Recoilless Resonant Emission and Detection of Electron Antineutrinos: Mössbauer Antineutrinos

> Walter Potzel Technische Universität München Physik-Department E15, Garching, Germany

#### **NEUTRINO 2008**

Christchurch, New Zealand 26 May, 2008

# Outline

I) Bound-state  $\beta$ -decay: resonant character

```
II) Example: <sup>3</sup>H – <sup>3</sup>He system
```

III) Recoilless  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_e$  emission and detection: Mössbauer Anti-neutrinos

1) Recoilfree fraction, lattice expansion and contraction

- 2) Linewidth: homogeneous and inhomogeneous broadening
- 3) Relativistic effects: Second-order Doppler shift
  - a) temperature
  - b) zero-point motion

IV) Requirements for successful experiment

- V) Interesting experiments
- VI) Conclusions

# **I)** $\beta$ -decay

#### I) Bound-state $\beta$ -decay

J. N. Bahcall, Phys. Rev. <u>124</u>, 495 (1961)

$$A(Z-1) \rightarrow A(Z) + e^{-} + \overline{v_e}$$

Bound-state atomic orbit. Not a capture of e- initially created in a continuum state (less probable).

Example:

$$^{3}H \rightarrow ^{3}He + e^{-} + \overline{v}_{e}$$

Atomic orbit in <sup>3</sup>He



 $v_e$  – source

mono-energetic

# **I)** β-decay



Bound-state  $\beta$ -decay has a resonant character which is (partially) destroyed by the recoil in source and target.

# II) Example: <sup>3</sup>H-<sup>3</sup>He system

Decay	$E  rac{res}{\overline{v_e}}$	<i>ft</i> <sub>1/2</sub>	$B\beta / C\beta$
$^{3}H \rightarrow ^{3}He$	18.60 keV	1132 sec	6.9x10 <sup>-3</sup> (80% ground state, 20% excited states)

<sup>3</sup>H (source) and <sup>3</sup>He (target): gases at T=300K  $\rightarrow$ thermal motion, Doppler energy profile

 $FWHM(300K): 2\Delta = 2E_{\bar{v}_a}^{res} (2k_BT / Mc^2)^{1/2} \approx 0.16eV$ 

recoil energy:

→ resonant spectral density  $\rho \approx 10^6/0.16 \approx 6 \times 10^6$ 

 $E_R = \frac{(E_{\overline{v_e}}^{res})^2}{2Mc^2} \approx 0.06 eV$ 

 $2E_R \approx 0.12eV;$   $2\Delta \approx 0.16eV$  $\rightarrow$  reduced overlap

Resonance cross section:  $\sigma \approx 1 \times 10^{-42} \text{ cm}^2$ 

To observe bound-state  $\beta$ -decay: 100-MCi sources (<sup>3</sup>H) and kg-targets (<sup>3</sup>He) would be necessary

# III) Recoilless antineutrino emission and detection: Mössbauer neutrinos

#### 1) Recoilfree fraction

Stop thermal motion! Make E<sub>R</sub> negligibly small! recoil energy:

$$E_R = \frac{(E_{\overline{v_e}}^{res})^2}{2Mc^2}$$

<sup>3</sup>H as well as <sup>3</sup>He in metallic lattices: freeze their motion  $\rightarrow$  no Doppler broadening.  $M \rightarrow M_{lattice} >> M$ Leave lattice unchanged, leave phonons unchanged.

zero-point energy

Energy of lattice with N particles:  $E_L = \sum_{s=1}^{3N} (n_s + 1/2) \eta \omega_s$   $(n_s = 0, 1, 2, ...)$  $E_L = \int_{0}^{\omega_{\text{max}}} (\overline{n(\omega)} + 1/2) \omega \cdot Z(\omega) d\omega$  with  $\overline{n(\omega)} = 1/(\exp(\eta \omega/k_B T) - 1)$  (3N normal modes)

 $Z(\omega) \cdot d\omega$ : number of oscillators with frequency  $\omega$  between  $\omega$  and  $\omega + d\omega$ 

Recoilfree fraction f:

$$f = e^{-\left(\frac{E}{\eta c}\right)^2 \cdot \langle x^2 \rangle} \longrightarrow f < 1$$

$$f(T) = \exp\left\{-\frac{E^2}{2Mc^2} \cdot \frac{1}{3N} \cdot \int_{0}^{\omega_{\text{max}}} \frac{Z(\omega)}{\eta\omega} \left[\frac{2}{\exp\left\{\eta\omega / k_B T\right\} - 1} + 1\right] d\omega\right\}$$

Debye model:

$$T \rightarrow 0$$
:  $f(T \rightarrow 0) = \exp\left\{-\frac{E^2}{2Mc^2} \cdot \frac{3}{2k_B\Theta}\right\}$ 

f depends on: transition energy E mass M of the atom Debye temperature Θ

Example: <sup>3</sup>H – <sup>3</sup>He

typically:  $f(0) \approx 0.27$  for  $\Theta \approx 800$  K

Emission and absorption:

$$f^{^{3}H} \cdot f^{^{^{3}He}} \approx 0.07 \text{ for } \mathsf{T} \rightarrow \mathsf{O}$$



#### Lattice expansion and contraction

<sup>3</sup>He and <sup>3</sup>H use different amount of lattice space. <sup>3</sup>H is more strongly bound than <sup>3</sup>He. Will this cause lattice excitations?

> The antineutrino picks up (delivers) most of the 18.6 keV transition energy. The energy of the electron is very small.

Adiabatic approximation: when <sup>3</sup>He forms, it pushes neighboring atoms away; lattice energy is "stored " until it is released again when by antineutrino absorption a <sup>3</sup>H is formed.

→ Theoretical calculations

#### 2) Linewidth

minimal width (natural width):  $\Delta E^{nat} = \Gamma = \eta / \tau$   $\tau$ : lifetime

<sup>3</sup>H:  $\tau = 17.81 \text{ y} \longrightarrow \Delta E^{nat} = \Gamma = 1.17 \cdot 10^{-24} eV$  (extremely narrow)

Two types of line broadening:



How big are these broadening effects?

#### a) homogeneous broadening



The linewidth is determined by the relaxation rate.

# 2) Linewidth



Fig. 1. Scheme of the gravitational gamma spectrometer: (1) cryostat, (2) germanium gamma detectors, (3) rotating platform, (4) support of cryostat and Helmholtz coils, (5) Helmholtz coils, (6) gamma sources, and (7) rotation axic of the platform.

#### **Homogeneous Broadening: Magnetic Relaxation**









Simplest magnetic relaxation model consists of a three-level system

Two lines of (almost) natural width; With increasing  $\Omega$ , the lines broaden  $\rightarrow$ effective lifetime

Typical for resonances in Ag and for the  ${}^{3}H/{}^{3}He$  system. For Ag:  $\Omega_{0} \sim 10^{5} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $\Omega \sim 10 \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

Intensity is distributed over a broad pattern, which extends over the total hf splitting  $\Omega_0$  as suggested by the time-energy uncertainty principle

Motional narrowing: one line at the center of the hf splitting of practical natural width. Stochastic frequency changes: between lines 1 and 2. Averaging process over short parts of the lifetime. **Not for Ag and <sup>3</sup>H/<sup>3</sup>He**.

#### **Homogeneous Broadening: Frequency Modulation**



M. Salkola and S. Stenholm, Phys. Rev. A 41, 3838 (1990)

$$A \propto \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=+\infty} J_k^2(\eta) \frac{1}{\left[ (\Delta_0 / \Gamma) - k\xi \right]^2 + 1}$$

 $\Omega_0$  : max. freq. deviation

 $\Omega$ : relaxation frequency

$$\eta = rac{\Omega_0}{\Omega}$$
 : modulation index

sum of Lorentzians, located at  $\omega = \omega_0 \pm k\Omega$ 

$$\Delta_0 = \omega_0 - \omega$$

 $\Gamma$ : linewidth

$$\xi = \frac{\Omega}{\Gamma}$$

motional narrowing:  $\Omega >> \Omega_0 \Rightarrow \eta \approx 0$  $\eta \approx 1 \Longrightarrow \Omega \approx \Omega_0$ only center line at  $\omega_0$  survives



 $\Omega_0 >> \Omega \Rightarrow \eta >> 1$ 

 $\Gamma \ll \Omega$ 

many sidebands  $\rightarrow$  at  $\omega_0$ very little intensity

motional narrowing: not possible

Typical for resonaces in Ag and for the <sup>3</sup>H/<sup>3</sup>He system. For Ag:  $\Omega_0 \sim 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $\Omega \sim 10 \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

#### b) inhomogeneous broadening



Many individual resonances displaced from the nonperturbed resonance energy E<sub>0</sub>

In the best single crystals:  $(1 + a)\Gamma \sim 10^{-13} \text{ eV}$  corresp. to  $10^{11}\Gamma$  or larger

Both types of broadening reduce the resonant reaction intensity

#### 3) Relativistic effects

Second-order Doppler shift due to mean-square atomic velocity <V<sup>2</sup>>



Reduction of frequency (energy)

Within the Debye model:

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} = \frac{9k_B}{16Mc_*^2} (\Theta_s - \Theta_t) + \frac{3k_B}{2Mc^2} \left[ T_s \cdot f\left(\frac{T_s}{\Theta_s}\right) - T_t \cdot f\left(\frac{T_t}{\Theta_s}\right) \right] \qquad \text{where}$$

$$f\left(\frac{T}{\Theta}\right) = 3\left(\frac{T}{\Theta}\right)^3 \cdot \int_{0}^{\Theta/T} \frac{x^3}{\exp x - 1} dx$$
Zero-point energy

If 
$$|T_s - T_t| = 1$$
 degree  $\rightarrow \Delta E / E \approx 10^{-13} \longrightarrow \Delta E \approx 200 \cdot \Gamma_{exp}$ 

Low temperatures:  $T_s \approx T_t \approx 0 \longrightarrow [....] \approx 0$ 

However, zero-point energy remains!

If 
$$|\Theta_s - \Theta_t| = 1$$
 degree  $\rightarrow \Delta E / E \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-14} \longrightarrow \Delta E \approx 40 \cdot \Gamma_{exp}$ 

The Debye temperature for <sup>3</sup>H has to be the same in source and target. The same holds for <sup>3</sup>He. The Debye temperatures of <sup>3</sup>H and <sup>3</sup>He in the metal matrix do <u>not</u> have to be equal.

#### A) Preparation of source and target

Source:

<sup>3</sup>H chemically loaded into metals to form hydrides (tritides), e.g., Nb: in tetrahedral interstitial sites (IS).

Target:

<sup>3</sup>He accumulates with time due to the tritium trick:

$$Nb^{3}H_{x} \xrightarrow{\text{time}=200d} Nb^{3}H_{x-v}^{3}He_{v} \xrightarrow{\text{remove}} Nb^{3}He_{v}$$

Remove <sup>3</sup>H by isotopic exchange <sup>3</sup>H $\rightarrow$ D

R. S. Raghavan, hep-ph/0601079 v3, 2006

How much metal for source and target?

Source:

1 kCi of <sup>3</sup>H (~100mg <sup>3</sup>H): ~3g of Nb<sup>3</sup>H for NMR studies: 0.5 kCi <sup>3</sup>H in 2.4g PdH<sub>0.6</sub>

Target:

100mg of <sup>3</sup>He implies ~100g of Nb<sup>3</sup>H aged for 200 d

# B) Event rates for <sup>3</sup>H – <sup>3</sup>He recoilless resonant capture of antineutrinos

Base line	<sup>3</sup> Н	<sup>3</sup> He	Antineutrino capture per day	Rβ(∆t=65d) per day
5 cm	1 kCi	100 mg	~40x10 <sup>3</sup>	~40
10 m	1 MCi	1 g	~10 <sup>3</sup>	~10

R $\beta(\Delta t)$ /day: Reverse  $\beta$ -activity rate after growth period  $\Delta t$ =65d=0.01 $\tau$ 

R. S. Raghavan, hep-ph/0601079 v3, 2006

#### C) Recoilless emission and detection of Mössbauer Anti-neutrinos

#### 1) Recoilfree fraction f:

Use low temperatures (liquid He) to make f large.

How are <sup>3</sup>H and <sup>3</sup>He bound in the metallic matrix? Can the lattice expansion and contraction be assumed to occur adiabatically?

Difficult problems. Inelastic neutron scattering experiments are necessary to determine the recoilfree fraction of <sup>3</sup>H and <sup>3</sup>He.

2) Source and target should be as similar as possible:

Use low temperatures (liquid He) to avoid effects caused by temperature drifts.

However:

Source contains <sup>3</sup>H, whereas target contains mainly <sup>3</sup>He.

Interaction (chemical bonds) of <sup>3</sup>H and <sup>3</sup>He with the metal atoms may be different because of different neighborhood

 $\longrightarrow \Theta_s \neq \Theta_t$ 

#### 3) Linewidth





#### Mössbauer Anti-neutrinos:

Energy width:  $\Gamma_{exp} = 8.6 \cdot 10^{-12} eV$ 

Cross section:  $\sigma_{res} \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-33} cm^2$ 

1) Do Mössbauer neutrinos oscillate?

2) Determination of mass hierarchy and oscillation parameters  $\Delta m_{32}^2$  and  $\Delta m_{12}^2$ : 0.6% and sin<sup>2</sup>2 $\theta_{13}$ : 0.002

3) Search for sterile neutrinos

4) Gravitational redshift experiments (Earth).

#### 1) Do Mössbauer neutrinos oscillate?

S.M. Bilenky et al., Phys. Part. Nucl. 38, 117 (2007)
S.M. Bilenky, arXiv: 0708.0260
S.M. Bilenky et al., J. Phys. G34, 987 (2007)
E.Kh. Akhmedov et al., arXiv: 0802.2513; JHEP 0805 (2008) 005
S.M. Bilenky et al., arXiv: 0803.0527 v2
E.Kh. Akhmedov et al., arXiv: 0803.1424
S.M. Bilenky et al., arXiv: 0804.3409

2) If Mössbauer neutrinos do oscillate:

Ultra-short base lines for neutrino-oscillation experiments

Oscillatory term:  $\sin^2(\pi L / L_0)$ 

Oscillation length: 
$$L_0 = 4\pi\eta c \frac{E}{\left|\Delta m^2\right|} \approx 2.480 \frac{E/MeV}{\left|\Delta m^2\right|/eV}$$
 [m]

A) Determination of  $\Theta_{13}$ : *E*=18.6 keV instead of 3 MeV.

 $\Delta m_{23}^2$  observed with *atmospheric* neutrinos

Chooz experiment:  $\sin^2 2\Theta_{13} \le 2 \cdot 10^{-1}$  Oscillation base line:  $L_0/2 \sim 9.3$  m

→ Base line *L* of 9.3 *m* instead of 1500 *m* 

#### B) Mass hierarchy and oscillation parameters



#### H. Nunokawa et al., hep-ph/0503283

#### To determine mass hierarchy:

Measure  $\Delta m^2$  in reactor-neutrino and muon-neutrino (accelerator long-baseline) disappearance channels to better than a fraction of 1%

H. Minakata et al., hep-ph/0602046

For  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ =0.05 and 10 different detector locations one can reach uncertainties:

in  $\Delta m_{31}^2$  and  $\Delta m_{12}^2$ : 0.6%, in sin<sup>2</sup>2 $\theta_{13}$ : 0.002

3) Search for conversion of  $\overline{V}_e \rightarrow V_{sterile}$ 

LSND experiment:  $\Delta m^2 \approx 1 eV^2$  and  $\sin^2 2\theta \sim 0.1$  to 0.001 (largely excluded by MiniBooNE)

Possibility:  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_{e} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{sterile}$ 

V. Kopeikin et al. : hep-ph/0310246

Test: Disappearance experiment with 18.6 keV antineutrinos

- $\longrightarrow$  Oscillation length  $L_o \sim 5$  cm!
- ------ Ultra-short base line, difficult to reach otherwise

4) Gravitational redshift experiments (Earth)

Gravitational redshift:  $\frac{\delta E}{E}$ 

$$\frac{E}{E} = \frac{gh}{c^2}$$

Experimental linewidth:  $\Gamma_{exp} = \Delta = 8.6 \cdot 10^{-12} eV$ 

 $\Delta = \frac{\eta \omega}{c^2} g h_{\Delta}$  where  $h_{\Delta}$  is height corresponding to 1 experimental linewidth

$$\longrightarrow h_{\Delta} \approx 4.25m$$

Can not be used to determine the neutrino mass

Gravitational spectrometer

# **VI) Conclusions**

1) Recoilless resonant emission and detection of antineutrinos:

 $^{3}H - ^{3}He$  system is the prime candidate.

#### 2) Experiment is very difficult:

a) Recoilfree fraction might be smaller than expected. Adiabatic lattice expansion and contraction?

b) Temperature difference between source and target (temperature shift)

c) Different Debye temperatures in source and in target (chemical shift)

d) Homogeneous and inhomogeneous broadening of linewidth

3) If successful, very interesting experiments become possible:

- a) Do Mössbauer neutrinos oscillate?
- b) Mass hierarchy and accurate determination of oscillation parameters
- c) Search for sterile neutrinos (LSND experiment)
- d) Gravitational redshift experiments (Earth).





Earlier papers:

#### W. M. Visscher, Phys. Rev. <u>116</u>, 1581 (1959) W. P. Kells and J. P. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. C <u>28</u>, 2162 (1983)

#### More recent papers:

R. S. Raghavan, hep-ph/0601079 v3, 2006

W. Potzel, Phys. Scrip. <u>T127</u>, 85 (2006);

S. M. Bilenky, F. von Feilitzsch, and W. Potzel, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **34**, 987 (2007);

E. Kh. Akhmedov, J. Kopp, and M. Lindner, 0802.2513 (hep-ph)

# **I)** β-decay

#### 1) Usual β-decay

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A(Z-1) \rightarrow A(Z) + e^{-} + \overline{v_{e}} & \text{neutron transforms} \\ & & \text{into a proton} \end{array} \\ & & \text{occupy states in} \end{array}$$

3-body process:  $e^-, \overline{v}_e$  show (broad) energy spectra

continuum

Maximum  $\overline{\nu}_{e}$  energy:  $E_{\overline{\nu}_{e}}^{\max} = Q$ where  $Q = (M_{Z-1} - M_{Z})c^{2}$ 

is the end-point energy

# **I)** β-decay

#### **Resonance cross section**

$$\sigma = 4.18 \cdot 10^{-41} g_0^2 \cdot \frac{\rho(E_{\overline{v_e}})}{ft_{1/2}} [cm^2]$$

L.A. Mikaélyan, et al.: Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. <u>6, 254 (1968)</u>

$$g_0 = 4\pi \left(\frac{\eta}{mc}\right)^3 |\Psi|^2 \approx 4 \left(\frac{Z}{137}\right)^3$$

for low Z, hydrogen-like ψ m: electron mass |ψ<sup>2</sup>: probability density of e in A(Z)

 $\rho(E_{\overline{v_e}}^{res})$ : resonant spectral density, i.e., number of  $\overline{v_e}$  in an energy interval of 1MeV around  $E_{\overline{v_e}}^{res}$ 

 $ft_{1/2}$  value: reduced half-life of decay

 $ft_{1/2} \approx 1000$ : super-allowed transition

What does this mean for the effective values  $\Theta_s$  and  $\Theta_t$ ?



The differences of these SOD values in source and target have to be the same. In a practical experiment this means:

The Debye temperature for <sup>3</sup>H has to be the same in source and target. The same holds for <sup>3</sup>He. The Debye temperatures of <sup>3</sup>H and <sup>3</sup>He in the metal matrix do <u>not</u> have to be equal.

#### **Phonon density of states**





B. Balko, I. W. Kay, J. Nicoll, J. D. Silk, and G. Herling, Hyperfine Interactions **107**, 283 (1997).

# Candidates for recoilless neutrino absorption

TABLE I. Candidates for recoilless neutrino absorption.	
---	--

Nuclide	Q (keV)	τ (yr)	$f_R^a$	α (10 <sup>-4</sup> ) tion	$\gamma$ (10 <sup>-16</sup> ) Line broadening	$\sigma_{\rm eff} (10^{-36}  {\rm cm}^2)$	$\sigma_{\rm eff}/\tau^{\rm b}$
°Н	18.6	12.3	0.40	200 <sup>c</sup>	8	0.1	1.0
<sup>63</sup> Ni	68	92	0.07	1	1	$10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$
<sup>93</sup> Zr	60	$1.5 \times 10^{6}$	0.18	1	$7 \times 10^{-5}$	$10^{-12}$	$10^{-16}$
<sup>107</sup> Pd	33	$6 \times 10^{6}$	0.62	1	$2 \times 10^{-5}$	10-11	$10^{-16}$
<sup>151</sup> Sm	76	90	0.11	1	1	$10^{-9}$	$2 \times 10^{-9}$
<sup>171</sup> Tm	97	· 1.9	0.04	1	50	5×10 <sup>-9</sup>	$3 \times 10^{-7}$
<sup>187</sup> Re	2.6	$4 \times 10^{10}$	1.0	1000 <sup>d</sup>	$10^{-9}$	$2 \times 10^{-7}$	10-15
<sup>193</sup> Pt	61	50	0.29	1	2	$3 \times 10^{-8}$	$8 \times 10^{-8}$
<sup>157</sup> Tb	58	150	0.29	0.4 <sup>d</sup>	0.7	$2 \times 10^{-9}$	$10^{-9}$
<sup>163</sup> Ho	2.6	7000	1	73 <sup>d</sup>	0.01	$7 \times 10^{-3}$	$1 \times 10^{-4}$
<sup>179</sup> Ta	115	1.7	$10^{-2}$	0.5 <sup>d</sup>	60	$10^{-10}$	6×10 <sup>-9</sup>
<sup>205</sup> Pb	60	$1.4 \times 10^{7}$	0.3	8 <sup>d</sup>	10-5	10-11	10-16

<sup>a</sup> Recoilless fraction calculated for effective Debye temperatures assuming that the nuclei are imbedded in W, and that the simple approximations in the text are valid.

<sup>b</sup> Normalized to 1.0 for <sup>3</sup>H.

<sup>c</sup> From Ref. 4.

W. P. Kells and J. P. Schiffer, Phys. Rev. C <u>28</u>, 2162 (1983)

<sup>d</sup> Estimated from atomic wave function calculations of the relevant shells.

#### IV) Consequences ...



<sup>3</sup>He generated in Nb: c1: concentration in interstitial sites for different temperatures and times. The He in the T-free absorber below 200K is almost all interstitial.

R.S. Raghavan: hep-ph/0601079 revised v3; calculations: Sandia Natl. Lab., USA

#### IV) Consequences ...

Table 1	He transport	parameters	in	NbT	at 200K
---------	--------------	------------	----	-----	---------

$M_1T_1$	E1 eV	E2 eV	E3 eV	D/cm <sup>2</sup> s
M=Nb	0.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.43 <sup>b</sup>	1.1E-26 <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Ref. 7; <sup>b</sup>Ref. 9; <sup>c</sup> Assumes *tritium* pre-exponential D<sub>0</sub> (ref. 6)

Table 2. Theoretical (Ref. 7) EST & ZPE for T and <sup>3</sup>He in Nb interstitial sites (IS)

Site	EST	r (eV)	ZPE (eV)		
	Т	He	Т	He	
TIS	-0.133	-0.906	0.071	0.093	
OIS	-0.113	-0.903	0.063	0.082	

6 TIS 3 OIS

EST: self-trapping energy ZPE: zero-point energy

Table 3. Nearest neighbor (NN) Displacements(%) and measured<sup>6</sup> activation energies Eac(eV) in NbIS (Ref. 7)

	1 <sup>st</sup> NN Displacement			2 <sup>nd</sup> NN Displacement.		
	Н	D	T	H	D	Т
TIS	4.1	3.9	3.9	-0.37	-0.36	-0.35
OIS	7.7	7.5	7.4	0.2	0.19	0.19
Eac <sup>6</sup>	0.106	0.127	0.135			

theoretical

—experimental activation energies

Little difference between

**Deuterium and Tritium** 

#### 1) Do Mössbauer neutrinos oscillate? Different approaches to neutrino oscillations

CC weak process,  $|\nu_l\rangle = \sum_k U_{lk}^* |\nu_k\rangle$  U: unitary PMNS matrix Pontecorvo, Maki, Nakagawa, Sakata

Transition probability: 
$$P(v_l \rightarrow v_{l'}) = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{3} U_{l'k} e^{-i\Delta m_{1k}^2 \frac{L}{2E}} U_{lk}^* \right|^2$$

For only two flavors:  $P(v_a \rightarrow v_b) = \sin^2 2\Theta \cdot \sin^2(\pi L/L_0)$ 

Amplitude:  $\sin^2 2\Theta$  Oscillatory term:  $\sin^2(\pi L/L_0)$ 

Oscillation length: 
$$L_0 = 4\pi\eta c \frac{E}{\left|\Delta m^2\right|} \approx 2.480 \frac{E/MeV}{\left|\Delta m^2\right|/eV}$$
 [m]

# Question: What will be the state of the neutrino after some time (at some distance L)?

#### A) Evolution in time

Schrödinger equation for evolution of any quantum system:

$$i \frac{\partial |\psi(t)\rangle}{\partial t} = H |\psi(t)\rangle \longrightarrow |\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi(0)\rangle$$

$$P(v_{l} \rightarrow v_{l'}) = \left|\sum_{k=1}^{3} U_{l'k} e^{-i(E_{k} - E_{l})t} U_{lk}^{*}\right|^{2} \qquad \text{No matter what the neutrino momenta are !}$$

If  $E_k = E_i$ , there will be no neutrino oscillations:  $P(v_l \rightarrow v_{l'}) = \delta_{l'l}$ The neutrino state is stationary

If  $E_k$  are different, neutrino state is non-stationary.  $\rightarrow$ time-energy uncertainty relation holds:  $\Delta E \cdot \Delta t \ge 1$ 

 $\Delta t$  is the time interval during which the state of the system is significantly changed

If  $E_k \neq E_i$ , the uncertainty relation takes the form:

$$(E_k - E_1) \cdot t \approx \frac{\Delta m_{1k}^2}{2E} t$$

#### B) Evolution in time and space

Mixed neutrino state at space-time point  $x = (t, \overset{\nu}{x})$ :

$$|v_l\rangle_x = \sum_{k=1}^3 e^{-ip_k x} U_{lk}^* |v_k\rangle \longrightarrow P(v_l \to v_{l'}) = \left|\sum_{k=1}^3 U_{l'k} e^{-i(p_k - p_1)x} U_{lk}^*\right|^2$$

with 
$$(p_k - p_1) = \frac{E_k^2 - E_1^2}{E_k + E_1} t - (p_k - p_1)L$$
 and  $E_i^2 = p_i^2 + m_i^2$ 

a) 
$$t \approx L \longrightarrow (p_k - p_1) x \approx \frac{\Delta m_{1k}^2}{2E} L$$
 oscillatory phase

b) neutrinos: different masses have the same energy

→ neutrino state is stationary

$$\longrightarrow p_{k} \neq p_{i}: \ (p_{k} - p_{i})x = \frac{\Delta m_{1k}^{2}}{2E}L \qquad P(v_{l} \rightarrow v_{l'}) = \left|\sum_{k=1}^{3} U_{l'k}e^{-i\Delta m_{1k}^{2}\frac{L}{2E}}U_{lk}^{*}\right|^{2}$$

#### Mössbauer neutrinos:

Energy width: 
$$\Gamma_{exp} = 8.6 \cdot 10^{-12} eV$$

a) 
$$(E_3 - E_2) \approx \frac{\Delta m_{23}^2}{2E} \approx 6.5 \cdot 10^{-8} eV$$
  $\Delta m_{23}^2$  observed with *atmospheric* neutrinos  
 $\longrightarrow$  Mössbauer neutrinos take a long time to change significantly  
Time-energy uncertainty: Extremely long "oscillation " length  
Determination of  $\Theta_{13}$ :  $E=18.6 \ keV$  instead of 3 MeV.  
Chooz experiment:  $\sin^2 2\Theta_{13} \le 2 \cdot 10^{-1}$  Oscillation base line:  $L_0/2 \sim 9.3 \ m$   
b)  $\Delta m_{12}^2$  observed with *solar* neutrinos  
 $(E_2 - E_1) \approx \frac{\Delta m_{12}^2}{2E} \approx 2.1 \cdot 10^{-9} eV$  Amplitude:  $\sin^2 2\Theta_{12} \approx 0.82$   
Oscillation base line:  $L_0/2 \sim 300 \ m$   
Oscillation length:  $L_0 = 4\pi\eta c \frac{E}{|\Delta m^2|} \approx 2.480 \frac{E / MeV}{|\Delta m^2| / eV}$  [m]

#### 5) Real-time, <sup>3</sup>H-specific signal of $\overline{\nu}_{e}$ resonance

a) sudden change of the magnetic moment from -2.1nm (<sup>3</sup>He) $\rightarrow$ +2.79nm (<sup>3</sup>H)

- transient (~0.1ms) magnetic field which couples to electron moment of <sup>3</sup>H via hyperfine interaction
- → Read-out by SQUID
- b) new electrons appear in the Nb bands when <sup>3</sup>H is formed. These electrons cause additional specific heat that grows linearly with <sup>3</sup>H concentration.
  - detectable by ultra-sensitive (micro)-calorimeters ?

# Red(blue)shift <sup>67</sup>ZnO-Mössbauer exp.



#### **Gravitational Redshift Experiment**



Fig. 3. Basic set-up of the Mössbauer gravitational redshift experiment. Two transmission experiments are carried out simultaneously: through the reference absorber and through the main absorber. A piezoelectric drive moves the source sinusoidally with respect to both absorbers.