The laser electron accelerator project

R.L. Byer, M. Hennessy, T. Plettner Department of Applied Physics, Stanford University

J. E. Spencer, E. Colby, R. H. Siemann, B. Cowan, C. Barnes *Stanford Linear Accelerator Center*

T.I. Smith, R. Swent Hansen Experimental Physics Lab

Goal

Experimental demonstration of acceleration from crossed laser beams in vacuum

Talk Outline

Introduction

motivation

 physics for crossed laser beam acceleration

Description of the LEAP project

- The SCA-FEL facility at HEPL
- The laser system
- The accelerator cell
- Diagnostics
- Chosen parameters

Present status of LEAP

resultsobstacles

Future experiments

- Optical bunching
- Multi cell experiments
- Laser phase locking

Motivation



For the next generation of experiments with e^+e^- collisions TeV energies necessary

SLAC provides ~ 50 GeV beam

Need for high gradient accelerators

•1 GeV/m gradient desirable

LEAP acceleration

- •no lateral deflection
- •vacuum
- •damage threshold limits gradient

Crossed laser beam geometry

The laser beams are polarized in the XZ plane, and are out of phase by π





$$E_{z} = -(E_{x1} - E_{x2})\cos\theta + (E_{z1} + E_{z2})\sin\theta$$
$$E_{x} = +(E_{x1} + E_{x2})\cos\theta + (E_{z1} - E_{z2})\sin\theta$$

P.Sprangle, E. Esarey, J. Krall, A. Ting, Opt. Comm. 124, 69 (1996)



- 1) zero transverse field no deflection
- 2) nonzero longitudinal field
- the phase velocity of the field travels faster than c



In the example above the electron-laser slippage distance is of the order of 0.1 mm



The accelerator cell

nonzero energy gain laser-electron interaction over a finite distance provide a structure with reflective walls to confine the laser interaction need apertures for e-beam to travel in vacuum



Degradation effects of the field from slits



Example: slit =16 μ m, ω o = 50 μ m, θ = 20 mrad



analytical expressions for crossed gaussian beams not adequate for a real accelerator cell possessing a slit

plane-wave vector decomposition method[†] for the estimate effective laser field



The SCA-FEL facility

Electron and laser beam parameters



The LEAP experiment

Proof of principle experiment with one accelerator cell



The optical transport



The data collection



The slit width monitor

Accelerator cell



The timing monitor



The timing monitor (cont'd)

Phase detection between the electron beam and photodiode signal or reference 1 GHz R.F. signal



The spatial monitor



The interaction chamber



Choice of parameters

Maximize laser acceleration effect

optimize

•laser power and pulse duration power ~ 0.5 J/cm² $T_{laser} \sim 5-10$ psec

•laser beam crossing angle 23 mrad

•accelerator cell length 800 μm

•slit width < 10 μm



Damage threshold of dielectric materials

For pulses below 10 psec laser damage threshold is constant



laser peak field and pulse duration



Slit width



With a 10 μ m slit ~ 30% of the energy gain is lost

Cell length



The optimum cell length is ~ 800 μm

Laser beam crossing angle

Contour map of angle, slit-width combinations



The optimum laser crossing angle is ~ 23 mrad

Expected spectrometer images

The electron beam is not optically bunched

 $\lambda_{\text{laser}} \sim 1 \mu \text{m}$ $l_{\text{bunch}} \sim 1 \text{mm}$

The electron beam samples all optical phases of the laser field. Both acceleration and deceleration are expected



Effect from the slit width



- 5 psec laser pulse
- 1 psec electron beam

Effect of the laser pulse duration



1 psec electron beam

Observed spectrometer images



Observed spectrometer images

run 0261.dat

λ	800	nm
laser Spot size	250	µm FWHM
pulse energy	0.5	mJ/pulse
laser pulse duration	1.0	psec FWHM
laser crossing angle	23	mrad
slit width	5.0	μm
accelerator cell length	800	μm
electron beam γ	63	
e-beam pulse duration	5	psec

Single energy profile

50

0

100

150

energy (keV)

200

250



Expected laser effect on the energy spectrum



Present situation

Achievements

- 1) Detection and characterization of single e-beam bunches
- 2) Spatial Overlap
- **3)** Timing overlap
- 4) Transmission through a 5 μ m slit

Problems / challenges

- 1) Lack of knowledge of electric field inside the accelerator cell
- 2) Beam position jitter

Position filter for the electron beam



Laser electric field monitor



Future Experiments

I. Optical bunching

Simulation for 10 successive accelerator cells



Future Experiments



Future Experiments

III. Research on material properties

Desired Material features

- low dispersion
- · low nonlinear optical coefficients
- · high chemical stability in vacuum
- high damage threshold (" 1 J / c m psec laser pulses)
- · high thermal conductivity and heat capacity
- · low thermal expansion coefficient
- easy to machine
- availability

material	density	thermal expansion	n dn/dT therr		heat capacity
	g cm⁻³	10 ⁻⁶ K ⁻¹	10 ⁻⁶ K ⁻¹	W K ⁻¹ m ⁻¹	J K⁻¹ g⁻¹
Fused Silica	2.202	0.51	11	138	0.7458
Sapphire ne	3.987	6.77	-	58	0.777
Sapphire no					
quartz ne	2.648	10.49	-	-	0.7458
quartz no					
Silicon	2.329	2.618	135	191	0.7139
YAG	4.55	7.5	9.1	12	0.625
Diamond	3.515	1.25	9.6	<2800	0.5169

Dispersion curves



Summary

Features of X-laser acceleration	Linear acceleration, Gradient \approx Longitudinal electric field Vacuum Gradient limited by damage threshold $P_{laser} \approx 1 J/cm^2$
Relevant parameters	Accelerator cell length Laser crossing angle L_{cell} \approx $800 \ \mu m$ Blit width θ_{laser} \approx $23 \ mrad$ Caser optical phase ϕ_{laser} $=$ $10 \ \mu m$
Experiment	The accelerator cell The optical transport system The energy spectrometer Laser and e-beam Diagnostics
Present status	Spatial overlap Temporal overlap Single electron bunches Transmission through a <10 µm slit Control of laser-electron interaction length Beam position jitter Lack of knowledge of optical phase } upcoming run
Future research	Optical bunching Multiple accelerator cell structure development Research on materials