2005 International Linear Collider Physics and Detector Workshop and Second ILC Accelerator Workshop Snowmass, Colorado, August 14-27, 2005

Backgrounds

Takashi Maruyama SLAC

Background related Questions

- For each of your critical sub-detectors, what is the upper limit you can tolerate on the background hit rate per unit area per unit time (or per bunch)? Which kind of background is worst for each of these sub-detectors (SR, pairs, neutrons, muons, hadrons)?
- Can the detector tolerate the background conditions for the I LC parameter sets described in the Feb. 28 2005 document at www-

project.slac.stanford.edu/ilc/acceldev/beamparameters.html? Please answer for both 2-mrad and 20-mrad crossing angle geometries. If the high luminosity parameter set poses difficulties, can the detector design be modified so that the gain in luminosity offsets the reduction in detector precision?

• What do you anticipate the difference will be in the background rates at your detector for 20 mrad and for 2 mrad crossing angle? Give your estimated rates in each case.

More questions

- What are your preferred values for the microvertex inner radius and length? If predicted backgrounds were to become lower, would you consider a lower radius, or a longer inner layer? If predicted backgrounds became higher, what would be lost by going to a larger radius, shorter length?
- What is your preliminary evaluation of the impact of local solenoid compensation (see LCC note 143) inside the detector volume, as needed with 20mr crossing angle, on the performance of tracking detectors (silicon, and/or TPC, etc).

Background Sources

IP Backgrounds

- Beam-beam Interactions
 - Disrupted primary beam
 - Extraction line losses
 - Beamstrahlung photons
 - e+e- pairs
- Radiative Bhabhas
- $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow hadrons/\mu+\mu-$

Machine backgrounds

- Muon production at collimators
- Collimator edge scattering
- Beam-gas
- Synchrotron radiations
- Neutrons from dumps/extr. line

Good; scale with luminosity

- Transport them away from IP
- Shield sensitive detectors
- Exploit detector timing
- Reliable simulations.

Bad,

- Don't make them
- Keep them from IP if you do
- Dominated by beam halo
- Dependent on assumptions

Three Detector Concepts

Subdetector	GLD	LDC	SiD
Vertex detector	Si pixel	Si pixel	Si pixel
	r1= 2.0 cm	r1= 1.5 cm	r1 = 1.4 cm
Tracker	TPC	TPC	Si strips
EM CAL	Scintillator-W	Si-W	Si-W
HAD CAL	Scintillator-Pb	Scintillator-Fe RPC/GEM-Fe	Si-W
Muon system	Scintillator	RPC	RPC
Solenoid	3 Tesla	4 Tesla	5 Tesla
	R = 3.5 - 4.5 m	R = 3 - 4.45 m	R = 2.5 - 3.3 m
	L = 8.9 m	L = 9.2 m	L = 5.4 m

Background tolerance levels

Three levels of criteria:

- Radiation damage
- Pile up
- Pattern recognition

Table is from W. Kozaneck (Collimation Task Force Workshop, SLAC, 2002) GLD and SiD answers included.

Subdetector	Chrgd trks	γ	n (~ 1MeV)	μ	E
Vertex detector	6 / mm ² 100/mm ² /tr	300 / mm²	3×10 ⁹ cm ⁻² y ⁻¹ 1×10 ¹⁰ cm ⁻² y ⁻¹	-	-
Si Tracker	0.2 /cm ² /BX	10 /cm ² /BX			-
TPC	2500	1.25×10 ⁶	2.5×10 ⁷	2500	-
Calorimeter	-	~40000	-		1MIPS/cm ² / train
Muon system	-	-	-	100/cm ² /s	

Background simulations

- Simulations from BDS to Dump
 - EGS4, Decay TURTLE, STRUCT, MARS, FLUKA, BDSIM, GEANT3, GEANT4
- Three detectors
- 10 I LC beam parameters
- 2 crossing angles
- Many background sources
- Requires a tremendous amount of work to complete.
- A great deal of work has been done, but much more studies are needed.

Realistic geometries

LDC model

MARS model of BDS 3 m 5 m 4 m 4250 2800 3000 92.0 mrad . 82.0 mrad -_____ 280 _____ 250 LumCal ECAL 26.2 mrad-Pole Tip BeamCal HCAL 3.9 model 1.00 VTX-Elec QUAD 214 QUAD CONTRACT OF LES VTX-Elec long. distances LumCal 3050...3250 ECAL 3350..3500 Pump HCAL Pole Tip BeamCal 3650...3850 L* 4050 -258 2mrad in BDSIM Bz DRIFT DRIFT DRIFT DRIFT QF1 QF1 SD1 SD1 QD0 QD0

SiD in two crossing schemes

20 mrad



Pairs

- Dominant background
- Very dependent on Beam parameters
- Solenoid field strength
 - Solenoid compensation for 20 mrad
- VXD layer radius
- Far forward geometry

	Beam	# e+/e-/BX	Total energy
	Nominal (N)	98 K	197 TeV
500 GeV	Low Q (Q)	38	86
	High Y (Y)	104	191
	Low P (P)	232	709
	High Lum (H)	268	944
	Nominal	174	1042
eV	Low Q	73	486
- -	High Y	229	1356
	Low P	458	4596
	High Lum	620	7367

Pairs at Z = 300 cm

20 mrad w/o compensation

20 mrad w compensation

2 mrad



X (cm)

Solenoid field map is important. SiD 5 Tesla \rightarrow 3 Tesla @ Z= 300 cm DI D field

VXD hits GLD

- Pair background hit rate on the 1st layer of the Vertex Detector (R=24mm)
- Simulation using CAIN and JUPITER
- Hit rate of the Low Q option is ~1/3 of the nominal option, as expected

Pair B.G. hit rate (/cm ² /bunch)			
B(tesla)	Nominal	LowQ	
3	0.488	0.149	
4	0.48	0.113	
5	0.183	0.069	



Crossing angle dependence LDC TESLA Beam parameters



- VXD hits
 - No difference between 0 mrad and 2 mrad
 - Higher background in 20 mrad
- TPC hits
 - Twice as much in 2 mrad than in 0 mrad
 - Twice as much in 20 mrad than in 2 mrad

DID effect on VXD and TPC hits LDC



- VXD hits
 - DID field reduces vxd hits to 2 mrad level.
- TPC hits
 - Significantly more TPC hits

Crossing angle dependence SiD



ILC 500 Nominal

- Average and RMS from 20 BXs.
- 20 mrad and 20 mrad + DI D will have more VXD hits than 2 mrad.
- But bunch-to-bunch fluctuation is larger than the crossing angle difference.

How many bunches to reach 1 hit/mm²



SiD

- One readout/train does not work for 25µm×25µm pixel detector.
- 5µm×5µm fine pixel detector can allow one readout/train for some beam parameters.
- Layer #2 can take x8 more bunches.

e+/e- density in Si Tracker



Forward Tracker Layer #1 hits

SiD

- Steep radial dependence
- I nnermost region is at the tolerance level (0.2/cm²/BX).



- Twice as many photons in 20 mrad than in 2 mrad
- More than the detector tolerance level for Low P and High Lum options

Muon background

Muons are generated at collimators: $\mu / e = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ Assume collimate 0.1% of 2×10^{10} /BX



19

9 & 18m Toroid Spoiler Walls



Design Constraints: Minimize gap & minimize stray field in beampipe



At least one spoiler is required to reduce the muon rate to < 1 Hz/cm²

500 GeV Beam: 144 / BX GEANT4-BDSIM (Blair)

Neutron Backgrounds in VXD

The closer to the IP a particle is lost, the worse

- 20 mrad Pairs: 3.6×10⁸ n_s/cm²/yr Rad. Bhabhas: 1.1×10⁸ n_s/cm²/yr
- 2 mrad

Pairs: 2.3×10⁸ n_{s/}cm²/yr



- Neutron background is proportional to pair total energy.
 - Reach 1×10¹⁰/cm²/yr for 1 TeV Low P and High Lumi options.

- Neutrons from the extraction line and beam dump
 - NLC era estimation ~1×10⁸/cm²/yr
 - Need updating calculations using the ILC conditions.

Neutrons in TPC LDC

GEANT4 (Vogel)

	L*=4.05 m	L*=3.0 m
	Stahl	TDR
Total neutrons per BX	333977	413286
Neutrons reaching TPC	517	10959
Bouncing neutrons	20%	20%
Total TPC hits	5361	9881

Only preliminary!

- simulation of one single BX need more statistics
- how many hits are caused by neutron scattering?

Synchrotron Radiations (A. Drozhdin)

element	Mean energy	Photons number per bunch	Photon energy per bunch		
	GeV		GeV/bunch		
	synchrotron radiation from beam halo				
lost at PDUMP	0.752E-04	0.373E+07	0.280E+03		
lost at MSK1	0.155E-03	0.115E+07	0.179E+03		
lost at MSK2	0.300E-04	0.768E+05	0.230E+01		
pass through IP	0.320E-02	0.422E+07	0.135E+05		
bremsstrahlung photons from beam halo					
lost at PDUMP	0	0	0		
lost at MSK1	0	0	0		
lost at MSK2	0	0	0		
pass through IP	0.140E+00	0.400E+03	0.561E+02		
synchrotron radiation from beam core					
lost at PDUMP	0.740E-04	0.426E+10	0.315E+06		
lost at MSK1	0.683E-04	0.464E+10	0.317E+06		
lost at MSK2	0.305E-04	0.396E+09	0.121E+05		
pass through IP	0.398E-03	0.383E+10	0.152E+07		

Photon loss at PDUMP, MSK1, MSK2 and passed through the IP from beam halo for collimation at 8 σ_x and 65 σ_v and from beam core.

Collimation Depth F. Jackson, J. Carter

20 mrad





- Limiting aperture: r =12 mm (20 mrad), 15 mm (2 mrad)
- Spoiler gaps $a_x = 1$ mm, $a_y = 0.5$ mm
- Tighter collimation for 2 mrad

Sync radiations can be very serious

At SLD/SLC, SR was the problem

- Conical mask completely shadowed the beam pipe and VXD.
- Mask was designed so that photons need at least TWO bounces to hit VXD.
- Two-bounce masking is not compatible with pairs.
 - Central detector is vulnerable to SR.

Require

- Complete analysis of SR from soft-bends and quads.
 - Gaussian core and beam halo
- Study
 - Repopulation of particles outside the collimation depth
 - Tip scatterings from upstream SR masks
 - Backscatterings from downstream apertures



Conclusions

- Detector tolerance levels need to be updated/expanded.
- Beam-beam pairs are dominant background
 - Very dependent on geometry, solenoid field strength, crossing angle, and beam parameters.
- Sync radiations are potentially very serious backgrounds.
 - We cannot assume beam collimation works perfectly.
 - We have to be conservative.
- Building realistic model is essential.
 - Detector geometry, magnets, tunnel, solenoid field map, magnet fringe field
- Simulation standards
 - Having many tools is good, but we need standards.
 - Repository
 - Optics
 - Detector description
 - Magnet geometries, field maps
 - Guinea-pig files, muons, beam-gas scattered particles
- Benchmarking and cross checking