Linear Collider: Lecture Two Obtaining the Energy Ron Ruth

- Outline of this Lecture
 - Choice of Collision Energy
 - Acceleration Basics
 - Comparison of Technologies
 - TESLA
 - C-Band
 - NLC/JLC
 - CLIC and Two-Beam LCs
 - Summary

Choice of Energy

- You have heard about the world consensus that the next linear collider should begin with an energy of about ½ TeV and be upgradable to about 1 TeV.
- The TESLA and NLC designs both address this range. (TESLA up to 800 GeV)
- The CLIC approach uses two-beam acceleration and higher frequency to get up to 3 TeV.
- They also put forward upgrades to 5 TeV.
- The community is putting forward a decade of energy reach for the next plus next generation linear colliders (0.5 to 5 TeV)
- This lecture will focus primarily on the lower energy accelerators.
- However, we will conclude with multi TeV options for linear colliders.

Accelerator Technology Choices

- There are two main choices of acceleration technology which are being pursued.
 - Superconducting Accelerator Structures
 - Room temperature high-conductivity (copper) structures.
- Within the room temperature category there are several alternative approaches based on different frequencies.
 - S-band (SLC, DESY) f = 3 GHz
 - **C-band (KEK) f** = **5.7 GHz**
 - X-band (SLAC/KEK) f = 11.4 GHz
 - CLIC (CERN+collab) f = 30 GHz
- The superconducting approach is followed by a collaboration of many institutions which are led by DESY.
 - L-Band (TESLA collab, DESY) f = 1.3 GHz

Acceleration Basics

- The total energy gain in a linear collider is provided by a linear accelerator with almost all of the linear length occupied by accelerator structure.
- The total energy gain is just

$$E = E_0 + G_z L$$

• We can think of Gz as the longitudinal accelerating field in a structure of length L, or as an average including the fill factor with L being the length of the linac.



Energy Extraction

- The diagram shows a travelling wave structure with phase velocity of c and some charateristic group velocity.
- In contrast to a circular collider, electron acceleration takes place near the crest of the RF with only a small phase offset.

$$\Delta E = G_z L \cos(\varphi)$$

• The particle bunch also induces a decelerating field behind it.

$$G_{wake} = -2kq\cos(\omega z/c)$$

• For a particle on crest this just reduces the accelerating field behind the bunch. The single bunch efficiency is

$$\eta_0 = 1 - \frac{\left(G_z - 2kq\right)^2}{G_z^2} \cong \frac{4kq}{G_z}$$

June 2000

Energy Spread Compensation

• The head of the bunch feels the full acceleration while the tail has a reduced field. In the linear approximation (short bunch)

$$\Delta E_{ave} = (G_z - kq)L_s \qquad \Delta E_{spread} = \pm kqL_s$$

- This spread can be compensated by a small phase offset provided the single bunch only extracts a percent or less of the energy.
- This seems to imply that trailing bunches get less acceleration.
- They will unless some technique is used to compensate this effect.
- The technique is to run the accelerator in a <u>temporary steady state</u>.
- Match the input of RF power into the structure with the extraction of RF power by the beam so the average gradient is maintained over the train of bunches.

The Radio Frequency Power Source

- The RF power system converts the power from the grid to pulsed power at the desired RF frequency.
- The details of the pulse structure are different for different designs, but a common arrangement is



- The modulator provides the basic pulse structure and energy storage with capacitors and inductors
- The klystron is an efficient RF amplifier.
- The RF compression trades pulse length for peak power.

June 2000

The Klystron

- The klystron modulates a high current electron beam with a small RF signal.
- This causes the beam to bunch which drives cavities downstream which further bunch the beam.
- The bunching process culminates after a special penultimate cavity in the output cavity or structure.
- The fields induced by the beam are at a phase which causes the bunches to decelerate transferring their energy to the RF wave.



June 2000

The RF Compression System

- The object of the RF compression system is to obtain a short high power RF pulse when given a long lower power pulse.
- The serves to match the capabilities of the klystron/modulator system with the necessary pulse length and power for the accelerator.
- The type shown below is called SLEDII.
- The energy is stored in resonant delay lines prior to delivery to the structure.





Other 'Compression' Schemes, DLDS

- DLDS stands for Delay Line Distribution System
- The idea is to combine power then chop it up in time slices which are shipped upstream to arrive when they are needed.



A View of all the possibilities

• There are various incarnations of these basics as shown below



• For a better view of this figure let's go here

• **Power Sources.ppt**

June 2000

Standing Back to View Common Traits

- ALL linear accelerators are transformers
 - DC -> High energy, low current beam
- Pulse compression and energy storage are critical
- TESLA
 - 11 MW, 5 Hz, 2.3 MJ/pulse, 1 msec pulse
- NLC
 - 4.5 MW, 120 Hz, 40 kJ/pulse, 300 nsec pulse
- C-Band
 - 2.9 MW, 100 Hz, 30 kJ/pulse, 250 nsec pulse
- CLIC (0.5)
 - 4.8 MW, 200 Hz, 25 kJ/pulse, 100 nsec pulse
- CLIC (3.0)
 - 11 MW, 75 Hz, 140 kJ/pulse, 100 nsec pulse

Energy Storage in Each Scheme

- TESLA
 - Capacitors, DC-> 1msec
 - SC Cavity
- C-Band
 - Caps, DC-> 2.5 microsec
 - High Q RF Cavity -> 2500 -> 250 nsec
- NLC
 - Caps, DC-> 1.5 microsec
 - Low loss Delay lines -> 1500 -> 350 nsec
- CLIC (Two-Beam)
 - Caps, DC-> 75 microsec
 - Beam, -> 75 microsec->n x 100 nsec
- Fundamentally all acceleration methods need a High Q storage medium to efficiently accomplish the time compression part of the 'transformer'. In the chain it is just prior to acceleration.

Why So Many Choices?

- TESLA- 20 MV/m
 - Focused on the lowest energy, 1/2 TeV
 - Low wakefield due to large aperture
 - Challenges of cost and gradient
 - Limited energy reach (~800 GeV)
- C-Band ~30/40 MV/m
 - Focused on lowest energy
 - Closest to Conventional S-band Technology
 - Tighter tolerances, limited energy reach
- NLC ~55/70 MV/m
 - **Optimized for 1 TeV (0.5 to 1.5)**
 - New X-Band technology ~ S-Band Tech.
 - Tighter tolerances
- CLIC (Two-Beam) ~150 MV/m
 - Focused on Multi-TeV energy
 - High frequency, tightest tolerances and New Two-Beam Technique
 - Gradient limits and testing Two Beam?

June 2000

Technology Tour

• To get a feel for superconducting technology lets look at TESLA

– <u>TESLA technology tour.ppt</u>

- To get a feel for warm technology lets look at C-Band and then NLC/JLC.
 - <u>C-Band Technology Tour.ppt</u>
 - <u>NLC technology tour.ppt</u>
- To understand Two-Beam we will discuss CLIC and Two-Beam upgrades to NLC

- <u>Two Beam digression.ppt</u>

Summary

- A quote from the Snowmass working group and agreed to by key participants of the group.
 - "The NLC/JLC-X and TESLA designs and technology are sufficiently developed and either could be used to build a 500 GeV collider. The performance limitations are well understood and the measures which must be taken to achieve the design performance at a high level of confidence are precisely defined. The R&D on the X-band will take another 3 to 4 years, i.e. 2004, before being ready for large-scale industrial production. Similarly, TESLA will be ready in 2 to 3 years, i.e. 2003. In both cases, final engineering R&D should be performed in the framework of a funded project."
- The high energy physics community, especially the young generation has a great opportunity to open the door to precision physics which begins at the electroweak scale and could extend to multi TeV energy.
- This opportunity has been provided by more than a decade of world-wide research on and experience with linear colliders.
- **Do not fail to take the opportunity.**

June 2000