

## Linearized FEL Equations & Gain

To characterize amplification in the FEL, we linearize the FEL equations in perturbations due to the electromagnetic signal. Recall, the FEL equations are the equations of particle motion,

$$\frac{d\theta_i}{dz} = k_w - \delta k - \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{1}{2\gamma_i^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{a_w^2}{2} - a_w [JJ] \text{Re}[a \exp(i\theta_i)] \right\},$$

$$\equiv "k_w + k_z - \frac{\omega}{v_z}"$$

$$\frac{d\gamma_i}{dz} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\omega}{c} \right) \frac{a_w}{\gamma_i \beta_i} [JJ] \text{Im}[a \exp(i\theta_i)],$$

$$\equiv " \vec{v}_\perp \cdot \vec{E}_\perp "$$

$$\beta_i = 1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma_i^2} \left( 1 + \frac{a_w^2}{2} \right),$$

where  $i$  is the particle index, and the eikonal equation,

$$\left( \frac{d}{dz} + i \underbrace{\frac{2\pi}{k_z \Sigma} \frac{I}{I_0} \left\langle \frac{1}{\gamma\beta} \right\rangle}_{\text{"non-resonant dielectric effect"}} \right) a = i \underbrace{\frac{2\pi}{k_z \Sigma} a_w [JJ]}_{\text{"rf component on beam} \times \text{wiggler induced } v_\perp} \left( \frac{I}{I_0} \right) \left\langle \frac{\exp(-i\theta)}{\gamma\beta} \right\rangle.$$

We will describe the particle motion by small perturbations to the “ballistic” or  $a=0$  motion,

$$\theta_i = \theta_{i0} + \theta_{i1},$$

$$\gamma_i = \gamma_{i0} + \gamma_{i1},$$

where the unperturbed motion is just

$$\theta_{i0}(z) = \theta_i(0) + \Delta k_i z,$$

$$\gamma_{i0}(z) = \gamma_{i0}(0).$$

The initial detuning is

$$\Delta k_i = k_w - \delta k - \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{1}{2\gamma_i^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{a_w^2}{2} \right\}.$$

The equations for the perturbations take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\theta_{i1}}{dz} &= \Delta k'_i \gamma_{i1} + q_i \frac{\beta_{i0}}{\gamma_{i0}} \operatorname{Re}[a \exp(i\theta_{i0})] \\ &= \Delta k'_i \gamma_{i1} + q_i \frac{\beta_{i0}}{\gamma_{i0}} \operatorname{Im}[ia \exp(i\theta_{i0})], \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d\gamma_{i1}}{dz} = -q_i \operatorname{Im}[a \exp(i\theta_{i0})]$$

where we abbreviate

$$q_i = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{a_w [JJ]}{\gamma_{i0} \beta_{i0}},$$

$$\Delta k'_i = \frac{\partial \Delta k_i}{\partial \gamma_i} = \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{1}{\gamma_i^3} \left\{ 1 + \frac{a_w^2}{2} \right\}.$$

The eikonal equation takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{d}{dz} + iv\right)a &= iQ \left\langle \frac{\exp(-i\theta)}{\gamma\beta} \right\rangle \\
&\approx iQ \left\langle \frac{-i\theta_{i1} \exp(-i\theta_{i0})}{\gamma_{i0}\beta_{i0}} - \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{i0})}{(\gamma_{i0}\beta_{i0})^2} \frac{\partial(\gamma_{i0}\beta_{i0})}{\partial\gamma_{i0}} \gamma_{i1} \right\rangle \\
&\approx iQ \left\langle \frac{-i\theta_{i1} \exp(-i\theta_{i0})}{\gamma_{i0}\beta_{i0}} - \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{i0})}{\gamma_{i0}^2\beta_{i0}^3} \gamma_{i1} \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where we abbreviate

$$\begin{aligned}
v &= \frac{2\pi}{k_z \Sigma} \frac{I}{I_0} \left\langle \frac{1}{\gamma\beta} \right\rangle, \\
Q &= \frac{2\pi}{k_z \Sigma} \left( \frac{I}{I_0} \right) a_w [JJ],
\end{aligned}$$

and have used

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial(\gamma_{i0}\beta_{i0})}{\partial\gamma_{i0}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma_{i0}} \gamma_{i0} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma_{i0}^2} \left( 1 + \frac{a_w^2}{2} \right) \right\} \\
&= 1 + \frac{1}{2\gamma_{i0}^2} \left( 1 + \frac{a_w^2}{2} \right) \\
&\approx \frac{1}{\beta_{i0}},
\end{aligned}$$

in the last line.

To solve this system we first represent the eikonal as a sum over exponentials

$$a(z) = \sum_m a_m \exp(\Gamma_m z).$$

Note the conditions on the coefficients,

$$a(0) = \sum_m a_m,$$

and, assuming an initially unbunched beam,

$$\left( \frac{da}{dz} + i\nu a \right)_{z=0} = \sum_m (\Gamma_m + i\nu) a_m = 0.$$

It is convenient to express the perturbed particle variables as

$$\gamma_{i1} = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{i1} - \tilde{\gamma}_{i1}^*}{2i},$$

$$\theta_{i1} = \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{i1} - \tilde{\theta}_{i1}^*}{2i},$$

where

$$\frac{d\tilde{\gamma}_{i1}}{dz} = -q_i a \exp(i\theta_{i0}),$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{\theta}_{i1}}{dz} = \Delta k' \tilde{\gamma}_{i1} + q_i \frac{\beta_{i0}}{\gamma_{i0}} i a \exp(i\theta_{i0})$$

Integrating the first of these we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\gamma}_{i1} &= \int_0^z dz' [-q_i a \exp(i\theta_{i0})] \\
&= -q_i \sum_m a_m \int_0^z dz' \exp\{i\theta_i(0) + (\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)z\} \\
&= -q_i \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp\{i\theta_i(0)\}}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)} [\exp\{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)z\} - 1]
\end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\theta}_{i1} &= -q_i \Delta k' \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp\{i\theta_i(0)\}}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)^2} [\exp\{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)z\} - 1 - (\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)z] \\
&\quad + q_i \frac{\beta_{i0}}{\gamma_{i0}} i \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp\{i\theta_i(0)\}}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)} [\exp\{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)z\} - 1]
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we substitute these results in the eikonal equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{d}{dz} + iv\right)a &= \sum_m (\Gamma_m + iv)a_m \exp(\Gamma_m z) \\
&= iQ \left\langle \frac{-i\theta_{i1} \exp(-i\theta_{i0})}{\gamma_{i0} \beta_{i0}} - \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{i0})}{\gamma_{i0}^2 \beta_{i0}^3} \gamma_{i1} \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

We will find that this amounts to an equation between two sums over exponentials and equating coefficients will then permit us to solve for the complex wavenumbers  $\Gamma_m$ . In the course of this, we will require that non-exponential terms vanish, and this imposes the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_m \frac{a_m}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)} &= 0, \\
\sum_m \frac{a_m}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)^2} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(This last constraint will turn out to be redundant.) So in computing,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{i_0})}{\gamma_{i_0}\beta_{i_0}}(-i\theta_{i_1}) \right\rangle &= \left\langle \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{i_0})}{\gamma_{i_0}\beta_{i_0}} \left( -i \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{i_1} - \tilde{\theta}_{i_1}^*}{2i} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{i_0})}{\gamma_{i_0}\beta_{i_0}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_{i_1} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{i_0})}{\gamma_{i_0}\beta_{i_0}} \left( \frac{1}{2} q_i \Delta k' \right) \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp\{i\theta_i(0)\}}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)^2} \left[ \exp\{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)z\} - 1 - (\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)z \right] \right\rangle + \\
&\quad \left\langle \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{i_0})}{\gamma_{i_0}\beta_{i_0}} \left( -\frac{i}{2} q_i \frac{\beta_{i_0}}{\gamma_{i_0}} \right) \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp\{i\theta_i(0)\}}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)} \left[ \exp\{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)z\} - 1 \right] \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \frac{q_i \Delta k'}{2\gamma_{i_0}\beta_{i_0}} \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp(\Gamma_m z)}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)^2} \left[ 1 - (1 + (\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)z) \exp\{-(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)z\} \right] \right\rangle + \\
&\quad \left\langle -\frac{i q_i}{2\gamma_{i_0}^2} \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp(\Gamma_m z)}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)} \left[ 1 - \exp\{-(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)z\} \right] \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \frac{q_i \Delta k'}{2\gamma_{i_0}\beta_{i_0}} \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp(\Gamma_m z)}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)^2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{i q_i}{2\gamma_{i_0}^2} \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp(\Gamma_m z)}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)} \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

we zero the non-exponential terms in the last line, likewise, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{i_0})}{\gamma_{i_0}^2 \beta_{i_0}^3} \gamma_{i_1} \right\rangle &= \left\langle \frac{\exp(-i\theta_{i_0})}{\gamma_{i_0}^2 \beta_{i_0}^3} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{i_1}}{2i} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \frac{i q_i}{2\gamma_{i_0}^2 \beta_{i_0}^3} \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp(\Gamma_m z)}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)} \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

With these results, the eikonal equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_m (\Gamma_m + i\nu) a_m \exp(\Gamma_m z) &= iQ \left\langle \frac{q_i \Delta k'}{2\gamma_{i_0}\beta_{i_0}} \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp(\Gamma_m z)}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)^2} \right\rangle - iQ \left\langle \frac{i q_i}{2\gamma_{i_0}^2} \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp(\Gamma_m z)}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)} \right\rangle + \\
&\quad -iQ \left\langle \frac{i q_i}{2\gamma_{i_0}^2 \beta_{i_0}^3} \sum_m \frac{a_m \exp(\Gamma_m z)}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)} \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

is reduced to an algebraic relation determined by equating coefficients,

$$(\Gamma_m + i\nu) = iQ \left\langle \frac{q_i \Delta k'}{2\gamma_{i0} \beta_{i0}} \frac{1}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)^2} \right\rangle - Q \left\langle \frac{q_i}{2\gamma_{i0}^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta_{i0}^3}\right) \frac{1}{(\Gamma_m + i\Delta k_i)} \right\rangle.$$

At this point, the brackets amount to an average over the initial energy distribution of the electrons. The primary dependence on energy is through the resonant denominators, thus one may to a good approximation simply evaluate the algebraic factors at the average  $\gamma$ . This dispersion relation is most simply described in terms of dimensionless parameters,  $\rho, \hat{\rho}, \delta$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} (2\rho k_w)^3 &= \frac{1}{2\gamma\beta} Qq\Delta k' \\ &= \frac{1}{2\gamma\beta} \frac{2\pi}{k_z \Sigma} \underbrace{\left(\frac{I}{I_0}\right) a_w [JJ]}_Q \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{a_w [JJ]}{\gamma \beta}}_q \underbrace{\frac{\omega}{c} \frac{1}{\gamma^3}}_{\Delta k'} \left\{1 + \frac{a_w^2}{2}\right\} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{(\omega/c)^2}{k_z \Sigma} \left(\frac{I}{I_0}\right) \frac{(a_w [JJ])^2}{\gamma^5 \beta^2} \left\{1 + \frac{a_w^2}{2}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^3 &= \frac{\pi}{16} \frac{(\omega/c)^2}{k_z \Sigma k_w^3} \left(\frac{I}{I_0}\right) \frac{(a_w [JJ])^2}{\gamma^5 \beta^2} \left\{1 + \frac{a_w^2}{2}\right\} \\ &\approx \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{1}{\Sigma k_w^2} \left(\frac{I}{\gamma^3 I_0}\right) (a_w [JJ])^2 \end{aligned}$$

and in the last line we have evaluated  $\rho$  on resonance. The second dimensionless parameter,  $\hat{\rho}$ , arising from roughly equal contributions due to the relativistic mass effect, and the perturbation to the transverse motion due to signal-induced jitter, is

$$\begin{aligned}
(2\hat{\rho}k_w)^2 &= \frac{Qq}{2\gamma^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^3}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \underbrace{\frac{2\pi}{k_z \Sigma} \left(\frac{I}{I_0}\right) a_w[JJ]}_Q \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega}{c} \frac{a_w[JJ]}{\gamma \beta}}_q \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^3}\right) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{(\omega/c)}{k_z \Sigma} \left(\frac{I}{I_0}\right) \frac{(a_w[JJ])^2}{\gamma^3 \beta} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^3}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\rho}^2 &= \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{(\omega/c)}{k_z \Sigma k_w^2} \left(\frac{I}{I_0}\right) \frac{(a_w[JJ])^2}{\gamma^3 \beta} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^3}\right) \\
&\approx \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{1}{\Sigma k_w^2} \left(\frac{I}{\gamma^3 I_0}\right) (a_w[JJ])^2 \\
&\approx 2\rho^3
\end{aligned}$$

The dimensionless detuning is

$$\delta = \frac{\Delta k - \nu}{2k_w},$$

and this is in principle a particle - variable (a function of energy), but we will shortly specialize to the case of a mono-energetic (“cold” ) beam. In terms of these variables, and the dimensionless complex wavenumber,

$$\zeta = \frac{\Gamma + i\nu}{2ik_w},$$

we arrive at the dispersion relation



$$\zeta = -\rho^3 \left\langle \frac{1}{(\zeta + \delta)^2} \right\rangle + \hat{\rho}^2 \left\langle \frac{1}{(\zeta + \delta)} \right\rangle,$$

or, for a cold beam, the cubic,

$$(\zeta + \delta)^2 \zeta - \hat{\rho}^2 (\zeta + \delta) = -\rho^3.$$

Making the approximation,

$$\hat{\rho}^2 \approx 2\rho^3,$$

this can be reduced to a two-parameter cubic equation. The three roots of this equation determine the actual complex wavenumbers  $\Gamma_m$  and they determine the mode coefficients according to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_m a_m &= a(0) \\ \sum_m \zeta_m a_m &= 0, \\ \sum_m \frac{a_m}{\zeta_m} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Numerous useful results derive from analysis of this cubic, and we turn to this next.