SUSY Mass Resolution Studies Progress Report

Joseph Proulx

University of Colorado at Boulder

Outline

- The Colorado SUSY group
- SUSY parameter points and masses
- Simulation tools
- Endpoint method studies
- Determining masses by minimizing χ^2
- Current status and future work

Outline

- The Colorado SUSY group
- SUSY parameter points and masses
- Simulation tools
- Endpoint method studies
- Determining masses by minimizing χ^2
- Current status and future work

Many undergraduates contribute to SUSY and calorimetry studies at CU.

Those that have contributed to this presentation include:

Chris Geraci Paul Steinbrecher Elliot Smith Maria Gulda Kyle Miller Jack Gill

http://hep-www.colorado.edu/SUSY
http://hep-www.colorado.edu/~nlc

Our task:

 Attempt reconstruction of SUSY masses using fast MC detector simulation

Our task:

- Attempt reconstruction of SUSY masses using fast MC detector simulation
- Determine whether measurements are attainable

Our task:

- Attempt reconstruction of SUSY masses using fast MC detector simulation
- Determine whether measurements are attainable
- Determine whether changes in detector or accelerator design might help with measurements

- The Colorado SUSY group
- SUSY parameter points and masses
- Simulation tools
- Endpoint method studies
- Determining masses by minimizing χ^2
- Current status and future work

Nine separate parameter points chosen for studies: SPS1-9

- 6 mSUGRA parameter points
- 2 GMSB points
- 1 AMSB point

Nine separate parameter points chosen for studies: SPS1-9

- 6 mSUGRA parameter points
- 2 GMSB points
- 1 AMSB point

Similarities include Higgs mass of about 115GeV Differences include variations in relative masses of SUSY particles representative of various regions of parameter space

Nine separate parameter points chosen for studies: SPS1-9

- 6 mSUGRA parameter points
- 2 GMSB points
- 1 AMSB point

Similarities include Higgs mass of about 115GeV Differences include variations in relative masses of SUSY particles representative of various regions of parameter space

We have focused on SPS1 and several other mSUGRA points

	mSUGRA								
	SPS1	SPS2	SPS3	SPS4	$\mathbf{SPS5}$	SPS6			
M_0	100	1450	90	400	150	150			
$M_{1/2}$	250	300	400	300	300	300			
A_0	-100	0	0	0	-1000	0			
$tan(\beta)$	10	10	10	50	5	10			
μ	352.39	124.77	508.59	377.03	639.80	393.09			

	GN	/ISB		AMSB
	SPS7	SPS8		SPS9
Λ	40,000	100,000	M_0	400
M_{mes}	80,000	200,000	$M_{3/2}$	60000
N_5	3	1	,	
$tan(\beta)$	15	15	$tan(\beta)$	10
μ	300.03	398.31	μ	869.90

The SUSY Masses

	minimal SUGRA						GMSB		AMSB
	$\mathbf{SPS1}$	$\operatorname{SPS2}$	SPS3	SPS4	$\mathbf{SPS5}$	SPS6	SPS7	SPS8	SPS9
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	96.05	79.54	160.55	118.66	119.51	117.50	161.65	137.19	175.51
$\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$	176.82	135.34	296.95	218.14	226.33	215.54	260.06	252.33	549.03
$\widetilde{\chi}^0_3$	358.81	140.84	512.87	383.91	642.83	398.70	306.26	404.00	874.37
$\widetilde{\chi}_4^0$	377.81	269.45	529.57	401.08	652.95	418.06	379.94	426.28	875.97
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^+$	176.38	104.03	296.85	218.06	226.33	215.20	256.33	252.03	175.67
$\widetilde{\chi}_2^+$	378.23	269.03	529.51	402.28	652.68	418.19	379.45	426.47	877.22
h^0	113.97	115.71	116.95	115.39	119.79	114.71	113.57	114.83	114.83
H^0	394.15	1444.10	573.03	404.63	694.03	457.84	378.37	515.01	912.56
A^0	393.63	1442.95	572.42	404.43	693.86	457.26	377.89	514.49	911.74
H^+	401.77	1446.18	578.30	416.28	698.49	464.40	386.70	521.17	915.83
$\widetilde{ u}_e$	186.00	1454.17	275.99	441.22	244.52	243.25	249.06	347.61	309.71
\widetilde{e}_R^-	142.97	1451.69	178.33	416.54	191.45	191.30	127.43	175.87	303.01
\widetilde{e}_L	202.14	1456.33	287.11	448.40	256.30	255.81	261.47	356.61	319.66
$\widetilde{\tau}_1^-$	133.22	1439.46	170.59	267.61	180.67	184.34	120.45	169.42	271.28
$\widetilde{\tau}_2^-$	206.13	1450.38	289.22	414.91	257.86	258.31	263.40	357.59	322.54
$\widetilde{t_1}$	379.11	1003.88	623.83	530.58	220.74	474.12	779.09	957.65	1005.17
$\widetilde{t_2}$	574.71	1307.41	819.54	695.88	644.65	659.73	863.00	1058.68	1128.80
$\widetilde{b_1}$	491.91	1296.56	757.50	606.86	535.86	589.80	822.17	1021.90	1112.07
$\widetilde{b_2}$	524.59	1520.09	791.35	706.45	622.99	623.42	843.35	1048.26	1232.88
$\widetilde{u_R}$	520.45	1530.08	791.78	715.10	624.49	621.87	830.54	1033.16	1227.35
$\widetilde{u_L}$	537.25	1532.70	816.57	730.24	641.82	638.97	859.66	1080.25	1218.09
\widetilde{g}	595.19	784.37	914.26	721.03	710.31	708.58	926.04	820.50	1275.18

The SUSY Masses

		n	ninimal	SUGRA			GN	/ISB	AMSB
	$\mathbf{SPS1}$	SPS2	SPS3	SPS4	$\mathbf{SPS5}$	SPS6	SPS7	SPS8	SPS9
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^0$	96.05	79.54	160.55	118.66	119.51	117.50	161.65	137.19	175.51
$\widetilde{\chi}^0_2$	176.82	135.34	296.95	218.14	226.33	215.54	260.06	252.33	549.03
$\widetilde{\chi}^0_3$	358.81	140.84	512.87	383.91	642.83	398.70	306.26	404.00	874.37
$\widetilde{\chi}_4^0$	377.81	269.45	529.57	401.08	652.95	418.06	379.94	426.28	875.97
$\widetilde{\chi}_1^+$	176.38	104.03	296.85	218.06	226.33	215.20	256.33	252.03	175.67
$\widetilde{\chi}_2^+$	378.23	269.03	529.51	402.28	652.68	418.19	379.45	426.47	877.22
h^0	113.97	115.71	116.95	115.39	119.79	114.71	113.57	114.83	114.83
H^0	394.15	1444.10	573.03	404.63	694.03	457.84	378.37	515.01	912.56
A^0	393.63	1442.95	572.42	404.43	693.86	457.26	377.89	514.49	911.74
H^+	401.77	1446.18	578.30	416.28	698.49	464.40	386.70	521.17	915.83
$\widetilde{ u}_e$	186.00	1454.17	275.99	441.22	244.52	243.25	249.06	347.61	309.71
\widetilde{e}_R^-	142.97	1451.69	178.33	416.54	191.45	191.30	127.43	175.87	303.01
\widetilde{e}_L	202.14	1456.33	287.11	448.40	256.30	255.81	261.47	356.61	319.66
$\widetilde{\tau}_1^-$	133.22	1439.46	170.59	267.61	180.67	184.34	120.45	169.42	271.28
$\widetilde{\tau}_2^-$	206.13	1450.38	289.22	414.91	257.86	258.31	263.40	357.59	322.54
$\widetilde{t_1}$	379.11	1003.88	623.83	530.58	220.74	474.12	779.09	957.65	1005.17
$\widetilde{t_2}$	574.71	1307.41	819.54	695.88	644.65	659.73	863.00	1058.68	1128.80
$\widetilde{b_1}$	491.91	1296.56	757.50	606.86	535.86	589.80	822.17	1021.90	1112.07
$\widetilde{b_2}$	524.59	1520.09	791.35	706.45	622.99	623.42	843.35	1048.26	1232.88
$\widetilde{u_R}$	520.45	1530.08	791.78	715.10	624.49	621.87	830.54	1033.16	1227.35
$\widetilde{u_L}$	537.25	1532.70	816.57	730.24	641.82	638.97	859.66	1080.25	1218.09
\widetilde{g}	595.19	784.37	914.26	721.03	710.31	708.58	926.04	820.50	1275.18

- The Colorado SUSY group
- SUSY parameter points and masses
- Simulation tools
- Endpoint method studies
- Determining masses by minimizing χ^2
- Current status and future work

Simulation Tools

Event Generation:

- ISAJET SUSY and Standard Model background
- \checkmark HERWIG $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- \gamma^*\gamma^*$
- WHIZARD multiple parton final state events

Simulation Tools

Event Generation:

ISAJET - SUSY and Standard Model background

• HERWIG -
$$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma^*\gamma^*$$

WHIZARD - multiple parton final state events

Detector Simulation

 Locally written smear-mode simulation; some bells and whistles

Simulation Tools

Event Generation:

ISAJET - SUSY and Standard Model background

• HERWIG -
$$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma^*\gamma^*$$

WHIZARD - multiple parton final state events

Detector Simulation

 Locally written smear-mode simulation; some bells and whistles

Analysis



- The Colorado SUSY group
- SUSY parameter points and masses
- Simulation tools
- Endpoint method studies
- Determining masses by minimizing χ^2
- Current status and future work

 $e^+e^- \to X\bar{X}$

 $e^+e^- \to X\bar{X}$

 $X \to Y + Z$

- $e^+e^- \to X\bar{X}$
- $X \to Y + Z$

E(X) = 250 GeV

$$e^+e^- \to X\bar{X}$$

$$X \to Y + Z$$

$$E(X) = 250 GeV$$

$$E(Z) = \gamma(E_{Z,cm} + \beta P_{Z,cm} cos(\theta))$$

$$e^+e^- \to X\bar{X}$$

$$X \to Y + Z$$

E(X) = 250 GeV

$$E(Z) = \gamma(E_{Z,cm} + \beta P_{Z,cm} cos(\theta))$$

Energy of visible (particle Z) follows a uniform distribution between an E_{max} and E_{min} .

Endpoint method - Example: SPS1 $\tilde{\nu}_e \tilde{\nu}_e$

$$e^+e^- \to \widetilde{\nu}_e \overline{\widetilde{\nu}}_e$$

 $\widetilde{\nu}_e \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \nu (87.9\%)$ $\widetilde{\nu}_e \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^+ e^- (8.9\%)$

left polarization

right polarization





Endpoint method - SPS1 $\tilde{\nu}_e \overline{\tilde{\nu}}_e$ - **Results**

 $\begin{array}{ccc} E_{low} & E_{high} \\ \text{No Brems} & 4.11 \pm 0.04 & 21.10 \pm 0.09 \\ \text{Brems} & 4.22 \pm 0.08 & 20.40 \pm 0.12 \end{array}$



ndpoint method and Beam/Bremsstrahlun



Bremsstrahlung and beamstrahlung destroy the energy endpoints. However, the energy distribution is still highly dependent upon the particle masses.

Endpoint method - $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$



Reconstructed MassMC Mass $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 101.0 ± 0.3 (statistical)95.185 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 186.8 ± 0.5 (statistical)180.374

Endpoint method - $\widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm} \widetilde{\chi}_1^0$



Bias in W mass reconstruction affects reconstruction of gaugino mass

Endpoint Method - Conclusions

- 2-body decays provide a distinct signal for SUSY searches
- The endpoint method can be used to make a rough first calculation of the mass
- Brems-/beamstrahlung make precision measurements impossible
- Precision measurements are impossible in the best case when the visible particle is a W or Z

SUSY signals are distinct enough to yield precision mass measurements

- The Colorado SUSY group
- SUSY parameter points and masses
- Simulation tools
- Endpoint method studies
- Determining masses by minimizing χ^2
- Current status and future work

Measuring Masses by χ^2 **Analysis**

- Use ISAJET to create one year of SUSY, SM data
- Determine cuts to isolate signal
- Compare energy distribution to distribution using different input masses
 - Each variation uses 10 years of data in order to minimize uncertainty in histogram bin size
- Best mass for 1-year data set corresponds to minimum χ^2
- Uncertainty is the change in mass which causes a change of 1 in χ^2

 χ^2 Analysis - SPS6 $\widetilde{\nu}_e \widetilde{\nu}_e$

 $e^+e^- \to \widetilde{\nu}_e \overline{\widetilde{\nu}}_e$

$$\widetilde{\nu}_e \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \nu_e; \overline{\widetilde{\nu}}_e \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^- e^+$$

 $\widetilde{\chi}_1^- \to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 W^- \to hadrons$

Cuts:

- number of particles
- reconstructed W mass
- energy of W

 χ^2 Analysis - SPS6 $\widetilde{\nu}_e \widetilde{\nu}_e$

No Bremstrahlung



red = signal

Recon Mass	MC Mass
243.6 ± 0.5	243.85
222.1 ± 0.5	222.38

With Bremstrahlung

 $\widetilde{\nu}_e$

 $\widetilde{\nu}_e \\ \widetilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$



red = bremstrahlung

Recon Mass	MC Mass
248.9 ± 0.8	243.85
227.4 ± 0.4	222.38

 χ^2 Analysis - SPS6 $\widetilde{\nu}_e \widetilde{\nu}_e$

• χ^2 of the distribution varies as a function of M_0 and $M_{1/2}$



 Recon Mass
 MC Mass

 $\tilde{\nu}_e$ 243.6 ± 0.1
 243.85

 $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 222.4 ± 0.3
 222.38

 χ^2 Analysis - SPS6 $\widetilde{\nu}_e \widetilde{\nu}_e$

Similar analysis as at SPS6:



 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Recon Mass} & \text{MC Mass} \\ \widetilde{\nu}_e & 244.8 \pm 0.1 & 245.9 \end{array}$

- The Colorado SUSY group
- SUSY parameter points and masses
- Simulation tools
- Endpoint method studies
- Determining masses by minimizing χ^2
- Current status and future work

Current Status and Future Work

Signal analysis is an ongoing process



Three-prong taus produce energy distributions that may yield successful mass determination.

Current Status and Future Work

Signal analysis is an ongoing process



 $H + \rightarrow t\bar{b}$ at 1TeV produces a significant mass peak.

Snowmass Mountain, CO - 14092'

