Magnetic Measurement and Magnet Tutorial, Part 2 ("Lecture 6")*

J. Tanabe

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309

*Invited talk presented at 13th International Magnetic Measurement Workshop
Stanford, California
May 19-22, 2003*

* Work supported in part by Department of Energy contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

Lecture 6

Perturbations

[1] Halbach, K., FIRST ORDER PERTURBATION EFFECTS IN IRON-DOMINATED TWO-DIMENSIONAL SYMMETRICAL MULTIPOLES, “Nuclear Instruments and Methods”, Volume 74 (1969) No. 1, pp. 147-164.

[2] Halbach, K., and R. Yourd, TABLES AND GRAPHS OF FIRST ORDER PERTURBATION EFFECTS IN IRON-DOMINATED TWO-DIMENSIONAL SYMMETRICAL MULTIPOLES, LBNL Internal Report, UCRL-18916, UC-34 Physics, TID 4500 (54thEd.), May 1969.

Effect of Mechanical Fabrication Errors on Error Multipole Content

- In the previous lecture, we showed that the field distribution in a magnet can be characterized by a function of the complex variable, z . In particular;

$$F = C_N z^N + \sum_{n \neq N} C_n z^n$$

$$C_N z^N = \textit{Fundamental Field Component}$$

$$\textit{ErrorFields} = \sum_{n \neq N} C_n z^n$$

Random Multipole Errors Introduced by Pole Excitation and Pole Placement Errors

- Random multipole errors are introduced if the poles are improperly excited or assembly errors which displace poles are introduced. If one can identify these errors, one can predict the multipole content of the magnet. The means for calculating these errors are summarized in two papers published by Klaus Halbach. The first paper describes the derivation of the relationships, the second computes and tabulates the coefficients used to calculate the multipole errors from the perturbations derived in the first paper.

- A portion of a table from UCRL-18916 by Halbach and Yourd is reproduced below. This table is for quadrupoles, $N=2$.

n	$\frac{n}{N} \frac{\Delta C_n(j)}{i\varepsilon}$	$\frac{n}{N} \frac{\Delta C_n(rd)}{i\varepsilon}$	$\frac{n}{N} \frac{\Delta C_n(ad)}{\varepsilon}$	$\frac{n}{N} \frac{\Delta C_n(r)}{\varepsilon}$
1	$1.99 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$-4.25 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$7.46 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.76 \cdot 10^{-1}$
2	$2.50 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$-5.16 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.14 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.00 \cdot 10^{-1}$
3	$1.57 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$-2.88 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.88 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$6.60 \cdot 10^{-1}$
4	0	$6.76 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.31 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.00 \cdot 10^{-1}$
5	$-2.05 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.08 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.08 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.91 \cdot 10^{-1}$
6	0	$-4.45 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.87 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0
7	$1.61 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-1.04 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.04 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-3.06 \cdot 10^{-2}$
8	0	$1.28 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.56 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0
9	$-1.90 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.25 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.25 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$7.53 \cdot 10^{-3}$
10	0	$6.37 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.81 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0

- This table of coefficients is used to estimate the error multipole due to excitation, radial, azimuthal and rotation errors in the location of a pole on the horizontal axis. The imaginary term in the denominator for the excitation (j) and radial (rd) terms indicate that errors in these quantities introduce skew terms. The tabulated coefficients are computed for poles *centered on the positive horizontal axis*. For the normal multipole magnet (one whose axis is rotated away from the horizontal axis), the expression for calculating the error multipole normalized to the desired fundamental, evaluated at the pole radius, is given by;

$$\frac{H_n^*}{H_N^*} = \frac{n}{N} \Delta C_n e^{-in\beta} \quad \text{where } \beta \text{ is the angle of the pole(s) which have been perturbed.}$$

Example Calculation

- Suppose we construct a 35 mm radius quadrupole whose first pole is radially offset by 1 mm. What is the effect on the $n=3$ (sextupole) multipole error.

$$\epsilon_{rd} = \frac{\Delta \text{ azimuthal position}}{r_0} = \frac{1}{35}$$

$$\frac{n}{N} \frac{\Delta C_n(rd)}{i\epsilon} = -0.288 \quad \text{where } n=3.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H_n^*}{H_N^*} &= \frac{n}{N} \Delta C_n e^{-in\beta} = \frac{n\Delta C_n}{Ni\epsilon} \times i\epsilon_{rd} e^{-in\beta} = -0.288 \times i \frac{1}{35} e^{-i3\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ &= -8.23 \times 10^{-3} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}} = -8.23 \times 10^{-3} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

Meaning of the Result

- The calculation means that the $n=3$ (sextupole) error multipole *normalized to the fundamental field at the pole radius* (35 mm) is approximately 0.8% due to the radial displacement of the first pole at $\pi/4$ by 1 mm.
- Carrying the calculation further to determine the phases;

$$\left(\frac{H_{3x} - iH_{3y}}{-iH_{2y}} \right)_{@ 35mm} = -8.23 \times 10^{-3} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}$$

$$= -8.23 \times 10^{-3} \left[\cos\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) + i \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \right]$$

- Further simplification;

$$\left(\frac{H_{3x} - iH_{3y}}{-iH_{2y}} \right)_{@ 35mm} = \left(\frac{iH_{3x} + H_{3y}}{H_{2y}} \right)_{@ 35mm}$$

$$= -8.23 \times 10^{-3} \left[\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - i \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right]$$

$$\left(\frac{H_{3y} + iH_{3x}}{H_{2y}} \right)_{@ 35mm} = 5.82 \times 10^{-3} [-1 + i]$$

This means that the real component of the sextupole error is out of phase with the fundamental field and that a positive skew component of the sextupole field also exists.

Evaluation at the Required Good Field Radius

- We recall that the field for an n multipole varies as z^{n-1} . Therefore if the good field radius is $r_0=30$ mm, the $n=3$ normalized multipole error can be evaluated at this radius.

$$\left(\frac{H_{3y} + iH_{3x}}{H_{2y}} \right)_{@ 32mm} = \left(\frac{H_{3y} + iH_{3x}}{H_{2y}} \right)_{@ 35mm} \left(\frac{30}{35} \right)^2 \bigg/ \left(\frac{30}{35} \right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{H_{3y} + iH_{3x}}{H_{2y}} \right)_{@ 35mm} \left(\frac{30}{35} \right)$$

Other Errors

- The coefficient table can be used for other errors.

$$\varepsilon_j = \frac{\Delta \text{ excitation}}{\text{excitation}}$$

$$\varepsilon_{rd} = \frac{\Delta \text{ radial position}}{r_0}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ad} = \frac{\Delta \text{ azimuthal position}}{r_0}$$

$$\varepsilon_\rho = \Delta \text{pole rotation (radians)}$$

The Error Multipole *Spectrum*

- In general, the table of coefficients includes entries for *all* the multipole indices. Therefore, although the sample calculation was performed *only* for the sextupole error field component, all the multipole errors due to the radial misalignment of the first pole exist.
- These errors include the error in the fundamental ($n=2$) as well as the $n=1$ dipole field.

Error Amplitudes as a Function of Radius

Lesson

- The lesson from this sample calculation is *not* the detailed calculation of the multipole error, but the estimate of the mechanical assembly tolerances which must be met in order to achieve a required field quality.
- In general, the coefficient is <0.5 . Therefore in order to achieve a field error at the pole radius of 5 parts in 10000 (a typical multipole error tolerance), the following tolerance illustrated in the calculation must be maintained.

$$\left| \frac{H_n^*}{H_N^*} \right| = \text{Coefficient} \times \frac{\Delta}{\text{PoleRadius}} \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\Delta \leq \left| \frac{H_n^*}{H_N^*} \right|_{\text{required}} \frac{\text{PoleRadius}}{\text{Coefficient}} = 5 \times 10^{-4} \times \frac{35\text{mm}}{0.5}$$

$$= 0.035\text{mm} = 0.0014\text{inch} \quad \text{A very small error.}$$

Another Lesson

The Magnet Center

- We note that *all* the multipole errors are introduced by mechanical assembly errors. In particular, we look in detail at the *dipole* error term introduced by assembly errors.
- For the *pure* quadrupole field, the expression for the complex function is; $F(z) = C_2 z^2$

If the magnet center is shifted by an amount Δz ,
the expression becomes;

$$F(z) = C_2 (z + \Delta z)^2$$

$$H^* = iF'(z) = i2C_2(z + \Delta z) = i2C_2 z + i2C_2 \Delta z$$

The first term in this expression is the quadrupole field (a linear function of z). The second term is a constant and, therefore, is the dipole field.

- Rewriting the expression as the sum of two fields;

$$H^* = H_2^* + H_1^* = i2C_2 z + i2C_2 (\Delta x + i\Delta y)$$

$$H_1^* = H_{1x} - iH_{1y} = i2C_2 (\Delta x + i\Delta y)$$

$$\frac{H_{1x} - iH_{1y}}{|H_2^*|} = \frac{i2C_2 (\Delta x + i\Delta y)}{2C_2 |z|}$$

Evaluating the quadrupole field at the pole radius, r_0 ;

$$\frac{H_{1x} - iH_{1y}}{|H_2^*|_{@r_0}} = \frac{H_{1x}}{|H_2^*|_{@r_0}} - i \frac{H_{1y}}{|H_2^*|_{@r_0}} = \frac{i(\Delta x + i\Delta y)}{r_0} = i \frac{\Delta x}{r_0} - \frac{\Delta y}{r_0}$$

Equating the real and imaginary parts of the expression, the magnetic center shift can be evaluated from the dipole field.

$$\Delta x = -r_0 \frac{H_{1y}}{|H_2|_{r_0}}$$

$$\Delta y = -r_0 \frac{H_{1x}}{|H_2|_{r_0}}$$

- These relationships may be more easily visualized with a figure

Effect of a Pole Excitation Error on the Magnetic Center

- One of the many issues faced by the LCLS project is the stability of the magnetic center of adjustable hybrid permanent magnet quadrupoles.
- A sample calculation is made to compute the required pole excitation precision.

$$\frac{nC_n(j)}{Ni\varepsilon} = 1.99 \times 10^{-1} \quad \text{For } n = 1 \text{ (dipole error)}$$

$$\text{where } \varepsilon_j = \frac{\Delta \text{ excitation}}{\text{excitation}}$$

$$\frac{H_n^*}{H_N^*} = \frac{n}{N} \Delta C_n e^{-in\beta}$$

- Suppose we have a 1% error on the excitation of a single pole in the first quadrant.

$$\frac{H_1^*}{H_2^*} = \frac{n}{N} \Delta C_n e^{-in\beta} = 0.199 \times 0.01 i e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} \approx 0.002 i \left(\cos \frac{\pi}{4} - i \sin \frac{\pi}{4} \right)$$

$$\frac{H_{1x} - iH_{1y}}{-iH_{2y}} = \frac{H_{1y} + iH_{1x}}{H_{2y}} = 0.002i(0.707 - i0.707) = i0.0014 + 0.0014$$

Equating the real and imaginary terms,

$$\frac{H_{1y}}{H_{2y}} = 0.0014$$

$$\frac{H_{1x}}{H_{2y}} = 0.0014$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\Delta x = -r_0 \frac{H_{1y}}{|H_2|_{r_0}} = -0.0014 r_0$$

$$\Delta y = -r_0 \frac{H_{1x}}{|H_2|_{r_0}} = -0.0014 r_0$$

The Four Piece Magnet Yoke

- The ideal assembly satisfies the rotational symmetry requirements so that the only error multipoles are allowed multipoles, $n=6, 10, 14 \dots$
However, *each* segment can be assembled with errors with three kinematic motions, x , y and ϵ (rotation). Thus, combining the possible errors of the three segments with respect to the datum segment, the core assembly can be assembled with errors with $3 \times 3 \times 3 = 27$ degrees of freedom.

The Two Piece Magnet Yoke

- This assembly has the advantage that the two core halves can be assembled kinematically with *only* three degrees of freedom for assembly errors. Thus, assembly errors are more easily measured and controlled.

Coefficients for a Two-Piece Quadrupole

n	$\frac{n}{N} \frac{C_n}{i\Delta x}$	$\frac{n}{N} \frac{C_n}{\Delta y}$	$\frac{n}{N} \frac{C_n}{\varepsilon_0}$
1	0	0	$2.49 \cdot 10^{-1}$
2	$3.02 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$-7.30 \cdot 10^{-1}$	0
3	0	0	$-9.33 \cdot 10^{-1}$
4	$-9.56 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-3.27 \cdot 10^{-1}$	0
5	0	0	$-2.70 \cdot 10^{-1}$
6	$-4.06 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$-6.29 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0
7	0	0	$-4.33 \cdot 10^{-2}$
8	$1.81 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.20 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0
9	0	0	$1.06 \cdot 10^{-2}$
10	$8.22 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$9.01 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0
11	0	0	$5.12 \cdot 10^{-3}$
12	$-3.77 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-3.94 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0
13	0	0	$-1.31 \cdot 10^{-3}$
14	$-1.74 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$-1.78 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0
15	0	0	$-9.41 \cdot 10^{-4}$
16	$8.14 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$8.23 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0

Two Piece Quadrupole Error Computations

- The computations of the multipole error fields due to assembly errors of the two piece quadrupole are similar but simpler than the computations for the four piece quadrupole. In the expressions below, h is the pole radius.
- The error terms are evaluated at the pole radius.

$$\frac{H_n^*}{H_N^*} = \frac{n}{N} C_n \quad \Delta x = \frac{\delta x}{h} \quad \Delta y = \frac{\delta y}{h} \quad \epsilon_\rho = \text{Rotational Perturbation}$$

$$\left(\frac{H_n^*}{H_N^*} \right)_{\Delta x} = \text{Coefficient} \times i \frac{\delta x}{h} \quad \text{Why } i?$$

$$\left(\frac{H_n^*}{H_N^*} \right)_{\Delta y} = \text{Coefficient} \times \frac{\delta y}{h}$$

$$\left(\frac{H_n^*}{H_N^*} \right)_{\text{Rotation}} = \text{Coefficient} \times \epsilon_\rho$$

- Referring to the table;
 - The shear motion of the top half of the magnet with respect to the bottom introduces skew even multipole errors.
 - The vertical motion introduces real even multipole errors.
 - The rotational motion introduces real odd multipole errors.

Experiments

- Computations using the coefficients for the two piece magnet have been compared to experiments where the upper half of a magnet was intentionally displaced with respect to its nominal position.

Vertical Perturbation

Normal Assembly

Vertical Perturbation

- Vertical and Rotational Motion

for Vertical and Rotational Perturbation

• Shear and Vertical Motion

- Skew term due to vertical and shear perturbations.

Other Applications

- In crowded lattices, there is often insufficient room to place all the desired magnetic elements. An occasional solution to this problem, employed both at ALS and at APS, is to provide *trim* windings on a sextupole yoke in order to obtain *horizontal and vertical steering fields* and *skew quadrupole fields* without introducing a sextupole field. (The controls sextupole fields want to be independent of the horizontal and vertical steering and the skew quadrupole controls.) The design of such trim windings and the evaluation of the field quality which results when employing these techniques exploit Klaus Halbach's perturbation coefficients.

- The table of coefficients for N=3 (sextupole) for pole *excitation* error, ε , is reproduced from Klaus Halbach's perturbation paper.

$$\frac{H_n^*}{H_N^*} = \frac{n}{N} \Delta C_n e^{-in\beta}$$

$$\frac{H_n^*}{H_N^*} = i\varepsilon \frac{n}{N} \frac{\Delta C_n}{i\varepsilon} e^{-in\beta} = i\varepsilon x_n e^{-in\beta}$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{\Delta N I_{\text{pole}} \beta}{N I_{\text{sextupole}}}$

β = Angle of Perturbed Pole

and $x_n = \text{Coefficient}$

n	$x_n = \frac{n}{N} \frac{\Delta C_n}{i\varepsilon}$
1	9.79E-02
2	1.56E-01
3	1.67E-01
4	1.33E-01
5	7.09E-02
6	
7	-1.34E-02
8	-1.07E-02
9	
10	9.13E-03
11	9.72E-03
12	
13	-1.01E-03
14	-1.18E-03
15	
16	1.63E-03
17	2.07E-03
18	
19	-1.12E-04
20	-1.70E-04
21	
22	3.25E-04
23	4.65E-04
24	

Vertical Steering Trim (Horizontal Flux Lines)

- Vertical steering trim (horizontal flux lines) is achieved in a sextupole yoke by exciting the four horizontal poles.

- The formulation of the expressions follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{H_n^*}{H_N^*} &= \frac{H_{nx} - iH_{ny}}{-iH_3} = \frac{H_{ny} + iH_{nx}}{H_3} \\
 &= \sum_{poles} i\varepsilon x_n e^{-in\beta_j} = \varepsilon x_n \sum_{poles} ie^{-in\beta_j} \\
 \sum_{poles} ie^{-in\beta_j} &= i \left[\cos \frac{n\pi}{6} - \cos \frac{5n\pi}{6} - \cos \frac{7n\pi}{6} + \cos \frac{11n\pi}{6} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - i \left(\sin \frac{n\pi}{6} - \sin \frac{5n\pi}{6} - \sin \frac{7n\pi}{6} + \sin \frac{11n\pi}{6} \right) \right] \\
 &= \left[\sin \frac{n\pi}{6} - \sin \frac{5n\pi}{6} - \sin \frac{7n\pi}{6} + \sin \frac{11n\pi}{6} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. i \left(\cos \frac{n\pi}{6} - \cos \frac{5n\pi}{6} - \cos \frac{7n\pi}{6} + \cos \frac{11n\pi}{6} \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

- Equating real and imaginary terms for the horizontal steering trim;

$$\frac{H_{ny}}{H_3} = \varepsilon x_n \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{poles} i e^{-in\beta_j} \right)$$

$$\frac{H_{nx}}{H_3} = \varepsilon x_n \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{poles} i e^{-in\beta_j} \right)$$

- Tabulating the results;

n	$x_n = \frac{n}{N} \frac{\Delta C_n}{i\varepsilon}$	$\text{Re} \sum i e^{-in\beta_j}$	$\text{Im} \sum i e^{-in\beta_j}$	$\frac{B_{xn}}{\varepsilon B_3}$
1	9.79E-02	0	3.4641	0.3391
2	1.56E-01	0	0	0
3	1.67E-01	0	0	0
4	1.33E-01	0	0	0
5	7.09E-02	0	-3.4641	-0.2456
6	0	0	0	0
7	-1.34E-02	0	-3.4641	0.0464
8	-1.07E-02	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0
10	9.13E-03	0	0	0
11	9.72E-03	0	3.4641	0.0337
12	0	0	0	0
13	-1.01E-03	0	3.4641	-0.0035
14	-1.18E-03	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0
16	1.63E-03	0	0	0
17	2.07E-03	0	-3.4641	-0.0072
18	0	0	0	0
19	-1.12E-04	0	-3.4641	0.0004
20	-1.70E-04	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0
22	3.25E-04	0	0	0
23	4.65E-04	0	3.4641	0.0016
24	0	0	0	0

Required Vertical Steering Trim Excitation

- From the table:

$$\frac{H_{nx}}{\epsilon H_3} = 0.3391 \Rightarrow \frac{H_{1x}}{H_3} = \frac{B_{1x}}{B_3} = 0.3391\epsilon = 0.3391 \frac{\Delta NI}{NI_{\text{sextupole}}}$$

$$B' = \int B'' dx = B'' x$$

$$B = \int B'' x dx = \frac{B'' x^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad NI_{\text{sextupole}} = \frac{B'' h^3}{6\mu_0}$$

$$B_{@h} = B_3 = \frac{B'' h^2}{2}$$

- Substituting;

$$\frac{B_{1x}}{B_3} = \frac{B_{1x}}{\frac{B'' h^2}{2}} = 0.3391 \frac{\Delta NI}{\frac{B'' h^3}{6\mu_0}}$$

$$\Delta NI = NI_{VerticalSteering} = \frac{B_{1x} h}{3 \times 0.3391 \mu_0}$$

From the table, we note that the n=5 multipole error is >70% of the fundamental (horizontal dipole) field at the pole radius.

n	$\frac{B_n}{B_{1x}}$
1	1
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	-0.7242
6	0
7	0.1369
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0.0993
12	0
13	-0.0103
14	0
15	0
16	0
17	-0.0211
18	0
19	0.0011
20	0
21	0
22	0
23	0.0047
24	0

Horizontal Steering Trim (Vertical Flux Lines)

- Horizontal steering trim can be achieved by exciting all six of the sextupole poles.

The excitation of the vertical poles is twice the excitation of the horizontal poles.

- Again, we can formulate the field in terms of the excitations of the various poles.

$$\frac{H_{ny} + iH_{nx}}{H_3} = \varepsilon x_n \sum_{poles} ie^{-in\beta_j}$$

$$\sum_{poles} ie^{-in\beta_j} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{n\pi}{6} + 2 \sin \frac{n\pi}{2} + \sin \frac{5n\pi}{6} \\ -\sin \frac{7n\pi}{6} - 2 \sin \frac{3n\pi}{2} - \sin \frac{11n\pi}{6} \end{pmatrix} + i \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{n\pi}{6} + 2 \cos \frac{n\pi}{2} + \cos \frac{5n\pi}{6} \\ -\cos \frac{7n\pi}{6} - 2 \cos \frac{3n\pi}{2} - \cos \frac{11n\pi}{6} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Tabulating the results,

n	$x_n = \frac{n}{N} \frac{\Delta C_n}{i\varepsilon}$	$\text{Re} \sum i e^{-in\beta_j}$	$\text{Im} \sum i e^{-in\beta_j}$	$\frac{B_{yn}}{\varepsilon B_{3y}}$	$\frac{B_n}{B_{1y}}$
1	9.79E-02	6	0	0.5874	1
2	1.56E-01	0	0	0	0
3	1.67E-01	0	0	0	0
4	1.33E-01	0	0	0	0
5	7.09E-02	6	0	0.4254	0.7242
6		0	0	0	0
7	-1.34E-02	-6	0	0.0804	0.1369
8	-1.07E-02	0	0	0	0
9		0	0	0	0
10	9.13E-03	0	0	0	0
11	9.72E-03	-6	0	-0.0583	-0.0993
12		0	0	0	0
13	-1.01E-03	6	0	-0.0061	-0.0103
14	-1.18E-03	0	0	0	0
15		0	0	0	0
16	1.63E-03	0	0	0	0
17	2.07E-03	6	0	0.0124	0.0211
18		0	0	0	0
19	-1.12E-04	-6	0	0.0007	0.0011
20	-1.70E-04	0	0	0	0
21		0	0	0	0
22	3.25E-04	0	0	0	0
23	4.65E-04	-6	0	-0.0028	-0.0047
24		0	0	0	0

Required Horizontal Steering Trim Excitation

- From the table:

$$\frac{H_{ny}}{\varepsilon H_3} = 0.5874 \Rightarrow \frac{H_{1y}}{H_3} = \frac{B_{1y}}{B_3} = 0.5874\varepsilon = 0.5874 \frac{\Delta NI}{NI_{\text{sextupole}}}$$

$$B_{@h} = B_3 = \frac{B'' h^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad NI_{\text{sextupole}} = \frac{B'' h^3}{6\mu_0}$$

- Substituting;

$$\frac{B_{1y}}{B_3} = \frac{B_{1y}}{\frac{B'' h^2}{2}} = 0.5874 \frac{\Delta NI}{\frac{B'' h^3}{6\mu_0}}$$

$$\Delta NI = NI_{\text{horizontalSteering}} = \frac{B_{1y} h}{3 \times 0.5874 \mu_0}$$

Skew Quadrupole Trim (Horizontal Flux Lines)

- Skew quadrupole trim field can be achieved by exciting two of the sextupole poles.

Again, we can formulate the field in terms of the excitations of the various poles.

$$\frac{H_{ny} + iH_{nx}}{H_3} = \epsilon x_n \sum_{poles} ie^{-in\beta_j}$$

$$\sum_{poles} ie^{-in\beta_j} = \left(\sin \frac{n\pi}{2} + \sin \frac{3n\pi}{2} \right) + i \left(\cos \frac{n\pi}{2} + \cos \frac{3n\pi}{2} \right)$$

- Tabulating the results,

n	$x_n = \frac{n}{N} \frac{\Delta C_n}{i\varepsilon}$	$\text{Re} \sum i e^{-in\beta_j}$	$\text{Im} \sum i e^{-in\beta_j}$	$\frac{B_{xn}}{\varepsilon B_{3y}}$	$\frac{B_{xn}}{B_{2x}}$
1	9.79E-02	0	0	0	0
2	1.56E-01	0	-2	-0.3120	1
3	1.67E-01	0	0	0	0
4	1.33E-01	0	2	0.2660	-0.8526
5	7.09E-02	0	0	0	0
6		0	-2	0	0
7	-1.34E-02	0	0	0	0
8	-1.07E-02	0	2	-0.0214	0.0686
9		0	0	0	0
10	9.13E-03	0	-2	-0.0183	0.0585
11	9.72E-03	0	0	0	0
12		0	2	0	0
13	-1.01E-03	0	0	0	0
14	-1.18E-03	0	-2	0.0024	-0.0076
15		0	0	0	0
16	1.63E-03	0	2	0.0033	-0.0104
17	2.07E-03	0	0	0	0
18		0	-2	0	0
19	-1.12E-04	0	0	0	0
20	-1.70E-04	0	2	-0.0003	0.0011
21		0	0	0	0
22	3.25E-04	0	-2	-0.0007	0.0021
23	4.65E-04	0	0	0	0
24		0	2	0	0

Required Skew Quadrupole Trim Excitation

- From the table:

$$\frac{H_{ny}}{\varepsilon H_3} = 0.3120 \Rightarrow \frac{H_{1y}}{H_3} = \frac{B_{1y}}{B_3} = 0.3120\varepsilon = 0.3120 \frac{\Delta NI}{NI_{sextupole}}$$

$$B_{@h} = B_3 = \frac{B'' h^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad NI_{sextupole} = \frac{B'' h^3}{6\mu_0}$$

- Substituting;

$$\frac{B_{2x}}{B_3} = \frac{B' h}{\frac{B'' h^2}{2}} = 0.3120 \frac{\Delta NI}{\frac{B'' h^3}{6\mu_0}}$$

$$\Delta NI = NI_{SkewQuadrupole} = \frac{B' h^2}{3 \times 0.3120 \mu_0}$$

Predicted n=4, 8 and 10 Multipole Errors

- Applying the perturbation theory, the multipole errors normalized to the skew quadrupole field, evaluated at the pole radius, h , can be computed.

$$\left(\frac{B_4}{B_{2x}} \right)_{@h} = 0.853 \quad \left(\frac{B_8}{B_{2x}} \right)_{@h} = 0.069 \quad \left(\frac{B_{10}}{B_{2x}} \right)_{@h} = 0.059$$

Magnetic measurements were performed on the SPEAR3 production sextupole magnets with skew quadrupole windings. These measurements were evaluated at the required good field radius, 32 mm. The predicted normalized multipole errors at 32 mm can be computed.

$$\left(\frac{B_n}{B_{2x}} \right)_{@r} = \left(\frac{B_8}{B_{2x}} \right)_{@h} \left(\frac{r}{h} \right)^{n-2}$$

$$\left(\frac{B_4}{B_{2x}} \right)_{@ 32mm} = 0.853 \times \left(\frac{32}{45} \right)^2 = 0.431$$

$$\left(\frac{B_8}{B_{2x}} \right)_{@ 32mm} = 0.069 \times \left(\frac{32}{45} \right)^6 = 0.00892$$

$$\left(\frac{B_{10}}{B_{2x}} \right)_{@ 32mm} = 0.059 \times \left(\frac{32}{45} \right)^8 = 0.00386$$

- These prediction are compared with measurements.

Sextupole – Skew Quadrupole Field Error Measurements