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ABSTRACT

The energy angle distribution of a light charged lepton \( \ell \) from the decay of an arbitrary polarized heavy charged lepton \( L \),
\[ L^+ \to \nu_L^- + \bar{\nu}_L^+ + \ell^- \]
is derived assuming that:

1) the mass of \( \nu_L \) is not zero;

2) the coupling of \( WL \nu_L \) can be arbitrary combinations of \( V \) and \( A \).

The case when \( \nu_L \) and \( \bar{\nu}_L \) are identical is also discussed.

*Work supported by the Department of Energy under Contract No. EX-76-C-03-0515.
When the heavy lepton $\tau$ was discovered, it was necessary to determine the following properties:

1) Whether $\tau^-$ has its own leptonic number or has the same leptonic number as that of either $e^+$, $e^-$, $\mu^+$, or $\mu^-$.

2) Whether the neutrino associated with $\tau$ is massless or massive.

3) The form of coupling between $W$ and $\tau \nu_\tau$.

The formulae to be given below were derived at various times for the experimentalists at SLAC in order to answer the questions listed above. The purpose of this paper is to record these expressions for future reference. Since our formulae can be used for any lepton, we shall refer to the heavy lepton as $L^\pm$ and the associated neutrinos as $\bar{\nu}_L$ and $\nu_L$, and to any charged leptons lighter than $L$ as $\ell^\pm$ and the associated neutrinos as $\bar{\nu}_\ell$ and $\nu_\ell$. The processes under consideration are $L^+ \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_L + \nu_\ell + \ell^+$ and $L^- \rightarrow \nu_L + \bar{\nu}_\ell + \ell^-$. Assuming CP invariance, the energy angle distribution of the decay of $L^+$ is related to that of $L^-$ by changing the sign of polarization vector of $L^-$. 

1. **Standard Model:**

We shall refer to the special case satisfying the following specifications as the standard model:

a) $L^-$ and $\nu_L$ have their own lepton number different from that of $\ell^-$ and $\ell^+$, and also from that of $\bar{\nu}_\ell$, $\bar{\nu}_\ell$.

b) $\nu_L$ is massless.

c) $V-A$ coupling between $W$ and $L \nu_L$.

All the known leptons ($e^-$, $\nu_e$), ($\mu^-$, $\nu_\mu$) and ($\tau^-$, $\nu_\tau$) seem to share this property. This case was considered in my previous paper. For convenience of comparison, we summarize the results here from that paper.
The energy-angle distribution of $t^\pm$ from the decay of an arbitrary polarized heavy lepton $L'$ in the rest frame of $L$ satisfying the criteria mentioned above is:

\[
\Gamma \left( \frac{L^- + \nu_L + \bar{\nu}_L + \ell^-}{L^+ + \bar{\nu}_L + \nu_L + \ell^+} \right) = \frac{G^2 M^5}{3 \times 2^7 \pi^4} \int_0^{p_{\text{max}}} p^2 dp \int d\Omega \left[ 3M - 4E - \frac{2m^2}{E} \right] + \frac{3m^2}{M} \left( \hat{\nu} \cdot \hat{R} \right) \frac{p}{E} \left( 4R - M - \frac{3m^2}{M} \right),
\]

where $G = 1.02 \times 10^{-5}/M_p$ and $m$, $E$, and $p$ are respectively mass, energy and momentum of $\ell$ in the rest frame of $L$, $\nu$ is the polarization vector of $L$, and $p_{\text{max}} = (M^2 - m^2)/(2M)$. The integration with respect to $p$ and the solid angle $d\Omega$ can be carried out analytically, and the result is

\[
\Gamma \left( \frac{L^- + \nu_L + \bar{\nu}_L + \ell^-}{L^+ + \bar{\nu}_L + \nu_L + \ell^+} \right) = \frac{G^2 M^5}{3 \times 2^6 \pi^3} \left[ 1 - 8y + 8y^3 - y^4 - 12y^2 \ln y \right],
\]

where

\[y = \frac{m^2}{M^2}.
\]

If we ignore the mass of $\ell$, Eq. (1) can be written as ($x = p / p_{\text{max}}$):

\[
\Gamma \left( \frac{L^- + \nu_L + \bar{\nu}_L + \ell^-}{L^+ + \bar{\nu}_L + \nu_L + \ell^+} \right)_{m = 0} = \frac{\Gamma_0}{4\pi} \int d\Omega \int_0^1 x^2 \left[ 6 - 4x \right] (\hat{\nu} \cdot \hat{P}_L) (4x - 2) dx,
\]

where $\Gamma_0$ is the width given by Eq. (2) with the mass of $\ell$ ignored ($y = 0$):

\[
\Gamma_0 = \frac{G^2 M^5}{3 \times 2^6 \pi^3}
\]
The expressions of partial width such as (2) and (4) are useful in calculating the branching ratios. However, in the actual experiments the detectors accept only certain regions of energy and angle and the expressions such as (1) and (3) are necessary to correct the effects due to the finite acceptance. The masses of electron and muon are negligible in the $\tau$ decay. However, if leptons heavier than $\tau$ exist then they will decay into $\tau$ via $L + \nu_L + \bar{\nu}_\tau + \tau$, where the mass of $\tau$ is not negligible. Eqs. (1) and (2) will be useful in such a process.

2. $\nu_L$ and $\bar{\nu}_\tau$ Are Identical Particles ($L$ is a paralepton): 

In the reaction $L^- \rightarrow \nu_L + \bar{\nu}_\tau + \bar{\nu}_\tau$, if $\nu_L$ and $\bar{\nu}_\tau$ were two identical particles, then the effect due to the Pauli principle is to double the decay rate compared with the standard case. This was first pointed out by Bjorken and Llewellyn Smith.\textsuperscript{5} In practice, because of the incomplete experimental acceptance and the difference in the energy angle distributions\textsuperscript{1} in the two cases, the observed ratio will not be equal to two even if $\nu_L$ were identical to $\bar{\nu}_\tau$. Let us assume that $\nu_\tau$ and $\bar{\nu}_\tau$ have negative and positive helicities respectively (true for neutrinos associated with $e$, $\mu$ and also $\tau$). Since in this section we assume $\nu_L$ is identical to $\bar{\nu}_\tau$ and $\bar{\nu}_L$ is identical to $\nu_\tau$, the helicities of $\nu_L$ and $\bar{\nu}_L$ must be $\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ respectively. We also have to use the convention that $(L^+, \bar{\nu}_L)$ are fermions and $(L^-, \nu_L)$ are antifermions if we use the convention that $(\bar{\nu}_-^-, \nu_-^\pm)$ are fermions and $(\bar{\nu}^+, \nu_-^\pm)$ are antifermions. With this convention only $V-A$ current contributes to the decay because $V+A$ part will project
out the neutrinos with wrong helicity. Assuming $\nu_L$, $\nu_R$ and $e$ to be massless, we obtain for this case

$$\Gamma \left( L^- \rightarrow \nu_L + \bar{\nu}_L + e^- \right) = \frac{2 \Gamma_0}{4 \pi} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 x^2 \left[ 9 - 8x + (\bar{\nu}_{eR} \cdot \hat{p}) (5 - 4x) \right] dx, \quad (5)$$

where $\Gamma_0$ is given by Eq. (4). After integration with respect to $x = p / p_{max}$ and the solid angle, the right hand side of Eq. (5), is $2 \Gamma_0$ as mentioned before.

3. Sequential L, M, $\nu$, and Arbitrary V A Combinations:

Let us suppose that L and $\nu_L$ have their lepton number different from those of $e$ and $\mu$. Let us write the leptonic current involving L and $\nu_L$ as

$$J_\mu = \bar{\nu}_L \gamma_\mu \left[ g_L (1 - \gamma_5) + g_R (1 + \gamma_5) \right] L \quad (6)$$

where $g_L$ and $g_R$ are normalized such that $g_L = 1$ and $g_R = 0$ in the standard case. The energy-angle distribution in the decay $L^- \rightarrow \nu_L + \bar{\nu}_L + e^-$ and $L^+ \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_L + \nu_L + e^+$ can then be written as

$$\Gamma \left( \begin{array}{c} L^- \rightarrow \nu_L + \bar{\nu}_L + e^- \\ L^+ \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_L + \nu_L + e^+ \end{array} \right) = g_R \Gamma_R^+ + g_L \Gamma_L^+ + g_{LR} \Gamma_{LR}^+ \quad (7)$$

where

$$\Gamma_R^+ = \frac{4G^2 M}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^3 p \left( E_{max} - E \right) (1 + \hat{\nu} \cdot \hat{p}) f \quad (8)$$
\[ \Gamma_{RL/L} = \frac{\sqrt{2} G F}{(2\pi)^{4}} \int d^{4}p \frac{f^{2}}{2} (M + E_{\text{max}} - 2E) + \frac{f^{3}}{3} (M - E) \]

where \( f = 1 - \frac{M_{\nu}^{2}}{M(M - 2E)} \), \( E_{\text{max}} = \frac{M^{2} - M_{\nu}^{2}}{2M} \) and \( M_{\nu} \) is the mass of \( \nu_{L} \). The interference term \( \Gamma_{RL/L}^{\pm} \) becomes zero, because when \( M_{\nu} = 0 \), \( (1 - \gamma_{5}) \) and \( (1 + \gamma_{5}) \) project out different helicity eigenstates of the neutrino \( \nu_{L} \).

Eq. (9) reduces to Eq. (3) if we ignore the mass of \( \nu_{L} \), i.e., \( f = 1 \).

In the limit \( M = 0 \) Eq. (8), which is usually referred to as the \( V+A \) case, can be written as

\[ \Gamma_{R}^{\pm} = \frac{\Gamma_{0}}{4\pi} \int d\Omega \int_{0}^{1} x^{2} \left[ 12(1 - x)(1 + \hat{\nu} \cdot \hat{p}) \right] dx \] (11)

Many years ago, Kinoshita and Sirlin derived the general expression of the energy-angle distribution containing all possible non-parity conserving couplings (S, P, T, V, A) assuming that both \( \nu_{L} \) and \( \bar{\nu} \) are massless. The expression contains three parameters \( \rho, \xi \) and \( \delta \):

\[ \Gamma = \frac{\Gamma_{0}}{4\pi} \int d\Omega \int_{0}^{1} 4x^{2} \left\{ 3(1 - x) + 2\rho(\frac{4x}{3} - 1) + \xi \hat{p} \cdot \hat{\nu} \left[ (1 - x) + 2\delta(\frac{4x}{3} - 1) \right] \right\} dx \] (12)
Our equations (3), (5) and (11) also have this form. The three parameters corresponding to these three cases are

Eq. (3) [Sequential L, V−A, m = 0, M = 0]:

ρ = 3/4, δ = 3/4, and ξ_ = ±1.

Eq. (11) [Sequential L, V+A, m = 0, M = 0]:

ρ = 0, δ = 0, and ξ_ = ±3.

Eq. (5) [Para L, V−A, m = 0, M = 0]:

ρ = 3/8, δ = 3/16, and ξ_ = ±2.

ξ_ and ξ_ refer to the values of ξ in Eq. (12) for the decay of L− and L+ respectively.
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