Particle Flow Discussion

Graham Wilson

• Minutes of Lunchtime Discussion

- At the LDC concept meeting on Wednesday it was suggested that
- groups get together to get organized on particular global detector
- concept issues.
- One suggested focus was particle flow and
- S. Magill, F. Sefkow, M.Thomson, H. Videau and G. Wilson
- were volunteered to organize the work around this issue.
- On Friday we had a lunchtime discussion which was advertised
- by last minute E-mail to the LDC at Snowmass mailing list. Since
- some participants are only here for the first week we believed that it
- was important to meet and get started.
- Apologies to interested participants who were probably not
- aware of the meeting.

- Present: N. Graf, M. Thomson, S. Magill, M. Ronan, G. Eigen,
- F. Sefkow, P. Gay, G. Wilson, C. Hensel.
- Mark presented a list of prioritized items about the overall
- detector concept which impact the particle flow performance and
- require studies.
- We agreed to have a list of items which are perceived to be
- of primary importance in the detector design in terms of
- particle flow performance.
- There was strong interest in trying to get together in a manner which
- cuts across the SiD/LDC/GLD separate meetings.
- How we can easily go about studying these items is reserved for
- future meetings where we would develop a work plan.
- •
- Ideally we would be able to run several different PFAs over
- different detector variations.
- Some of these items may be addressed to some extent at Snowmass, others
- are presumably studies that require more comprehensive work.
- We will try and schedule a follow up meeting maybe on Monday.
- Feedback about these lists and potential other areas of study
- would be very much appreciated.
- It would be great if you could also express your interest in
- pursuing some of these issues.

- Priority Items
- 1. B-field : is BR^2 the correct performance measure ?
- 2. ECAL radius
- 3. z ECAL endcap
- 4. Calorimeter total number of interaction lengths inside coil
- (ECAL + HCAL) : do we need 4, 5, 6.. lambda_l ?
- 5. Longitudinal Segmentation. How much does the longitudinal
- segmentation improve the ability to identify the particles in
- the jets in pattern recognition terms,
- rather than just being an issue about sampling frequency for
- calorimetric energy resolution.
- 6. Transverse Segmentation.
- 7. Compactness / Gap-size.
- 8. HCAL Absorber choice: Stainless Steel, W, U, Pb etc.
- 9. Circular vs Octagonal TPC and circular vs polygonal ECAL:
- how important are the gaps beteen TPC and ECAL
- 10. HCAL outside coil

- Additional items perceived to be possibly of secondary importance
- -----
- (ie. if you are really interested in these items and you believe they
- are of primary importance, then you are very welcome to
- work on demonstrating that these things are of primary importance)
- •
- 1. For events with missing energy, the forward part of the
- detector may be very important for correct reconstruction.
- This should be addressed by looking at jet energy resolution vs
- polar angle. Detailed studies though depend quite a bit on the
- actual accelerator design, and may not be that easy to pursue in
- a general manner.
- 2. Detection thresholds for tracks, clusters.
- 3. Momentum resolution. What would happen if we back off substantially in
- momentum resolution specs since these were not designed around particle
- flow but from the recoil mass to the di-lepton in Zh events?
- Method: degrade single-point resolution within the same
- B, R**2 geometry.
- 4. How important is lepton id to the detection of semi-leptonic heavy
- flavor decays (b, c) with neutrinos for jet energy resolution issues?
- 5. Particle ID. How much do we care about correct mass assignment
- to charged particles, particularly protons in terms of PFA?
- 6. Are backgrounds from gamma-gamma and the machine important to the PFA and
- are there detector design methods to mitigate these effects?
- 7. How important is 2-photon separation to particle flow, particularly after
- applying pi0 mass constrained fits?
- 8. Is a tail-catcher important for spotting late interacting K0L and neutrons?
- 9. Could the DREAM approach work in the forward endcaps where the
- tracking performace is starting to degrade?