, Levy, and Yan,[4] time-ordered graphs with backward-moving $(x_i < 0)$ internal fermion lines can give surviving P^2/P^2 contributions in the $P \to \infty$ limit if the line extends over only one time interval. These additional contributions (which correspond to contact or "seagull" interactions analogous to the $e^2\phi^+\phi A^2$ interactions in boson electrodynamics) can be automatically included by making a simple modification in the forward-moving contribution: if a forward-moving electron $(x_i > 0)$ extends over a single interval I then instead of the usual spin sum

$$\sum_{\text{spin}} u(p_i)\bar{u}(p_i) = \not{p}_i + m, \qquad p_i^2 = m_i^2 , \tag{2a}$$

we write

$$\not{p}_i + \gamma_0(E_0 - E_I) + m \tag{2b}$$

where E_0 is the total incident energy and E_I is the sum of the energies of all of the particles occurring in the intermediate state I. It is easy to check that this replacement (which corresponds to using energy conservation between the initial and intermediate energies to determine p_i^0 rather than the mass-shell condition) automatically accounts for the contribution of the corresponding negative moving ($x_i < 0$) positron line. A similar modification for the energy of a forward-moving positron (spanning one time interval) accounts for the corresponding negative moving electron line. With these changes all "Z-graph" contributions are accounted for, and one need only consider time-ordered diagrams where all internal lines have $x_i > 0$.

The renormalization procedure for quantum electrodynamics using old fashioned perturbation theory closely parallels the explicitly covariant Feynman-Dyson procedure. Reducible amplitudes with self-energy and vertex insertions may be renormalized using subtraction terms corresponding to δm, Z_2 and Z_1 counter terms. The integrand for the subtraction term is similar in form to the integrand for the unrenormalized amplitude, except that the external energy used for the denominator for the subgraph insertion is not the external (initial) energy E_0 of the entire diagram but is the energy external to the self-energy or vertex subgraph only. For example, the renormalization of the scattering amplitude shown in Figure 2a requires δm and Z_2 subtractions (Figure 2b and Figure 2c). The integrand of the renormalized amplitude for ϕ^3 theory is constructed from

$$\frac{1}{(E_0-E_1)(E_0-E_2)(E_0-E_3)} - \frac{1}{(E_0-E_1)(E_1-E_2)(E_0-E_3)} + \frac{1}{(E_0-E_1)(E_1-E_2)(E_1-E_2)} \tag{3}$$

where E_i is the total energy of the on-shell particles occurring at interval i. Upon integration over the loop momentum variables $(x_i, \vec{k}_{i\perp})$, the second and third terms yield, by definition, the correct δ_m and Z_2 counter terms (assuming covariant regularization). On the other hand, if scaled variables

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{p}_a &= x(\vec{p} + \vec{q}) + \vec{k}_\perp \\ \vec{p}_b &= (1 - x)(\vec{p} + \vec{q}) - \vec{k}_\perp \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

are chosen to parametrize the momenta of the internal particles, then $\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{q}$ cross terms are eliminated and the integration for the renormalized amplitude from the sum of the three terms is point-wise convergent. In the QED case, the appropriate Dirac numerator must also be constructed such that the (co-variantly-regulated) subgraph integration defines the correct counter terms. This procedure leads to finite, renormalized pointwise-convergent (and numerically integrable) amplitudes for the case of all self-energy or vertex insertions.[9,11] The analysis of infrared divergences (via a photon mass regulator) may be carried out in parallel with standard treatments.

In general, we have found that the $P \to \infty$ limit is uniform (i. e., can be taken before the $d^2k_\perp dx$ loop integrations) for the renormalized amplitudes, and there are no subtleties involved at the boundaries of the x_i integration. On the other hand, the evaluation of the (divergent) renormalization constants themselves requires caution. Since covariance is not explicit in this approach, one must be careful to regularize using a covariant procedure, such as the Pauli-Villars method or spectral conditions. The standard covariant expressions for the renormalization constants are obtained if regularization is performed <u>before</u> the $P \to \infty$ limit is taken.[9]

With the above considerations, it is straightforward to calculate renormalized amplitudes for quantum electrodynamics directly from time-ordered perturbation theory and the interaction density $e{:}\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi A^\mu{:}$. The covariant Feynman amplitude is obtained from the corresponding (forward-moving) time-ordered graphs with the same topology. The Dirac numerator algebra is the same for each of the time-ordered amplitudes and is identical to the corresponding Feynman calculation. Our techniques also show that quantum electrodynamics may be calculated on the light-cone in the Feynman gauge, rather than the Coulomb gauge.[15]

For the calculation of the lepton vertex, the F_1 and F_2 amplitudes can be obtained simply from standard trace projection operators.[12] The integrand in the variables x_i, $\vec{k}_{i\perp}$ is then obtained from the product of phase space, the numerator trace, and the energy denominators characteristic of old-fashioned perturbation theory.[13] One important feature of this method, besides providing a new and independent calculational technique, lies in the fact that the resulting integrand appears to be a much smoother function of the variables x_i, $\vec{k}_{i\perp}$ than the corresponding Feynman parametric integrand obtained by the usual techniques. As a result, the numerical integrations (which are often the most difficult part of higher order calculations in quantum electrodynamics) converge considerably faster.

As an indication, the numerical integration of the contribution of the sixth order ladder graph (Figure 3a) to the electron's anomalous magnetic moment from old fashioned perturbation theory required 10^5 evaluations of a smooth well-behaved six-dimensional integrand to obtain a 1% level of accuracy.[14]

In contrast, the standard Feynman technique, which involves a five-dimensional integral, required 2×10^6 evaluations of the integrand for comparable accuracy. Our result is

$$\left(\frac{g-2}{2}\right)_{\text{Fig. 2a}} = \left(1.77 \pm 0.01\right) \frac{\alpha^3}{\pi^3}$$

in precise agreement with the result of Levine and Wright.[8] Our results for the fourth order magnetic moment using $P \to \infty$ techniques agree with the Sommerfield and Petermann calculations[7]; again, the integrands were found to be smooth and rapidly integrable by numerical techniques.

The sixth order ladder graph is a highly reducible graph requiring several vertex renormalization counter terms, but only one time-order survives in the infinite momentum limit. We have also calculated a representative irreducible graph, Figure 3b, which has eight surviving time orders. In this case there is an eight dimensional nontrivial integration to be performed and the algebraic work is much more complex. Our result for this graph is $2(1.11 \pm 0.23) \frac{\alpha^3}{\pi^3}$ which is consistent with Levine and Wright's result $2(0.90 \pm 0.02) \frac{\alpha^3}{\pi^3}$ obtained from a seven dimensional Feynman parametric integration. Work is continuing to improve the accuracy of our result.

The validity of the infinite momentum reference frame method as a renormalizable calculational procedure in quantum electrodynamics gives field-theoretical parton model calculations a rigorous basis provided that a covariant regularization procedure is used. Our work also demonstrates that the infinite momentum method provides a useful calculational alternative to standard covariant techniques. The $P \to \infty$ method is closely related to field theory quantized on the light cone.[6,15] Our method shows how to renormalize the theory and how to work in the Feynman gauge.

Time-ordered perturbation theory is the natural setting for bound state problems, and because of its manifest unitarity it has advantages for both physical insight and the application of approximation methods. The infinite momentum reference frame method provides the calculational tool which allows the practical implementation of these features in relativistic calculations.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank R. Suaya, J. Gunion, D. Foerster, K. Johnson, M. Levine, J. Bjorken, and S. Drell for helpful conversations.

References

1. S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 150, 1313 (1966). See also L. Susskind and G. Frye, Phys. Rev. 165, 1535 (1968); K. Bardakci and M. B. Halpern, Phys. Rev. 176, 1686 (1968).

2. S. Fubini and G. Furlan, Physics 1, 229 (1965); J. D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. 179, 1547 (1969); R. Dashen and M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. Letters 17, 340 (1966).

3. J. D. Bjorken and E. A. Paschos, Phys. Rev. 185, 1975 (1969).

4. S. D. Drell, D. J. Levy, and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Letters 22, 744 (1969); Phys. Rev. 187, 2159 (1969); Phys. Rev. D1, 1035 (1970); Phys. Rev. D1, 1617 (1970). S. D. Drell and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D1, 2402 (1970); Phys. Rev. Letters 24, 181 (1970).

5. S. J. Chang and S. K. Ma, Phys. Rev. 180, 1506 (1969); 188, 2385 (1969).

6. J. B. Kogut and D. E. Soper, Phys. Rev. D1, 2901 (1970); J. D. Bjorken, J. B. Kogut and D. E. Soper, Phys. Rev. D3, 1382 (1971).

7. C. M. Sommerfield, Phys Rev. 107, 328 (1957); Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 5, 26 (1958). A. Petermann, Helv. Phys. Acta 30, 407 (1957); Nucl. Phys. 3, 689 (1957).

8. M. Levine and J. Wright, Phys. Rev. Letters 26, 1351 (1971), Proceedings of the Second Colloquium on Advanced Computing Methods in Theoretical Physics, Marseille (1971), and private communication.

9. S. Brodsky, R. Roskies, and R. Suaya (in preparation).

10. D. Foerster, University of Sussex preprint (1971).

11. The renormalization of the vertex insertions is generally algebraically more complicated since, except for ladder graphs, the counter term must be

rewritten to cancel the contributions of more than one time ordering of the vertex. The procedure for this case is discussed in reference 9.

12. See, for example, S. J. Brodsky and J. D. Sullivan, Phys. Rev. 156, 1644 (1967).

13. All of the algebraic steps for our calculations were performed automatically using the algebraic computation program REDUCE, see A. C. Hearn, Stanford University preprint No. ITP-247 (unpublished); and A. C. Hearn in: Interactive Systems for Experimental Applied Mathematics, eds. M. Klerer and J. Reinfields (Academic Press, N. Y., 1968).

14. The numerical integrations were performed using the adaptive multi-dimensional integration program developed by C. Sheppey. See J. Aldins, S. Brodsky, A. Dufner, and T. Kinoshita, Phys. Rev. D1, 2378 (1970); A. Dufner, Proceedings of the Colloquium on Computation Methods in Theoretical Physics (Marseille, 1970), and B. Lautrup, op. cit. (1971).

15. T. M. Yan and S. J. Chang, Cornell University preprints (1972).

Figure Captions

Figure 1 The six time-ordered contributions of the Feynman amplitude for the proper electron vertex Γ_μ in order α. For the components $\mu = 0$ or $\mu = 3$, only the contribution of the diagram (a) survives in the infinite momentum limit $P \rightarrow \infty$ of Equation (1). In addition, the "Z-graph" contribution for the $\mu = 1, 2$ components which arises from diagram (b) is automatically included by using the modification of the spinor sum for diagram (a) given in Equation (2).

Figure 2 Illustration of the renormalization procedure in old-fashioned perturbation theory. (a) A representative time-ordered diagram for the self-energy modification of the Compton amplitude. (b) and (c) The corresponding δm and Z_2 counterterms. The integrand for the δm term is proportional to $(E_1 - E_2)^{-1}$.

Figure 3 Representative reducible and irreducible contributions to the sixth order magnetic moment of the electron or muon. The ladder graph (a) is obtained from a single time-ordered contribution at infinite momentum (out of a possible 7!), but requires renormalization of the fourth order and second order vertex insertions. The Feynman amplitude for irreducible graph (b) receives contributions from the eight time-ordered graphs with positive moving internal lines.



Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3