SLAC-PUB-518 COO-1428-80 July 1968 (EXP)

Study of an I = 0, $\pi^-\pi^+$ Resonance at a Mass of 1.06 GeV^{*}

D. H. Miller and L. J. Gutay

Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana

and

P. B. Johnson and V. P. Kenney

Notre Dame University, South Bend, Indiana

and

Z. G. T. Guiragossián

Stanford Linear Accelerator Centre, Stanford University, Stanford, California

We have observed a resonance in the $\pi^{-}\pi^{+}$ final state with I = 0, M = 1.06 ± .015 GeV and $\Gamma < .07$ GeV, our analysis favors $\ell \geq 2$. It is produced primarily at a four momentum transfer squared less than .1 GeV², and observed most clearly in the region where the cosine of the $\pi\pi$ scattering angle is less than -.75.

(Submitted to Physical Review Letters)

As part of a continuing study of $\pi\pi$ interactions^{1,2,3} we have observed an I=0 enhancement at 1.060 ± .015 GeV in the $\pi^{-}\pi^{+}$ final state. This enhancement appears to be incompatible with the S*, an ℓ =0 effect observed in the KK system near threshold,^{4,5} which if interpreted as a resonance, has a width \geq .08 GeV. An enhancement in the $\pi^{-}\pi^{+}$ mass spectrum in this mass region has been reported previously^{6,7}. This enhancement was particularly evident for events with $\cos\theta$ less than $zero^8$ (where θ is the angle between the outgoing π^{-} and the incident π^{-} in the dipion rest system). A review of these experiments is contained in Ref. 8 where the effect was considered to be the $\pi\pi$ decay mode of the S*. The following analysis is based on data from a Purdue, Notre Dame and SLAC collaboration. The reaction channels and numbers of events obtained are:

$$\tau + p \rightarrow \pi + \pi + n$$
 7916 events; (1)

$$\tau + p \rightarrow \pi + \pi + p \qquad 4979 \text{ events;} \qquad (2)$$

The average beam momentum is 4.0 GeV/c and a detailed analysis of the data can be found in Ref. 9.

Figure 1 is a plot of $\cos\theta$ versus \sqrt{s} , where \sqrt{s} denotes the $\pi^{-}\pi^{+}$ effective mass. Inspection of Fig. 1, reveals that in addition to the production of the p° and f° a cluster of events can be seen near $\sqrt{s} \approx 1.06$ GeV. This is particularly true for $\cos\theta < -.75$.

The effective mass of the $\pi \pi^+$ system is shown in Fig. 2a for $\cos\theta < -.75$, and in Fig. 2b for $\cos\theta < -.75$ and $\Delta^2 < .1 \text{ GeV}^2$ (where Δ^2 is the four momentum transfer squared to the $\pi\pi$ system). In both of these plots a clear peak is seen between the ρ° and f° resonances. The solid line on Fig. 2a shows a fit to the data, using phase space plus Breit-Wigner shapes for the ρ° , f° and this enhancement; standard parameters were used for the ρ° and f° resonances, but the width and position of this enhancement were allowed to vary. This technique was used also for the data in Fig. 2b, except that a phase space contribution was not required. In both cases the mass of the enhancement was found to be 1.05 ± .015 GeV. The width for Δ^2 < .1 GeV² was found to be .04 GeV, comparable to our experimental resolution. This width is also acceptable for the data in Fig. 2a, if the difficulties in fitting the f^{O} region are ignored. The $\pi\pi\pi$ effective mass for other regions of $\cos\theta$ and Δ^2 < .1 GeV², are shown in Figs. 2c and 2d. The region of $\cos\theta$ > .75 (Fig. 2c) shows no enhancement. This may be partly due to the larger background resulting from the asymmetric decay of the p° . The solid line shown in Fig. 2c is the fit obtained from the backward decay events, with the \mathbf{s}° and \mathbf{f}° contributions scaled to fit the data in this figure. The region of $.5 > \cos\theta > -.5$, (Fig. 2d) does have an enhancement lying between the o° and f° . A fit using three Breit Wigner shapes, yields here a mass of 1.08 \pm .01 GeV, and width $\Gamma \leq$.04 GeV. We conclude that it is difficult to obtain a determination of this width because of the mass peak shifts observed in different regions of cos9. It is possible that this resonance is wider than our resolution, or that it is narrow with interference effects causing the observed shift. If we assume that the enhancements seen are all part of the same effect, we find a mass of $1.06 \pm .015$ GeV and $\Gamma < .07$ GeV. A total production cross section of 40 \pm 10 μb is obtained for the enhancement, 30 μb of which occurs at $\Delta^2 < .1$ GeV².

The region of $\cos\theta < ...75$ has particular kinematic properties, but no evidence has been found to indicate that the enhancement is kinematical in origin. No properties of the nm channels appear to be able to account for such a narrow peak in the mm spectrum.

More positive evidence of resonant behavior comes from the production characteristics in this mass region. A significant change occurs in the Δ^2 distribution, at the region of this enhancement. This distribution sharply rises toward small Δ^2 values, in a way very similar to the behavior at the ρ°

-3-

and f° regions. Moreover, the $\pi\pi$ decay asymmetry parameter changes from a level of .5 ± .05 to a small negative value, as the resonance is reached. This indicates a rapid change in at least one of the partial waves. These facts are consistent with, and indicate the presence of a resonance (here-after referred to as P) at M = 1.06 ± .015 GeV and with a width $\Gamma \leq .07$ GeV.

The events from reaction (2) show no enhancement in this region for any angular or four momentum transfer cuts. Similar $\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$ mass spectra are fitted using just ϕ^{-} production plus a smooth background. Figure 2e shows the $\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$ mass distribution with $\Delta^{2} < 0.1 \text{ GeV}^{2}$. If this resonance is an isovector, and One-Pion-Exchange is the production mechanism, we would expect an enhancement with a cross section of $\approx 20 \text{ }\mu\text{b}$ in the $\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$ spectrum. From our data, we can rule out an I=1 assignment by ~ 2.5 standard deviations.¹⁰ We cannot determine if I=2; but if we assume that I=0 and the decay is strong, then this resonance has IJ^{PG}=0 (even)⁺⁺.

ž

One-Pion-Exchange (OPE) has been shown^{1,2,3,9} to dominate ρ and f^o production, and to yield the unitarity limit for the cross section at the pole.¹¹ The Δ^2 distribution in the region of this resonance (Fig. 3b), is somewhat sharper than in the ρ° and f^o regions, however, we assume that OPE dominates here also.

If this is the case, then we can calculate the expected cross sections at the pole for different ℓ values. If we further assume that the extrapolation to the pole is the same for the P and f° , we can compare cross sections in the physical region and attempt to rule out $\ell=0$.

On the basis of the production and decay angular distribution, the differential cross section for the production of a dipion system can be written as 12

$$\frac{d^{3}\sigma}{ds d\Delta^{2}dt} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{3}8/s q q_{L}^{2}} \cdot \frac{\Delta^{2}}{(\Delta^{2}+\mu^{2})^{2}} \cdot f'(\Delta^{2}) |T_{4\pi}(s,t,\Delta^{2})|^{2}$$
(3)

where symbols q_L , q, f' and t are the incident momentum of the π^- in the lab. frame, the π^- momentum in the dipion rest frame, the pion nucleon coupling constant, and t is proportional to $\cos\theta$. $|T_{4\pi}(s,t,\Delta^2)|^2$ is proportional to the dipion angular distribution $d\sigma_{\pi\pi\pi}/d\Omega$ at $\Delta^2 = -\mu^2$. Equation (3) does not contain absorption corrections, but this fact will not affect our arguments.

Let us initially assume that P is an inelastic S-wave resonance with elasticity T_l, whereby the partial wave expansion through the real part of the TTT scattering phase shifts δ^{I}_{J} is given by:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d(\cos\theta)} = \frac{2\pi}{9q^2} \left\{ \sin^2 \delta_0^2 + 1 + \eta^2 - 2\eta \cos 2\delta_0^0 + 2\sin^2 \delta_0^2 - 2\eta \sin(\delta_0^2 - 2\delta_0^0) \sin\delta_0^2 + \left[18 \cos(\delta_0^2 - \delta_1^1) \sin\delta_0^2 \sin\delta_1^1 + 18(\sin^2 \delta_1^1 - \eta \sin(\delta_1^1 - 2\delta_0^0) \sin\delta_1^1) \right] P_1(\theta) + \left[20 \cos(\delta_0^2 - \delta_2^0) \sin\delta_0^2 \sin\delta_0^2 + 20(\sin^2 \delta_2^0 - \eta \sin(\delta_2^0 - 2\delta_0^0) \sin\delta_2^0) \right] P_2(\theta) + \left[180 \cos(\delta_1^1 - \delta_2^0) \sin\delta_1^1 \sin\delta_2^0 \right] P_1(\theta) P_2(\theta) + 81 \sin^2 \delta_1^1 P_1(\theta)^2 + 100 \sin^2 \delta_2^0 P_2(\theta)^2 \right] \right\}$$

Let us neglect off-mass-shell, absorption effects and the change in the boundary of the Chew Low plot. Then using eqs. (3) and (4), we have computed R, the ratio of S to D-wave total cross sections at $\delta_0^0 = \delta_2^0 = \pi/2$ and $T_i = 1$; and obtained a value of R = 0.2. An evaluation of R, under the above conditions and for the restricted region of $\cos \theta < -0.75$ yields

$$R = \frac{(sq)_{P}}{(sq)_{f^{O}}} \cdot \frac{\int d\sigma(P) d(\cos\theta)}{\int d\sigma(f^{O}) d(\cos\theta)} = 0.17$$
(5)

where we have used a Breit Wigner form for the ρ^{0} , together with a scattering length approximation¹³ for δ_{0}^{2} . A measurement of this ratio with the restrictions of $\cos \theta < -.75$ and $\Delta^{2} < .1 \text{ GeV}^{2}$, together with a correction factor of 1.80 for the different minimum Δ^{2} cutoffs at the P and f⁰ regions, gives R = 0.7 ± 0.2. This ratio is inconsistent with the above S-wave interpretation and favors $\ell=2$; although we cannot rule out values of $\ell > 2$ (and even), if the resonance width is substantially smaller than our resolution. The assignment l=2 is also consistent with the decay angular distribution shown in Fig. (3a), for the region of $1.02 \le M(\pi^+\pi^-) \le 1.10$ GeV and $\Delta^2 \le .1$ GeV². The solid line shown in Fig. (3a) is a theoretical calculation normalized to the total number of events, obtained from the same approach as that of Eq. (4), except that an l=2, P resonance is assumed. This calculation is in reasonable agreement with the data. Distributions in $\cos\theta$ over the entire $\pi^+\pi^-$ effective mass range have been fitted⁹ using Legendre polynomial expansions. The results (Fig. 3c), show a rise in the D-wave contribution in the P region; also, contributions from $\ell > 2$ partial waves are found to be absent. However, we note that the nonobservation of a peak in the effective mass distribution in the forward decay direction may mean that this resonance decays asymmetrically, favoring the $\cos\theta$ =-1 region. This would be characteristic of an S-wave resonance interfering with the P-wave. Thus, from the shape of a decay angular distribution it is not always possible, without exact knowledge of the other partial waves, to prefer l=2 over l=0. But from the above cross section argument, l=2 is favored. This agrees with the spark chamber experiment by Whitehead et al.^b which is also inconsistent with l=0.

If l=0, this resonance could be the $\pi\pi$ decay mode of the S*, 4,5,8 which is an enhancement near threshold in the KK system. A recent experiment 14 has studied this threshold enhancement in π p interactions at 4 GeV/c, affording direct comparison with our data. In this experiment, if the KK enhancement is assumed to be resonant, a width of $\Gamma > .12$ GeV is found; this width is not consistent with our data. Further, if we use the S* production cross section^{8,14} in the KK mode at 4 GeV/c, and assume that the P is the $\pi\pi$ decay mode of the S*, we obtain $\sigma(\pi\pi)/\sigma(KK) = 1.1 \pm .4$. This would increase the strength of our above cross section argument against an l=0 assignment, as η would be < 1.0. The latest experimental Δ^2 distribution for S* production¹⁴ is shown by the

-6-

dotted line on Fig. (3c). Once again, the S* and P appear to have different production characteristics. These facts indicate that the P and S* are not the different decay modes of the same resonance; although the presence of an S* may well complicate¹⁵ the competing TTT channel.

Thus we conclude that we observe an $IJ^{PG} = 0$ (even)⁺⁺ resonance at $\sqrt{s} = M_p = 1060 \pm 15$ MeV with a width of $\Gamma \leq 70$ MeV. The observed enhancement is consistent with having a value of $\ell=2$. In this case, the resonance could be the lowest lying particle on the Pomeranchuk-P Regge trajectory. This would imply a trajectory slope of $\alpha'_p(0) \approx 1$ GeV²; which is a value larger than currently accepted^{16,17} in theoretical analyses where Pomeranchuk exchange is treated by simple-pole term singularity. The second P' Regge trajectory appears to be described well by the f^o meson as a member of the 2⁺ SU(3) nonet.¹⁸

We would like to acknowledge the help of S. L. Kramer on certain aspects of the analysis.

REFERENCES

*Work supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

1.	R. L. Eisner, P. B. Johnson, P. R. Klein, R. E. Peters, R. J. Sahni,
	W. L. Yen and G. W. Tautfest, Phys. Rev. <u>164</u> , 1699 (1967).
2.	P. B. Johnson, L. J. Gutay, R. L. Eisner, R. P. Klein, R. E. Peters,
	R. J. Sahni, W. L. Yen and G. W. Tautfest, Phys. Rev. <u>163</u> , 1497 (1967).
3.	L. J. Gutay, P. B. Johnson, F. J. Loeffler, R. L. McIlwain, D. H. Miller,
	R. B. Willmann and P. L. Csonka, Phys. Rev. Letters 18, 142 (1967).
4.	W. Beusch, W. E. Fischer, B. Gobbi, M. Pepin, E. Polgar, P. Astbury,
	G. Brautti, G. Finocchiaro, J. C. Lassale, A. Michelini, K. M. Ter-
	williger, D. Websdale and C. H. West, Phys. Letters 25B, 357 (1967).
5.	D. J. Crennell, G. R. Kalbfleisch, K. W. Lai, J. M. Scarr, T. G. Schumann,
	I. O. Skillicorn and M. S. Webster, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 1025 (1966).
6.	C. Whitehead, J. G. McEwen, R. J. Ott, D. K. Aitken, G. Bennett and
	R. E. Jennings, Nuovo Cimento 53, 817 (1968).
7.	I. Butterworth, Proceedings of the Heidelberg International Conference on
	Elementary Particles, page 66. North Holland Publishing Co. (1968)
8.	K. W. Lai, in Proceedings of the Informal Meeting on Experimental Meson
	Spectroscopy, Philadelphia (1968) (to be published).
9.	Purdue, Notre Dame, SLAC collaboration Phys. Rev. (to be published).
10.	The argument against an I= 1 assignment depends strongly on the assumption
	of One-Pion-Exchange mechanism. This argument appears to be reasonable as
•	OPE is able to account for the production of dipion systems, both below and
	above this mass region, and within our Δ^2 cut off <0.1 GeV ² . The inter-

ference of an I= 1 resonance with other partial waves would not be the same in the $\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$ channel as in the $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ channel. Thus, we have examined all $\cos\theta$ regions for a possible new enhancement in the $\pi^{-\pi^{O}}$ mass spectrum, but no significant peaks were observed. Further, the Legendre polynomial fits to the $\pi^{-\Theta}\pi^{-\Omega}$ cos θ distributions, do not show any sudden rise of the p-wave in this resonance region (cf. Figures 26 and 27 of Reference 6).

- 11. A Chew-Low extrapolation has been carried out on the data and yields the unitarity limit at the pole in both the ρ^{0} and f^{0} regions (c f. Figure 25 of Ref. 9).
- 12. L. Gutay, D. Carmony, P. Csonka, F. Loeffler and F. T Meiere, Purdue Internal Report COO-1428-65 (to be published), and <u>Boulder Lectures in</u> Theoretical Physics, 1968 (to be published).
- 13. J. P. Baton, G. Laurens, J. Reignier, Phys. Letters 25, 419 (1967).
- 14. T. F. Hoang, D. P. Eartly, J. J. Phelan, A. Roberts, C. L. Sandler,
 S. Bernstein, S. Margulies, D. W. McLeod, T. H. Groves, N. N. Biswas,
 N. M. Cason, V. P. Kenney, J. M. Marraffino, J. T. McGahan, J. A. Poirier and W. D. Shephard, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>21</u>, 316 (1968).
- 15. W. R. Frazer and A. W. Hendry, Phys. Rev. 134, B1307 (1964).
- 16. V. Barger and R. J. N. Phillips, Evidence for a flat Pomeranchuk trajectory and location of a secondary rho Regge pole. (Preprint), July 1968.
- 17. G. F. Chew and A. Pignotti, A Multiperipheral Bootstrap Model, Report No. UCLRL - 18275, June 1968. One of us (Z.G.T.G.) would like to thank Prof. G. F. Chew for a valuable discussion on this problem.

18. B. R. Desai and P. G. O. Freund, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 622 (1966).

-9-

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Effective mass of the $\pi^-\pi^+$ system plotted against the cosine of the scattering angle in the dipion rest frame.

Fig. 2a). Effective mass of the $\pi^{-+}\pi^{+}$ system for $\cos \theta < -.75$.

- b). Effective mass of the $\pi^{-}\pi^{+}$ system for $\cos \theta < -.75$ and Δ^{2} to the $\pi\pi$ system < .1 GeV².
- c). Effective mass of the $\pi^-\pi^+$ system for $\cos \theta > .75$ and $\Delta^2 < .1$ GeV².
- d). Effective mass of the $\pi^-\pi^+$ system for .5 > cos θ > .5 and Δ^2 < .1 GeV².
- e). Effective mass of the $\pi^{-\pi^{O}}$ system for $\Delta^{2} < .1 \text{ GeV}^{2}$.
- Fig. 3a). Decay angular distribution for the region 1.02 < M $_{\rm IIII}$ < 1.1 GeV and Δ^2 < .1 GeV $^2.$

b). Four momentum transfer squared distribution for the region $1.02 \, < M_{_{\rm TTT}} \, < \, 1.1 \, \, {\rm GeV} \, .$

c). Coefficient A_4/A_0 for a Legendre polynomial fit to the decay angular distributions, as a function of M_{TTT} for $\Delta^2 < .2 \text{ GeV}^2$.





.



S. 2. 1



.