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#### Abstract

We investigate the multi-Regge model in the kinematic region where the four momentum of one initial particle is large and spacelike. These kinematics occur in inelastic electron scattering through single virtual photon exchange. We predict a rapid fall off in the cross section as the virtual particle becomes more spacelike, contradicting present data.


(Submitted to Phys. Rev.)

[^0]After radiative corrections, inelastic electron scattering measures the total cross section for virtual photons as a function of the photon mass as well as its energy. This freedom of varying a particle mass is a new feature not yet available in other reactions; therefore, this process has recently attracted much theoretical interest. (1) (2) Denote the total cross sections for transverse and longitudinal virtual photons incident on spin averaged protons by $\sigma_{T}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{L}}$ respectively. Let $\nu$ be the photon lab energy and let $\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}$ be the square of the photon four momentum. Bjorken ${ }^{(1)}$ has shown that, as $\nu$ and $p_{1}^{2}$ became large with the ratio $\frac{\nu}{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}$ constant, it is likely that $\nu \sigma_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\nu \sigma_{\mathrm{L}_{\nu}}$ have finite, as opposed to infinite, limits of value dependent on this ratio $\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}}{2}$. Current data seem to indicate that this limit is nonvanishing ${ }^{(6)}$. Most of the recent interest in the subject concerns the behavior of this limit as a function of $\frac{\nu}{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}$.

The multi-Regge model (MRM) has drawn interest as a possible description for highly inelastic hadronic collisions ${ }^{(3)}$. We wish to relate the MRM to inelastic electron scattering by discussing the behavior of the MRM as the four momentum $p_{1}$ of an initial particle becomes large and spacelike. In this way we hope to gain some insight into the behavior of Bjorken's limit functions. In particular, we ask if the above discussed behavior for $\nu \sigma_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\nu \sigma_{\mathrm{T}}$ is consistent with the simple MRM.

Our calculation essentially follows the work of Halliday and Saunders ${ }^{(4)}$, except we allow one initial mass to be variable. For simplicity we treat all particles as spinless. Figure 1. shows our kinematics. A virtual particle of momentum $p_{1}$ collides with a particle of momentum $p_{2}$ and unit mass $\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}^{2}=1\right)$. The final state consists of $n$ identical particles labeled with momenta $q_{i}, i=1, \cdots n$, and all of unit mass $\left(q_{i}^{2}=1\right)$. Define invariants

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{i}=\left(q_{i}+q_{i}+1\right)^{2}  \tag{1}\\
& t_{i}=\left(p_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{i} q_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& s=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}=\text { (center of mass energy) }^{2} \\
& \nu=p_{1} \cdot p_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(s-1-p_{1}^{2}\right)=\text { energy of } p_{1} \text { in the rest frame of } p_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The simple form of the MRM which we shall use says that when all the $s_{i}$ are large and the $\left|t_{i}\right|$ small the amplitude for the process is approximately of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=G\left(p_{1}^{2}, t_{1}\right) G\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)--G\left(t_{n-1}, 1\right) s_{1}^{\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)}-\cdots s_{n-1}^{\alpha\left(t_{n-1}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $G^{\prime}$ s are unspecified vertex functions and $\alpha\left(t_{i}\right)$ is the trajectory function of the exchanged Reggeon. We consider only one type of Reggeon and take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(t_{i}\right)=j_{0}+j^{\prime} t_{i} \quad j^{\prime} \neq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each of the $n$ ! orderings of the $q_{i}$ there is a similar expression for $T_{n}$ valid when the respective $s_{i}$ are large and $t_{i}$ small. It will be clear later that these $n$ ! kinematic regions are disjoint, giving no interference between them; thus, only one ordering need be considered. The factor of $n!$ arising from these different orderings is canceled by the $\frac{1}{n!}$ occurring in the phase space for n identical particles.

The MRM contribution to the total cross section from n particle production is therefore given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{T} 0 \mathrm{~T}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{rel}} \frac{1}{2 p_{1_{0}}{ }^{2 p_{2}}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d} \Phi}{(2 \pi)^{3 \mathrm{n}-4}}\left|\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
d \Phi=\delta^{4}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\delta^{+}\left(q_{i}^{2}-1\right) d^{4} q_{i}\right) \\
\delta^{+}\left(q^{2}-1\right)= \\
\Theta\left(q_{o}\right) \quad \delta\left(q^{2}-1\right) \\
\Theta(x)= \begin{cases}1 & x>0 \\
0 & x<0\end{cases} \\
v_{\text {rel }}=\text { relative velocity of } p_{1} \text { and } p_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We work in a frame where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are parallel. In the limit $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ (note $\mathrm{s} \geq \mathrm{n}^{2} \geq 1$ implies $-\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} \leq 2 \nu$ )

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{rel}^{2} \mathrm{p}_{1_{\mathrm{o}}} 2 \mathrm{p}_{2}}} \approx \frac{1}{4 \nu}
$$

To simplify the integral over phase space, let us change to a set of variables introduced by Sudakov ${ }^{(5)}$ and apply them to this problem, following closely Halliday and Saunders ${ }^{(4)}$. To define these variables, introduce two new momenta

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathrm{p}_{1}-\left(\nu-\quad \sqrt{\nu^{2}-\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{p}_{2}  \tag{6}\\
& \mathrm{p}_{2}^{\prime}=\mathrm{p}_{2}-\mathrm{p} \frac{1}{2} \quad\left(\nu-\sqrt{\nu^{2}-\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{p}_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

These momenta have the useful property $\mathrm{p}^{\prime 2}{ }_{1}=\mathrm{p}^{2}{ }_{2}=0$.
In the limit $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}_{1}^{\prime} \approx \mathrm{p}_{1}-\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}{2 \nu} \mathrm{p}_{2}  \tag{7}\\
& \mathrm{p}_{2}^{\prime} \approx \mathrm{p}_{2}-\frac{1}{2 \nu} \mathrm{p}_{1} \\
& \mathrm{p}_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{p}_{2}^{\prime} \approx \nu
\end{align*}
$$

Now we define the Sudakov variables $\left\{\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, K_{i}\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}=\alpha_{i} p^{\prime}{ }_{1}+\beta_{i} p_{2}^{\prime}+K_{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{i}$ is the transverse part of $q_{i}$. A little algebra shows that in the limit $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ (allowing - $\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}$ comparable to $\nu$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d}^{4} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \approx \nu \mathrm{~d} \alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d} \beta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}  \tag{9}\\
& \delta^{+}\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}^{2}-1\right) \approx \frac{1}{2 \nu} \Theta\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \delta\left(\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \beta_{\mathrm{i}}-\frac{1-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}{2 \nu}\right)\right.  \tag{10}\\
& \delta^{4}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{2}-\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \approx \frac{1}{\nu} \delta^{2} \underset{\mathrm{i}}{\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \delta\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}}^{\Sigma} \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}-1\right) \delta\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \beta_{\mathrm{i}}-1-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2 \nu}\right)}  \tag{12}\\
& \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}} \approx 2 \nu\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right)\left(\beta_{\mathrm{i}}+\beta_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right)+\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right)^{2} \\
& \left.\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \approx \underset{\mathrm{j}=1}{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{2}-2 \nu \underset{\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}+1}{\mathrm{i}} \alpha_{\mathrm{j}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{i}} \beta_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} \underset{\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}+1}{\mathrm{n}} \alpha_{\mathrm{j}}+\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{i}} \beta_{\mathrm{j}}-\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}{2 \nu}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ are all positive because of $\delta{ }^{+}\left(q_{i}{ }^{2}-1\right)$.
In terms of these variables our integral becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{T} 0 \mathrm{~T}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{n}+2}(2 \pi)^{3 \mathrm{n}-4} \nu^{2}} \int_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{~d} \alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d} \beta \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}} \Theta\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \delta\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \beta \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}-\frac{1-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}{2 \nu}\right)\right) \delta^{2}\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\
& \times \delta\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}-1\right) \delta\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \beta_{\mathrm{i}}-1-\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}{2 v}\right)\left|\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}, \mathrm{t}_{1}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}-1}, 1\right)\right|^{2}  \tag{14}\\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-2}\left|G\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2} \prod_{i} \quad\left(s_{i} \quad 2 j_{o ~} s_{i} j^{\prime} t_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

a rapid $t_{i}$ dependence. If the $G^{\prime} s$ are smooth and polynomially bounded, this factor will cause small $t_{i}$ to dominate the cross section. Furthermore, when the $s_{i}$ are large enough the factor $s_{i}{ }^{2 j} t_{i}$ will dominate all $t_{i}$ dependence.

Restricting ourselves to this region of large $s_{i}$, we can immediately do the $K_{i}$ integrals with the result

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\mathrm{T} 0 \mathrm{~T}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{n}+2}(2 \pi)^{3 \mathrm{n}-4} \nu^{2}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2 j^{\top}}\right) \int_{\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(\mathrm{~d} \alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~d} \beta_{\mathrm{i}} \Theta\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \quad \delta\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \beta_{\mathrm{i}}-\frac{1}{2 \nu}\right)\right)  \tag{15}\\
& \times \delta\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}-1\right) \delta\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{i}} \beta_{\mathrm{i}}-1-\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}{2 \nu}\right)\left|\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)^{1)}\right|^{2} \times
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\times \prod_{i=2}^{n-2}\left|G\left(t_{i}, \quad t_{i+1}\right)\right|^{2}\left(\prod_{i} s_{i}\right)^{2 j o}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\Pi s_{i} & 2 j^{\prime} & t_{i} \\
i & & \frac{1}{\Pi \log s_{i}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where now

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}} \approx 2 \nu\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}+\alpha_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right) \quad\left(\beta_{\mathrm{i}}+\beta_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right)  \tag{16}\\
& \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \approx-2 \nu\left(\sum_{\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}+1}^{\mathrm{n}} \alpha_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\binom{\mathrm{i}}{\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1} \beta_{i}}+\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}+1}^{\mathrm{n}} \alpha_{\mathrm{j}}+\underset{1}{\mathrm{i}}+\beta_{\mathrm{j}}-\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}{2 \nu}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is large and $\alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \beta_{\mathrm{i}} \approx \frac{1}{2 \nu}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}} \approx \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{i}+1}}+\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{i}+1}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}} \gg 1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

However

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2 \nu}-\sum_{1}^{i} \quad \beta_{j}+t_{i} \leq-2 \nu \alpha_{i+1} \beta_{i} \approx \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{\alpha_{i}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

So to keep $1 t_{i} \mid$ small we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{i}} \approx \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{i}+1}} \approx \frac{\beta_{\mathrm{i}+1}}{\beta_{\mathrm{i}}} \gg 1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \approx \mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} \quad \alpha_{\mathrm{i}+1}-\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}{2 \nu} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that the $\alpha_{i}$ are an increasing sequence and therefore demonstrates our earlier claim that other particle orderings have disjoint kinematic regions for the MRM. Remembering ${ }_{i}^{\Sigma} \alpha_{i} \approx 1$ we see from (19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} \approx 1 \quad \beta_{1} \approx \frac{1}{2 \nu} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, since $\sum_{i} \beta_{i}=1+\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2 \nu}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\mathrm{n}} \approx 1+\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}{2 \nu} \approx \frac{\mathrm{~s}}{2 \nu} \quad \alpha_{\mathrm{n}} \approx \frac{1}{\mathrm{~s}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these relations clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hs}_{i} \approx \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{n}} \approx \mathrm{~s} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \approx \mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} \prod_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{j}}^{-1}-\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}{2 v} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define $y_{i}$ and $\gamma$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}=\mathrm{s}^{\gamma} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\prod_{i} s_{i}=s$, we have $\underset{i}{\Sigma} y_{i}=1$. We have required $s_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ so we must keep

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i} \geq \epsilon>0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\epsilon}=o(\log s) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore $s_{i} \leq s$ implies $y_{i} \leq 1$. The variable $\gamma$ can run from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ as $\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}$ runs from zero to $2 \nu$, although $\gamma$ becomes large only very near these values of $p_{1}^{2}$. The usual limit $\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{\nu}=$ constant with $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to $\gamma \rightarrow 1$.

In terms of these new variables

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{i}^{2 j^{\prime}} t_{i} \approx \exp \cdot\left[-2 j^{\prime} y_{i} \log s . \quad s^{\left(\gamma-\sum_{j=1}^{i} y_{i}\right)}\right] s_{i}\left(-2 j^{\prime} p_{1}^{2} / 2 \nu\right)  \tag{27}\\
& \approx \theta\left(\Sigma^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\gamma\right) \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\left(-\mathrm{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} / \nu\right)} \\
& \left.\mathrm{j}=1 \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\gamma\right) \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& \prod_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{2 \mathrm{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}} \approx \Theta\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}-\gamma\right) \mathrm{s}^{\left(-\mathrm{j}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} / \nu\right)} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

This $\theta$ function represents a shrinkage with increasing $\gamma$ of the kinematic region for the MRM. It means that in order to keep $t_{1}$ small $s_{1}$ must be larger than $p_{1}^{2}$.

Unless $n=2$ we have $s_{1}<s$ implying $y_{1}<1$. The $\theta\left(y_{1}-\gamma\right)$ reduces the multiplicity n as $\gamma$ increases until for $\gamma=1$ only $\mathrm{n}=2$ will contribute appreciably. Let us briefly discuss the case $\gamma<1$ before going on to $\gamma=1$ and the Bjorken limit.

We change integration variables from the $\alpha$ 's to the $y^{\prime}$ 's using

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i} \approx \frac{\log \alpha_{i}}{\log s}-\frac{\log \alpha_{i}+1}{\log s} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{T} 0 \mathrm{~T}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{\mathrm{s}^{2 \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{O}}-2}}{\log \mathrm{~s}}\left|\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}, 0\right)\right|^{2}|\mathrm{G}(0,1)|^{2}\left(\frac{|\mathrm{G}(0,0)|^{2}}{32 \pi^{2} \mathrm{j}^{\prime}}\right)^{\mathrm{n}-2} \frac{1}{32 \pi \mathrm{j}^{\prime}} \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{n}}(\epsilon, \gamma) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mathrm{n}}(\epsilon, \gamma)=\int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{dy}_{1} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{dy}_{\mathrm{n}-1}}{\mathrm{y}_{1} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}} \delta\left(\Sigma \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-1\right) \theta\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}-\gamma\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}=1$ this is just the result of Halliday and Saunders. Note that $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{n}}(\epsilon, \gamma)=0$ unless $\gamma+(n-2) \epsilon<1$. This means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}<2+\frac{1-\gamma}{\epsilon}=2+o\left(\log \frac{\mathrm{~s}}{-\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows the decrease in multiplicity mentioned above.
As we go to the Bjorken limit of $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ with $\frac{\nu}{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}$ fixed, the parameter $\gamma$ goes to 1. In this limit we have the remarkable result that final states of two hadrons will dominate the cross section. This contribution from $n=2$ is easily

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { evaluated giving } \\
& \begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\mathrm{T} 0 \mathrm{~T}}= & \sigma_{\mathrm{T} 0 \mathrm{~T}}^{2}=\frac{1}{32 \pi \mathrm{j}^{\prime}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{s}^{2 \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{o}}-2}}{\log \mathrm{~s}} \mathrm{~s}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{j}^{\prime}}{\omega(2 \omega-1)}\right)\left(\frac{2 \omega-1}{2 \omega}\right)\left|\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1,}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 \varphi(2 \omega-1)}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \left|\mathrm{G}\left(\frac{-1}{2 \omega(2 \omega-1)}, 1\right)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=-\frac{\nu}{\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression $\frac{1}{2 \omega(2 \omega-1)}$ occurring in (32) is the minimum value of $-t_{1}$ in the limit of large $\nu$. Because it can approach this value only for $n=2$, two final hadron states should dominate the cross section. Equation (33) is the prediction of the MRM for the cross section in the Bjorken limit with $\omega<\infty$.

If we put in a typical $j_{0}=\frac{1}{2}$, then for fixed $\omega$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { If we put in a typical } j_{o}=\frac{1}{2}, \text { then for fixed } \omega  \tag{35}\\
\nu \sigma_{\mathrm{T} 0 \mathrm{~T}} \sim\left(\frac{\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}\right)\left(-\omega \frac{j^{\prime}}{\omega(2 \omega-1)}\right)}{\log \mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}}\right) \times\left.\left(\left\lvert\, \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}, \frac{-1}{2 \omega(2 \omega-1)}\right)\right.\right)\right|^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Bjorken predicts that this should go to a constant as $\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2} \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed the first factor is slowly varying for $\omega>1$. However, from experience with elastic electromagnetic form factors, one might expect

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G\left(p_{1}^{2}, \frac{-1}{2 \omega(2 \omega-1)}\right)\right|^{2} \sim\left(\frac{1}{p_{1}^{2}}\right)^{4} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fall off is quite rapid and no such effect has been seen as yet in the data. This indicates trouble with the model unless something drastic happens to the asymptotic behavior of $G\left(p_{1}^{2}, t\right)$ as $t$ is varied from the physical mass of the exchanged particle to $\mathrm{t}=-\frac{1}{2 \omega(2 \omega-1)} . \quad$ When $\omega \gtrsim 1$ this extrapolation is not large and we cannot theoretically justify such a change in behavior.

It may be that the data are not yet in the asymptotic region. In present experiments $-\mathrm{p}_{1}^{2}$ is not large compared to the above mentioned extrapolation of $t$. Assuming this is the case and the model is still applicable, we can make a simple prediction on final momentum distributions.

If we define the final particle ordering for multiparticle production events by decreasing lab momentum (decreasing $\alpha_{i}$ ) we should find most events with $s_{1}=\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2} \gtrsim-p_{1}^{2}$ whereas further $s_{i}=\left(q_{i}+q_{i+1}\right)^{2}$ will
tend to lower values. Furthermore as we increase the lab energy $\nu$ with fixed $\omega \neq 0$, the average multiplicity should decrease to two. These are effects that may begin to show up at nonasymptotic energies and should be looked for.
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Fig. 1 Kinematics for the inelastic scattering.
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