### Final results for the SM Higgs-boson production in channel $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ at the Photon Collider

P. Nieżurawski, A. F. Żarnecki, M. Krawczyk

Faculty of Physics Warsaw University

### **Overview**

Analysis of  $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow h \rightarrow b\bar{b})$  measurement

LCWS'04 Paris:

- NLO QCD background  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}(g)$  (Q=c,b)
- $\checkmark$  realistic  $\gamma\gamma$ -spectra
- *b*-tagging
- overlaying events  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow hadrons$  (OE)
- crossing angle
- primary vertex distribution
- $\Rightarrow$  results for SM with  $M_h = 120, 130, 140, 150, 160 \text{ GeV}$



### Overview

Analysis of  $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow h \rightarrow b\bar{b})$  measurement

NEW:

- $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-$  background contribution (polarized cross section)
- $\mathbf{P} \quad \gamma\gamma \to q\bar{q} \ (q = u, d, s)$  background contribution (unpolarized cross section)
- $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow hadrons$  (resolved) as a separate background contribution
- Full optimization of cuts
- Estimates of systematic uncertainties

 $\Rightarrow$  results for SM with  $M_h =$  120, 130, 140, 150, 160 GeV

### Tools

#### Photon-photon spectrum: COMPAZ

Signal: HDECAY, PYTHIA

Background:

■ NLO 
$$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}(g)$$
 for  $Q = c, b$  (G. Jikia)

•  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow W^+ W^-$  (PYTHIA + polarized cross section)

$$\checkmark$$
  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow q \bar{q}$  for  $q = u, d, s$  (PYTHIA, unpolarized cross section)

•  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$  (PYTHIA).

Overlaying events  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow hadrons$  (PYTHIA) with realistic  $\gamma \gamma$ -luminosity spectrum (V. Telnov)

Parton Shower (not for  $Q\bar{Q}(g)$ ): PYTHIA

Fragmentation: PYTHIA (Lund)

**Detector performance:** SIMDET 4.01





### **Crab-wise crossing of beams**



 $\alpha_c = 34 \text{ mrad}$ 





### $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow hadrons$ events

#### **Cross sections**

#### Angular $E_T$ -flow per bunch crossing.





Generation for  $\sqrt{s_{ee}} = 210.5$  GeV.



NŻK

LCWS'0

SLAC

 $\bigcirc$ 

### Reconstructed energy per bc

 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow hadrons$ 



 $\sqrt{s_{ee}} =$  210.5 GeV;  $N_{OE}/{
m bc} \approx 1$ 

P. Niezurawski, A. F. Żarnecki, M. Krawczyk

NŻK

SLAC

– p.7/20

 $\theta_{TC}$ 

#### $\gamma\gamma ightarrow h ightarrow bar{b}$ ( $M_h=$ 120 GeV)





 $\sqrt{s_{ee}} =$  210.5 GeV



 $p_T^{jet}/E_T$  $\sqrt{s_{ee}}=$  210.5 GeV

/S'05 P. N

P. Niezurawski, A. F. Żarnecki, M. Krawczyk

SLAC

– p.8/20

 $\theta_{TC}$ 

#### $\gamma\gamma ightarrow h ightarrow bar{b}$ ( $M_h=$ 120 GeV)





 $\sqrt{s_{ee}} = 210.5 \text{ GeV}$ 





P. Niezurawski, A. F. Żarnecki, M. Krawczyk

 $\theta_{TC}$ 

 $\gamma\gamma 
ightarrow h 
ightarrow bar{b}$  ( $M_h = 120$  GeV)



X

NŻK

/S'05

P. Niezurawski, A. F. Żarnecki, M. Krawczyk

SLAC

– p.9/20

### Cuts

#### Cuts optimized by minimizing:

$$\frac{\Delta\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to h \to b\bar{b})}{\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to h \to b\bar{b})} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu_S + \mu_B}}{\mu_S}$$

#### For example:



#### Maximal value of $|\cos \theta_{jet}|$ over all jets in the event

#### All angular cuts



Detector mask Particles on Pythia level:  $\cos \theta_{mask} \approx 0.99$ 

OE suppression Tracks & clusters:  $\cos \theta_{TC} = 0.85$ 

 $\gamma \gamma 
ightarrow Q ar{Q}(g)$  suppression Jets:  $|\cos \theta_{jet}|^{\max} = 0.725$ 

P. Niezurawski, A. F. Żarnecki, M. Krawczyk



– p.10/20

# bb-tagging



# **bb-tagging**



## *higgs*-tagging at $M_h = 120$ GeV

higgs-tagging: a cut on the ratio of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ to  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow b\bar{b}(g), c\bar{c}(g), q\bar{q} \ (q = u, d, s)$ events  $\Rightarrow \varepsilon_h = 58\%$  $\varepsilon_{bb} = 50\%$  $\varepsilon_{cc} = 2.2\%$  $\varepsilon_{uds} = 0.16\%$ Without OE  $\Rightarrow \varepsilon_h = 71\%$ 

 $arepsilon_{bb}=64\%$   $arepsilon_{cc}=2.9\%$   $arepsilon_{uds}=0.11\%$ 

Tighter cuts are needed due to OE contribution



LCWS'05

P. Niezurawski, A. F. Żarnecki, M. Krawczyk

SLAC

– p.13/20

### **Reconstruction & Selection**

#### Selection of $b\bar{b}$ events for $M_h = 120$ GeV:

- OE suppression: clusters & tracks with  $|\cos \theta_i| > \cos \theta_{TC} = 0.85$  ignored
- $W_{rec} > 1.2 W_{\gamma\gamma}^{\min}$  Jets: Durham algorithm,  $y_{cut} = 0.02$
- **9**  $N_{jets} = 2, 3$
- for each jet:  $|\cos \theta_{jet}| < 0.725$
- $|P_z|/E < 0.1$

Rejection of  $W^+W^-$  events (for  $M_h = 150$ , 160 GeV):

- for each jet:  $M_{jet} < 70 \text{ GeV}$
- energy below  $\theta_{TC}$ :  $E_{TC} < 90 \text{ GeV}$
- If or each jet:  $N_{trk} \ge 4$

```
b-tagging: ZVTOP-B-HADRON-TAGGER (T. Kuhl)
```

Correction for crossing angle: jets boosted with  $\beta = -\sin(\alpha_c/2)$ 



- p.14/20

### $\mathbf{SM}, M_h = \mathbf{120} \ \mathbf{GeV}$



NŻK

150

- p.15/20

### **SM**, $M_h = 120 \text{ GeV}$

#### **Final results**



P. Niezurawski, A. F. Żarnecki, M. Krawczyk

SLAC

 $\mathbf{i}$ 

– p.16/20

### **SM**, $M_h = 120 \text{ GeV}$

#### **Final results**



P. Niezurawski, A. F. Żarnecki, M. Krawczyk

X

## **SM summary**



For  $M_h = 150$ , 160 GeV additional cuts to reduce  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow W^+ W^-$ .



P. Niezurawski, A. F. Żarnecki, M. Krawczyk



Influence of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow hadrons$  events with  $W_{\gamma \gamma} < 4 \text{ GeV}$ 

 $< E_{rec} > per \gamma \gamma \rightarrow hadrons$  event vs.  $W_{\gamma\gamma}$ 



 $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow hadrons$  events with  $W_{\gamma \gamma} < 4 \text{ GeV}$ would add on average  $E \sim 0.1 \text{ GeV}$  per bc if  $\theta_{TC} \approx 0.85$ .

 $\sqrt{s_{ee}} = 210$  GeV.

- p.18/20

### **Systematic uncertainties**

Influence of higher order corrections checked (PS applied for  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}(g)$ ):  $\Delta \sigma / \sigma$  stable. Influence of  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow hadrons$  with  $W_{\gamma \gamma} < 4$  GeV:  $\mathcal{O}(0.1)$  GeV per bc.

Background: 1/2 year run with lower beam energies  $\Rightarrow$  3000 background events in mass window (2%)

Luminosity ( $J_z = 0$ ): about 1% (V. Makarenko, K. Mönig, T. Shishkina, hep-ph/0306135)

Constrained maximum likelihood fit: 2.0% stat., 1.8% syst.

*b*-tagging (and other) efficiency:

• one year at  $\sqrt{s_{ee}} = 419 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow 26000 \ \gamma \gamma \rightarrow ZZ$  events, 5000  $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b} \Rightarrow 1.4\%$ 

**9** 3 months  $e^+e^-$  at  $\sqrt{s_{ee}} = M_Z$  with the same detector:  $\ll 1\%$  !!!



- p.19/20

## Conclusions

- All important theoretical and experimental aspects of the measurement taken into account.
- Optimal cuts per mass point.
- *higgs*-tagging: cut on the ratio of  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow h \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  to  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow b\bar{b}(g), c\bar{c}(g), q\bar{q}$  (q=u, d, s) events.
- High precision for measurement of the SM Higgs boson despite  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow hadrons$  overlaying events.
- Statistical precision of 2% for  $\Gamma(h \to \gamma \gamma) BR(h \to b\bar{b})$  at  $M_h = 120 \text{ GeV}$
- Systematic uncertainty about 2%.

