Beam-based Feedback Systems

Philip Burrows

Queen Mary, University of London

Philip Burrows

ILC Beam-based Feedback/Feedforward Systems

- Intra-train (bunch-bunch) feedback at IP: 3 MHz
- Pulse-pulse feedback at IP: 5 Hz
- Orbit feedbacks upstream in BDS + in linac:
 < 1Hz
- Corrections to beam position and/or angle
- IP FBs: most critical in vertical dimension in order to make nanometre-sized beams collide
- Feed-forwards: $DR \rightarrow Iinac$, $Iinac \rightarrow IP$

Critical Feedback/Feedforward Components

- •BPMs
- •BPM signal processor
- •Feedback processor
- •Amplifier
- •Kicker





)5: Global Group 2, 17/8/05

IP Intra-train Beam Feedback



Kicker needs to provide up to 100 nanoradian deflections

→ amplifier power < 100W

Philip Burrows

For BPM (say) 4m downstream of IP:

for beam offsets < 50 sigma_y beam position signal < c. 1mm

- →BPM resolution of order 10 microns is adequate
- →stripline or cavity BPMs ok

→cavity BPM needs <<300ns time resolution</p>

Intra-train Feedback Performance

(White)



Philip Burrows

FONT Prototype Intra-train Analogue Feedback Systems

• NLCTA: 65 MeV beam, 170ns train, 87ps bunch spacing

FONT1 (2001-2):

First demonstration of closed-loop FB: latency 67ns

10/1 beam position correction

FONT2 (2003-4):

Improved demonstration of FB: latency 54ns

real time charge normalisation with logarithmic amplifiers beam flattener to straighten train profile solid-state amplifier

• ATF: 1.3 GeV beam, 56ns train, 2.8ns bunch spacing

FONT3 (2004-5):

Ultra-fast demonstration of FB: latency 23 ns

3 stripline BPMs high-power solid-state amplifier

Philip Burrows

FONT: kicker driver amplifiers



FONT1 3-stage tube amplifier





FONT3 PCB amplifer + FB

Philip Burrows



Same drive power as needed for ILC

FONT3 outline



Philip Burrows

FONT3: BPM processor + amplifier/feedback installation in ATF beamline

BPM processor board



FONT3: latency budget

•	Time of flight kicker – BPM:	4ns
•	Signal return time BPM – kicker:	6ns
	Irreducible latency:	10ns
•	BPM processor:	5ns
•	Amplifier + FB:	5ns
	Electronics latency:	10ns
٠	Total latency budget:	20 ns

Will allow 56/20 = 2.8 periods during bunchtrain

Philip Burrows

FONT3: BPM processor tests (Molloy) (single-bunch, December 2004 beam tests)



FONT3: BPM processor latency measurement

(single bunch, March 17 2005 beam tests)



Latency 4.3 ns

Philip Burrows

FONT3: BPM scale calibration using correctors (20-bunch data, March 17 2005 beam tests)



Philip Burrows

FONT3: BPM position resolution (20-bunch data, March 17 2005 beam tests)

Distributions of residuals (240 beam pulses):



Resolution: 3 - 5 um

Philip Burrows

FONT3: Results (June 3 2005) 40 pulses per position setting



FONT3: Average over 40 Pulses



FONT3: Summary

Demonstrated feedback with delay loop

Ultra-fast system: total latency 23 ns

Varied main gain, delay loop length, delay loop gain

system behaves as expected

Beam quality + limited time (6 shifts) did not allow detailed optimisation of system parameters

FONT1,2,3: Summary



Philip Burrows

FONT4: Digital FB Processor Module (Dabiri Khah)



FONT4: Prototype Digital Feedback System

ATF/(ATF2): 1.3 GeV beam, 3 bunches with spacing c. 150ns

FONT4 (2005-6): modified FONT3 BPM front-end signal processor digital FB processor modified FONT2 solid-state amplifier: 300ns long o/p pulse FEATHER adjustable-gap kicker

Aiming for first demonstration of FB w. ILC-like bunches: latency 100ns (electronics) stabilisation of 3rd bunch at um level

Possible first component tests at ATF December 2005/March 2006

Philip Burrows

5-Hz Integrated Feedback Simulations (Linda Hendrickson)

<u>Linac feedback</u> distribution: 5 distributed loops per beam, each with 4 horizontal and 4 vertical dipole correctors, and 8 BPMs (X&Y). LJH simulations.

BDS feedback distribution: 1 BDS loop per beam, 9 BPMs and 9 dipole correctors, both horizontal and vertical. Based on NLC simulations by Seryi.

Linac and BDS feedbacks <u>"Cascaded"</u> system of 6 loops per beam: loops don't overcompensate beam perturbations, but can be independently disabled for operational convenience. SLC-style "single cascade" (each loop communicates beam information to single adjacent downstream loop).

<u>Linac and BDS</u> loops have <u>exponential response of 36 5-Hz pulses</u>. <u>IP</u> deflection (X&Y), not cascaded, <u>exponential 6 pulses</u> (like SLC).

<u>Matlab/liar/dimad/guinea-pig</u> platform. Upgraded liar/dimad for energy and current jitter, and dispersion measurements.

KEK-model ground motion (noisy site).

Philip Burrows

Feedback Simulations (Linda Hendrickson)

Emittance growth in linac ~100% after 30 min "KEK" ground motion + jitter for 10 seeds, 6% with feedback (3% with feedback without jitter).





Philip Burrows

Feedback Simulations (Linda Hendrickson)

"Banana-bunch" shape is seen at end of LINAC after 30 minutes of "K" ground motion. Fixed with feedback.



Philip Burrows

Single-beam studies of beamsize growth, with 5-hz feedback in LINAC and BDS.

Perfect initially, add 30 minutes "KEK" ground motion", let feedback converge -> 5% beamsize growth (380% without feedback).

Increase energy spread for undulator (.15% end of linac; this effect needs more study!) -> 14%.

Add component jitter (25 nm BDS, 50 nm linac) -> 15%.

Add 5-Hz "KEK" ground motion -> 18%.

Add kicker jitter (.1 sigma), current jitter (5%), energy (.5% uncorrelated amplitude on each klys, 2 degrees uncorrelated phase on each klys, 0.5 degrees correlated phase on all klystrons, BPM resolution .1 um. -> 21%

Beamsize growth effects, with feedback (Hendrickson)



Snowmass 2005: Global Group 2, 17/8/05

2-beam Integrated Feedback Simulations (Linda Hendrickson)

- 2 beams, 5-Hz linac, BDS and IP deflection feedback. Perfect initially, feedback turned on after 30 minutes of "KEK" ground motion. 5 Hz ground motion, added component jitter, kicker, energy, current jitter.
- No angle feedback, no intratrain feedback.
- For the first ~20 seconds, IP feedback cannot keep up with large BDS steering changes. After 20 seconds, beams kept in collision but luminosity is poor (~20% in preliminary simulations, ~79% with perfect intratrain IP feedback).



International Fast FB Collaboration

• FONT:

Queen Mary: Philip Burrows, Glen White, Glenn Christian, *Hamid Dabiri Khah*, Tony Hartin, Stephen Molloy, Christine Clarke, *Christina Swinson*Daresbury Lab: Alexander Kalinin, Roy Barlow, Mike Dufau
Oxford: Colin Perry, Gerald Myatt
SLAC: Joe Frisch, Tom Markiewicz, Marc Ross, Chris Adolphsen, Keith Jobe, Doug McCormick, Janice Nelson, Tonee Smith, Steve Smith, Andrei Seryi, Mark Woodley, Linda Hendrickson.

• FEATHER:

KEK: Toshiaki Tauchi, Hitoshi Hayano Tokyo Met. University: Takayuki Sumiyoshi, Hiroaki Fujimoto

- Simulations: Nick Walker (DESY), Daniel Schulte (CERN)
- Eurotev (BDS, ILPS), ELAN (Instr, Bdyn), ILC WG4 (BDS)
 Snowmass 2005: Global Group 2, 17/8/05

Spare slides follow

Philip Burrows

FONT3: Averaged results (HIGH gain, nominal delay settings)



FONT3: Averaged results (LOW gain, nominal delay settings)



FONT3: Average results (variation of delay-loop settings)

Delay loop length

