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Summary

A brief description of our development of silicon strip counters is given, with some recent measurements of their performance. A few comments are made on the outlook for the use of these devices with colliders.

Introduction

First here is a brief review of the relevant characteristics of silicon as a detector. Wafers of monocrystalline silicon with resistivity $\rho \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ and thickness $t \text{ cm}$ are doped suitably to form a diode structure which is depleted of majority carriers at a voltage $V \text{ volts}$ (for $n$-type silicon)

$$V \geq 4 \times 10^3 \frac{E}{\rho}$$

(70 volts depletes 300 $\mu\text{m}$ of 5000 $\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ material). The wafer is used as an ionisation chamber which should have a leakage current $\sim 1 \mu\text{A/cm}^2$ and gives 1 electron pair per 3.6 ev. energy loss, leading to a signal of some $2.5 \times 10^4$ electrons per 300 $\mu\text{m}$ track length for a minimum ionization particle. The detector noise can be negligible, but the preamplifier noise is not, and depends on the bandwidth and detector capacity.

For pulse-shaping time constants of $\sim 200$ nsec we obtain an rms amplifier noise of $\sim 300$ electrons with a slope of 15 electrons/pf. This determines the thickness of silicon required. Typically strips on a 300 $\mu\text{m}$ wafer have a peak signal/rms noise $= 30/1$. In fact 280 $\mu\text{m}$ to 350 $\mu\text{m}$ thickness are industrial standards and easy to handle. Typical numbers quoted will be for 300 $\mu\text{m}$ material.

Physical Limitations to Measurement Accuracy

There is a spread of charge around a minimum ionization particle's trajectory due to electron (and hole) diffusion of some 5 $\mu\text{m}$ radial width, and a much smaller spread due to space charge repulsion. These introduce a negligible error in the position of the centre of gravity of the charge (CCG). For tracks perpendicular to the counter surface high energy knock-on electrons give a lateral displacement of the CCG of $\sim 5$ $\mu\text{m}$ rms but if the signal amplitude is measured, and large signals are rejected (or suitably weighted) track coordinates may be measured with an rms error of $\sim 4 \mu\text{m}$. For inclined tracks the small "Landau" fluctuations give rise to an additional error in coordinate measurement -- approximately equal to $1/30 \times $ counter thickness for $45^\circ$ inclination.

Design Considerations and Results

Some possible strip configurations are shown in Fig. 1.

One coordinate can be read out on each strip (a), using charge division read out is only necessary every $n^{th}$ strip (b), counters have been constructed reading our x and y coordinates on one wafer (c).

We, a CERN, Munich collaboration, have chosen to start with a charge division system because we want to measure to $\sigma < 10 \mu\text{m}$ for a charm vertex search at the
Although charge division will probably give an 10 µm resolution across 120 µm spacing we need to read out every 60 µm in the forward direction where close track resolution is required. We have found also that although 20 µm pitch lithography is relatively easy a closer pitch is technically difficult for wafers of our size.

We have chosen charge-sensitive preamplifiers with shaping time constants of 180 nsec as a compromise between counting high fluxes and getting adequate signal/noise to use charge division. The noise per channel in the set-up is ~600 electrons. We plan to use some 1200 channels. The arrangement used is shown in Fig. 3.
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There is a capacitative divider network given by the interstrip capacities. The floating strips have to be charged up to the full bias voltage by a resistive layer. The resistive layer is only required to maintain the D.C. potential and does not enter into the charge division process. Fig. 4 shows the resolution obtained in a 200 GeV beam for measurements across strips read out every 60 µm and every 120 µm.
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Possibilities for Colliders

The devices we have made are satisfactory for high energy external beam experiments where the particles are concentrated in a forward cone leaving "sideways" free for the readout and electronics. However, a cylindrical array with 10 amplifiers every millimeter is a clumsy object. In fact a twisted pair cable fills ~30 times more cross-section than the channel it reads out. Counters about 15 cm long could be read out with a signal/noise ratio of ~30/1 but the problem of fitting amplifiers around a 10 or 20 cm diameter cylinder is difficult, and the cost deterring.

For any bunched beam collider it is clear that sequential readout is required. With on chip (or near chip) electronics reading, say, 10 strips in sequence the problems of space, cost, and cooling would be resolved. At MPI and CERN we are designing such systems.

Finally it is worth remembering that high resolution counters are only useful for high energy particles. 100 µm of silicon scatters as much as 10 cm of argon (at NTP).

With a detector a distance r from a vertex for 100 µm of silicon, the scattering at r introduces a lateral vertex error of 5 µm for 1 GeV particles with r = 1 cm, and for 10 GeV particles with r = 10 cm.