#### BPM requirements for energy spectrometry

Stewart T. Boogert University College London

UK (UCL, Cambridge) SB, Alexey Lyapin, David Miller, Mark Slater, David Ward, Mathew Wing

US (SLAC, LLNL, LBNL, Oregon, Notre Dame) Ray Arnold, Mike Hildreth, Yury Kolomensky, Marc Ross, Steve Smith, Eric Torrence, Mike Woods + many more (apologies if I missed you off!)

12/20/2005

1

### Overview

- Spectrometer essential diagnostic for ILC
  - 9 bpms per spectrometer x 4 beam lines ~36 BPMs
  - Requirements different from linac/BDS BPM requirements
- Talk outline
  - Spectrometer requirements
  - Spectrometer BPM requirements
  - Quick overview of existing efforts
    - ATF nano BPMs
    - End station A chicane tests
  - Other BPM development
  - What should be done here at Snowmass
  - Summary

### Chicane spectrometer requirements

- Beam energy measurement requirement of 1 part in 10<sup>4</sup>
- Assume chicane as proposed by PT and RA
  - 4 magnets
  - 5 mm maximum deflection
  - Bipolar operation
- Measurement time
  - Single bunch
  - Bunch train
  - 1 hour / 1 day
- Mode of operation effects BPM design
  - Operation of chicane (frequency of ramping)
  - Frequent return to low energy?
  - Essential design beam energies
    - 175, 250 & 500 GeV
  - Move BPMs to null dipole signal





12/20/2005

### Spectrometer BPM requirements

- Spectrometer BPM will probably set the most stringent requirements on BPM design
  - Aperture
  - Resolution
  - Dynamic range (1000:1)
  - Stability (intrinsic and electronics)
  - Accuracy
  - Calibration
- Existing BPM designs are far from optimal for an energy spectrometer
  - Button and strip-line not seriously considered
  - ATF/ATF2 aperture
  - Reentrant resolution/stability?
  - Generic pill box cavity?
    - Cross coupling

- Machine
  - Bunch angle, position & charge jitter
  - Aperture
- Spectrometer
  - Movement range
    - Stray fields
    - Emittance dilution
- **BPM** 
  - Resolution already achieved (See ATF results)
  - Scaling of resolution as function of cavity size
  - Design for stability (monopole rejection)

12/20/2005

# ATF NanoBPM programme





- BINP BPMs (V. Vogel et al)
  - ~2cm diameter
  - Dipole selective waveguide couplers
  - 2 stage down-mixs electronics
- Triplet installed in the ATF extraction line
  - Mechanically stable LLNL "spaceframe"
  - Ability to move each BPM
  - Whole triplet together
- Triplet of ATF cavities installed down stream of BINP BPMs
  - Performance not as good
  - Cross coupling
  - Monopole mode rejection

### LLNL Spaceframe and BPMs



12/20/2005

Stewart T. Boogert (BPM requirements for energy spectrometry)

### **Recent nano BPM results**

100

80

- Resolution and stability measurements from BINP cavities
- Resolution
  - Long run 800 events ~ 10 minutes
  - ~ 24 nm
  - Resolution for spectrometer achieved

-80 -100 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 Bunch BPM Drift Over 2 Hours 60 40 Differential Position Shift (nm) 20 -20 -40 -60

BPM Y2 Fit Residual

- Stability
  - Measured drift over 2 hours
  - First 100 events used for calibration
  - Drift <120 nm peak to peak
  - RMS drift ~40nm over 2 hours
  - Stability already seems promising



'n

20

40

60

Run Number

800

100

80

# End station A programe



- Plans to test chicane ideas at ESA
  - Using old SLAC cavities
  - Test of chicane ideas and identification of possible problems
  - Test system of other general ILC and spectrometer specificBPM designs
  - New RF electronics
    - Resolution <1 m
- First tests in November 2005, Chicane tests 2006...



12/20/2005

Stewart T. Boogert (BPM requirements for energy spectrometry)

### Other BPM designs

- Many talks in GG2 regarding BPM design
  - Focus mainly for cold Linac BPMs
  - What about BDS BPMs?
- BPM designs
  - Button
  - Strip line
  - Reentrant cavity
  - Resonant cavity
- Specify BPM cavity requirements and select designs which most closely match these requirements



#### Snowmass 2<sup>nd</sup> week work/discussions

- Spectrometer
  - Single bunch resolution
  - Bunch train resolution
- Machine
  - Range of possible
    - Aperture
    - Position, angle, charge jitter
- Spectrometer-machine interaction
  - Chicane operation
  - Magnet ramping
  - Possible loss of luminosity?

- BPMs
  - Resolution
    - 100 nm to 1 m
  - Dynamic range
    - 100 m to 1mm
  - Stability (thermal, etc)
    - Common mode rejection
    - Mechanical symmetry
    - 200 nm (to 2 m) many hours/days
  - Cavity Q
    - Implications for single bunch
      measurement
    - Is it possible to extract single bunch information with large Q
    - Tests at ESA and ATF to verify this
  - Other
    - Reference cavities
    - Mechanics/size

12/20/2005

# Summary

- Aim for Snowmass
  - Identify key design requirements
    - Discussions with accelerator designers
      - Machine parameters at spectrometer
      - Mode of operation
  - Generate first requirements table (as for linac BPMs) for Spectrometer BPMs
    - Begin design of Spectrometer specific cavities
    - Prototype
    - Timescale
      - Conjunction with spectrometer development work
      - Detector CDR, February 2006
- Propose homework!
  - Machine information required (PT, AS, MW)
  - Spectrometer operation (MW, DM, ET, SB)
  - Cavity design (SS, MR ...)
- Generate specifications to pass to Cavity designers