

Searching for the Axion

Leslie J Rosenberg

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Physics and Advanced Technology Directorate

7000 East Ave, Livermore CA 94550 USA

This talk focuses on the search for the axion, a hypothetical elementary particle which historically appeared in a compelling solution to the Strong-CP problem in QCD. Subsequently, the axion was recognized to be a good Cold Dark Matter candidate. Although dark matter axions have only feeble couplings to matter and radiation, extremely sensitive searches are underway around the world. In this talk we review the state of experiments searching for the axion through its coupling to photons, with emphasis on experiments that look for axions that could make up dark matter.

1. INTRODUCTION

Peccei-Quinn (PQ) symmetry, from which the axion arises, still stands after more than two decades as a minimal and most elegant extension to the Standard Model to enforce Strong-CP in particle physics.[1–3] Within its presently allowed mass range ($10^{-(6-2)}$ eV), the axion is also a good particle dark matter candidate. While the couplings of such light axions to matter and radiation would be exceedingly weak, it is realistic to expect that the status of the axion may be definitively resolved within the next decade.

The original axion with the PQ symmetry-breaking scale, $f_A \sim f_{EW} \sim 250$ GeV implied an axion mass of a few hundred keV, and couplings with matter and radiation that would have made it readily observable in accelerator- and reactor-based experiments.[4] It was quickly ruled out, and axion models were constructed with $f_A \gg f_{EW}$, rendering the mass and all couplings extremely small, thus giving rise to the name the ‘invisible axion’. In 1983, Pierre Sikivie of the University of Florida showed how halo dark matter axions could be detected by their Primakov conversion to microwave photons in a high-Q resonator permeated by an intense magnetic field.[5] First-generation experiments at BNL[6] and the University of Florida[7] demonstrated the experimental technique, and laid the groundwork for second-generation efforts in the US [8] and Japan [9] of which the former has finally reached sensitivity to realistic axion models with best-fit local halo Cold Dark Matter (CDM) densities. Upgrades are being planned to further increase sensitivity and search rate, to significantly improve the chances of the discovery of the axion.

While the scope of this talk principally covers the searches for axions constituting our own galactic dark matter, we have also briefly discuss, as examples of the breadth of the field, a pure laboratory experiment and searches for axions from astrophysical sources. While certain of these latter searches are attractive by virtue of being broadband in mass and independent of assumptions concerning the axionic content of our halo, they fall far short of the sensitivity required to detect standard axions, and it is not obvious how they could be significantly improved. The theoretical motivation for the axion, the presently understood constraints, and the limits specifically derived from stellar evolution have been reviewed in detail by Kim,[4] Turner[10] and Raffelt.[11]

1.1. Axions in Particle Physics

1.1.1. The θ Problem in QCD

Quantum Chromo Dynamics (QCD), the theory of the strong interactions, has proven remarkably successful and its creators recently were awarded the Nobel Prize. Its one loose end, however, is the Strong-CP problem. The non-Abelian nature of QCD should introduce T, P and CP-violating effects, and in particular there should be a substantial CP-violating neutron electric dipole moment (edm). The vanishingly small neutron edm is therefore a genuine mystery.

The source of CP-violating interactions in QCD is traced to the complexity of the QCD vacuum. The QCD vacuum has gluon fields in their lowest energy configuration, and there are many degenerate vacua. The various vacua can be classified by winding number n —the non-Abelian nature allows non-zero n —and gauge transformations can change one winding number vacuum into another. The physical vacuum of QCD is constructed by a gauge invariant Bloch-wave-like superposition of vacua:

$$|\Theta\rangle = \sum_n e^{-in\Theta} |n\rangle \quad . \quad (1)$$

Effects of the Θ vacuum on vacuum transition amplitudes can be subsumed in a new effective non-perturbative term in the QCD Lagrangian proportional to $\bar{\Theta} G \tilde{G}$, with G and $\tilde{G}$ the gluon field strength tensor and its dual, and $\bar{\Theta} = \Theta + \arg \det M$, where M is the quark mass matrix. The parameter $\bar{\Theta}$ takes contributions from the QCD vacuum Θ and phases from the quark mass matrix. The $G \tilde{G}$ term in the Lagrangian is a total derivative and does not contribute to classical equations of motion or perturbative effects. However, the term is explicitly CP-violating and can induce non-perturbative CP-violating effects. With a $\bar{\Theta}$ of order 1, the neutron can be shown[12] to have an expected electric dipole moment of order 10^{-15} e·cm. Current limits are $\sim 10^{-25}$ e·cm,[13] and these limits in the context of the Θ vacuum restricts the magnitude of $\bar{\Theta}$ to less than a few times 10^{-10} . A comprehensive discussion of the role of Θ is given by Cheng.[14]

1.1.2. Axions and Strong-CP

That $\bar{\Theta}$ has contributions from both QCD (through the Θ vacuum) and weak interactions (through the quark mass matrix) makes the Strong-CP problem a particularly thorny one. More than just finding why one parameter should be zero, we need to explain the near-perfect cancellation of two independent but finite effects. Among the ideas for evading this problem,[15] we find most compelling the one invoking the axion.

The axion resulted from the solution proposed by Peccei and Quinn.[1] They showed that a minimal extension of the Higgs sector endows the Standard Model with a new global U(1) symmetry, the Peccei-Quinn (PQ) symmetry, to be broken at some scale f_{PQ} . A new effective term arises in the QCD Lagrangian proportional to $(\phi_A/f_{PQ})\bar{\Theta} G \tilde{G}$, with ϕ_A the axion field, and constant of proportionality dependent on the value of the axion color anomaly. The sum of Θ and anomaly terms, taken as a classical potential, is minimized at some axion vacuum expectation value proportional to $\bar{\Theta} f_{PQ}$. At this value of the axion field, the CP-violating $G \tilde{G}$ terms, including those giving rise to a neutron electric dipole moment, almost completely vanish. Weinberg and Wilczek subsequently pointed out that since a continuous symmetry is broken, there must also be an associated Goldstone boson—the axion.[2, 3] Although the axion starts out as a massless Goldstone boson, it eventually acquires an effective mass (as does, *e.g.*, the η) through intermediate states coupled to its color axial anomaly. The theory of the axion and various models incorporating PQ symmetry is thoroughly discussed by Kim.[4]

1.1.3. Mass and Couplings

The axion mass and the PQ symmetry-breaking scale f_{PQ} are related through

$$m_A = \frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+z} \frac{f_\pi m_\pi}{f_{PQ}/N} \quad , \quad (2)$$

where z is the ratio of u- and d-quark masses (presumably ~ 0.5), and N , an integer, is the axion color anomaly of the PQ symmetry. For the purposes of this review, the model dependence will be treated phenomenologically, entering through N , and the axion electromagnetic anomaly E . Taking an experimental point of view, we avoid detailing various scenarios from which PQ symmetry could originate, and concentrate first on whether PQ symmetry is a valid description of nature at all.

The strength of the axion's couplings to normal matter and radiation are given by effective coupling constants $g_{A\gamma\gamma}$, g_{Aee} , g_{App} , *etc.*, for the axion coupling to photons, electrons and protons. Since the elementary axion couplings

are model dependent, these effective couplings are model dependent as well. For instance, the effective ax/-ion-two-pho/-ton coupling constant is

$$g_{A\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha/2\pi}{f_{PQ}/N} (E/N - 2(4+z)/3(1+z)) \quad , \quad (3)$$

where the factor $2(4+z)/3(1+z)$ containing ratios of light quark masses is approximately 1.95. The tree level coupling of axions to color is fundamental to the axion's role in solving the Strong-CP problem. The tree level coupling of the axions to charged leptons however is optional; here, different theories allow different couplings. Extremes of lepton couplings are cases with no tree level axion coupling to electrons (called 'hadronic axions', one implementation being the KSVZ axion[16]) and axions where tree level quark and electron couplings are of the same strength (an example being axions motivated by a simple GUTs scenario, called the DFSZ axion[17]).

Generically, all effective coupling constants of axions with normal matter and radiation depend on the inverse of the symmetry-breaking scale f_{PQ} , and are therefore linear in the axion mass. Extremely light axions thus possess extremely weak couplings, and for that reason were termed 'invisible axions'. The most sensitive of the current round of axion searches look for these invisible axions through their coupling with two photons. While there is nothing to forbid E/N from having the unfortunate value ~ 1.95 which effectively suppresses the axion-photon coupling, in the simple (and compelling) DFSZ GUTs model for example, $E/N = 8/3$, and in the KSVZ model $E/N = 0$.

1.2. Constraints on the Axion Mass and Couplings

1.2.1. Reactor and Accelerator Searches

Early searches for axions took as a starting point that the PQ symmetry-breaking scale be near the weak scale. The resultant axions are relatively strongly coupled to normal matter and radiation and would have been produced and detected in reactor and accelerator experiments as something looking like a new neutral pion. That no such axions were found requires the PQ scale be considerably greater than the weak scale. These early searches are summarized in the reviews Refs. [4] and [14].

1.2.2. Stellar Evolution and Supernovae

Powerful limits on exotic particles can be set by their influence on stellar evolution.[18] The present upper limit on the axion mass by stellar evolution arguments is orders of magnitude better than that from accelerator- and reactor-based experiments. The basis for the method is the fact that the evolution of any star is throttled by the rate at which thermal energy can be dissipated. Stars are usually completely opaque to radiation produced in their interior, so stellar cooling is determined by radiation from a photosphere near the surface. (In the case of the SN1987a, the same argument applies to neutrino radiation.) On the other hand, a very weakly-coupled exotic particle, even if only rarely produced, can efficiently transport energy directly out of the stellar interior. In some cases, this 'cooling' mechanism accelerates the star's evolution and increases its temperature, as gravitational potential energy is converted into heat counteracting the loss of radiation pressure. Limits are derived when clear discrepancies arise between stellar evolution models and observables. We mention below some of the most important stellar evolution limits for the axion.

At the center of the sun, about $10(m_A/\text{eV})^2 \text{erg g}^{-1} \text{sec}^{-1}$ DFSZ axions of mass m_A would be created through Compton processes like $e + \gamma \rightarrow e + A$, to be compared with the nuclear energy release of a few $\text{erg g}^{-1} \text{sec}^{-1}$. Based on our understanding of solar dynamics and the Sun's measured ^4He content and luminosity, it is unlikely that axions are presently removing more than about half of the nuclear energy, thereby constraining the DFSZ axion mass to less than about 1 eV.[19] Likewise, should there be an axion-induced temperature rise, the observed solar neutrino event rate would increase. If anything, there is a "solar neutrino deficit". Taken together, solar evolution excludes DFSZ axion masses between ~ 1 eV and the solar central temperature of a few keV.

Main sequence stars will accumulate a helium core from hydrogen burning, and if sufficiently massive, the helium core will ignite. The resulting red giant has a large diameter, but low surface temperature and luminosity. It exhausts

its helium fuel in a very short time (~ 100 Myr) and continues evolving into a compact object. Hadronic axions would be produced in red giants by a Primakov process, *i.e.*, the fusion of a real photon with a virtual photon from a charged particle, $\gamma + Ze \rightarrow A + Ze$. The effect axion production would be to increase the helium burning rate and reduce the time a star spends as a red giant. The fraction of red giants in a stellar population thereby declines, and statistical analyses of well-understood clusters result in an upper limit to the hadronic axion mass of around a few eV.[18, 20]

Red giants set a much stronger limit for DFSZ axions, where axio-Compton production dominates, $\gamma + e \rightarrow A + e$. The helium core, supported only by electron degeneracy pressure, shrinks in size as it builds up, liberating gravitational binding energy and raising its temperature. Without axions, core cooling is throttled by neutrino radiation. The axion production rate is inversely proportional to its mass, and like neutrino production, is proportional to a high power of the core temperature. Assuming axions dominate energy transport, the core temperature then varies as an inverse power of the axion mass. A sufficiently massive DFSZ axion inhibits helium ignition entirely. Detailed modeling excludes DFSZ axions with mass greater than $\sim 10^{-2}$ eV.[18, 21] Of course, axions with mass much greater than the red giant core temperature near 10 keV cannot be excluded by this argument.

The supernova SN1987A released $\sim 10^{53}$ ergs of energy, virtually all of it in neutrinos with a characteristic temperature of ~ 10 MeV. The Kamiokande and IMB detectors together recorded 19 neutrinos spread over about 10 seconds, a result consistent with our understanding of supernovae dynamics and the number of light neutrino flavors. Early analyses demonstrated that axion radiation by nucleon-nucleon bremsstrahlung $NN \rightarrow NNA$ would have noticeably foreshortened the neutrino pulse for axion masses between 10^{-3} eV, and around 2 eV. This upper limit represents the axion mass for which nucleon-pair absorption would have suppressed free-streaming of axions out of the proto-neutron star.[22–24] More detailed treatments show the importance of nuclear mean-field effects in axion production. These are difficult to quantify, but are unlikely to raise the lower limit to more than 10^{-2} eV.[18]

1.2.3. Cosmological Production

Laboratory experiments and astrophysics establish upper bounds to the axion mass. In principle, cosmology imposes a lower bound, from the requirement that axions should not have overclosed the Universe. One cannot be too specific, as we do not know which production mechanism would be predominant.

Vacuum misalignment

In the PQ picture, non-perturbative QCD effects establish a potential which drives the classical $\bar{\Theta}$ parameter to almost exactly zero, independent of its original value after symmetry-breaking. This happens in the low-temperature limit of the theory, $T \sim \Lambda_{QCD} \ll f_A$. However, the total energy in the axion field depends on the original misalignment angle $\bar{\Theta}$, an unprescribed value between $-\pi$ and π . [25] A simple model is a pendulum, initially at some random angle in a field-free environment, for which gravity is then turned on.[26] The axion field would have been a Bose condensate of zero temperature, and the present density of axions produced by the misalignment mechanism is given by[27]

$$\Omega_A = 0.85 \times 10^{\pm 0.4} (\Lambda_{QCD}/200 \text{ MeV})^{-0.7} (m_A/10^{-5} \text{ eV})^{-1.18} / h^2 \quad , \quad (4)$$

The Hubble factor $h = H/100$ enters through expansion-driven density evolution, and the QCD scale Λ_{QCD} enters as the temperature where mass appears. The value of Ω_A presumes an effective $\bar{\Theta} = \pi/\sqrt{3}$, the r.m.s value of the interval $-\pi$ to π . (Throughout this article, the density of any species ρ_i is referred to the critical density of the Universe ρ_{crit} in the usual way, $\Omega_i = \rho_i/\rho_{crit}$.) Physically this corresponds to the case where inflation either does not occur, or occurs before PQ symmetry-breaking, making the effective misalignment angle a composite value for a great number of causally disconnected volumes. If inflation happens after PQ symmetry-breaking, a similar expression for Ω_A results, but proportional to $\bar{\Theta}^2$, a random number. In this case $\bar{\Theta}$ is the value pertaining to the entire visible Universe, which came from one minuscule pre-inflation volume. While in this latter case, a value of $\bar{\Theta} \sim 0$ cannot be excluded, it is presumed to be of order unity. Under either scenario a nominal lower bound for the axion mass is $\sim 10^{-5}$ eV.

Axionic strings

Figure 1 summarizes the present limits on the axion mass from stellar limits and cosmology.[18]

2. THE SEARCH FOR AXIONIC DARK MATTER

2.1. Axions as Halo Dark Matter

2.1.1. Spectral Shape of the Virialized Component

In designing an experiment to look for dark matter axions, one needs to have an approximate idea of what one is looking for. As will be seen below, the microwave cavity experiment measures the full energy of an axion, *i.e.*, the sum of its rest mass plus kinetic energy. The halo axions looked for are gravitationally bound in our Milky Way galaxy, with a virial velocity of $\bar{\beta} \sim 10^{-3}$. Naively then, the axion energy distribution would be expected to be monochromatic to 1 part in 10^6 .

To be more precise, the assumption is usually made that the halo axions occupy a spherical isothermal phase-space distribution. There is no evidence that such a CDM halo could not be rotating with respect to the galaxy, or that it might not be oblate or even triaxial. But proceeding under that assumption, the axion distribution would be a Maxwellian:

$$f dv = 4\pi \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)^{3/2} v^2 \exp(-\beta v^2) dv \quad . \quad (5)$$

Based on the dynamics of our galaxy, the r.m.s. velocity of our halo is $\bar{v} = \langle v^2 \rangle^{1/2} \sim 270 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$, implying $\beta = (3/2)\bar{v}^{-2} \sim 220 (\text{km sec}^{-1})^{-2}$. However, the laboratory “swims” through this distribution with a time-varying velocity $\vec{v}_E = \vec{v}_S + \vec{v}_O + \vec{v}_R$, the terms on the right representing the Sun’s velocity in the galactic rest frame (magnitude $\sim 230 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$), the Earth’s orbital velocity around the Sun (magnitude $\sim 29.8 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$), and the Earth’s rotational velocity (magnitude at the equator $\sim 0.465 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$). The observed axion velocity is then $\vec{v}_A = \vec{v} - \vec{v}_E$. The effect of this motion is to broaden the distribution, as well as giving rise to shape variations which are periodic both in one sidereal day, and one sidereal year:[31]

$$f dE = 2 \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} \frac{dE}{m_A v_E} \exp(-\beta v_E^2 - 2\beta E/m_A) \times \sinh \left[2\beta(2E/m_A)^{1/2} v_E \right] \quad . \quad (6)$$

The expected peak is still very narrow. Expressed as the mean kinetic energy relative to the rest mass, the broadening is $\bar{v}_A^2/2c^2 \sim 7 \times 10^{-7}$, or as the dispersion about the mean, $\bar{v}_{dis}^2/2c^2 \sim 5.3 \times 10^{-7}$. These results are shown in Figure 2. The relative sinusoidal variations on the axion’s kinetic energy (dispersion) are 5.5 (3.9)% for the Earth’s orbital motion, and 0.093 (0.066)% for the Earth’s rotation.

2.1.2. Phase Space and Ultra-Fine Structure

In the simple structure formation picture, once a density perturbation begins to grow, there is a stagnation radius within which CDM falls into the gravitational potential, and beyond which CDM continues to Hubble-expand outwards. However as the structure grows and its stagnation radius increases, new CDM crosses within the radius and falls into the potential. As pointed out by Sikivie and coworkers,[32, 33] in the absence of dissipation the CDM phase space distribution in the halo should result in a spectrum of discrete lines in velocity (and energy) as measured at any point within; see Figure 3. The lowest energy lines are those which fell into the potential early on when the potential was shallowest, and have oscillated $\sim \mathcal{O}(100)$ times across the halo. The highest energy lines are those that have just fallen in, when the potential was the deepest, and are just crossing our Solar System for the first time, or have oscillated only a few times through the halo. Extensive calculations have been done both with and without initial angular momentum, but the general features are the same. These authors identify mechanisms that will eventually lead to a thermalization of the spectrum (*e.g.* scattering from globular clusters) and provide numerical estimates. But it seems very plausible that at least the few highest energy lines, each containing several percent of the total axion signal should persist. Taken seriously, there are two important implications for the microwave cavity experiment. First, as the primordial axion spectrum is truly a zero-temperature condensate ($\Delta E/E \sim 10^{-18}$), the




Figure 2: The local phase space distribution df/du for thermalized cosmic axions. The horizontal axis is the axion kinetic energy relative to its mean. The tallest spectrum is the distribution in the galactic rest frame. The other two curves display the expected axion distribution at the earth's frame, as measured in December (next-tallest), and in June (shortest). (From Ref. [31].)

width of the line may be exceedingly narrow. Even 10^{-2} of the axion spectrum in one such line would lead a much higher S/N than for the virialized spectrum, and thus a greatly increased discovery potential for the experiment—if one can search for narrow lines. Second, if the axion were found, the spectrum of such lines would provide a time-ordered history of our galactic formation.

2.2. Cavity Microwave Experiments

Halo axions could be seen through their resonant conversion into photons in a high- Q cavity threaded by a magnetic field. In practice, a tunable helium-cooled high- Q cavity is placed in the bore of a superconducting solenoid, and the resonant frequency of its lowest TM mode is slowly swept while the cavity output is monitored for excess power from resonant axion conversions.[5] The excess power is

$$P = 9.6 \times 10^{-27} \text{Watt} \left(\frac{V}{1 \text{ m}^3} \right) \left(\frac{B_0}{10 \text{ Tesla}} \right)^2 \times C \left(\frac{g_\gamma}{0.36} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\rho_A}{0.5 \times 10^{24} \text{ g/cm}^3} \right) \left(\frac{m_A}{10 \mu\text{eV}} \right) \min(Q_L, Q_A) \quad (7)$$

with V the volume of the cavity, B_0 the magnetic field strength, C a mode-dependent form factor of order unity, ρ_A the density of galactic halo axions at the Earth, m_A the axion mass, Q_L the loaded Q of the cavity and $Q_A \sim 10^6$ the “quality factor” of the galactic halo axions, *i.e.*, the ratio of their total energy to their energy spread near Earth. (The loaded Q of the cavity incorporates both its ohmic losses Q_W (wall) and the coupling of power out of the




Figure 3: The velocity spectrum of axions at our solar system, predicted in the model of Refs. [32, 33]. (Upper panel) No initial angular momentum. (Lower panel) Finite initial angular momentum. Scattering processes are expected to eventually thermalize the spectrum, leading to the lower energy lines being subsumed into a Maxwellian-like distribution of width $\Delta E_A/E_A \sim 10^{-(6-7)}$.

cavity Q_O (out), $Q_L^{-1} = Q_W^{-1} + Q_O^{-1}$). The signal-to-noise at resonance can be shown to be maximized when $Q_L = (1/3)Q_W$. Finally, $g_\gamma \sim (E/N - 1.95)/2$ denotes the axion-photon coupling. A value $g_\gamma \sim 0.36$ is predicted for DFSZ axions, and -0.97 for KSVZ hadronic axions. One should appreciate how minuscule the expected signal is. With the nominal value of constants in the above expression, and a cavity of $Q_L = 10^5$ at 1 K temperature, the blackbody power within the bandwidth of the axion peak is 30 times greater than the signal.

Unfortunately, the axion mass is unknown, as is the corresponding resonant frequency $\nu = m_A c^2/h$. As mentioned previously however, critical density in axions from the misalignment mechanism most likely correspond to an axion mass in the $1\text{--}10\ \mu\text{eV}$ range. This is what makes the first decade of the axion search window so promising. (As the cavity radius for the TM_{010} mode of interest is $R[\text{m}] = 0.115/\nu[\text{GHz}]$, and $1\ \text{GHz} = 4.136\ \mu\text{eV}$, this mass range also corresponds to a convenient range of cavity diameters, $5\text{--}50\ \text{cm}$.) The search rate for a constant signal to noise ratio (s/n) is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\nu}{dt} = & \frac{28\ \text{GHz}}{\text{year}} \left(\frac{4}{s/n} \right)^2 \left(\frac{V}{1\ \text{m}^3} \right)^2 \left(\frac{B_0}{10\ \text{Tesla}} \right)^4 \\ & \times C^2 \left(\frac{g_\gamma}{0.36} \right)^4 \left(\frac{\rho_A}{0.5 \times 10^{-24}\ \text{g/cm}^3} \right) \left(\frac{5\ \text{K}}{T_n} \right)^2 \times \left(\frac{\nu}{1\ \text{GHz}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{Q_W}{Q_A} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$




Figure 4: Schematic of the Rochester-Brookhaven-Fermilab microwave cavity experiment to search for cosmic axions. (From Ref. [6].)

with T_n the total noise, $T_n = T_{phys} + T_{elec}$ (*i.e.* the linear sum of cavity blackbody plus electronic noise temperatures) of the microwave detector.

2.3. First-Generation Experiments

First-generation microwave cavity experiments of small volume were carried out at Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) and at the University of Florida (UF). They demonstrated the feasibility of the technique over a significant range of frequencies, but fell short in power sensitivity by 100-1000 to detect halo dark matter axions of plausible model couplings.

2.3.1. The Rochester-Fermilab-Brookhaven (RBF) Experiment

The experiment carried out at BNL was carried out by a collaboration of the University of Rochester, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory and Brookhaven National Laboratory.[6] A superconducting solenoid magnet provided a peak central field of 8.5 T within the useful volume of roughly 15 cm diameter and 40 cm length. The cavity was constructed of high purity oxygen-free copper, for which the unloaded quality factor in the 2–3 GHz range was $Q_W \sim 1.8 \times 10^5$ at the operating temperature of the experiment, 4.2 K. The cavity resonant frequency was tuned by means of a sapphire rod inserted longitudinally along the central axis of the cavity. A schematic of the RBF experiment is shown in Figure 4.




Figure 5: Exclusion region for present and future microwave cavity experiments. Note the plot is flattened by presenting $(g_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_A)^2$. Shown are the experiments of Rochester-BNL-FNAL,[6] the University of Florida,[7] the US large-scale experiment,[8] and the Kyoto experiment.[9] The sensitivity possible for the large-scale experiment upgraded with DC SQUID amplifiers is indicated by the shaded dashed line. All are normalized to 90% c.l. and $\rho_{\text{CDM}} = 7.5 \times 10^{-25} \text{ g/cm}^3$.

The cavity field was sampled by a small coupling loop, isolated by a circulator, and fed to a cryogenic preamplifier. Various amplifiers were used with $T_{\text{elec}} = 8\text{--}15 \text{ K}$, the *in situ* noise calibration being performed by a noise source applied to a circulator at the amplifier input. The amplified signal was mixed down in a double-heterodyne receiver and fed to a 64 channel filter-detector system configured as a spectrum analyzer. Two sets of filters were used, one with 200 Hz bandwidth, the other with 400 Hz bandwidth. The 64 channel filter output was passed through a low-pass filter and the voltage digitized and recorded every 100 ms (the characteristic time of the low-pass filter). The 6 sets of cavity diameter and rod diameter combinations covered the frequency range 1.09–3.93 GHz (4.5–16.3 μeV). A noteworthy detail among several innovations in this experiment was the use of a higher-TM mode to extend the frequency range of the search, albeit at the cost of a greatly reduced cavity form-factor C , and a correspondingly reduced sensitivity.

The criterion for a candidate axion signal was a peak in the cavity output of a determined number of standard deviations above the local mean power. Each frequency range was swept twice and a candidate peak was required to appear in both sweeps. Ultimately no peaks survived re-examination. Limits (95% c.l.) on $g_{A\gamma\gamma}^2 [\text{GeV}^{-2}]$ were established of 5.7×10^{-28} at the low mass end (4.5 μeV) to 1.8×10^{-25} on the high mass end (16.3 μeV). (All reported microwave cavity results and projected future search regions are displayed together in Figure 5.)

2.3.2. The University of Florida (UF) Experiment

The other first-generation microwave cavity experiment was carried out at the University of Florida.[7] The superconducting solenoid magnet (17.1 cm diameter, 40 cm length) produced a peak central field of 8.6 T. The cavities, made of high purity oxygen-free copper, had an unloaded quality factor at 1.5 GHz of $Q_W \sim 1.6 \times 10^5$, measured at 4.2 K physical temperature. The operating temperature of the experiment was normally 2.2 K. Coarse tuning was done by a large dielectric rod moved transversely in the cavity, and fine tuning by insertion of a small tuning rod inserted through a hole in the top plate of the cavity.

Power from the TM_{010} mode of the cavity was extracted by a small loop, coupling to H_ϕ . The cavity and the cryogenic preamplifier were isolated by a circulator. The noise temperature of the HEMT (High Electron Mobility Transistor) amplifiers varied between 3–7 K, depending on frequency. A double-heterodyne receiver mixed the amplified signal down to the 0–30 kHz range, where a PC-based ADC/FFT calculated the power spectrum. The sampling rate of 70 kHz was slightly greater than the Nyquist rate. Two cavities of different diameters, with both metal and dielectric tuning rods allowed the frequency range 1.32–1.83 GHz to be covered completely.

Unlike the RBF experiment, the UF experiment was step-tuned rather than sweep-tuned. In each 90-second run, 10^5 subspectra were averaged to generate a 32-bin power spectrum of 1 kHz resolution. Any 2σ peak above the local mean power was rescanned; this was repeated up to four times and persistent candidates were flagged for further investigation later: none survived. Limits (97.5% c.l.) on $g_{a\gamma\gamma}^2[\text{GeV}^{-2}]$ were established of 3.3×10^{-28} at the low mass end (5.46–5.95 μeV) to 1.2×10^{-27} on the high mass end (7.46–7.60 μeV). Noteworthy in the UF effort was extensive electromagnetic modeling of the microwave cavities and exploration of concepts for much higher frequency resonators.[34]. In particular, power-combining of two independent cavities was demonstrated at 300 K, as an important first step towards multiplexing many small cavities of high frequency while fully utilizing a large magnetic volume.[34]

2.4. Second-Generation Experiments

In the past five years there have been two new experiments, with the aim of finally achieving the requisite sensitivity to find or exclude halo axions with KSVZ or DFSZ couplings. The first of them represents a significant scale-up of previous microwave cavity experiments, and utilizes conventional HEMT microwave amplifiers. This experiment is at present undergoing an upgrade to improve its sensitivity by orders of magnitude. The second is a small-volume experiment, but utilizes a novel Rydberg-atom single-quantum detector to sample the cavity energy instead of a conventional microwave receiver.

2.4.1. The US Axion Dark-Matter Experiment

The Axion Dark Matter Experiment (ADMX) A large-scale microwave cavity experiment has been designed, constructed and operated by a collaboration of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), the University of Florida, the University of California, Berkeley, and the National Radio Astronomy Observatory. The experiment is sited at LLNL. Drawing from the experience of the RBF and UF experiments, the key goals of this effort are (i) to achieve a power sensitivity required to see KSVZ axions constituting our halo, and (ii) to search a decade of mass range $1.3 \mu\text{eV} < m_A < 13 \mu\text{eV}$. The increase in sensitivity largely accrues by an increase in magnetic volume ($B_0^2 V \sim 11 \text{ T}^2\text{m}^3$, compared to $0.36 \text{ T}^2\text{m}^3$ and $0.45 \text{ T}^2\text{m}^3$ for RBF, UF respectively), as well as considerable progress in HEMT amplifier development. The eventual increase in mass range will result from the implementation of multiple cavity arrays.

Experimental Description

Figure 6 shows a schematic of the experiment. The solenoid magnet (60 cm diameter $\times$ 100 cm long) has an 8 T maximum field on-axis, but is normally run at 7.6 T. The cavity (50 cm diameter $\times$ 100 cm long) is made of stainless steel, plated with high purity copper and annealed. It is tuned by two independently controlled tuning rods (copper or low-loss alumina) which may be moved radially between the wall and the center of the cavity, covering a range between 300–900 MHz in ~ 2 kHz steps. Typically the loaded cavity quality factor $Q_L \sim 70,000$. A Joule-Thompson valve cools the cavity and amplifier to ~ 1.3 K. Normally the cavity is operated at a near vacuum (~ 1 Torr He) to avoid frequency drifts usually associated with backpressure fluctuations on bath helium.

Power is extracted through an adjustable antenna inserted in the top plate of the cavity (coupling to E_z); a second weakly coupled port allows the insertion of diagnostic signals. Another test input is provided by the third port of a directional coupler between the cavity and the cryogenic preamplifier. The signal from the electric field probe is amplified by two cryogenic HEMT amplifiers in series built by the National Radio Astronomy Observatory. The combined power gain of the two cryogenic amplifiers is ~ 34 dB. Over the last two years the noise temperature of




Figure 6: Cutaway diagram of the large-scale U.S. axion search.

successive versions of these amplifiers improved from slightly over 4 K to below 2 K. The axion receiver (Figure 7) first mixes the amplified signal down to an intermediate frequency (IF) of 10.7 MHz. After passing through a 35 kHz wide IF filter, a second stage mixes the signal down to audio frequency (AF) of bandwidth 50 kHz centered at 35 kHz. At this point the signal bifurcates into two data streams. In the first, the AF signal is sent to a commercial fast Fourier transform instrument which computes a power spectrum of 400 channels each of width 125 Hz. More precisely, this power spectrum results from an equal-weight average of 10,000 subspectra, each representing $(125 \text{ Hz})^{-1} = 8 \text{ msec}$ of integration time. This is the ‘medium-resolution’ data, $\Delta E/E \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$, for which the virialized component would appear as a peak of approximately 6 channels width. The second stream results in a power spectrum calculated by an on-board FFT from a single 50-second long integration within the 80-second run at each frequency. This yields the ‘high resolution’ data of 0.02 Hz channel width, $\Delta E/E \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-11})$, in which one looks for narrow peaks resulting from recent infall axions which have not yet thermalized, as discussed above.

Data Collection and Analysis

As mentioned previously, in this experiment the cavity frequency was shifted by $\sim 2 \text{ kHz}$ after each 80 second run, or approximately 1/15 of the cavity bandwidth. The entire frequency range was swept in this manner at least three times to achieve the required sensitivity, as well as reduce systematic errors. Thus each frequency was included in ~ 50 subspectra. These were then combined with appropriate weighting into a continuous power spectrum over the broad frequency interval with 125 Hz bin width. Small holes in the frequency coverage due to avoided crossings between the TM_{010} mode and intruder TE or TEM modes were later filled in by flooding the cavity with superfluid helium ($n = 1.055$) which shifted the mode-crossing away from the frequency region of interest.

For the medium-resolution data, candidates are identified by excess power in one bin (125 Hz), and six bins (750 Hz). For these candidate bins, a second independent data set is acquired at the same signal-to-noise ratio. This new data




Figure 7: Schematic of the receiver for the large-scale U.S. axion experiment. Note the two data streams, one medium resolution (125 Hz/channel, $\Delta E/E \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$), and one high resolution (0.02 Hz/channel, $\Delta E/E \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-11})$).

is combined with the old and any frequencies that show persistent power excess are logged for further examination. A third set of independent data is acquired, combined with the previous two data sets, and the number of candidates now is tractable for each to be examined individually. The few very strong external radio sources mimicking persistent candidates are easily identified and rejected. Should any persistent candidates remain even after further running, the ultimate test would be to examine whether the signal varies as B^2 , and specifically whether it vanishes when the field is turned off. Monte Carlo simulation techniques are used to determine the sensitivity and confidence level of the six-bin search path.

The high-resolution data were analyzed similarly. The data are binned on-line at resolutions of 0.02, 0.16, and 1.28 Hz, and candidates defined as those peaks exceeding 15σ , 8σ , and 5σ respectively.

A critical check for the experiment is shown in Figure 8, which is a histogram of the dispersion of the combined single-bin data, with the power excess plotted in units of the r.m.s. deviation about the mean power. The smooth curve is the distribution based on Gaussian thermal noise treated as input to the analysis chain. That this experiment was in fact sensitive to KSVZ axions is shown in Figure 9, which shows the expected signal ($\sim 3 \times 10^{-23}$ W) relative to the noise background with one- and six-channel binning.

Results

This experiment has recently reported results[8] over the frequency range 500–810 MHz, corresponding to a range of axion masses of 2.1–3.3 μeV . There were no 6-bin candidates surviving all scans. Furthermore, from the high resolution search, upper limits on axion power were established at approximately 3.3×10^{-23} W (0.02 Hz), 5.0×10^{-23} W (0.16 Hz), and 8.8×10^{-23} W (1.28 Hz). This analysis also searched for coincidences between the high- and medium-resolution data, again with null results.

Figure 5 shows the axion-photon couplings excluded by the US large-scale axion search and earlier RBF and UF experiments. (Note that as $g_{A\gamma\gamma} \propto m_A$, the presentation $[g_{A\gamma\gamma}/m_A]^2$ has the effect of flattening the graph.) For all results, the results are normalized to 90% c.l., and the best maximum-likelihood estimate of the halo CDM density is used, $\rho_{\text{CDM}} = 7.5 \times 10^{-25}$ g/cm³. [35]

The experiment continues to run. The great significance of these results was that the sensitivity of the microwave cavity experiment was finally brought into the region of interest, where the axion could plausibly be discovered.




Figure 8: Histogram of the dispersion of the combined single bin data, with power excess in units of the r.m.s. deviation about the mean power, for the large-scale U.S. axion search. The smooth curve is the distribution based on Gaussian thermal noise treated as input to the analysis chain.




Figure 9: For the large-scale U.S. axion search: (a) Detected power expected from KSVZ axions; (b) the noise background were the axion to be distributed over six channels, and (c) the noise background were the axion signal to be confined to one channel.

Future Prospects—DC SQUID Amplifiers

There is a high premium on noise temperature in the Sikivie microwave cavity experiment. For a fixed signal-to-noise and search rate, the axion-photon coupling that can be reached $g_{A\gamma\gamma}^2 \propto T$; alternatively for a fixed axion model, the frequency search rate $d\nu/dt \propto T^{-2}$.

Two areas of active development towards lower noise temperature for the axion experiment have been DC SQUID amplifiers and Rydberg atom single-quantum detectors. The first behave as classical coherent amplifiers, and are therefore ultimately subject to the quantum limit in noise temperature, $T_{\text{lim}} = h\nu/k_b$, ~ 50 mK at 1 GHz. The second are “RF phototubes,” and being phaseless single quantum detectors evade the quantum limit. DC SQUIDs are the technology choice for upgrade of the US large-scale experiment, and will be briefly described now. Rydberg atom single quantum detection is the technology of the Kyoto microwave cavity axion search and will be discussed later in the presentation.




Figure 10: Sketch of a DC SQUID amplifier.

A DC SQUID (shown schematically in Figure 10) is a loop of superconductor broken in two places by Josephson junctions. Bias current is applied across the loop and the output voltage across the junctions is a sinusoidal function of the magnetic flux threading the loop.[36] As an amplifier, the input signal is converted to magnetic flux by an input coil and the varying flux modulates the output voltage. Conventionally the construction of DC SQUID amplifiers has been a square pancake-coil primary lying on top of the superconducting single-turn secondary (the “washer”), about a millimeter on a side. Until recently, such devices have been used for low noise amplification only up to frequencies of 250 MHz or so. Above that frequency, the gain dramatically rolled off due to the parasitic capacitance between the input coil and SQUID substrate.

A recent breakthrough in coupling the input signal to the SQUID has dramatically increased the useful frequency of these devices.[39] In this scheme, the ground return connection of the input coil has been broken, resulting in a stripline resonator with $\sim 7\Omega$ stripline impedance and $Q \sim 7$ for 50Ω impedance to the SQUID. The resonance is at the detuned frequency where the parasitic capacitance is canceled by the resonator reactance. Figure 11 shows the device gain at several different input tunes, and Figure 12 shows the SQUID noise temperature at 4.2 and 1.8 K bath temperature. These devices, when cooled to dilution refrigerator temperatures, have excess noise approaching the standard quantum limit. E.g., at signal frequencies of 1 GHz, the excess noise is less than 100 mK.

The upgraded ADMX, based on these SQUIDS is under construction, with commissioning expected in 2006. This experiment will be sensitive to even the more weakly-coupled axion variants even assuming axions only partially saturate the dark-matter halo.

2.4.2. The University of Kyoto Experiment

Another second-generation axion search is under development at the University of Kyoto. This effort seeks to exploit the extremely low-noise photon counting capability of Rydberg atoms in a Sikivie-type microwave cavity experiment. The initial goal is to sweep out a 10% mass window around $2.4\mu\text{eV}$.

Rydberg-Atom Single Quantum Detection

Rydberg atoms are atoms (usually alkali metals) where one electron is promoted to a principal quantum number $n \gg 1$, near the ionization limit.[43] The valence electron of such highly excited atoms is hydrogen-like. These Rydberg atom states for $n \sim \mathcal{O}(100)$ are promising as microwave-photon detectors for three reasons: (i) The energy difference between adjacent levels ($E_{n+1} - E_n$), which scales as n^{-3} , is in the microwave region. For $n \approx 100$ the energy difference is ≈ 7 GHz. (ii) The electric dipole transition rate between adjacent levels, which scales as n^2 , is large, implying a high efficiency for absorbing a microwave photon. For $n \approx 100$ the transition rate is $3 \times 10^4/\text{s}$. (iii) The lifetime of excited states, which scales as n^3 , is suitably long. For $n \approx 100$ the lifetime is ≈ 1 ms.

The idea of using of Rydberg atoms for single-quantum counting goes back more than two decades,[44] and suggests the following implementation for the Sikivie axion experiment. The “front-end” of the experiment is the same, *i.e.*, there is a tunable cavity for axion-photon conversion. Photons from this cavity are coupled out to a field-free antechamber, also tuned to the same frequency. An atomic beam is prepared to the desired Rydberg level by optical




Figure 11: Power gain of a prototype DC SQUID utilizing stripline coupling, for various numbers of input stripline turns. (From Ref. [39].)




Figure 12: Noise temperature of a prototype DC SQUID amplifier utilizing stripline input coupling at physical temperatures of 4.2 and 1.8K, in the UHF signal frequency range. The narrow structures are not intrinsic to the SQUID, but rather are due to shaping in post-amplification. (Figure courtesy M. Mück.)

pumping, which then traverses the antechamber. Here, however, the spacing of the transition levels must be adjusted to match the frequency of the cavity; this may be accomplished through the Stark effect, by applying a small DC voltage in a parallel-plate configuration. It is here that the Rydberg atoms absorb the microwave photons.

Upon exiting the chamber, the atomic beam is now a mixture of two states, with most of the atoms in the prepared state, and only very few atoms promoted to the higher Rydberg level. Detection of only those atoms which have absorbed the microwave photon of the correct frequency is accomplished by selective field ionization. After

exiting the chamber, the beam passes through a uniform electric field, perpendicular to its velocity, with a prescribed electric field. The combined Coulomb-plus-linear potential has a saddle point, or potential barrier, whose height is set precisely (through the applied electric field) such that electrons promoted to the upper level are unbound. These simply fall out of the atom, whereas those in the initial state remain bound. Just as in a photomultiplier tube, the free electron is then accelerated and detected. In practice, one may discriminate between energy levels differing by only a few 10^3 's of MHz.

There are several challenges in implementation. At the most fundamental level, the quantum mechanical interaction of the Rydberg states with the cavity (“Cavity QED”) is non-trivial. Additionally, there are coherent interactions of Rydberg atoms in the cavity. At the more practical level, the “turn-on” of fields seen by the atoms must be adiabatic so as not to project the many Rydberg states onto several Stark states. Similarly, the Rydberg states are only weakly bound and slight perturbations may induce non-selective ionization. Lastly, the energy (frequency) resolution with which an axion signal may be resolved is limited by the transit time of the atoms through the cavity, and would seem to be marginal for the virtualized component. Sensitivity to fine-structure does not seem possible.

Experimental Description

An experiment utilizing Rydberg atom single-quantum detection in Kyoto is still in commissioning (‘CARRACK’, for Cosmic Axion Research with Rydberg Atoms in a Resonant Cavity in Kyoto).[9] A sketch of the apparatus is shown in Figure 13. The microwave resonator is a single copper cavity (4.5 cm radius, 72.5 cm long) which fits inside a superconducting solenoid (15 cm diameter, 50 cm long, 7 T peak field). Power from the conversion cavity is coupled to a niobium superconducting cavity just above it, where the magnetic field is canceled by a bucking coil. The frequency of both cavities are made to track by means of 6 mm sapphire rods inserted axially into them. The cavities are cooled to <15 mK by means of a dilution refrigerator.

A beam of rubidium atoms is accelerated, neutralized and directed vertically through the detection cavity. Just before entering the detection cavity, the atoms are excited to a Rydberg state with principal quantum number near 160, by triple optical excitation with three colinear diode laser beams. In the detection cavity, the Rydberg atoms are then Stark-tuned so an $E1\ np \rightarrow (n+2)s$ transition is matched to the cavity frequency. After exiting, the Rydberg atoms are selectively ionized by an electric field (around 0.5 V/cm) and the liberated electron is detected and amplified by an electron multiplier (a “Channeltron”).

2.4.3. Present Status

Studies have been performed to confirm that the experiment is sensitive to single blackbody photons in the <15 mK range. These include verifying the temperature dependence, and the number and velocity of the Rydberg atoms.[45] Several percent of mass range around 2.4 GHz ($\sim 10\ \mu\text{eV}$) has been swept out, but candidate peaks have not been eliminated yet, nor have potential systematic backgrounds been rejected.

3. OTHER METHODS FOR $m_A < \mathcal{O}(1\ \text{eV})$

It is possible to search for photons from spontaneous decays of axions in halos other than our own. These searches are uncompetitive with RF cavity searches for low axion masses. But, for higher axion masses, where the RF cavity technique is experimentally unwieldy, the radio telescope technique is promising.

There is another class of experiment which sets a limit on the axion-photon coupling, but does not depend on the axion being our halo dark matter. The search for solar axions does depend on axion production in the Sun’s core, but the calculated flux is robust and does not depend on subtle details of the solar model. The most recent incarnation of these searches is CAST, for which we will give a brief description. For another example technique, where a light pseudoscalar would mediate a long-range force, we briefly mention the experiments setting limits on axion-like particles by searches for new macroscopic forces at the $\mathcal{O}(0.1\text{--}10)$ cm scale.




Figure 13: A sketch of the apparatus used in the Kyoto Axion Search experiment.

3.1. Radio Telescope Searches

Although the spontaneous rate rate of dark-matter axions to photons is incredibly low, the number of axions populating astrophysical halos is incredibly high. One class of axion search is based on searching for these microwave axions by pointing a radio telescope dish at an astrophysical halo and with long exposures looking for the line in the electromagnetic power spectrum that signals axion decay. In principle, our own halo can provide the axions, but our own halo has the difficulty of establishing whether a candidate line is spurious or not; there is no direction where the signal goes away, though the signal will be modulated by galactic latitude. Rich clusters are copious sources of axion decays. However, the virial velocities in such clusters are large, and the resulting doppler-shifted axion decay line is broadened as well. Such a broad line is, in practice, hard to separate from baseline variations in radio telescope receivers. Hence, these searches look at nearby dwarf galaxies. Nearby dwarf galaxies may be found which are known to have a large mass (presumably axion) to light ratio and have no evidence of significant disruption. Though they are not as copious emitters of axion-decay photons as rich clusters, they are considerably closer, so the intrinsic low photon luminosity is not too serious a disadvantage. The main advantage of using dwarf galaxies is their virial velocities are small, and the resulting photon line from axion decays is very narrow, essentially a delta function on the power spectrum. This narrow line is easy to distinguish from instrumental effects. There are in practice many sources of narrow lines, both astrophysical and terrestrial. However, no line has been seen in more than one dwarf galaxy that has both the proper red shift and spatial distribution about the dwarf galaxy expected for a dark-matter halo. This sensitivity of this technique is competitive with the other astrophysical bounds.




Figure 14: Calculated spectrum of solar axions.

3.2. Solar Axions: the CAST Experiment

Axions produced within our Sun's interior by a Primakov process also present an ideal opportunity to carry out a search for $\mathcal{O}(\text{eV})$ axions. Here one would look for their reconversion to x-rays in a large magnetic field.[5, 46] The calculated axion spectrum is shown in Figure 14, and the total flux at the Earth of $\sim 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1} m_A [\text{eV}]^2$ is not sensitive to details of the solar model. Unfortunately, their average energy is $\sim 4 \text{keV}$, implying an oscillation length in vacuum of $2\pi(m_A^2/2\omega)^{-1} \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{mm})$, seemingly precluding the mixing from achieving its theoretically maximum value in any practical magnet. However, one can endow the photon with an effective mass in a gas, thus permitting the axion and photon dispersion relationships to be matched.[46] Recalling that for x-rays, $n = 1 - m_\gamma^2/2\omega^2$, a gas pressure may be found such that $m_\gamma^2 = \omega_{\text{pl}}^2 = 4\pi r_0 \rho f_1$, where r_0 is the classical electron radius, ρ the density, and $f_1 \sim Z$ the atomic scattering factor. The mass range for the axion is swept out by varying the gas pressure. The original concept was a stationary large volume solenoidal magnet filled with the dispersion-matching gas, and lined with a thin-window multiple-wire proportional chamber array for detection of the x-rays. However, the first implementation of this proposal was carried out using a simple dipole magnet (1.8 m long, 15 cm bore, 2.2 T) with a conversion volume of variable pressure helium gas, and a xenon proportional chamber as the x-ray detector.[40] The orientation of the magnet was fixed, to take data as the Sun crossed the horizon at sunset, $\sim 1000 \text{ sec/day}$. Running with three different gas pressures, axions were excluded for $g_{A\gamma\gamma} < 3.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{GeV}^{-1}$ for $m_A < 0.03 \text{ eV}$, and $g_{A\gamma\gamma} < 7.7 \times 10^{-9} \text{GeV}^{-1}$ for $0.03 \text{ eV} < m_A < 0.11 \text{ eV}$ (99.7% c.l.), see Figure 15.

The most recent solar axion detector is the CERN Axion Solar Telescope (CAST). The magnet for this detector is a LHC dipole. It features grazing incidence x-ray optics and micromegas x-ray detectors yielding greatly increased experiment sensitivity. This experiment recently entered the data taking phase.




Figure 15: Exclusion region (99.7% c.l.) from the solar axion search of Ref. [40]. The curves represent operation at different gas pressures: (a) 0 Torr, (b) 55 Torr, (c) 100 Torr.

3.3. New Macroscopic Forces

Axions mediate a monopole-dipole interaction potential between spin and matter[47] given by

$$V \propto g_s g_p \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{r}}{m_p} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) e^{-r/\lambda} \quad (9)$$

where g_s and g_p are coupling constants at the scalar (s) and polarized (p) vertices, $\vec{\sigma}$ and $\vec{r}$ the spin and separation vectors, m_p the mass at the polarized vertex, and λ the range of the force ($\propto 1/m_A$). For axions with mass within the window 1–1000 μeV , the corresponding λ is 0.2–200 mm. The scalar coupling g_s is proportional to the strong interaction CP-violating parameter $\bar{\theta}$, and the product of couplings is

$$g_s g_p \simeq \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\lambda[\text{mm}]^2} 6 \times 10^{-27} \quad (10)$$

Recall that null searches for a neutron edm conservatively imply $\bar{\theta} < 10^{-9}$, and the axion resulted from a scheme to drive $\bar{\theta}$ to zero. However, in the Standard Model plus axions, $\bar{\theta}$ does not identically vanish. There remains a small contribution from known sources of weak-interaction CP violation, at the level of perhaps $\bar{\theta} \simeq 10^{-14}$, [47] should weak-interaction CP violation arise from the Kobayashi-Maskawa model. Axions would be signaled by a weak Yukawa-type gravitational force at short but macroscopic distances, with strength proportional to the CP-violating parameter $\bar{\theta}$.




Figure 16: Limits from searches for new macroscopic forces. The horizontal axis is the range of the new force (the region between the vertical lines corresponds to the allowed axion window). The vertical axis is the product of coupling constants. The diagonal lines are the expected couplings should the residual strong CP-violation parameter $\bar{\theta}$ be 10^{-9} (conservatively allowed by experiments) or 10^{-14} (suggested should weak CP-violation arise from the Kobayashi-Maskawa model). The upper limits to the couplings shown are those of Refs. [41, 42].

Two such recent null experiments placed upper limits on the product coupling $g_s g_p$ in a system of magnetized media and test masses. One experiment[41] had peak sensitivity, relative to $\bar{\theta}$ fixed, near 10 mm (20 μeV axions), the other[42] had peak sensitivity near 100 mm (2 μeV axions). Both experiments lacked by 10 orders of magnitude or so the required sensitivity in $g_s g_p$ to detect axions at the $\bar{\theta}$ allowed by the neutron edm search experiments (see Figure 16). Two recent proposals aim to improve the overall sensitivity by several orders of magnitude[48] and improve the sensitivity at short distances, down to perhaps 1 mm (200 μeV axions). However, these new experiments will likely lack the sensitivity to $g_s g_p$ required by the small $\bar{\theta}$ implied by neutron edm limits by at least several orders of magnitude.

4. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

The motivation for the axion remains strong. It is still the most compelling mechanism to enforce Strong-CP conservation, and the sum total of all cosmological observables still increasingly points to a large CDM component in the Universe.

Figure 17 shows all experimental and observational constraints on the axion through the axion-photon coupling, with two prototypical axion models (KSVZ and DFSZ) and the nominally open mass range. Although somewhat schematic, it provokes several key points.

The first concerns axion models. While it is encouraging that two very different axion models have axion-photon couplings of the same order of magnitude, one should be cautious in drawing conclusions. Should the ratio of the electromagnetic and color anomaly E/N be far away from that corresponding to exact suppression, (~ 1.95), there could be a pleasant surprise for experimentalists; conversely a value close to it could make the axion nearly impossible




Figure 17: Plot of excluded $g_{A\gamma\gamma}$ vs. m_A with all experimental and observational constraints, along with predicted couplings for KSVZ and DFSZ models.

to see. Kim has evaluated numerous models with very different but plausible PQ charges,[49] and nevertheless finds their corresponding $g_{A\gamma\gamma}^2$ clustered in roughly two orders of magnitude, mostly more favorable than the implementation of the DFSZ model indicated throughout most of this talk. Second, the open range of axion masses ($m_A = 10^{-(6-2)}$ eV) is “soft” on both ends, particularly on the low-mass side. Taken together, a good point of view on these experiments is that they are principally discovery tools; a negative result is less meaningful even if the mass-coupling region covered is substantial.

Regarding the experiments, it is also interesting that the axion-photon coupling $g_{A\gamma\gamma}$ has lent itself to the greatest variety of imaginative and practical search techniques. If the axion is someday found, it will likely be through the $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$ interaction. Purely laboratory experiments are elegant, but we do not see how any extrapolation of those techniques could ever be sensitive to DFSZ axions, although verifying the limits from HB stars by purely terrestrial experiments would be an important and significant achievement.

The most encouraging developments in the past two years has been the demonstration that the standard axion models could be reached with the microwave cavity experiments. Upgrades to extend their sensitivity and mass

reach are already underway. In particular the ADMX Collaboration is building an upgraded detector based on exotic SQUID amplifier with hugely improved sensitivity.

The microwave cavity experiments are also not without limitations. The local CDM density of our halo is not well-determined. Furthermore, we do not see how to easily extend the mass search of the microwave cavity searches to cover the entire range, particularly upward. Individual cavities of larger diameter with arrays of metallic posts are a useful approach to higher frequencies,[34] as opposed to power-combining an unwieldy number of small cavities, each with its own tuning mechanism,[37] but this will require significant development. If $m_A \gg 10^{-4}$ eV, another technique will need to be found. It is impressive to consider that as small as the axion signal is expected to be—requiring radio receivers orders of magnitude more sensitive than anything else on earth—power sensitivity is not likely to be a limitation of the microwave cavity experiments, in view of the very promising work on DC SQUID amplifiers and the Rydberg atom single-quantum receiver.

Acknowledgments

Our many colleagues who assisted us in this talk are unfortunately too numerous to acknowledge by name, but we are sincerely grateful for their help. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. W-7405-ENG-48.

References

- [1] R. Peccei and H. Quinn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38** (1977) 1440.
- [2] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40** (1978) 223.
- [3] F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40** (1978) 279.
- [4] J.E. Kim, *Phys. Rep.* **150** (1987) 1.
- [5] P. Sikivie, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** (1983) 1415; **52** (1984) 695(E); *Phys. Rev.* **D32** (1985) 2988.
- [6] S. DePanfilis *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59** (1987) 839; W. Wuensch *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D40** (1989) 3153.
- [7] C. Hagmann *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D42** (1990) 1297.
- [8] C. Hagmann *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 2043.
- [9] I. Ogawa *et al.*, Proc. of the 2nd RESCEU International Symposium on “Dark Matter in the Universe and its Direct Detection,” Universal Academy Press, Inc. (Tokyo), M. Minowa ed. (1997).
- [10] Michael S. Turner, *Phys. Rep.* **197** (1990) 167.
- [11] G.G. Raffelt, *Phys. Rep.* **198** (1990) 1.
- [12] see, *e.g.*, V. Baluni *Phys. Rev.* **D19** (1979) 2227; R.J. Crewther *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B88** (1979) 123.
- [13] I.S. Altarev *et al.*, *Yad. Fiz.* **59** (1996) 1204 [*Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **59** (1996) 1152].
- [14] H.-Y. Cheng, *Phys. Rep.* **158** (1988) 1.
- [15] Ta-pei Cheng and Ling-Fong Li, *Gauge Theory of Elementary Particle Physics*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, (1984) pp. 492-3.
- [16] In this model, the broken chiral U(1) symmetry arises from a new heavy weak-interaction quark and a weak-interaction zero weak-hypercharge singlet. *c.f.*, J.E. Kim, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43** (1979) 103; M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B166** (1980) 493.
- [17] In this model, the broken chiral U(1) symmetry arises from a new SU(2)×U(1) singlet complex scalar field ϕ . One of the scalar doublets, of hypercharge -1, couples to right-handed charge +2/3 quarks. The other scalar doublet, of hypercharge +1, couples to right-handed charge -1/3 quarks and right-handed charged leptons. *c.f.*, M. Dine, W. Fischler, and M. Srednicki, *Phys. Lett.* **B104** (1981) 199; A.R. Zhitnitsky, *Yad. Fiz.* **31** (1980) 497 [*Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **31** (1980) 260].
- [18] G. G. Raffelt, *Stars as Laboratories for Fundamental Physics*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago (1996).
- [19] J.A. Frieman, S. Dimopoulos and Michael S. Turner, *Phys. Rev.* **D36** (1987) 2201.

- [20] G.G. Raffelt and D.S.P. Dearborn, *Phys. Rev.* **D36** (1987) 2211.
- [21] D.S.P. Dearborn, D.N. Schramm and G. Steigman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** (1986) 26.
- [22] R.P. Brinkmann and Michael S. Turner, *Phys. Rev.* **D38** (1988) 2338.
- [23] A. Burrows, Michael S. Turner and R.P. Brinkmann, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989) 1020.
- [24] Michael S. Turner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** (1988) 1797.
- [25] J. Preskill, M. Wise and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Lett.* **120B** (1983) 127; L. Abbott and P. Sikivie, *ibid.*, 133; M. Dine and W. Fischler, *ibid.*, 137.
- [26] An insightful, if somewhat fanciful description of the axion may be found in an article “A Pool-Table Analogy to Axion Physics”, P. Sikivie, *Physics Today* **49** (1996) 22.
- [27] Michael S. Turner, *Phys. Rev.* **D33** (1986) 889.
- [28] A. Vilenkin and E.P.S. Shellard, “Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects,” Cambridge Univ. Press (1994).
- [29] R. Davis, *Phys. Lett.* **180B** (1986) 225.
- [30] A complete historical list of references would be unproductive. For the most recent status, see R.A. Battye and E.P.S. Shellard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 2954, and erratum *ibid.*, **76** (1996) 2203; R.A. Battye and E.P.S. Shellard, *Nucl. Phys.* **B423** (1994) 260 on the one hand, and C. Hagmann and P. Sikivie, *Nucl. Phys.* **B363** (1991) 247 on the other.
- [31] Michael S. Turner, *Phys. Rev.* **D42** (1990) 3572.
- [32] P. Sikivie and J.R. Ipser, *Phys. Lett.* **B291** (1992) 288.
- [33] P. Sikivie, I.I. Tkachev and Y. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 2911.
- [34] C. Hagmann *et al.*, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, **61** (1990) 1076.
- [35] E.J. Gates, G. Gyuk and Michael S. Turner, *Astrophys. J.* **449** (1995) 123.
- [36] J. Clarke, in *The New Superconducting Electronics*, H. Weinstock and R.W. Ralston, eds., Kluwer Academic Publishers (1993) 123.
- [37] C. Hagmann, Thesis, University of Florida, Dept. of Physics (1990).
- [38] J.D. Bjorken *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D38** (1988) 3375.
- [39] M. Mück *et al.*, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **72** (1998) 2885.
- [40] D. M. Lazarus *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 2333.
- [41] W.-T. Ni *et al.*, in *Proc. 7th Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity*, World Scientific (1996) 1625; W.-T. Ni, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **13** (1986) A135.
- [42] A.N. Youdin *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **77** (1996) 2170.
- [43] T. F. Gallagher, *Rydberg Atoms*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994).
- [44] D. Kleppner and T.W. Ducas, *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **21** (1976) 200.
- [45] S. Matsuki, *private communication* (1998).
- [46] K. van Bibber *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989) 2089.
- [47] J.E. Moody and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev.* **D30** (1984) 130.
- [48] Joshua C. Long, Hilton W. Chan, John C. Price, University of Colorado, Boulder, preprint COLO-CMP-9805-011 (May, 1998) and e-print archive hep-ph/9805217; John Price, “Search for New Gravitational Strength Forces”, Univ. of Colorado, Boulder, proposal to the National Science Foundation (1996); Ho Paik, “Search for Axion-Like Particles Using a Superconducting Accelerometer,” Univ. of Maryland, College Park, proposal to the National Science Foundation (1997).
- [49] J.E. Kim, to be published in *Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Axions*, University of Florida, March 13-15, 1997.