PHASE AND ENERGY CORRECTING INELASTIC CONTRIBUTIONS
IN MULTIPLE RESCATTERING*

R. W. B. Ardill and K. J. M. Moriarty
Royal Holloway College
Englefield Green, Egham, Surrey TW20 OEX, UK

Peter Koehler
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

Abstract: In this paper we modify the phase and energy behaviour of
conventional weak cut absorption. We parameterize the double
scattering amplitude of the Regge-Gribov scheme to include low and
high mass inelastic intermediate states. This is done through the
introduction of azimuthal correlations between the Reggeon and the
regular Pomeron and reverses the anticlockwise rotation induced by
absorptive cuts. For precision we include Regge-Regge cuts and
achieve accurate fits to the vector and tensor amplitude analysis
at 6 GeV/c. Polarization and line-reversal symmetry-breaking
deficiencies in charge and hypercharge exchange processes are thus
remedied at this energy. Crossover and polarization show a very
weak dependence against variations over a wide range of energy.
Inelastic and elastic polarization of πN scattering are reconciled
for |t| ≥ aρ = 0. The clockwise rotation included in isoscalar
exchange arranges for rising cross sections without assuming aρ(0) > 1.
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1. Introduction

Gribov's Reggeon Calculus \(^1\) is the only known theory of soft hadronic two-body reactions. It is based on the consistent implementation of multiperipheral ideas and unitarity. Through unitarity colliding hadrons become extended objects and form virtual constituents in a cascade of decays. The corresponding constituents of both hadrons interact causing the simultaneous production of multiperipheral showers, which in turn correspond to Reggeons. The Reggeons scatter with the external hadrons in the same way as ordinary particles. S-channel unitarity is thus manifested in the Reggeon particle scattering amplitude through the presence of inelastic intermediate states. A variety of cut prescriptions can be extracted, among them eikonal cuts \(^2\) and their derivatives \(^3,^4\) and pole-enhanced cuts \(^5,^6,^7\). The latter produce the energy dependence of differential cross sections. However, eikonal and pole-enhanced cuts possess only elastic pole singularities and give incorrect phases, manifested in polarization deficiencies. To overcome this we parameterize the inelastic low mass intermediate states, and then combine with the elastic pole singularities to achieve more subtle phase effects.

We would emphasize that it is the false assumption of independent rescattering adopted in conventional eikonal models which is responsible for the poor description of the helicity nonflip vector and tensor phases.

We parameterize by introducing azimuthal correlations between Pomeron and regular Reggeon. We use a correlation modified exponential which includes the mutual orientation of the Reggeon transverse momenta. This is more general than the elastic Gaussian model adopted in eikonal cuts. We thus introduce a new element in cut models: a correlation parameter $c$ which governs a $|t|$-dependent modified cut phase and a corresponding cut strength, both sensitive to exchange and helicity. We find that the correlation parameter $c$ of the helicity nonflip vector and tensor amplitudes is real and negative in the presence of a moving Pomeron pole.

2. Formalism

We represent the amplitudes as vectors in the complex plane

$$T_{R,el,C,tot,exp}^{0,1}(|t|) = T_{el}^{0,1}(|t|) \exp \left[ i \phi_{0,1}^{0,1}(|t|) \right] ,$$

$R =$ Reggeon, i.e., the $\rho$, $A_2$, $f$, $P$

$el =$ elastic amplitude

tot = total theoretical amplitude obtained as sum of pole and cut

exp = amplitude as extracted by amplitude analysis \cite{8,9}

0,1 indicate helicity nonflip and helicity flip, respectively.

A. The $\rho,A_2$ Regge Pole Amplitude

The modulus of the helicity nonflip pole amplitude at fixed $s$ is parameterized (assuming the presence of nonsense wrong signature zero

(NWSZ) for the $\rho$ as

$$|T_{\rho=R}^0(|t|)| = 2\beta_R^0(0) \sin \left( \frac{1}{2} \pi (\alpha_R(0) - \alpha_R'|t|) \right) \cdot \exp \left[ -\lambda_R^0 |t| \right],$$

and for the $A_2$ as

$$|T_{A_2=R}^0(|t|)| = 2\beta_R^0(0) \cos \left( \frac{1}{2} \pi (\alpha_R(0) - \alpha_R'|t|) \right) \cdot \exp \left[ -\lambda_R^0 |t| \right],$$

with $\beta_R^0(0)$ the residue in forward direction; $\lambda_R^0$ the slope of the assumed exponential fall-off of the residue and $\alpha_R(0)$ and $\alpha_R'$ intercept and slope, respectively, of the linear Regge trajectory.

(Parameter values are in Table 1.)

The point $\alpha_{R=A_2} = 0$ which was a wrong signature point for the $\rho$ is now a right signature point. Thus there is no zero in the Regge pole amplitude at $\alpha_{R-A_2} = 0$.

The Regge phase, rising linearly, is then

$$\phi_R^0(|t|) = \phi_R^0(0) + \phi_R^0 |t|,$$

with an initial phase angle for the $\rho$ and $A_2$ of

$$\phi_R^0(0) = \frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha_R(0) = 43.20^0 \text{ and } -27^0$$

and a rotation velocity of the angle per $|t|$ of

$$\phi_R^0 = \frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha_R' = 72.36^0 \text{ and } 67.5^0.$$

B. The Elastic Amplitude

We use a helicity-conserving elastic amplitude and parameterize with one exponential. It has a complex radius of interaction

$$T_{e1}^* t(|t|) = \beta_{e1}^* t(0) \exp \left[ i \delta_{e1}^* \right] \exp \left[ -\lambda_{e1}^* |t| \right],$$
where the modulus $\beta_{el}^{*0}(0)$ and the phase $\delta_{el}^{*0}$ are real while the radius of interaction $\lambda_{el}^{*0}$ is complex due to the Regge-like $|t|$-dependent phase $\alpha_{el}^{*0} \neq 0$ such that

$$\text{Im}(\lambda_{el}^{*0}) = -\frac{1}{2} \pi \alpha_{el}^{*0} \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda_{el}^{*0}|$$

is approximately determined from the observed slope of the elastic scattering.

$$\beta_{el}^{*0}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \pi \sigma_{tot}(s)$$

and $\delta_{el}^{*0}$ is the effective initial phase angle of the full elastic amplitude. The final values of $\beta_{el}^{*0}$, $\delta_{el}^{*0}$ and $\lambda_{el}^{*0}$ are obtained from amplitude analysis (\textsuperscript{8}) at 6 GeV/c. The * indicates that the elastic amplitude is obtained as

$$T_{el}^{*} = f + p + f \theta p + p \theta p.$$

C. The Double Scattering Amplitude

Our correlation modified cut stands effectively for Gribov's full double scattering amplitude (\textsuperscript{5}).

$$T_{ab}^{(2)}(s,k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( - N(k_{a},k_{b},k,s) \delta^{2}(k_{a} + k_{b} - k) \right) \frac{d^{2}k_{a}}{d^{2}k_{b}} \frac{d^{2}k_{a}}{d^{2}k_{b}} \alpha_{a}^{(k_{a})} \alpha_{b}^{(k_{b})} - 2 \cdot \eta_{a}^{(k_{a})} \eta_{b}^{(k_{b})} (s/s_{0})^{\alpha_{a}^{(k_{a})} + \alpha_{b}^{(k_{b})} - 2},$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} (s_{0}d_{s_{1}})/(s_{1}) \int_{s_{2}}^{s_{1}} (s_{0}d_{s_{2}})/(s_{2}) \int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} \frac{\delta^{2}(k + k - k)}{d^{2}k_{a} d^{2}k_{b}} \cdot \text{disc} F_{1}(k_{a},k_{b} \cdot k_{s_{1}}) \cdot \text{disc} F_{2}(k_{a},k_{b},k_{s_{2}}) \cdot \eta_{a}^{(k_{a})} \eta_{b}^{(k_{b})} (s/s_{0})^{\alpha_{a}^{(k_{a})} + \alpha_{b}^{(k_{b})} - 2},$$

$k_{a}$ and $k_{b}$ with $k_{a,b}^{2} = |t_{a,b}|^{2} = -t_{a,b}$ are the two dimensional Reggeon momenta and $k^{2} = (k_{a} + k_{b})^{2} = |t|$ is the total momentum transfer.
The linear Reggeon trajectories are \( \alpha_{a,b} = \alpha_{a,b} (0) - \sigma_{a,b} k^2 \). The energy scale is set \( s_0 = 1 \text{ GeV} \). \( \eta_a, \eta_b \) are the signature factors.

\[
\eta_{a,b} (k_{a,b}) = i \sigma_{a,b} \frac{\exp \left[ i \frac{1}{2} \pi \left( \frac{\sigma_{a,b} + 1}{2} - \sigma_{a,b} (k_{a,b}) \right) \right]}{\cos \frac{1}{2} \pi \left( \frac{\sigma + 1}{2} - \sigma_{a,b} (k_{a,b}) \right)}
\]

where \( \sigma_{a,b} = \pm 1 \).

The Gribov vertex \( N \) factorizes into \( N_1 N_2 \). These are the absorptive parts of the Reggeon-particle scattering amplitudes \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \).

\[
N_{1,2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{1,2} (k_{a,b}, k_{b}, s_{1,2}) \frac{ds_{1,2}}{2\pi i s_{1,2}}
\]

\[
= \int_{s_{1,2}^t} F_{1,2} (k_{a,b}, k_{b}, s_{1,2}) \frac{ds_{1,2}}{s_{1,2}}
\]

where \( s_{1,2} \) are the subenergies and \( s_{1,2}^t \) the threshold values. The subenergy planes \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \) are divided into low and high mass regions such that \( s_{1,2} < M^2 \) and \( s_{1,2} > M^2 \), respectively. A special low mass unenhanced diagram where \( s_1 < M^2 \) and \( s_2 < M^2 \) is Gribov's high energy approximation of the Mandelstam cut.

With the high mass region taking on its Reggeon behaviour, the full amplitude splits into four parts \(^5\). These are unenhanced (fig. 1a), semi-enhanced (fig. 1b, 1c) and fully enhanced (fig. 1d) diagrams. Here the low mass and the triple Reggeon couplings are depicted as non-planar.
Our two body cut amplitude takes account of low and high mass inelastic intermediate states in the vertex \( N \) of Gribov's full double scattering amplitude. The traditional eikonal or absorption model \(^2\) is based on a Gaussian form of the Gribov vertex \( N(k_a, k_b) \) and allows only for the elastic intermediate state. The quasi-eikonal model allows for the formation of low mass showers in the intermediate states after each rescattering. The showers enhance the cut strength for elastic scattering at forward direction by a constant factor. The phase and energy behaviour, however, suggest a more subtle parameterization of \( N \). The vertex \( N \) has to depend on the angle between \( k_a \) and \( k_b \). Since the high mass peripheral nonelastic intermediate states are Regge-approximated, \( N \) also has to depend on \( s \). This leads to the diagrams in fig. 1.

The sum of these diagrams gives the cut a pole-like shrinkage at high energy, with the enhanced diagrams \( b, c \) and \( d \) at rising energies taking the lead over the unenhanced diagram \( a \). Thus, the Gribov vertex \( N \) is parameterized as

\[
N(k_a, k_b, k, s) = \lambda_{\text{cut}}(k) \beta_R(s) \beta_P(s) \\
\times \exp \left[ - (c_1 + c_2 \ln s)(k_1 - k_2)^2 - \lambda_R(s)k_1^2 - \lambda_P(s)k_2^2 \right]
\]

D. The Correlation Modified Cut Amplitude

We convolute pole and elastic amplitude by introducing the correlation kernel \( K(c^0(\ln s)) \). We write down the s-channel helicity
sum over the Gribov cut integral for the process $A + B \rightarrow A' + B'$

$$T^m_{\text{cut}}(s,k^2) = \sum_{\mu_1,\mu_2} T^m(s,\mu_1,\mu_2)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu_1,\mu_2} \left( \frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^3 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^2k_1 \ d^2k_2 \ T_R^\mu(s,k_1^2) \ T^\mu(s,k_2^2)$$

$$\times K^m(s,k_1,k_2,k) \ \delta^2(k - k_1 + k_2)$$

The helicity sum splits into net helicity nonflip and net helicity flip indicated by $m(p)$ as a function of the individual helicities $\mu_i$ $(i=1,2)$ carried by the exchanged Reggeons. They take on the values 0,1, and $p=\sum_i \mu_i$ defines the net helicity such that even $p$ results in net helicity nonflip and odd $p$ in net helicity flip.

The Gribov integral describes the two-body scattering amplitude as a two-dimensional phase space integral in the exchange plane perpendicular to the relative momentum of the incoming hadrons. The cut expression contains the kernel $K(s,k_1,k_2,k)$ which is the essential difference between our approach and the absorption model. The correlation kernel is parameterized as

$$K^m(p)(s,k_1,k_2,k) = \lambda^m_{\text{cut}}(k) \exp \left[ -c(s)^m(p)(k_1 - k_2)^2 \right]$$

where $\lambda^m_{\text{cut}}$ is the scale factor. This results in the helicity nonflip cut (note that the cut consists of nonrotating and
rotating parts)

\[ T^0_{\text{cut}}(s, |t|) = - \frac{\beta^0_R(0,s) \beta^0_P(0,s) \lambda^0_{\text{cut}}(|t|)}{2\sqrt{\pi} \left( \lambda^0_R(s) + 4c^0(s) + \lambda^0_P(s) \right)} \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ -\frac{\lambda^0_R(s) \lambda^0_P(s) + c^0(s) (\lambda^0_R(s) + \lambda^0_P(s))}{\lambda^0_R(s) + 4c^0(s) + \lambda^0_P(s)} |t| \right] \]

We set for the cut amplitude:

\[ T^0_C(|t|) = \xi^0_C \exp \left[ -\psi^0_C |t| \right] \exp \left[ i(\phi^0_C(0) + \phi^0_C |t|) \right] \]

The helicity flip cut again consists of non-rotating and rotating parts. We write

\[ T^1_{\text{cut}}(s, |t|) = -(|t|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta^1_R(0,s) \beta^0_P(0,s) \lambda^1_{\text{cut}}(|t|) \left( \lambda^0_R(s) + 2c^1(s) \right) \]

\[ \times \exp \left[ -\frac{\lambda^1_R(s) \lambda^0_P(s) + c^1(\lambda^1_R(s) + \lambda^0_P(s))}{\lambda^1_R(s) + 4c^1(s) + \lambda^0_P(s)} |t| \right] \]

\[ = \xi^1_C \exp \left[ -\psi^1_C |t| \right] \exp \left[ i(\phi^1_C(0) + \phi^1_C |t|) \right] \]

*The helicity flip poles possess an additional angular momentum factor

\[ \left( \frac{|t|}{4 \frac{m}{N^2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

and different residues and correlation parameter.
and the Regge-energy dependence is given by

\[ \beta_{R,P}^{0,1}(s) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta_{R,P}^{0,1}} - 1 \]

\[ \lambda_{R,P}^{0,1}(s) = \lambda_{R,P}^{0,1} + \alpha \ln s - \frac{1}{2} i \pi \alpha'_{R,P} \]

E. Observables

The differential cross section, with our normalization factor \( N = 1 \) is defined as

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \left| T^0 \right|^2 + \left| T^1 \right|^2 \]

and the polarization of the recoil nucleon produced in a \( 0^- \rightarrow \frac{1^+}{2} \rightarrow 0^- \frac{1^+}{2} \) reaction is

\[ P(t) = \frac{2\pi \text{Im}(T^0 T^1^*)}{pq \frac{d\sigma}{dt}} \]

where \( q \) and \( p \) are the c.m. three momenta of the incident and scattered pions respectively.

3. Discussion and Results

3.1 Vector Exchange

A. Helicity nonflip amplitude

In the cut-pole Argand diagram (fig. 2) the correlation parameter \( c \) delays the critical point in \( |t| \) (180° line) at which cut and pole are completely out of phase, until a more favourable cut modulus ratio is reached. The cut reverses the pole's anticlockwise rotation, moving the polarization zero out in \( |t| \). Figure 3 shows the Argand diagram for continuous \( |t| \) values. The real correlation model is in perfect
agreement with the amplitude analysis (8). This is again to be compared with the traditional $c=0$ absorption model. For comparison we show the pole amplitude corrected by the correlated and uncorrelated cut. Away from $|t|=0$ the polarization is determined by the relative 'velocity'

$$\phi_C^0 - \phi_R^0 = \Delta \phi_{CR}^0$$

with which $T_C^0(|t|)$ rotates through the Argand diagram relative to $T_R^0(|t|)$. In the $c=0$ model the cut rotates slowly with $\phi_C^0 \sim 5^\circ$ per unit in $|t|$ compared with the fast $\phi_R^0 \sim 72^\circ$ pole. Thus, pole and cut are already completely out of phase at small $|t|$. From this point the anticlockwise rotation of $T_{\text{tot}}^0(|t|)$ increases. The helicity flip pole phase remains virtually unaffected in the region $0.0 \lesssim |t| \lesssim 0.35$ (GeV/c)$^2$. The phase difference $\Delta_{\text{tot}}\phi^0(|t|)$ between the two helicity amplitudes changes sign from positive to negative when the relative phase between helicity nonflip cut and pole passes through $180^\circ$. We find, due to the large trajectory slope of the pole for $|t|=0.075$ (GeV/c)$^2$ that $\alpha_{\text{cut}}^0(|t|) < \alpha_{\text{pole}}^0(|t|)$. Although $\alpha_{\text{tot}}^0(0) < \alpha_{\text{pole}}^0(0)$ we find already for small $|t|, \alpha_{\text{tot}}^0(|t|) > \alpha_{\text{pole}}^0(|t|)$. A real correlation model with moving effective Pomeron with real part at $|t|=0$

$$\phi_{el}^* \neq 90^\circ, \quad \alpha_{el}^* \neq 0, \quad \text{Re} \, c^0 \neq 0, \quad \text{Im} \, c^0 = 0$$

provides a good-description of the helicity nonflip isovector amplitude.

B. Helicity Flip Amplitude

In fig. 4 we draw the Argand diagram for the helicity flip pole plus the correlated cut and compare with uncorrelated cut.
We divide the momentum transfer of the helicity flip amplitude into two regions: above and below $|t| = |t_0|$ where $\alpha_R = 0$. This amplitude shows Regge behaviour for $|t| < |t_0|$. This is not established for $|t| > |t_0|$ and might be violated. One can extract both helicity isovector amplitudes for $|t| > |t_0|$ from inelastic differential cross-section and elastic polarization data alone. The symmetric part of the elastic polarization for $\pi^+ p$ is

$$p_{\text{sym}}(|t|) = \frac{4 \text{Im} T_{el}^{*0} T_{tot}^{*1}}{\frac{d\sigma^+}{d|t|} + \frac{d\sigma^-}{d|t|}}$$

$$= 4 \left| T_{el,0}^{*0} \right| \left| T_{el,1}^{*1} \right| \sin \left[ \Delta \phi_{el,0,1}^{*0,1}(t) \right] \frac{d\sigma(\pi^+ p)}{d|t|} + \frac{d\sigma(\pi^- p)}{d|t|}$$

with

$$\Delta \phi_{el,0,1}^{*0,1}(t) = \phi_{el,0}^{*0}(t) - \phi_{el,1}^{*1}(t)$$

The left upper indices 0,1 stand for isoscalar and isovector exchange respectively.

On the basis of the $T_{el}^{*0}(|t|)$ model, the phase of the helicity flip isovector amplitude can be determined. It is constrained by

$$|1_{el,1}^{*1}(|t|)| \leq \frac{d\sigma(\pi^- p + \pi^0 n)}{d|t|}$$

Switching the cut off produces the double zero structure in $\pi^+ p$ polarization \(^{10}\), although the rise of the theoretical curve at large $|t|$ remains weak. Setting $c$ equal to zero produces an elastic polarization.

polarization reminiscent of the traditional weak cut absorption model (11) To provide a simultaneous description of elastic $\pi^+ p$ (10) and inelastic $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 n$ polarization (8, 12, 13) we choose the helicity flip shower factor $\lambda_{cut}$ such that for $|t| \geq 0.6 (\text{GeV}/c)^2$ the destructive tendency of the cut is reversed

$$\lambda_{cut}^1 = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 |t|) \exp(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 |t|) |t| .$$

The imaginary part of the helicity flip amplitude is prevented from becoming negative, fig. 5. The parameterization of $\lambda_{cut}$ plus correlation parameter $c$ produces an excellent quantitative fit for the polarization of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 n$ (fig. 6a) and a qualitative description of $\pi^- p$ elastic scattering, fig. 6b. This shows mirror symmetry out to $|t| = 2 (\text{GeV}/c)^2$ without a crossover at NWSZ. The describing of both $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 n$ polarization and $\pi^+ p$ polarization has always posed a problem. More elaborate models for the Pomeron (14) show, for inelastic pion-nucleon charge exchange, a deep negative dip, or polarization zero too early in $|t|$, reminiscent of conventional absorption, fig. 7.

The differential cross section is shown for 6 GeV/c and 200 GeV/c in fig. 3 (15). The effective trajectory (16) of 6 GeV/c and 200 GeV/c is shown in fig. 9. The weak energy dependence of the inelastic polarization, fig. 6a, reflects a stable crossover position.

---

3.2 The Isoscalar Exchange

The elastic $\rho$ and $A_2$ absorbing amplitude is the isoscalar amplitude as extracted by amplitude analysis at 6 GeV/c \(^{(6)}\). We have drawn this amplitude as $T_{e1}^*$ in the Argand diagram of fig. 10 at $|t|=0$. The amplitude has been parameterized in Regge-pole form. Its phase of $101^\circ$ corresponds to $\alpha^*_e(0)=0.878$ and its slope to $\alpha'_e=0.6$. The bare $f$ and Pomeron pole $P$ are also shown. Their intercept and slope are

\[
\alpha_f(0) = 0.38 , \quad \alpha_P(0) = 1.00 ,
\]

\[
\alpha'_f = 0.85 \ (\text{GeV/c})^{-2} , \quad \alpha'_P = 0.25 \ (\text{GeV/c})^{-2} .
\]

Both $f$ and $P$ are convoluted to produce $f^*$ and $P^*$. The correlated cuts are shown as $f_C$ and $P_C$ in fig. 10. Thus the $T_{e1}^*$ absorbs the Regge exchange as follows

\[
T = R \Phi K \Phi f + R \Phi K \Phi P + R \Phi K \Phi f \Phi P + R \Phi K \Phi P \Phi K \Phi P ,
\]

where $K$ indicates the correlation kernel which rotates the amplitude clockwise. In the case of the Pomeron-Pomeron cut we have

\[
T_{pP}^0 (|t|=0) = \xi_{P \Phi P}^{00} \exp \left[ i \phi_{P \Phi P}^{00} \right]
\]

The modulus

\[
\xi_{P \Phi P}^{00} = -i \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{-1}} \frac{\lambda_0}{\alpha_0} \frac{\alpha_0}{\phi_0} \left( \frac{s}{s_0} \right)^{-2\alpha_0(0)-2} \right\}
\]

\[
\times \left\{ 2 \left[ \Re \lambda_P^0 + \alpha'_P \ln \left( \frac{s}{s_0} \right) \right] + 4 \Re \left( c_{1P \Phi P}^0 + c_{2P \Phi P}^0 \ln \left( \frac{s}{s_0} \right) \right) \right\}^2
\]

\[
+ \left\{ 4 \Im \left( c_{1P \Phi P}^0 + c_{2P \Phi P}^0 \ln \left( \frac{s}{s_0} \right) - \pi \alpha'_P \right) \right\} \frac{1}{2} .
\]
The phase angle
\[ \phi^0_{pP} = 1 - \alpha_p(0) - \arctg \left\{ 4 \frac{\text{Im} (c^0_{1P} \Theta P + c^0_{2P} \Theta P \ln (s/s_0)) - \pi \alpha_p}{\text{Re} (c^0_{1P} \Theta P + c^0_{2P} \Theta P \ln (s/s_0))} \right\} \]

We give in Table 1 the parameter values which lead to a consistent description of \( f^* \), \( p^* \), compatible with
\[ a(p^+, p^-) = \frac{\text{Re} T_{\text{el}}^*(s, 0)}{\text{Im} T_{\text{el}}^*(s, 0)} \]
and \( \sigma_{\text{tot}}(p^+, p^-) \) and \( T_{\text{el}}^* \) with the amplitude analysis (17), figs. 11a, 11b.

Full eikonalization will dampen the sharp rise of our theoretical curve. We leave the correlation parameter \( c \) purely real but energy-dependent. To obtain the energy dependence of \( f^* \) and \( p^* \) we use the energy phase relation for \( |t| = 0 \). Since \( c^0 \ln (s/s_0) \) cancels the energy dependence in the cut denominator, the power behaviour of cut and pole plus cut corresponds to their phase behaviour
\[ P^* = i(s/s_0)^{\alpha_{p^*}(0)-1} \varphi_{p^*}(0) \exp \left[ i \frac{1}{2} \pi (1 - \alpha_{p^*}(0)) \right] , \]
\[ f^* = -2(s/s_0)^{\alpha_{f^*}(0)-1} \varphi_{f^*}(0) \cos \left( i \alpha_{f^*}(0)/2 \right) \exp \left[ -i \alpha_{f^*}(0)/2 \right] , \]
and we obtain for the effective \( f^* \) and \( p^* \) intercepts respectively
\[ \alpha_{p^*}(0) = 1.1 \text{ and } \alpha_{f^*}(0) = 0.63 , \]
giving the effective \( s^{-0.37} \) power fall-off due to \( f^* \) and the \( s^{0.1} \) rise due to \( p^* \).

3.3 Tensor Exchange

By setting the correlation kernel equal to one (i.e., $c^0 = 0$) in the Gribov integral we obtain weak cut absorption. The same problems occur here as with vector exchange. The cut model accelerates the anti-clockwise rotation of the pole. We again introduce a correlation modified cut. The correct evolution in $|t|$ of the helicity nonflip pole-cut and total amplitudes is shown in fig. 12.

A. Helicity Nonflip Amplitude

In fig. 13a we show the modulus $|T^0_{A_2}(|t|)|$ and in fig. 13b we show the phase angle $\phi^0_{A_2}(|t|)$. The real part $\text{Re} T^0_{A_2}(|t|)$ is given in fig. 13c and the imaginary part $\text{Im} T^0_{A_2}(|t|)$ is given in fig. 13d. The data are taken from amplitude analysis (9). In these figures we have drawn the total amplitudes obtained by the correlation modified cut. For parameter values see Table 1. Figure 13b also shows the phase obtained by cuts with increasing polarization, and with and without Regge-Regge cuts, and illustrates the amount of phase gained by introducing the correlation parameter. An additional cut strength parameter $\lambda^0_{\text{cut}}$ kept within reasonable limits can help in further fine tuning. See Table 2.

B. Helicity Flip Amplitude

The $T^1_{\exp}(|t|)$ shows Regge behaviour up to $\alpha_R = 0$. Dealing with $|t| < \alpha_R = 0$ we employ the first of two model variants. To achieve the Regge-like behaviour we choose the correlation parameter $c^1_{\text{tensor}}$ with the same numerical values as found in the case of vector exchange. We gradually suppress this cut with growing $|t|$, with the help of an exponential damping factor

$$\lambda^1_{\text{cut}}(|t|) = \exp\left[-\gamma|t|\right].$$
Model Variant I results in a good fit of the modulus in fig. 14a, and a qualitative account of the Regge phase fig. 14b for \(|t| \leq a_R = 0\). The Regge-pole features of the helicity flip amplitude are preserved. The modulus is hardly affected by the cut, and the phase angle is rotated initially at \(|t| = 0\) by a few degrees clockwise. The anticlockwise rotating amplitude is only very slightly accelerated. But as we see from the data (3), a cut which preserves the Regge-pole is bound to fail further out in \(|t|\). The \(T_{\text{exp}}^1(|t|)\) is somewhat puzzling, since it shows Regge behaviour only up to \(a_R = 0\). Beyond this point Regge behaviour is violated. We have encountered similar helicity flip amplitude characteristics in the case of vector exchange. The strong rise and fall of the elastic pion-nucleon polarization beyond the NWSZ, fig. 6b, implies that a Regge-pole-like helicity amplitude for \(|t| > a_R = 0\) is incompatible with the assumed Pomeron \(a_p \neq 0\). The parameterization of the helicity flip cut scale factor \(\lambda^1_{\text{cut}}(|t|)\) describes inelastic and elastic polarization of \(\pi N\) scattering in the range of momentum transfer for

\[0.00 \leq |t| \leq 2.00 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2,\]

in connection with \(c_0^1, c_1^1 \neq 0\).

We employ this parameterization in Model Variant II.

\[\lambda^1_{\text{cut}}(|t|) = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 |t|) \exp(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 |t|) |t|.\]

This parameterization converts the destructive cut around \(|t| = 0.45\) (GeV/c)^2 into a constructive one. The \(c_1^1\) tensor assumes the same values as in Model Variant I. The cut causes the phase \(\phi^1_{\text{tot}}(|t|)\) in fig. 14b to "swing" around the linear rising phase of \(\phi^1_R(|t|)\) giving a good fit to \(\phi^1_{\text{exp}}(|t|)\). Real and imaginary parts are drawn in figs. 14c, 14d.
Regge-violating behaviour of helicity flip amplitudes beyond \( \alpha_R = 0 \) is not atypical, and has to be accounted for by models describing regions not too small in \( |t| \). We have plotted in fig. 15a the differential cross section and in fig. 15b the polarization for \( \pi^- p + n n \). The polarization data have been taken at 5.0 GeV/c (\(^{12}\)) and at 8.0 GeV/c (\(^{18}\)), and averaged.

Once the helicity flip amplitude has been fitted to the amplitude analysis one can see that a negative real \( c_0 \) describes the shape of the polarization. By increasing the magnitude of the parameter, see Table 3, the theoretical polarization curve is shifted in the positive region of the diagram. The data of the differential cross section (\(^9\)) take account of the branching ratio

\[
R_\gamma = \frac{\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma)}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}(\eta)} = \frac{1}{3}.
\]

4. Conclusion

We have successfully described phase and energy dependence of vector and tensor exchange plus polarization and rising cross sections. The cross-over position shows only weak energy dependence. Conventional absorption rotates vector and tensor exchange anticlockwise. Our approach, using correlated Reggeon exchange, reverses this trend. Regge-Regge cuts make our fits quantitative.

Further work indicates that a full implementation of the Regge-Gribov scheme with non-planar couplings may ultimately describe the phase and energy behaviour of all two-body reactions.
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Table 1. Parameter Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Exchange</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Nonflip</td>
<td>Flip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a(0)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a'</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>19.834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rec₁</td>
<td>-0.685</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imc₁</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rec₂</td>
<td>-0.1225</td>
<td>-0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imc₂</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{cut}$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_1$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_2$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ₁</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ₂</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_0$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) (GeV/c)$^{-2}$

(b) (mb)$^{1/2}$ GeV/c
Table 2. Dependence of Helicity Nonflip Tensor Phase
On Various Cut Parameter Values (a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curve Label</th>
<th>c\text{tensor}^0 (\text{GeV/c})^{-2}</th>
<th>a_{el}^*(\text{GeV/c})^{-2}</th>
<th>\delta_{el}^*</th>
<th>\lambda_{cut}^0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a Pole</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90\degree</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Pole</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>101\degree</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c plus</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>101\degree</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d Cut</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>101\degree</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>101\degree</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) fig. 13b

Table 3. Dependence of Polarisation of $\pi^+ \rightarrow \eta n$
On Various Cut Parameter Values (d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curve Label</th>
<th>c\text{tensor}^0 (\text{GeV/c})^{-2}</th>
<th>a_{el}^*(\text{GeV/c})^{-2}</th>
<th>\delta_{cl}^*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90\degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>101\degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>101\degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>101\degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>101\degree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(d) fig. 15b
Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Gribov's full double scattering amplitude with non-planar couplings: (a) unenhanced; (b) and (c) semi-enhanced; (d) fully enhanced.

Fig. 2. Cut pole Argand diagram: P is pole amplitude; --- 180° is critical 180° line, where polarization zero occurs; NRC is non-rotating cut amplitude; RC is rotating cut amplitude; TC is total cut amplitude; ----TA is total pole + cut amplitude.

Fig. 3. Argand diagram for helicity nonflip isovector amplitude $^1T^0(|t|)$. Labelled divisions on curves indicate values of |t|. Crossover has only slight energy dependence. --- is pole amplitude; ----- is total amplitude, uncorrelated cut; ------ is total amplitude, correlated cut; ----- is centre points of data at 6 GeV/c; -------- is extrapolation of correlated cut to 200 GeV/c (amplitude values scaled up by factor of 10).

Fig. 4. Argand diagrams (a) for helicity flip isovector amplitude $^1T^1(|t|)$ for small |t| values: --- is pole amplitude; ------ is total amplitude, uncorrelated cut; ------ is total amplitude, correlated cut; (b) for large |t| values on enlarged scale.

Fig. 5. Argand diagram for helicity flip isovector amplitude $^1T^1(|t|)$ in 0.45 ≤ |t| ≤ 2.00 (GeV/c)$^2$ region, on enlarged scale: ---- is correlated cut with |t| dependent scale factor.
Fig. 6. (a) Inelastic polarization with correlated cut: \(-\) is 6 GeV/c; \\
\(\cdots\) is with \(t\) dependent enhancement factor 6 GeV/c; \\
\(-\) is 200 GeV/c; \(\cdots\cdots\) is conventional absorptive cut with \\
c = 0, 6 GeV/c; \\
(b) Elastic \(\pi^+\) polarization: \(-\) is 6 GeV/c and \(\cdots\cdots\) is \\
200 GeV/c.

Fig. 7. Kane Model: \(\) is HK (Hartley and Kane) 6 GeV/c, and \\
\(\cdots\cdots\) is KS (Kane and Seidl) 4.9 GeV/c.

Fig. 8. Inelastic differential cross section: \(-\) is 6 GeV/c, and \\
\(-\) is 200 GeV/c.

Fig. 9. Effective \(p\)-trajectory: \(-\) is 6 GeV/c, and \(\cdots\) is 200 GeV/c.

Fig. 10. Argand diagram for elastic amplitude \(T_{el}\) with \(t = 0,\) \\
at 6 GeV/c: \(f, P\) indicate bare \(f\) and Pomeron poles; \\
f\(C,PC\) indicate correlated \(f\) and P cuts; \(f^*, P^*\) and \(T_{el}^*\) indicate \\
cut rotated amplitudes

Fig. 11. (a) Ratio of real to imaginary part of the \(\pi^+p\) elastic \\
amplitude; (b) the \(\sigma_{tot}\) measured in mb up to Batavia energies.
Fig. 12. Evolution in $|t|$ of helicity nonflip pole-cut and total amplitudes: $P, C$ is pole, cut; $RP$ is rotating pole; $NRP$ is non-rotating pole; $RC$ is rotating cut; $NRC$ is non-rotating cut; $T$ is total amplitude.

Fig. 13. Helicity nonflip tensor exchange amplitude: (a) modulus; (b) phase; (c) real part; (d) imaginary part: $\cdots$ is pole, $\longrightarrow$ is cut, $\cdots\cdots$ is total, and $\longrightarrow$ is uncorrelated cut.

Fig. 14. Helicity flip tensor exchange amplitude: (a) modulus; (b) phase; (c) real part; (d) imaginary part: $\cdots$ is pole, $\longrightarrow$ is cut I, $\cdots\cdots$ is total I, $\longrightarrow$ is cut II and $\cdots\cdots\cdots$ is total II.

Fig. 15. (a) Differential cross section of $\pi^- p \to \eta n$. (b) Polarization for $\pi^- p \to \eta n$. (For a, b, c, d, e curves see Table 3.)
I have some trouble understanding this. It looks like a mathematical equation or diagram from a scientific paper, but I need a bit more context to provide a clear translation. The symbols and notation suggest it might be from a field like quantum mechanics or particle physics, but I'd need to know more specifics about what these symbols mean in their context to give an accurate interpretation.
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