Magnetic Measurement and Magnet Tutorial, Part 1 ("Lecture 3")*

J. Tanabe

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309

*Invited talk presented at 13th International Magnetic Measurement Workshop
Stanford, California
May 19-22, 2003*

* Work supported in part by Department of Energy contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

Lecture 3
Jack Tanabe
Santa Barbara, CA
Conformal Mapping

Introduction

- This section introduces conformal mapping.
 - The means of ensuring dipole field quality is reviewed.
 - Conformal mapping is used to extend the techniques of ensuring dipole field quality to quadrupole field quality.
 - Conformal mapping can be used to analyze and/or optimize the quadrupole or sextupole pole contours in by using methods applied to dipole magnets.
- Conformal mapping maps one magnet geometry into another.
- This tool can be used to extend knowledge regarding *one* magnet geometry into *another* magnet geometry.

Mapping a Quadrupole into a Dipole

- The quadrupole pole can be described by a hyperbola; $xy = \frac{V}{2C} = \text{A Constant}$

Where V is the *scalar potential* and C is the coefficient of the function, F , of a complex variable.

The expression for the hyperbola can be rewritten; $xy = \frac{h^2}{2}$

We introduce the complex function; $w = u + iv = \frac{z^2}{h} = \frac{(x + iv)^2}{h}$

Rewriting; $w = u + iv = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{h} + i \frac{2xy}{h}$

$$u = \operatorname{Re} w = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{h}$$

$$v = \operatorname{Im} w = \frac{2xy}{h} = h \quad \text{since} \quad xy = \frac{h^2}{2}$$

Therefore; $w = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{h} + ih$

the equation of a *dipole* since the imaginary (vertical) component is a constant, h .

Mapping a Dipole into a Quadrupole

- In order to map the dipole into the quadrupole, we use the polar forms of the functions; $w = |w|e^{i\varphi}$ and $z = |z|e^{i\theta}$

Since $w = \frac{z^2}{h}$ was used to convert the quadrupole into the dipole, $z^2 = hw = h|w|e^{i\varphi}$.

$$z = \sqrt{h|w|}e^{i\frac{\varphi}{2}} = |z|e^{i\theta} \quad \text{therefore; } |z| = \sqrt{h|w|} \quad \text{and } \theta = \frac{\varphi}{2}$$

Finally;

$$\begin{aligned} x &= |z| \cos \theta = \sqrt{h|w|} \cos \frac{\varphi}{2} \\ y &= |z| \sin \theta = \sqrt{h|w|} \sin \frac{\varphi}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Quadrupole Field Quality

- The figure shows the pole contour of a quadrupole and its required good field region.

The *pole cutoff*, the point at which the *unoptimized* or *optimized* quadrupole hyperbolic pole is truncated, also determines the potential field quality for the two dimensional unsaturated quadrupole magnet.

- The location of this pole cutoff has design implications. It affects the saturation characteristics of the magnet since the iron at the edge of the quadrupole pole is the first part of the pole area to exhibit saturation effects as magnet excitation is increased. Also, it determines the width of the gap between adjacent poles and thus the width of the coil that can be installed (for a two piece quadrupole). The field quality advantages of a two piece quadrupole over a four piece quadrupole will be discussed in a later section.

H Magnet Field Quality *Review*

- The relation between the field quality and "pole overhang" are summarized by simple equations for a *window frame* dipole magnet with fields below saturation.

The required *pole overhang* beyond the good field region are given by the following equations.

$$x = \frac{a}{h} = \frac{\text{"pole overhang"}}{\text{half gap}}$$

$$x_{unoptimized} = \left(\frac{a}{h} \right)_{unoptimized} = -0.36 \ln \frac{\Delta B}{B} - 0.90$$

$$x_{optimized} = \left(\frac{a}{h} \right)_{optimized} = -0.14 \ln \frac{\Delta B}{B} - 0.25$$

- The relations can be presented graphically.

- Given; (u_c, v_c) satisfying *dipole* uniformity requirements.
- Find; (x_c, y_c) satisfying the same requirements for *quadrupoles*.

$$w = \frac{|z|^2}{h} \Rightarrow r_{\text{good field region}} = \frac{r_0^2}{h}$$

For the Dipole;

$$a_{unoptimized} = -h \left[0.36 \ln \frac{\Delta B}{B} + 0.90 \right] = -h [\textit{unoptimized factor}]$$

$$a_{optimized} = -h \left[0.14 \ln \frac{\Delta B}{B} + 0.25 \right] = -h [\textit{optimized factor}]$$

Therefore;

$$u_c = \frac{r_0^2}{h} + a = \frac{r_0^2}{h} - h [\textit{factor}] \quad \text{and} \quad v_c = h$$

Substituting a unitless (normalized) good field region, $\rho_0 = \frac{r_0}{h}$

and using the conformal
mapping expressions,

$$x = |z| \cos \theta = \sqrt{h|w|} \cos \frac{\phi}{2}$$

$$y = |z| \sin \theta = \sqrt{h|w|} \sin \frac{\phi}{2}$$

and the half angle
formulae,

$$\cos \frac{\phi}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \cos \phi}{2}}$$

$$\sin \frac{\phi}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos \phi}{2}}$$

and substituting,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|w_c|}{2h} &= \frac{\sqrt{u_c^2 + v_c^2}}{2h} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{u_c}{2h}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{v_c}{2h}\right)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{r_0^2}{h^2} - [factor]\right)^2 + \left(\frac{h}{2h}\right)^2} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\left(\frac{r_0^2}{h^2} - [factor]\right)^2 + 1}\end{aligned}$$

we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{x_c}{h} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\rho_0^2 - [factor])^2 + 1} + \frac{1}{2}(\rho_0^2 - [factor])} \\ \frac{y_c}{h} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\rho_0^2 - [factor])^2 + 1} - \frac{1}{2}(\rho_0^2 - [factor])}\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the appropriate factors for the *unoptimized* and *optimized* dipole cases, we get finally for the quadrupoles;

$$\frac{x_{c \text{ unoptimized}}}{h} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\rho_0^2 - \left[0.36 \ln \frac{\Delta B}{B} + 0.90 \right] \right)^2 + 1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho_0^2 - \left[0.36 \ln \frac{\Delta B}{B} + 0.90 \right] \right)}$$

$$\frac{y_{c \text{ unoptimized}}}{h} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\rho_0^2 - \left[0.36 \ln \frac{\Delta B}{B} + 0.90 \right] \right)^2 + 1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho_0^2 - \left[0.36 \ln \frac{\Delta B}{B} + 0.90 \right] \right)}$$

$$\frac{x_{c \text{ optimized}}}{h} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\rho_0^2 - \left[0.14 \ln \frac{\Delta B}{B} + 0.25 \right] \right)^2 + 1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho_0^2 - \left[0.14 \ln \frac{\Delta B}{B} + 0.25 \right] \right)}$$

$$\frac{y_{c \text{ optimized}}}{h} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\rho_0^2 - \left[0.14 \ln \frac{\Delta B}{B} + 0.25 \right] \right)^2 + 1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho_0^2 - \left[0.14 \ln \frac{\Delta B}{B} + 0.25 \right] \right)}$$

- The equations are graphed in a variety of formats to summarize the information available in the expressions. The expressions are graphed for both the *optimized* and *unoptimized* pole to illustrate the advantages of pole edge shaping in order to enhance the field. The quality at various good field radii are computed since the beam typically occupies only a fraction of the aperture due to restrictions of the beam pipe.

Quadrupole Field Quality as a Function of Pole Cutoff

Quadrupole Half Throat Height

Since the field for the quadrupole varies with the radius;

$$\frac{B_{cutoff}}{B_{pole}} = \frac{\sqrt{x_c^2 + y_c^2}}{h}$$

Ratio of Peak Field to Poletip Field

Other Uses for Conformal Maps

- Programs such as *POISSON* compute the two dimensional distribution of the vector potential. The vector potential function is computed using a relaxation method (for *POISSON*) or a modified matrix inversion (for *PANDIRA*) among neighboring mesh points defined by the magnet geometry.

- The magnetic field distribution is then computed from the derivative of the vector potential.

$$H^* = H_x - iH_y = iF'(z) \Rightarrow H_x = \frac{\partial A}{\partial y} \quad H_y = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}$$

- For an ideal dipole field ($H_y=\text{constant}$ and/or $H_x=\text{constant}$) the *vector potential* function is a linear function of z .
- For a *quadrupole* field, the *vector potential* function is a *quadratic* function of z .
- The vector potential for a sextupole is a cubic function of z .

- When computing the field distribution, it is necessary to compute the *derivative* by interpolating the distribution of the vector potential function among several mesh points. The precision of the field calculations depends on the mesh density and the continuity of the interpolated values of the vector potential.
- Since the dipole function is simple (a linear distribution), the potential precision of field calculations is much higher than for quadrupole (quadratic) or sextupole (cubic) fields. (An accurate estimate of the derivatives for a linear distribution of a potential function can be obtained from fewer values from “neighboring” mesh points than for a quadratic or cubic distribution.)

Therefore, when high precision computations for magnetic field distribution have been required, a conformal transformation is often employed to convert the quadrupole and/or sextupole geometry to a dipole configuration.

$$w = \frac{z^2}{h} \quad \text{for a quadrupole,} \quad w = \frac{z^3}{h^2} \quad \text{for a sextupole.}$$

However, there is a *problem* in the mapping of the quadrupole and sextupole to the dipole space.

Typically, $\frac{z_{\max}}{h} > 1$

Therefore, $\left(\frac{w}{h}\right)_{\text{dipole}} = \left(\frac{z}{h}\right)_{\text{quadrupole}}^2 \gg 1$ and

$\left(\frac{w}{h}\right)_{\text{dipole}} = \left(\frac{z}{h}\right)_{\text{sextupole}}^3 \gg 1$ in the mapped space.

- When mapping from the quadrupole or sextupole geometries to the dipole space, the POISSON computation is initially made in the original geometry and a vector potential map is obtained at some reference radius which includes the pole contour.

The vector potential values are then mapped into the dipole (w) space and used as boundary values for the problem.

$$A(w_{ref}, \phi) = a(r_{ref}, \theta)$$

$$w_{ref} = \left(\frac{r_{ref}^2}{h} \right)_{quadrupole}$$

$$\phi = 2\theta_{quadrupole}$$

$$w_{ref} = \left(\frac{r_{ref}^3}{h^2} \right)_{sextupole}$$

$$\phi = 3\theta_{sextupole}$$

Quadrupole/Sextupole Pole *Optimization*

- It is *far* easier to visualize the required shape of pole edge bumps on a dipole rather than the bumps on a quadrupole or sextupole pole.
- It is also easier to evaluate the uniformity of a constant field for a dipole rather than the uniformity of the linear or quadratic field distribution for a quadrupole or sextupole.
- Therefore, the pole contour is *optimized* in the dipole space and *mapped* back into the quadrupole or sextupole space.

- The process of pole optimization is similar to that of analysis in the dipole space.
 - Choose a quadrupole pole width which will provide the required field uniformity at the required pole radius.
 - The pole cutoff (x_c, y_c) for the quadrupole can be obtained from the graphs developed earlier using the dipole pole arguments.
 - The sextupole cutoff can be computed by conformal mapping the pole overhang from the dipole space using

$$z = \sqrt[3]{h^2 w}$$

- Select the *theoretical ideal* pole contour.

$$xy = \frac{h^2}{2} \quad \text{for the } \textit{quadrupole}.$$

$$3x^2y - y^3 = h^3 \quad \text{for the } \textit{sextupole}.$$

- Select a practical coil geometry.

- ◆ Expressions for the required excitation and practical current densities will be developed in a later lecture.

- Select a yoke geometry that will not saturate.

- Run POISSON (or other 2D code) in the quadrupole or sextupole space.

- From the solution, edit the vector potential values at a fixed reference radius.

- Map the vector potentials, the good field region and the pole contour.
- Design the pole bump such that the field in the mapped good field region satisfies the required uniformity.

Mapped Pole

$$w = \frac{Z^2}{h} \text{ for the quadrupole}$$

$$w = \frac{Z^3}{h^2} \text{ for the sextupole}$$

Mapped Good Field Region

$$r = \frac{r_0^2}{h} \text{ for the quadrupole}$$

$$r = \frac{r_0^3}{h^2} \text{ for the sextupole}$$

- Map the optimized dipole pole contour back into the quadrupole (or sextupole) space.
- Reanalyze using POISSON (or other 2D code).