# **BABAR MUON DETECTOR UPGRADE** Sanjay Kumar Swain Stanford Linear Accelerator Center

- Introduction
- **RPC** -> **LST**
- Detector components
- Installation
- Performance
- Conclusion



#### BABAR LST Team

M. Andreotti, D. Bettoni, R. Calabrese, V. Carassiti, G. Cibinetto, A. Cotta Ramusino, M. Negrini, L. Piemontese, V. Santoro Ferrara University and INFN P. Patteri Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati dell'INFN R. Capra, M. Lo Vetere, S. Minutoli, P. Musico, E. Robutti, S. Tosi Genova University and INFN C. Simani, D. Lange, C-S Cheng Livermore National Laboratory Y. Zheng MIT T. Allmendinger, G. Benelli, M. Gee, L. Corwin, K.Honscheid, R. Kass, R. King, J. Regensburger, C. Rush, S. Smith, O. Wong **Ohio State University** C. Fanin, M. Morandin, M. Posocco, M. Rotondo, R. Stroili Padova University and INFN R. Covarelli Perugia W. Menges **Oueen Mary, University of London** J. Biesiada, G-L. Cavoto, N. Danielson, R. Fernholz, Y. Lau, C. Lu, J. Olsen, W. Sands, A.J.S. Smith, A. Telnov Princeton University **B.** Fulsom University of British Columbia T. M. Hong UCSB S. Chen, J. Zhang University of Colorado D. Warner Colorado State University P.Trapani Torino M. Lu, N. Sinev, J. Strube University of Oregon S. Morganti, G Piredda, C. Voena Roma "La Sapienza" University and INFN H.P. Paar University of California at San Diego R. Boyce, M. Convery, P. Kim, J. Krebs, R. Messner, M. Olson, R. Schindler, S. Swain, T. Weber, W. Wisniewski, C. Young **Stanford Linear Accelerator Center** 



## **Important Physics study using IFR detector (I)**

Process	Physics Goals	Role of	Role of	Comments
		muons	$K_L^0$	
$B \to \pi \ell^- \bar{\nu},  B \to \eta \ell^- \bar{\nu}, \dots$	BR, $V_{ub}$ , $dN/dq^2$	***		stat, high $p$
$B  ightarrow  ho \ell^- ar{ u}, \ B  ightarrow \omega \ell^- ar{ u}, \dots$	BR, $V_{ub}$ , $dN/dq^2$	***		stat, high $p$
$B \to X_u \ell^- \bar{\nu} \text{ (with } B_{\text{reco}} \text{ sample)}$	BR, $V_{ub}$	***		stat, high $p$
$B \to X_u \ell^- \bar{\nu} \text{ (incl. endpoint)}$	BR, $V_{ub}$	***		high $p$
$B \to \mu \nu,  B \to \mu \nu \gamma$	BR, new phys	****	** (?)	stat
$D_s \to \mu \nu$	BR, $f_{D_s}$	****		high $p$
$ au  ightarrow \mu \gamma$	New phys	****		stat
$J/\psi$ prod. w/init. state. rad.	leptonic widths	**		
$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu \tau$	New phys.	****		stat
$B \to K \ell^+ \ell^-$	BR, loops/new phys	****		stat
$B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$	BR, loops/new phys	****		stat
$B \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$	BR, loops/new phys	***		stat
$B \to X_s \gamma \text{ (lepton tags)}$	BR, loops/new phys	**		stat
$B \to K \nu \bar{\nu}$	BR, loops/new phys		* (?)	stat

Rating system: IFR gives \*(some benefit), \*\*( significant benefit), \*\*\*( large benefit), \*\*\*\*(essential information)

Modes highlighted in red will be discussed in more detail.

#### $B \rightarrow K \ell^+ \ell^-, B \rightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-, and B \rightarrow X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$

Three diagrams in the Standard Model: penguins (g, Z), and the  $W^+W^-$  box:



# Possible new physics contributions



### **History of Electron & Muon Efficiency**



#### **RPC flaws in BABAR**



Large temperature increase in BaBar over short time. Increase of dark current/noise, reached current limits. RPC efficiency drop ( right plot) The readout electronics inside the detector volume

(For details please see Chang-guo Lu's talk)

#### **Upgrade of IFR detector**



## Limited Streamer Tubes (read out \$\phi\$ coordinate)

- Tubes consist of 7 or 8 cells
- Cell dimensions: 15mm x 17mm x 3.6m
- Consists of gold-plated anode wire and graphite-painted PVC walls (cathode)
- Enclosed in PVC sleeve
- Endcaps include HV / gas connections
- Produced at pol.hi.tech company in Italy.
- At Princeton and OSU, the tubes are glued onto a SLAC-produced "phi-plane" to form module







# LST Module and Q/C test

A Layer within a sector consists of 6 to 10 LST modules Each module contains of 2 or 3 8(7)-cell Tubes

The 3 different types of modules arranged to minimize the dead space

We Q/C the modules before putting into the detector





**Option 1: Double-layer with a small cell (9mm x 8mm)** 

Reading out both coordinates from outside strips is possible



**Option 2: Single-layer with a large cell (17mm x 15mm)** 

Readout one coordinates from outside strips (BABAR case)



Single layer has low mechanically failure rate, simpler HV and Gas system , and cheaper than double layer .

There will be some efficiency loss from double -> single layer LST. Making the cell size bigger compensates this loss (9mm x 8mm -> 17mm x 15mm). Anode wire diameter variation has big impact to the e-field on the wire surface. The e-field on the wire surface:

$$E(R_{a}) = \frac{V}{R_{a} \ln (R_{c}/R_{a})}$$

$$\Delta V = V \frac{dE(R_{a})/dR_{a}}{E(R_{a})} dR_{a} = -V \frac{\ln (R_{c}/R_{a}) - 1}{R_{a} \ln (R_{c}/R_{a})} dR_{a} = \left[-V \frac{\ln (R_{c}/R_{a}) - 1}{\ln (R_{c}/R_{a})}\right] \frac{dR_{a}}{R_{a}}$$

Assume V=5000V, Rc=0.6cm, If dRa/Ra = 5%  $\Rightarrow \Delta V = \sim 200V$ 

5% of wire diameter increase leads to 200V effective

voltage drop on the wire.

This has impact on the plateau curve.



anode wire

Apart from wire diameter , one has to look at smoothness of wire

Other important aspect is to look into aging effect for the wire.

The following pictures using Phillips XL30 FEG-SEM electron microscope and PGT-IMIX PTS EDX x-ray analysis system at Princeton Image and Analysis Center .



Use SEM scale measured wire diameter is 101 $\mu$ m, micrometer measured is 97  $\mu$ m.

For Ag-coated wire, SEM scale measured diameter is 107µm and micrometer measured 105µm

#### LST grounding (coverless tube)



The top shielding is not only for the read out of LST, but also is an essential part of LST tube. With and w/o this shielding an LST can behavior quite differently. In our case, LST is attached to  $\phi$ -plane so that it is always grounded on the top.

#### **Z-coordinate readout planes**

14

**1mm thick vacuum laminated planes made with Cu foil + Mylar** 

35mm wide 96-strips connected to cables (2mm gap between strips)

> 16 channel cables for signal pick up (6 cables: 3 on Each side=96strips)

E

1

These planes are built at SLAC

23<sup>rd</sup> August-2005

ALCPG, Snowmass, Colorado



Segmented strips adjacent to<br/>detector pick up induced charge<br/>signal from the streamerAnode wire signal can be read<br/>out directly from on top of HV

This yields two position coordinates. The third is gained by installing multiple layers of streamer detectors. Combined, these allow full tracking

#### **LST installation**



#### **Backward view of bottom sextant**



23<sup>rd</sup> August-2005

ALCPG, Snowmass, Colorado

#### **Gas System**



#### **High Voltage**



#### **Readout boards**

A completely new electronics has been developed to readout the signals from:

 strips (z coordinate – beam line direction) positive signal

Daughter board

- wires (phi coordinate – azimuthal angle)

negative signal





Signals are sent to Front End Cards and there amplified and discriminated.

In case of RPC, the front end cards were inside detector volume

→ Not accessible once detector is closed

23rd August-2005

#### **Detector Performance (I)**



#### **Wire and Strip multiplicity**



#### **Counting rate and Currents versus luminosity**

Plots are obtained from the BABAR run during Apr 18th-25th , 2005.



#### **Improvement of muon efficiency**



# Cosmic Ray Muon in New BaBar IFR Sept 30, 2004



#### **Summary**

We installed 2 sextants in 2004 (top and bottom)

->388 tubes: 1552 readout channels
->All, except 5, of them working good

-> 24 Z-planes: 2284 strips

-> 0.7% disconnected channels (not adjacent strips) -> no efficiency loss (strip multiplicity is ~1.8)

2/3<sup>rd</sup> of the Barrel to be installed in 2006

-> bigger challenge because it is tilted (pushing the z-planes and modules requires special instrumentation)

But the experience during last year installation will be advantageous for next year installation

#### **Plateau measurement for Layer#18 modules**



ALCPG, Snowmass, Colorado

## **Possible LST configuration inside the iron gap**



One can read out the z and f coordinate of the hit through the strips in both direction but for our case, the  $\phi$  signal is read through the anode wire rather than  $\phi$ -strips

W/o top shielding anode current increasing with time

It takes ~ 2 hours to stabilize the current, the final current is much higher than the initial current:



W/o grounded shielding on the top of LST, under intensive source radiation the anode wire current takes ~ 2 hours to get stabilized.



#### An event from the beam collision



Top and bottom sextants are LSTs

Side four sextants are RPCs

### **Online Detector Control (I)**







|--|

Process	Physics Goals	Role of	Role of	Comments
		muons	$K_L^0$	
$B \to \pi \ell^- \bar{\nu}, \ B \to \eta \ell^- \bar{\nu}, \dots$	BR, $V_{ub}$ , $dN/dq^2$	***		stat, high $p$
$B \to \rho \ell^- \bar{\nu},  B \to \omega \ell^- \bar{\nu}, \dots$	BR, $V_{ub},  dN/dq^2$	***		stat, high $p$
$B \to X_u \ell^- \bar{\nu}$ (with $B_{\rm reco}$ sample)	BR, $V_{ub}$	***		stat, high $p$
$B \to X_u \ell^- \bar{\nu}$ (incl. endpoint)	BR, $V_{ub}$	***		high $p$
$B \to D \ell^- \bar{\nu}$	BR, $V_{cb}$ , $dN/dq^2$	**		stat at high $q^2$
$B  o D^* \ell^- \bar{\nu}$	BR, $V_{cb}$ , $dN/dq^2$	**		stat at high $q^2$
$B  ightarrow D_1 \ell^- ar{ u},  D_2^* \ell^- ar{ u},  D^{(*)} \pi \ell^- ar{ u}$	BR, $V_{cb}$	**		stat
$B \to X_c \ell^- \bar{\nu} \text{ (inclusive)}$	BR, $V_{cb}$	**		stat
$B \to X_c \ell^- \bar{\nu} \text{ (incl./ lepton tag)}$	BR, $V_{cb}$	**		stat
$B \to X_c \ell^- \bar{\nu} \text{ (mass moments)}$	BR, $V_{cb}$	**	$^{*}(?)$	stat
$B  ightarrow D^{(*)}  au^- ar{ u}$	BR, Higgs	**	$^{*}(?)$	stat
$B \to K \ell^+ \ell^-$	BR, loops/new phys	****		stat
$B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$	BR, loops/new phys	****		stat
$B \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$	BR, loops/new phys	***		stat
$B \to X_s \gamma \text{ (lepton tags)}$	BR, loops/new phys	**		stat
$B \to K \nu \bar{\nu}$	BR, loops/new phys		*(?)	stat

Rating system: IFR gives \*(some benefit), \*\*( significant benefit), \*\*\*( large benefit), \*\*\*\*(essential information)

Modes highlighted in red will be discussed in more detail.

#### **Images of Ag-plated Be-Cu wire**



Surface quality looks similar to the Au-coated wire. Use SEM scale measured wire diameter is 107µm. Micrometer measured diameter is 105µm.

Both silver-plated and gold-plated Be-Cu wire samples look having smooth surface under the SEM.