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# LCLS and LCLS-II Beam Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LCLS</th>
<th>LCLS-II</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RF frequency</strong></td>
<td>2856 MHz</td>
<td>1300 MHz</td>
<td>MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Repetition rate</strong></td>
<td>120 Hz</td>
<td>1 – 120 Hz</td>
<td>$10^6$ Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electron energy</strong></td>
<td>4.3 – 13.6 GeV</td>
<td>2.4 – 15.4 GeV</td>
<td>4 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bunch charge</strong></td>
<td>200 &amp; 1000 pC</td>
<td>20 – 250 pC</td>
<td>10 – 100 pC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bunch length</strong></td>
<td>20 µm (rms)</td>
<td>&lt; 2 – 50 µm</td>
<td>8 µm (rms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emittance norm.</strong></td>
<td>1.2 µm</td>
<td>0.13 – 0.5 µm</td>
<td>0.4 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X-ray energy</strong></td>
<td>0.83 – 8.3 keV</td>
<td>0.25 – 10.5 keV</td>
<td>0.2 – 5 keV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X-ray pulse energy</strong></td>
<td>&lt; 2 mJ</td>
<td>&lt; 4.7 mJ</td>
<td>&lt; 2.2 mJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>X-ray pulse length</strong></td>
<td>230 fs (FWHM)</td>
<td>&lt; 5 – 500 fs (FWHM)</td>
<td>60 fs (FWHM)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LCLS Diagnostics Development

- Machine tuning optimization
  - Fast wire scanner
- High brightness beam issues
  - YAG screen (PSI)
- New capabilities
  - SXRSS, overlap diagnostics

- Extended machine parameters
  - Low charge mode
  - Mid IR spectrometer
  - XTCAV
- LCLS-II
  - BPM µTCA receiver
  - RF-BPM (PAL)
LCLS-II Diagnostic Challenges

- Single bunch properties like LCLS-I

- High beam power
  - Put (almost) nothing into the full rate beam
  - Low rate (diagnostic) or variable rate (post-spreader) lines for most invasive diagnostics

- High beam rate
  - Fast DAQ needed, FPGA processing, low latency networks for feedback, MPS, etc.
  - Single shot detector signals

- Low charge mode
  - BPM & R-BLM sensitivity
Charge Measurement Upgrade

• Existing toroid electronics issues
  - only local calibration
  - up to 15% read-back variation
  - Up to 4% noise at 150 pC from up to 500’ cable run (BPMs give 0.05%)

• New electronics and DAQ
  - Add remote calibrator to in-tunnel amp
  - Use differential cable
  - Gated charge amplifier CAEN QDCV965A already used for PMTs

• Get ~1% agreement for absolute charge between two toroids

• Noise of 0.2% to 0.5%

• LCLS-II requires high dynamic range average current measurement, use commercial solution (Bergoz Turbo-ICT)
μTCA Strip-line BPM Electronics

- Part of SLAC μTCA development
  - Initiated for NC LCLS-II project
- New AFE, use 300 MHz rather than 140 MHz, also higher BW
- Uses SIS8300 16 bit ADC at 109 MHz
- Up to 8 BPMs per crate possible

Poster C. Xu, WEPD17

Courtesy S. Hoobler
LCLS Test Installation

- Implemented for 4 strip-line BPMs in L3 linac
- Operational for almost 2 years without issues
- Achieve same resolution as from existing electronics
X-Band RF Cavity BPM

- PAL-SLAC collaboration
- 11.424 GHz (4x S-band) for flexible bunch pattern
- New receiver with coax input and µTCA
- Test BPM next to ANL undulator RF-BPM
- Preliminary results already meet <1 µm LCLS-II requirement
- Noise issue with power supply resolved, awaiting beam test
LCLS-II BPMs

• Most critical performance at 10 pC low charge limit
• Strip-line BPMs (30 µm) for most of beam transport
• RF cavity BPMs in special locations, X, S, or L band
  - Energy measurement
  - Orbit for fast feedback
  - Wire scanner jitter correction
  - Undulators
• Cold button BPMs inside cryo-modules (100 µm)
Fast Wire Scanner

- COTR makes WS critical for LCLS beam tuning
- LCLS uses existing SLC design
  - Stepper motor driven, mm/s speed
  - Vibrations from wire card support on single side and stepper motor
  - 45° actuator with 3 wires for x, y, u plane
- Fast wire scanner development
  - Linear motor, up to m/s speed possible
  - 2 bellows to cancel vacuum forces
  - Also 45° scan orientation, 2” stroke for 3 wires
  - Encoder with sub-µm resolution
FWS Motion Profile

- Motion profile to minimize scan time
- Beam synchronous data acquisition of encoder position and beam loss signal
- Makes motion stability not critical

- SLC-style 4 location emittance measurement for x, y, and coupling takes 8 min
- Expect < 30 sec with FWS
- Upgrade project started for LCLS
FWS Magnetic Shielding

- First prototype installed upstream of undulators
- Observed significant drop in FEL during scan
- Related to ~20 μTm magnetic field from linear motor

- μ-metal shielding was added
- Now reduced to ~1 μTm
- Tolerance limit for LCLS & LCLS-II
LCLS-II FWS

- MW beam power
- Carbon wire for least beam loss
- Scan simulation with typical beam parameters of wire heating
- Stays below safe fluence studies established for SLC

- Speed of 400 mm/s already demonstrated with FWS
- Higher speed requires longer stage
- May add thick wire for beam halo measurement
Injector OTR Measurements

- Straight beam path, no COTR affect on beam size
  - OTR and wire scanner emittance agree
- Laser heater chicane
  - introduces small R56, see 2x COTR enhancement, emittance 25% too small
  - Energy modulation from laser interaction in undulator
    Enhancement reduced to 20%
  - See laser 2. harmonic
  - Emittance still underestimated
- Even small enhancements of COTR can affect emittance measurements

see also F. Zhou et al., FEL14, THP031
SwissFEL Profile Monitor

- PSI development
- Installed at SLAC for GeV beam test at factor $10^5$ COTR location
- YAG viewing geometry
  - Smallest spot size
  - COTR reflected away from CCD
  - Tilted focal plane needs tilted CCD

Talk R. Ischebeck, TUCYB3
Commissioning Results

- Saturation of YAG tested
  - None at 20 pC, indication at 180 pC
- Test for coherent enhancement
  - Scan RF phase to change bunch length
  - COTR enhancement reduced from $10^5$ to small factor at full compression or 10’s of percent in normal setup
- Soft X-Ray Self Seeding (500 – 1000 eV)
- Both beams diverted by chicanes
- Need diagnostics to measure both
- Combine wire scanner and YAG screen
- Wire 40 µm carbon, YAG 20 µm thick
- View both with CCD camera
- ~10 µm position measurement needed
Overlap Diagnostics Performance

- Move supporting girder to scan wire and find e-beam position
- Move x-ray mirror to steer x-rays onto YAG, find position
- Use mirror response matrix to overlap beam, get seeding
- CR effects are serious issue
Mid-IR Spectrometer

- C*R based bunch length measurement of LCLS um and sub-um beams needs 1-20 µm
- Single shot preferred
- KRS-5 prism based spectrometer developed
- Images OTR from foil onto 128 element pyroelectric line array

- Transfer function determined by fitting spectra at different bunch lengths to simulated bunch spectra
MIR Spectrometer Results

- Form-factor extrapolation for $\lambda > 20 \, \mu m$ necessary
- Bunches as short as 0.7 $\mu m$ rms at 20 pC measured

• Non-invasive version possible using CER from DL2 bends

T. Maxwell et al., PRL 111, (2013) 184801

IBIC 2014, Sep. 17, 2014
LCLS-II Bunch Length Monitors

- Relative BLMs similar to LCLS-I detecting edge radiation
- R-BLMs at full beam rate for feedback system
- Average THz radiation power at few W level becomes issue
  - Required attenuation leaves insufficient single shot energy
  - Cooled pyroelectric detectors being investigated
- High dynamic range from wide charge and length range
  - Use of Schottky diodes at few 100 GHz with much higher sensitivity
- Fast detector response for MHz rate
X-Band Deflecting Cavity

• Existing S-band deflecting structure about 5 µm resolution
• X-band provide ~10x better
  - 4x higher frequency
  - 2.5x higher gradient
• Installation post-undulator in main dump beam line
  - Non-invasive for FEL users
• Direct observation of longitudinal phase space on dump YAG

Courtesy P. Krejcik
C. Behrens et al., Nat. Commun. 5 (2014) 3762
**XTCAV Bunch Length Measurement**

- Simple calibration with phase sweep
- Bunch length with fit to off and ±90°
- Achieved resolution
  - 1 fs (4 GeV)
  - 4 fs (14 GeV)
- Doubling plan using SLED

**Bunch Length**

- 4.2 GeV, 22 MV

**R-BLM vs. XTCAV**

- Checked R-BLM calibration
- 5% average deviation to XTCAV
- R-BLM not sensitive below 2 μm
XTCAV as FEL and X-Ray Diagnostics

• X-ray pulse reconstruction
  - Compare FEL off and on
  - Measure time resolved energy loss
  - Energy spread increase also used

Electron Trapping

FEL Off
FEL On

X-Rays

Electrons

• Longitudinal bunch manipulations
  - Slotted foil emittance spoiler
  - Double bunch setup
Summary

• Diagnostics was sufficient for first LCLS operation

• New developments driven by enhancements in beam parameter range and capability, and also operational needs

• LCLS-II diagnostics benefits greatly from existing projects, but still many challenges remain
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