Large Scale Structure (Galaxy Correlations)

Sob Nichol (ICG, Portsmouth)

QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

> QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompress are needed to see this picture.





- Redshift Surveys
- Theoretical expectations
- Statistical measurements of LSS
- Observations of galaxy clustering
- Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO)
- ISW effect

Overview

I will borrow heavily from two recent reviews: 1. <u>Percival et al. 2006, astro-ph/0601538</u> 2. <u>Nichol et al. 2007 (see my webpage)</u>



QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

Random galaxy

Z=0.182

 $\lambda_{\underline{obs}}$ 'emitted



Redshift Surveys II

Now on an industrial scale

SDSS (DR6 ~800k galaxies)





QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompresson are needed to see this picture.



QuickTime[™] and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.



QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.



Structures larger than clusters, typically > 10Mpc (larger than a galaxy could have moved in a Hubble time)

CMB



Only gain insight from the combination of LSS & CMB







We define a dimensionless overdensity as

$$\delta(x) = \frac{\rho(x) - \overline{\rho}}{\overline{\rho}}$$

where $\delta <<1$ on large scales. Then, the autocorrelation function is

$$\xi(x_1, x_2) = \left\langle \delta(x_1) \delta(x_2) \right\rangle$$

where <> denote the average over an ensemble of points or densities. Assuming homogeneity and isotropy, then

$$\xi(x_1, x_2) = \xi(|x_1 - x_2|) = \xi(r)$$

In practical terms, the correlation function is given by

$$P_{12} = n^2 (1 + \xi(r)) dV^2$$





The equivalent in Fourier space is the power spectrum

$$P(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \left\langle \delta(k_1) \delta(k_2) \right\rangle = \delta_{dirac}(k_1 - k_2) P(k)$$

we have suppressed the volume term on the P(k) and therefore it has units of Mpc³

The correlation function and power spectrum are related

$$P(k) = \int \xi(r) e^{ik.r} d^3 r$$



We do not consider inflation here. We just assume an adiabatic scale-invariant power spectrum of fluctuation created by quantum processes deep in the Inflationary era,

$$P(k) = k^n \qquad (n \approx 1)$$





After inflation, the evolution of density fluctuations in the matter depend on scale and the composition of that matter (CDM, baryons, neutrinos, etc.)

An important scale is the Jeans Length which is the scale of fluctuation where pressure support equals gravitational collapse,

$$\lambda_J = \frac{c_s}{\sqrt{G\rho}}$$

where c_s is the sound speed of the matter, and ρ is the density of matter.

Transfer Function



The ratio of the time-integrated growth on a particular scale compared to scales much larger than the Jeans Length is known as the Transfer Function:

$$T(k) \equiv \frac{\delta(k, z=0)}{\delta(k, z=\infty)} \frac{\delta(0, z=\infty)}{\delta(0, z=0)},$$
(1)

where $\delta(k,z)$ are density perturbations at k and z. By definition, T(k) goes to 1 on large scales. Therefore,

$$P(k) \approx \left\langle \left| \delta(k) \right|^2 \right\rangle \approx T(k)^2$$

Eisenstein & Hu, 1998, 496, 605 Eisenstein & Hu, 1999, 511, 5

Matter-radiation equality



For $\lambda > \lambda_J$, the *fluctuations grow at the same rate independent of scale* and therefore, the primordial power spectrum is maintained

For $\lambda < \lambda_J$, the *fluctuations can not grow in the radiation era* because of pressure support (or velocities for collisionless particles). In *matter dominated era, pressure disappears* and thus all scales can grow.

Therefore, in a Universe with CDM and radiation the Jeans length of the system grows to the particle horizon at matter-radiation equality and then falls to zero. Critical scale of λ_{eq} or k_{eq}

Matter-radiation equality II



The redshift of equality is

$$z_{eq} \approx 25000 \Omega_m h$$

The particle horizon therefore is

$$k_{eq} \approx 0.075 \Omega_m h^2$$

which is imprinted on the power spectrum as fluctuations smaller than this scale are suppressed in the radiation era compared to scales on larger scales

The existence of dark energy and curvature today is insignificant. Ω_m is the density of CDM and baryons

P(k) models



Full boltzmann codes now exist or fitting formulae



Baryons icg

Although they make-up a small fraction of the density (which is dominated by CDM), they dominate the pressure term. Baryons have two effects on the T(k):

- 1. Suppression of the growth rates on scales smaller than the Jeans length
- 2. Baryon Acoustic Oscillations (BAO), which are sound waves in the primordial plasma



baryons

photons

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

Initial fluctuation in DM. Sound wave driven out by intense pressure at 0.57c.



baryons

photons

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

After 10⁵ years, we reach recombination and photons stream away leaving the baryons behind



baryons

photons

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

Photons free stream, while baryons remain still as pressure is gone



baryons

photons

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

Photons almost fully uniform, baryons are attracted back by the central DM fluctuation



baryons

photons

Fourier transform gives sinusoidal function

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

Today. Baryons and DM in equilibrium. The final configuration is the original peak at the center and an echo (the sound horizon) ~150Mpc in radius



Many superimposed waves. See them statistically



Sound horizon can be predicted once (physical) matter and baryon density known

$$pprox \Omega_m h^2$$

Daniel Eisenstein



Neutrinos



wave number k / h Mpc^{-1}

0.02 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.5 The existence of massive $\Omega_{\nu}/\Omega_{\rm m} = 0.00$ neutrinos can also $\Omega_{\nu}^{\prime}/\Omega_{\rm m}^{\prime} = 0.10$ introduce a suppression $\Omega_{\nu}^{\prime}/\Omega_{\rm m}^{\prime\prime} = 0.20$ of T(k) on small scales 0 relative to their Jeans $\log_{10} \Delta^2(k)$ length. Degenerate with the suppression caused by baryons \sim varying $\Omega_{\nu}/\Omega_{\rm m}$ -1.5-1-0.5 \log_{10} k / h Mpc⁻¹ $\Delta \mathbf{k}$ π. P(k)

Non-linear scales



We have discussed linear perturbations but on small scales we must consider non-linear effects.

These can be modeled or numerically solved using N-body simulations etc.



Measuring ξ(r) or P(k)





Simple estimator: $\xi(\mathbf{r}) = DD(\mathbf{r})/RR(\mathbf{r}) - 1$

Advanced estimator: ξ(r) = (DD-RR)²/RR-1

The latter does a better job with edge effects, which cause a bias to the mean density of points

Usually 10x as many random points over SAME area / volume



Same techniques for P(k) - take Fourier transform of density field relative to a random catalog over same volume. Several techniques for this - see Tegmark et al. and Pope et al. <u>Also "weighted" and mark correlations</u>

Measuring ξ(r) II

Essential the random catalog looks like the real data!











On small scales, the errors are Poisson

On large scales, errors correlated and typically larger than Poisson

- Use mocks catalogs
 - <u>PROS</u>: True measure of cosmic variance
 - <u>CONS</u>: Hard to include all observational effects and model clustering
- Use jack-knifes (JK)
 - PROS: Uses the data directly
 - <u>CONS</u>: Noisy and unstable matrices

Jack-knife Errors

Real Data





N=6



Portsmouth

- Remove each subregion in turn and compute $\xi(r)$
- Measure variance between regions as function of scale



$$\sigma^2 = \frac{(N-1)}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\xi_i - \overline{\xi})^2$$

Note the (N-1) factor because there or N-1 estimates of mean

Latest P(k) from SDSS





No turn-over yet seen in data!

 2σ difference

Depart from "linear" predictions at much lower k than expected

Strongest evidence yet for $\Omega_m << 1$ and therefore, DE



There are two "hindrances" to the full interpretation of the P(k) or $\xi(r)$

- Redshift distortions
- Galaxy biasing

Redshift distortions



We only measure redshifts not distances



Biasing

We see galaxies not dark matter



icg Portsmouth

Maximal ignorance

$$\delta_{gal} = b \delta_{dm}$$

$$P(k)_{gal} = b^2 P(k)_{dm}$$

However, we know it depends on color & L

Is there also a scale dependence?





All galaxies reside in a DM halo

Elegant model to decompose intra-halo physics (biasing) from inter-halo physics (DM clustering)

 $P(k) = P_{1-halo} + P_{2-halo}$







There are two "hindrances" to the full interpretation of the P(k) or $\xi(r)$

- Redshift distortions
- Galaxy biasing

How will we solve these problems?

Higher-order statistics can help greatly