DISCREPANCY BETWEEN THE VECTOR DOMINANCE MODEL

AND PION PRODUCTION BY POLARIZED PHOTONS*

by

R. Diebold

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Stanford University, Stanford, California

and

J. A. Poirier

Department of Physics University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana

ABSTRACT

The helicity density matrix for the process $\pi^- p - \pi^+ \pi^- n$ has been studied as a function of $M_{\pi\pi}$. The ratio $\rho_{1-1}^{hel} / \rho_{11}^{hel}$ shows no variation with $M_{\pi\pi}$ and it thus seems unlikely that the large discrepancy between the vector dominance prediction and the observed asymmetry of single pions produced by linearly polarized photons could be the result of density-matrix distortion caused by background interference.

Work supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission and the National Science Foundation.

The vector dominance model has been successfully used¹ to relate single pion photoproduction

$$y p \rightarrow \pi^{\dagger} n$$
 (1a)

$$\gamma n \rightarrow \pi \bar{p}$$
 (1b)

to $\rho^{\mathbf{0}}$ production by pions

$$\pi \bar{p} \rightarrow \rho^{o} n.$$
 (2)

By taking the sum of the two photoproduction cross sections, the $\omega \rho$ interference terms drop out; since the ω term itself is expected to be only a few per cent, it is neglected, giving the ρ dominance prediction

$$\frac{\sigma^{+} + \sigma^{-}}{2} = \frac{\pi \alpha}{\gamma_{\rho}^{2}} \left[\rho_{11} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \right] \pi^{-} p \rightarrow \rho^{0} n$$
(3)

where σ^+ and σ^- are $\frac{d\sigma}{dt}$ for reactions (1a) and (1b), respectively.

Recently, experiments on reactions $(1a)^2$ and $(1b)^{3,4}$ have been performed using linearly polarized γ -rays produced coherently from crystals. These experiments yield the asymmetries

$$A^{\pm} = \frac{\sigma_{\perp}^{\pm} - \sigma_{\parallel}^{\pm}}{\sigma_{\perp}^{\pm} + \sigma_{\parallel}^{\pm}}$$
(4)

where for example σ_1^+ is the differential cross section for reaction (1a) with the electric vector of the photon perpendicular to the production plane. To eliminate the $\omega\rho$ interference terms the two cross sections are again summed together in an appropriate way giving the asymmetry

$$A(\pi^{+} + \pi^{-}) \equiv \frac{\left(\sigma_{1}^{+} + \sigma_{1}^{-}\right) - \left(\sigma_{11}^{+} + \sigma_{11}^{-}\right)}{\left(\sigma_{1}^{+} + \sigma_{1}^{-}\right) + \left(\sigma_{11}^{+} + \sigma_{11}^{-}\right)} = \frac{A^{+} + RA^{-}}{1 + R}$$
(5)

where

$$\mathbf{R} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} / \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{+} . \tag{6}$$

Values for $A(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$ have been calculated from the experimental data²⁻⁵ at k = 3.4 GeV and are shown as crosses in the figure. Note that R, A^+ and A^- are themselves ratios and there should be no normalization problems in combining the results of several experiments.

The quantity $A(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$ can be compared with data from reaction (2) using the ρ dominance model; as before, the few per cent ω term is neglected. While the probability for the ρ^0 in reaction (2) to have helicity 1 is just ρ_{11}^{hel} , the probability for it to have linear polarization normal to its direction of motion and parallel or perpendicular to the reaction plane is given by ⁶

$$P_{1} = \rho_{11}^{\text{hel}} + \rho_{1-1}^{\text{hel}} , \qquad (7)$$

$$P_{11} = \rho_{11}^{\text{hel}} - \rho_{1-1}^{\text{hel}} .$$

The ρ dominance model then predicts

$$\sigma_{1}^{+} + \sigma_{\overline{1}}^{-} = \frac{\pi\alpha}{\gamma\rho} \left(\rho_{11}^{\text{hel}} + \rho_{1-1}^{\text{hel}} \right) \frac{d\sigma}{dt}$$

$$\sigma_{11}^{+} + \sigma_{\overline{11}}^{-} = \frac{\pi\alpha}{\gamma\rho} \left(\rho_{11}^{\text{hel}} - \rho_{1-1}^{\text{hel}} \right) \frac{d\sigma}{dt}$$
(8)

from which it follows

$$A(\pi^{+} + \pi^{-}) = \frac{\rho_{1-1}^{hel}}{\rho_{11}^{hel}} \qquad . \tag{9}$$

The ratio of the density matrix elements has been plotted with solid points in the figure. The density matrices were obtained by direct fits in the helicity frame to the angular distributions of the 4 GeV/c data of the Notre Dame-Purdue-SLAC Collaboration. ⁷ As can be seen from the figure, there is a large discrepancy, ⁸ especially at |t| = 0.2 and $0.4 (GeV/c)^2$ where $\frac{hel}{\rho_{1-1}}/\frac{hel}{\rho_{11}}$ is negative while $A(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$ is near 0.5. This discrepancy has been pointed out by the DESY group. ³

Unfortunately, there is a non- ρ background of perhaps 20% in the ρ region (taken as $700 \leq M_{\pi\pi} \leq 850$ MeV in the fits). To test whether the discrepancy could be due to interference of the ρ with the background, we have studied the density matrix as a function of the effective $\pi\pi$ mass from 500 to 1050 MeV. One might expect that any appreciable distortion of the density matrix by backgrounds would also lead to a rapid variation of the matrix elements as $M_{\pi\pi}$ passes through M_{ρ} . In each t interval the ratio $\rho_{1-1}^{hel} / \rho_{11}^{hel}$ shows no trend with $M_{\pi\pi}$, however, all mass intervals giving a ratio consistent with that obtained for the ρ region. Values of $\rho_{1-1}^{hel} / \rho_{11}^{hel}$ obtained from fits to events with $M_{\pi\pi}$ between 575 and 675 MeV plus those between 875 and 975 MeV are shown with open circles in the figure; in this background region there are roughly equal numbers of ρ and background events. The lack of variation with $M_{\pi\pi}$ would seem to eliminate backgroundinterference effects as a possible source of the vector dominance discrepancy.

At high energies σ_1 and σ_1 correspond to P(-1)^J = +1 and -1 (natural and unnatural parity) exchange, respectively.⁹ The data thus indicate that while photoproduction proceeds principally via natural-parity exchange (~75% of the time), the reaction $\pi^- p \rightarrow \rho^0 n$ has roughly equal contributions from the two spin-parity sequences, depending somewhat on t.

Taking the discrepancy at face value, the relative strength of the ρ -dominance terms in π^{\pm} photoproduction can be estimated by assuming the photoproduction cross section to be the sum of two contributions, the first being the ρ -dominance contribution, with the ratio of natural to unnatural parity exchanges determined by

- 3 -

the model as

$$\frac{\left(\sigma_{1}^{+}+\sigma_{1}^{-}\right)}{\left(\sigma_{1}^{+}+\sigma_{1}^{-}\right)_{\rho}} = \frac{1+\rho_{1-1}/\rho_{11}}{1-\rho_{1-1}/\rho_{11}} , \qquad (10)$$

and the second contribution being an ad hoc term contributing only to $\sigma_1^+ + \sigma_1^-$. These assumptions give an upper limit to the fraction of pions photoproduced via the ρ -dominance terms:

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{1 - A(\pi^{+} + \pi^{-})}{1 - \rho_{1-1}/\rho_{11}} \quad . \tag{11}$$

Using the data shown in the figure, this upper limit is $(30 \pm 6)\%$, $(39 \pm 7)\%$ and $(60 \pm 21)\%$ at -t = 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6 GeV² respectively. Thus, the present data imply that the original success of the ρ -dominance model in fitting the photoproduction differential cross section¹ must be regarded as fortuitous and that the unknown second term must have a t-dependence similar to the ρ -dominance term; furthermore, the value of γ_{ρ}^{-2} must be roughly 1/2 (or less) that used previously.¹⁰

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the 4 GeV/c Collaboration⁷ for the use of the combined data for this analysis.

REFERENCES

1.	C. Iso and H. Yoshii, Ann. Phys. <u>47</u> , 424 (1968);
	A. Dar et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 20, 1261 (1968);
	M. Krammer, Phys. Letters <u>26B</u> , 633 (1968); erratum <u>27B</u> , 260 (1968);
	R. Diebold and J. A. Poirier, Phys. Rev. Letters 20, 1532 (1968).
2.	Chr. Geweniger et al., quoted in the rapporteur report of B. Richter, Vienna
	Conference (1968).
3.	Chr. Geweniger et al., Phys. Letters 28B, 155 (1968).
4.	Bar-Yam et al., quoted in the rapporteur report of B. Richter, Vienna
	Conference (1968).
5.	P. Heide et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 21, 248 (1968);
	Z. Bar-Yam et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 40 (1967).
6.	See M. Krammer and Dieter Schildknecht, Nucl. Phys. $\underline{B7}$, 583 (1968), for a
	derivation of similar formulae.
7.	Notre Dame-Purdue-SLAC Collaboration, "Compilation of $\pi^{-}p$ Data at 4 GeV/c",
	to be published in the Physical Review.
8.	In this momentum transfer region R is considerably less than one and it is the
	π^+ asymmetry which dominates A($\pi^+ + \pi^-$); even if one were to ignore the
	experimental values and arbitrarily set A equal to the limit -1, there would
	still be a large discrepancy at $ t = 0.2$ and 0.4 (GeV/c) ² where A($\pi^+ + \pi^-$)
	calculated in this way would be 0.19 ± 0.08 and 0.23 ± 0.09 , respectively.
9.	P. Stichel, Zeit. für Physik <u>180</u> , 170 (1964);
	J. P. Ader et al., Nuovo Cimento 56A, 952 (1968).
10.	This would imply $\gamma_0^2/4 \pi \ge 1$, in agreement with the preliminary values reported

to the Vienna Conference by the Cornell and SLAC ρ -photoproduction groups.

FIGURE CAPTION

Figure 1 - Comparison of experimental values of $A(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$, the photoproduction asymmetry, at 3.4 GeV (crosses), with the ratio of helicity-frame density matrix elements ρ_{1-1}/ρ_{11} for the process $\pi^-\rho - \pi^+\pi^-n$ at 4 GeV/c. The closed circles are the ratio of density matrix elements calculated from events in the ρ region (M_{$\pi\pi$} between 700 and 850 MeV) while the open circles were calculated with events in the background regions, 575 to 675 MeV and 875 to 975 MeV.



Fig. 1