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ABSTRACT

The cross section $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow W^+ + W^- \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$ in which $e^-$ and $\mu^+$ are detected in coincidence in the colliding beam experiment is computed with the mass, magnetic moment and leptonic mode branching ratio of $W$ boson as parameters. The kinematical correlations necessary for the identification and mass determination of the $W$ meson are discussed. Numerical examples show that the energy-angle correlations of the final $e$ and $\mu$ are very sensitive to the $W$ mass. The analytical expression for the cross section was obtained by an electronic computer. The characteristics of dynamical correlations was investigated by numerical examples of angular distributions of $e^-$ and $\mu^+$ for different values of magnetic moment of $W$. It was found that the rate of increase of cross section with respect to the relative angle between the final electron and muon is the most sensitive dynamical correlation needed for the determination of the $W$ magnetic moment. We ignore the possibility that $W$ may have form factors and an anomalous quadrupole moment. Symmetries in the differential cross section are discussed. Due to one photon exchange, the differential cross section
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of $e^-$ and $\mu^+$ must be symmetric with respect to the plane perpendicular to the incident beam. Due to time reversal invariance the differential cross section for $\mu^+$ must be symmetric with respect to the plane formed by the incident beam and the final electron. Similarly the differential cross section for $e^-$ must be symmetric with respect to the plane formed by the incident beam and the $\mu^+$. It is also shown that the charge conjugate decay mode $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow W^+ + W^- \rightarrow \mu^- + \bar{\nu}_\mu + e^+ + \nu_e$ can be obtained from our result by simply $\mu^+ \rightarrow \mu^-$ and $e^- \rightarrow e^+$ in the final state if one considers only the lowest order process. It is pointed out that the techniques used in this paper can be employed to calculate many other processes in which two unstable particles are produced.
I. INTRODUCTION

With the success of the Stanford electron-electron colliding beam project and the building of electron-positron colliding beam machines at various places in the world, it may be useful to consider again the production of weak vector bosons which have so far escaped detection. The cross section $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow W^+ + W^-$ via the one photon intermediate state has been calculated by Cabibbo and Gatto. In this paper we would like to consider the particular decay modes $\gamma + \mu^+$ in which $e^-$ and $\mu^+$ are detected in coincidence. The particular $W$ decay modes given above have the minimum background problem. Other decay modes of $W$, such as $\pi\pi$, $\rho\pi$, $\omega\pi$, etc., are extremely interesting from general weak interaction theory and can be incorporated into our calculation easily. However, there are so many ways $W$ can decay into pions that even if $\pi$'s are detected, it would be much harder to interpret the result, aside from the fact that many more pions are produced directly via $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow$ multiple $\pi$'s.

Since $e^-$ and $\mu^+$ are to be detected in coincidence, they are correlated both kinematically and dynamically. The kinematical correlations are given by Eqs. (2.20-2.28) which give the constraints among the final electron energy, the muon energy and their relative angle. These kinematical constraints are sensitive functions of mass of $W$, and hence they must be used to determine the mass of $W$. There are two other unknown parameters besides mass in our calculation,
namely the branching ratio \( R = \frac{\Gamma(W \to e + \nu)}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}} \) and the magnetic moment 
\( (1 + k) \frac{e \hbar}{2m_c} \). The expression for our differential cross section is proportional to \( R^2 \) and hence the relative angular distribution depends only upon \( k \), after the \( W \) mass is determined from the kinematics. Once the magnetic moment is determined from the angular distribution, the branching ratio \( R \) can be determined by the magnitude of the cross section, without even measuring other decay modes of \( W \) directly. The angular distribution depends upon the dynamical correlation. This correlation arises from the fact that the two \( W \)'s produced are polarized and the polarization of each is correlated to the other, and that the angular distribution of leptons from the polarized \( W \) is different from that of an unpolarized \( W \). The polarization state of two correlated vector particles can be described in general by a \( 9 \times 9 \) hermitian density matrix. In a covariant description this density matrix is represented by a rank \( \frac{1}{2} \) tensor, each vector index satisfying the usual subsidiary condition for the relativistic polarization vector of a particle.

The possibility of such a representation comes from the requirement that the \( 4 \)th component of the polarization vector vanishes in the rest frame of the particle. This covariant density matrix is obtained in Section 2 and its properties are given there. The analytical expression for the matrix element squared \( \langle \rangle \) as given in Table I was obtained by a computer.\(^7\)

In Section III we discuss symmetries in the cross sections. In Section IV the differential cross section \( e^+ + e^- \to W^+ + W^- \) is discussed. In Section V the energies of the electron and muon are integrated and the characteristics of their angular distributions are investigated for an arbitrary set of parameters with the mass of the boson \( W = 2 \) BeV, incident electron energy \( E = 3 \) BeV,
magnetic moment $k = -2, 0, 2$, branching ratio $R = 0.25$. We found that the
cross section increases rapidly as we increase the relative angle $\theta$
between the final electron and muon. The rate of increase from $30^\circ$ to $150^\circ$
is approximately $1$ to $10$ for $k = -2$, $1$ to $30$ for $k = 0$, and $1$ to $15$ for
$k = 2$. Thus the different rates of increase in the differential cross
section with respect to the relative angle between the final $e^-$ and $\mu^+$
is the most sensitive dynamical correlation for determining $k$. Of course
the over-all rate is also a very sensitive function of $k$, but we think it
should be reserved to determine the branching ratio $R$ unless $R$ can be
found by some other means. In Section VI we discuss some general aspects
of our calculation and make some additional remarks relevant to the planning
of the experiment.

We have tried to write this paper in such a way that all the results can
be used readily by the experimenters. Thus many trivial details are also in-
cluded whenever we think they are useful.

II. CALCULATIONS

All the desired information including kinematical and dynamical correla-
tions of the problem under consideration can be obtained by computing the
Feynman diagram shown in Fig. 1, provided one replaces the square of each
denominator of the $W$ boson propagator which occur in the square of the
matrix element by a $\delta$ function

$$\frac{1}{|p^2_W - W^2|^2} \rightarrow \frac{\pi \delta (p^2_W - W^2)}{\Gamma W},$$

(2.1)
where $W$, $\Gamma$ and $p_W$ are the mass, the total width and four momentum of the vector boson. This replacement is allowed if $W \gg \Gamma$. Denoting the branching ratio of the mode $W^- \rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu}$ as $R$ and the Fermi constant as $G$, we have

$$\Gamma = \frac{\Gamma (W^- \rightarrow e^- + \bar{\nu})}{R} = \frac{g^2 W}{6\pi R} = \frac{G W^3}{6\sqrt{2} \pi R}$$

$$= \frac{1.02 \times 10^{-5} W^3}{6\sqrt{2} \pi R M_p^2},$$

where $M_p$ is the mass of proton and $g$ is the coupling constant between $W$ and the leptonic current. From the last relation one can obtain criteria under which the replacement (2.1) is allowed. For example, for $W = 2M_p$ and $R = 0.25$ we have $\Gamma = 1.14 \times 10^{-2}$ MeV (corresponding to mean life $5 \times 10^{-20}$ sec) which is much less than $W$ and thus (2.1) is justified. On the other hand, if $W = 100 M_p$ and $R = 0.01$, we can no longer use (2.1), but under such circumstances the experiment is unfeasible, at least for the foreseeable future.

We shall try to formulate our presentation in such a way that those who intend to design the experiment can make maximum use of it. The kinematical correlations which are important for the mass determination are presented in detail. We shall see that for each choice of final electron and muon momenta, there correspond two production angles of $W$'s.

The notations used in this paper are as follows. The four momenta of particles are denoted by: $p_1$ = initial electron, $p_2$ = initial positron,
\( p_3 = W^- \) boson, \( p_4 = W^+ \) boson, \( p_5 = \) final electron, \( p_6 = \bar{\nu}_e \) neutrino, \( p_7 = \mu \) meson, and \( p_8 = \nu_\mu \) neutrino. The masses of the electron, muon and \( W \) boson are denoted by \( m, \mu \) and \( W \) respectively. \( E_i \) and \( \mathbf{p}_i \) represent the energy and momentum of the \( i \)th particle, the exception is \( E_0 = E + E - E - E \). \( \theta_{ij} \) is the angle between \( \mathbf{p}_i \) and \( \mathbf{p}_j \). \( \theta_6, \varphi_6 \) and \( \varphi_7 \) are defined in Figs. 2a and 2b. The coupling constants are defined as \( e^2/4\pi = \alpha \) and \( g^2/W^2 = G/\sqrt{2} \) where \( G = 1.02 \times 10^{-5}/M_p^2 \). The metric used is such that \( \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_j = E_i^2 - \mathbf{p}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_j \cdot \cos \theta_{ij} \).

We adopt the quantum electrodynamics of vector bosons by Lee and Yang in which \( W \) has an arbitrary magnetic moment \( \mathcal{M} = (1 + k) e \), the quadrupole moment is not arbitrary but is given by \( Q = -\frac{ek}{2W} \).

For convenience of discussion and computation we write the differential cross section in the following way.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} &= (2\pi)^4 \frac{1}{4\sqrt{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2 - m^4}} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_1}{2E_1} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_2}{2E_2} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_5}{2E_5} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_6}{2E_6} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\pi^2 e^4 g^4}{4 W^2 (2E)^4} \\
&\quad \times \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - p_5 - p_6 - p_7 - p_8) \delta((p_5 + p_6)^2 - W^2) \\
&\quad \times 128 C \equiv A B C,
\end{align*}
\]

(2.2)

\( A \) is a numerical factor and is given by

\[
A = \frac{\alpha^2 g^4 16}{(2\pi)^4 r^2 W^2 (2E)^6} = \frac{9 \alpha^2 R^2}{4 (2\pi)^2 W^4 E^6}
\]

(2.3)
$R$ is the branching ratio

$$R = \frac{\Gamma (W^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} + \bar{\nu})}{\Gamma} = \frac{g^{2}W}{\Gamma 6\pi} \tag{2.4}$$

$C$ is essentially the matrix element squared with propagators and coupling constants taken out and will be defined in Eq (2.29). Its analytical expression, obtained by a computer is given in Table I.

**Kinematical Correlations**

$B$ represents the phase space and contains all the informations about kinematical correlations which are important in the verification of the existence of $W$, and the determination of its mass.

$$B = \int \frac{d^{3}P_{5}}{2E_{5}} \int \frac{d^{3}P_{7}}{2E_{7}} \int \frac{d^{3}P_{8}}{2E_{8}} \int \frac{d^{3}P}{2E} \delta^{2} (p_{1} + p_{2} - p_{5} - p_{6} - p_{7} - p_{8})$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} P_{5} dE_{5} P_{7} dE_{7} d\Omega_{5} d\Omega_{7} \int \int \delta \delta (p_{1} + p_{2} - p_{5} - p_{6} - p_{7})^{2}$$

$$= \int dE_{6} \delta (p_{1} + p_{2} - p_{5} - p_{6})^{2} \int dP_{6} \cos \theta_{6} \delta ((p_{5} + p_{6})^{2} - W^{2}) \tag{2.5}$$

Using the coordinate system shown in Fig. 2, the integrations can be performed by using the $\delta$ functions.

$$\int_{-1}^{1} d(p_{6} \cos \theta_{6}) \delta ((p_{5} + p_{6})^{2} - W^{2}) = \frac{1}{2p_{5}} \text{ if } \cos \theta_{6} \leq 1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$= 0 \text{ otherwise,}$$
where
\[
\cos \theta_6 = \frac{W^2 - 2E_5 (E - E_5)}{2E_5 (E - E_5)} = \cos (\pi - \theta_6) \quad (2.7)
\]

\[
\int_0^\pi dE_6 \delta \left( (p_1 + p_2 - p_5 - p_6)^2 - W^2 \right) \frac{1}{2E_5} \quad \text{if} \quad E > E_5 \\
= 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \quad (2.8)
\]

the integration with respect to \( \phi_6 \) is slightly more complicated because the argument of the \( \delta \) function vanishes at two points in the range of integration. The matrix element squared \( C \) depends upon \( \phi_6 \) as well as other variables. For the moment we will write \( C = C(\phi_6) \) and evaluate

\[
\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_6 \delta \left( a - b \cos \phi_6 \right) \left( p_1 + p_2 - p_5 - p_6 - p_7 \right)^2 \\
= \int_0^{2\pi} C(\phi_6) \delta \left( a - b \cos \phi_6 \right) d\phi_6 \\
= \frac{C(\phi_6) + C(-\phi_6)}{\sqrt{b^2 - a^2}} \quad \text{if} \quad |\cos \phi_6| = \left| \frac{a}{b} \right| \leq 1, \\
= 0 \quad \text{otherwise}, \quad (2.9)
\]

where
\[
a = W^2 + \mu^2 - 2EE_7 + p_7 \mathcal{E}_5 \left( W^2 - 2EE_5 \right) \cos \theta_7 \quad (2.10)
\]

and
\[
b = W p_7 \mathcal{E}_5 \left( 4E_5 (E - E_5) - W^2 \right)^{1/2} \sin \theta_7. \quad (2.11)
\]
We chose
\[ \pi > \theta > 0 . \]  
\[(2.12)\]

For convenience of discussion let us write
\[ \cos \theta_{35} = \left( E_{E5} - \frac{w^2}{2} \right) / E_{5} \]  
\[(2.13)\]
and
\[ \cos \theta_{47} = \left( E_{E7} - \frac{w^2 + u^2}{2} \right) / E_{7} \]  
\[(2.14)\]

These two equations can be obtained trivially from
\[ (p_3 - p_5)^2 = p_6^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (p_4 - p_7)^2 = p_8^2 = 0. \]

In terms of \( \theta_{35} \) and \( \theta_{47} \) we may write \( a \) and \( b \) in Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11) as
\[ a = -2 \frac{p_7 p_7}{p_4} (\cos \theta_{47} + \cos \theta_{35} \cos \theta_{57}) \]  
\[(2.15)\]
\[ b = 2 \frac{p_7 p_7}{p_4} \sin \theta_{35} \sin \theta_{57} . \]  
\[(2.16)\]

The two values of \( \phi \) allowed for each choice of \( p_5 \) and \( p_7 \) correspond to two production angles for the \( W \) pair. To see this we write
\[ X_1 = \frac{-P_1 \cdot \tilde{p}_3 / E = -P_1 \cdot (P_5 + \tilde{p}_6 / E)}{E} \]
\[ = E_{5} \cos \theta_{16} - (E - E_{5}) \cos \theta_{16}^\pm \]  
\[(2.17)\]
where

\[
\cos \vartheta^+_{\pm} = -\cos \vartheta \cos \vartheta + \sin \vartheta \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \cos \varphi
\]

\[
\pm \sin \vartheta \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi \sin \varphi
\]

In summary the desired cross section can be written in the form

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dE \, dE \, d\Omega \, d\Omega} = \frac{9 \pi^2 m^2 R^2 \left[ C(x_{+}) + C(x_{-}) \right]}{512(2\pi)^2 W^4 E^7 P \left[ \cos(\vartheta_0 \theta_{47} + \vartheta_{35}) + 2 \cos \vartheta_0 \cos \vartheta_{47} \cos \vartheta_{35} \cos \vartheta_{47} \cos \vartheta_{57} \right]^2}
\]

(2.19)

where \( C(x_{+}) \) and \( C(x_{-}) \) correspond to \( C(\varphi_{6}) \) and \( C(-\varphi_{6}) \) respectively in Eq. (2.9).

The allowed range of \( E_5, E_7, d\Omega_5 \) and \( d\Omega_7 \) of the cross section can be obtained from the inequalities in Eqs. (2.6), (2.8) and (2.9). From Eqs. (2.6) and (2.8) we obtain

\[
\frac{E + P}{2} > \frac{E_5}{2} > \frac{E - P}{4}
\]

(2.20)

and

\[
\frac{E + P}{2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2W^2} (E - P) > \frac{E - P}{4} + \frac{\mu^2}{2W^2} (E + P)
\]

(2.21)

These two inequalities give the energy ranges of the electrons and muons if they are not detected in coincidence. The kinematical constraints due to coincidence are imposed by Eq. (2.9) which can be written as

\[
\cos (\vartheta_0 \vartheta_{47} + \vartheta_{35}) + 2 \cos \vartheta_0 \cos \vartheta_{47} \cos \vartheta_{35} \cos \vartheta_{47} \cos \vartheta_{57} > 0
\]

(2.22)
From Eqs. (2.13) and (2.14), we see that $\theta_{35}$ and $\theta_{47}$ are related to energy of the electron $E_5$ and of the muon $E_7$ respectively. Thus Eq. (2.22) gives the range of one of the variables $(E_5, E_7, \theta_{35}, \theta_{47})$ when the other two are fixed. The three situations are described below.

1. For a given $E_5$ and $E_7$, which necessarily must satisfy Eqs. (2.20) and (2.21), the range of $\theta_{57}$ is given by

$$\left(\cos \theta_{57}\right)_{\text{max}} = -\cos \theta_{47} \cos \theta_{35} \pm \sin \theta_{47} \sin \theta_{35} \quad (2.23)$$

or

$$\left|\pi - (\theta_{35} + \theta_{47})\right| < \theta_{57} < \pi - \left|\theta_{47} - \theta_{35}\right| \quad (2.24)$$

2. For given $E_5$ and $\theta_{57}$, the range of $\theta_{47}$ is given by

$$\left(\cos \theta_{47}\right)_{\text{max}} = -\cos \theta_{35} \cos \theta_{57} \pm \sin \theta_{35} \sin \theta_{57} \quad (2.25)$$

$E_5^{\text{max}}$ can be obtained by letting $\left(\cos \theta_{47}\right)_{\text{max}} = \cos \theta_{47}$ in the following expression

$$E_5^{\text{max}} = \frac{(W^2 + P^2) \cos \theta_{47} (W^2 - \mu^2)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \mu^2 P^2 \sin^2 \theta_{47}}{\mu^2 P^2 \cos^2 \theta_{47}} \quad (2.26)$$

3. Similarly, for a given $E_7$ and $\theta_{57}$, the range of $\theta_{35}$ is given by

$$\left(\cos \theta_{35}\right)_{\text{max}} = -\cos \theta_{47} \cos \theta_{57} \pm \sin \theta_{47} \sin \theta_{57} \quad (2.27)$$
E_5 \max \text{ can be obtained by letting } (\cos \theta_{35})_{\max} = \cos \theta_{35} \text{ in the following expression:}

\[
E_5 = \frac{W^2}{2(\hbar - P \cos \theta_{35})}. \tag{2.28}
\]

The relations (2.23-2.26) can also be obtained by drawing pictures. Suppose the electron with energy E_5 is moving along the -\hat{z} direction. From Eq. (2.13), the W^- meson (P_3) must be in a cone around P_5 with angle \theta_{35} given by (2.13). Let us invert this cone and call it cone C_{-3} as shown in Fig. 3. Let the muon momentum P_7 be on the xz plane and draw a similar cone for W^+ meson from Eq. (2.14) and call it C_4 as shown in Fig. 3. In order that P_5 and P_7 be detected in coincidence, P_3 and P_4 must come back to back, which means that the two cones C_{-3} and C_4 must intersect. In general there are two lines of intersection between the two cones C_{-3} and C_4, which correspond to two angles of production for W^+ for each set of P_5 and P_7, as mentioned previously. From the picture it is obvious that the condition for the intersection of the two cones is given by Eq. (2.24) and two other relations obtained by permutations \theta_{57} \leftrightarrow \theta_{35}

and \theta_{57} \leftrightarrow \theta_{47}

respectively.

To illustrate how sensitive these kinematical correlations are to the W mass, we give the following example.

**Numerical Example (Determination of W Mass)**

Suppose E = 3 BeV, W = \begin{cases} 1.5 \text{ BeV} \\ 2.0 \text{ BeV} \end{cases}, E_5 = 1 \text{ BeV}, \text{ and } \theta_{57} = \pi - \frac{\pi}{6}.

From Eq. (2.13) we obtain \theta_{35} = \begin{cases} 42.8^0 \text{ for } W = 1.5 \text{ BeV} \\ 63.6^0 \text{ for } W = 2.0 \text{ BeV} \end{cases}.
From Eq. (2.25),

$$\cos \theta_{\text{max}}^{47 \text{min}} = 0.96$$ \quad \text{for} \quad W = 1.5 \text{ BeV},
$$\cos \theta_{\text{max}}^{47 \text{min}} = 0.24$$ \quad \text{for} \quad W = 1.5 \text{ BeV},

and

$$\cos \theta_{\text{max}}^{47 \text{min}} = 0.834$$ \quad \text{for} \quad W = 2.0 \text{ BeV},
$$\cos \theta_{\text{max}}^{47 \text{min}} = 0.060$$ \quad \text{for} \quad W = 2.0 \text{ BeV},

Therefore,

$$E_{\text{max}}^{7 \text{min}} = 2.96 \text{ BeV} \quad \text{for} \quad W = 1.5 \text{ BeV},$$
$$E_{\text{max}}^{7 \text{min}} = 0.49 \text{ BeV} \quad \text{for} \quad W = 1.5 \text{ BeV},$$

and

$$E_{\text{max}}^{7 \text{min}} = 1.76 \text{ BeV} \quad \text{for} \quad W = 2.0 \text{ BeV},$$
$$E_{\text{max}}^{7 \text{min}} = 0.552 \text{ BeV} \quad \text{for} \quad W = 2.0 \text{ BeV},$$

From this example we can see that the mass of $W$ can be determined easily from kinematics alone.

**Dynamical Correlations**

The function $C$ represents the matrix elements squared and can be conveniently written as

$$C = \frac{1}{8} t_{\mu \nu} V_{\mu \alpha \beta} V_{\nu \alpha' \beta'} Y_{\beta \beta'} X_{\alpha \alpha'} \quad \text{(2.29)}$$

$t_{\mu \nu}$ is the tensor obtained by taking the trace of the initial electron positron system,

$$t_{\mu \nu} = - \text{Tr} (- \hat{p}_2 + m) \gamma_\mu (\hat{p}_1 + m) \gamma_\nu = 4 \left( \frac{1}{2} P_{\mu} P_{\nu} + P_{\mu} P_{\nu} - 2 E \xi_{\mu \nu} \right)$$
$$= - \frac{1}{2} \left[ E \xi_{\mu \nu} + Q_\mu Q_\nu \right] \quad \text{(2.30)}$$
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where
\[ Q = \frac{(P_1 - P_2)}{2} \]

\( V_{\mu\beta} \) is the \( \gamma W^+ \) vertex,
\[
V_{\mu\beta} = g_{\mu\beta} \left( P_\gamma - P_3 \right) + (1 + k) P_\beta \epsilon_{\mu\alpha} - (1 + k) P_\alpha \epsilon_{\mu\beta} .
\]  

(2.31)

\( Y_{BB'} \) is \( \frac{1}{4} \) the trace of the \( \mu^+ \nu \) system and the square of the numerator of the \( W^+ \) boson propagator;
\[
Y_{BB'} = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left[ (-\not{P} + \mu)(1 + \gamma_5) \not{P} \gamma_5 \not{P} \gamma_5 (1 - \gamma_5) \right]
\]
\[
= \left( P_{4\beta} P_{4\beta} W^{-2} - g_{\beta\beta}\right) \left( P_{4\beta} P_{4\beta} W^{-2} - g_{\beta\beta}\right) - 4 \left( P_{4\beta} P_{4\gamma} W^{-2} - P_{4\beta} \right) \left( P_{4\beta} P_{4\gamma} W^{-2} - P_{4\beta} \right)
\]
\[
\left( P_{4\beta} P_{4\gamma} W^{-2} - P_{4\beta} \right) - 2 \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta} P_{7\alpha} P_{4\beta} .
\]  

(2.32)

\( X_{CC'} \) is the corresponding expression for the \( e^+ + \bar{\nu} \) system,
\[
X_{CC'} = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left[ -\not{P} (1 + \gamma_5) \not{P} (\not{P} + m) \gamma_5 (1 - \gamma_5) \right]
\]
\[
= \left( P_{3\alpha} P_{3\gamma} W^{-2} - g_{\alpha\beta}\right) \left( P_{3\alpha} P_{3\gamma} W^{-2} - g_{\alpha\beta}\right) - 4 \left( P_{3\alpha} (P_{3 \gamma} P_{3 \gamma} W^{-2} - P_{3 \gamma}) \right) \left( P_{3\alpha} (P_{3 \gamma} P_{3 \gamma} W^{-2} - P_{3 \gamma}) \right)
\]
\[
+ 2 \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta} P_{5\alpha} P_{3\alpha} P_{3\alpha} .
\]  

(2.33)
The analytical expression for $C$ was obtained by a computer. We set the mass of the electron $m = 0$ for simplicity. $C$ is first written as a function of invariants $\mu^2, W^2, (P_1 + P_2)^2, P_1 \cdot P_5, P_1 \cdot P_7, P_2 \cdot P_5, P_2 \cdot P_7, P_5 \cdot P_7$ and $P_1 \cdot P_3$. It was found that the expression simplifies greatly (and also exhibits the symmetries of the problem) more clearly if one uses the variables $E, E_5, E_7, x, y, z$ and $u$ defined by

\begin{align*}
(P_1 + P_2)^2 &= S^2 = 4E^2, \\
P_1 \cdot P_5 &= E(E_5 - P_5 \cos \theta_{15}) = E(E_5 - y), \\
P_1 \cdot P_7 &= E(E_7 - P_7 \cos \theta_{17}) = E(E_7 - z), \\
P_5 \cdot P_5 &= E(E_5 + y), \\
P_5 \cdot P_7 &= E(E_7 + z), \\
P_1 \cdot P_3 &= E(E_3 + x), \\
P_5 \cdot P_7 &= E_5(E_7 - P_7 \cos \theta_{57}) = E_5(E_7 - u).
\end{align*}

All the quantities except $x$ in the above are directly measurable experimentally. As shown in (2.17) $x$ is not an independent variable but takes two values $x^\pm$ which are expressible in terms of observable quantities. The final expression for $C$ is shown in Table I.

It should be noted that if other decay modes of $W$'s are to be considered we need to change only the expressions for $X_{\alpha\alpha'}$ and $Y_{\rho\rho'}$. The expression
for $t_{\mu \nu} V_{\mu \alpha \beta} V_{\nu \alpha' \beta'}$ is still usable. By explicit calculation we obtain

$$
\rho_{\alpha \alpha' \beta \beta'} = \frac{1}{8} t_{\mu \nu} V_{\mu \alpha \beta} V_{\nu \alpha' \beta'}
$$

$$
= - g_{\alpha \beta} g_{\alpha' \beta'} \left[ E^2 (P_4 - P_3)^2 + 4 (Q \cdot P_4)^2 \right]
$$

$$
- 2 (1 + k) \left[ E^2 (g_{\alpha \beta} P_4 P_{3 \beta} + g_{\alpha' \beta'} P_4 P_{3 \beta}) + g_{\alpha \beta} (Q \cdot P_4) (P_{3 \beta}, Q_{\alpha'} - P_{4 \alpha'} Q_{\beta'})
\right.
$$

$$
+ g_{\alpha' \beta'} (Q \cdot P_4) (P_{3 \beta} Q_{\alpha} - P_{4 \alpha} Q_{\beta'})
\right]
$$

$$
+ (1 + k)^2 \left[ E^2 (P_{3 \beta} P_4 P_{4 \alpha} g_{\alpha \beta} + P_{4 \alpha} P_{3 \beta} g_{\alpha' \beta'} - P_{3 \beta} P_{3 \beta} g_{\alpha \alpha'} - P_{4 \alpha} P_{4 \alpha} g_{\beta \beta'}
\right]
$$

$$
- (P_{3 \beta} Q_{\alpha} - P_{4 \alpha} Q_{\beta'}) (P_{3 \beta}, Q_{\alpha'} - P_{4 \alpha'} Q_{\beta'})
\right]
$$

(2.35)

The density matrix of the $W$ pair produced is actually defined as

$$
D_{\gamma \gamma' 8 \delta'} = \rho_{\alpha \alpha' \beta \beta'} (P_{3 \alpha} P_{3 \gamma} W_{\gamma} - g_{\alpha \gamma}) (P_{3 \alpha'} P_{3 \gamma'} W_{\gamma'} - g_{\alpha' \gamma'}
$$

$$
(P_{4 \beta} P_{4 \delta} W_{\delta} - g_{\beta \delta}) (P_{4 \beta'} P_{4 \delta'} W_{\delta'} - g_{\beta' \delta'})
$$

(2.36)

We have merely incorporated the last four factors into the definitions of $X$ and $Y$ to make the writing more compact. The rank 4 tensor $D$ has the following properties:

1. It is symmetric under simultaneous exchange of two indices $\gamma \leftrightarrow \gamma'$ and $\delta \leftrightarrow \delta'$.

2. It is invariant under exchange $P_1 \leftrightarrow P_2$. 
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3. It is symmetric under simultaneous exchange $P_3 \leftrightarrow P_4$, $\delta \leftrightarrow \gamma$
and $\delta' \leftrightarrow \gamma'$.

4. It satisfies the subsidiary condition $P_{3 y' y' 56} = 0$.

III. SYMMETRIES IN THE CROSS SECTION

(a) The parity violating effect of the weak interaction does not show up in the differential cross section. Since only $P_1$, $P_2$, $P_5$ and $P_7$ are measured experimentally the only pseudoscalar quantity one can construct is

$$
\varepsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} P_{\mu \nu} P_{\alpha \gamma} P_{\beta \delta} = 2E P_\mu \cdot (P_5 \times P_7)
$$

(3.1)

But this quantity is not time reversal invariant, hence will not appear in the cross section. The absence of such a term in the cross section implies that the differential cross section for $P_7$ must be symmetric with respect to the $P_1 - P_5$ plane and the differential cross section for $P_5$ must be symmetric with respect to the $P_1 - P_7$ plane.

(b) The cross section must be symmetric with respect to the plane perpendicular to the incident beam. This is the consequence of the one photon exchange model. This must be so by the fact that $t_{\mu \nu}$ is symmetric respect to the interchange $P_1 \leftrightarrow P_2$ and hence $C$ must also be invariant under this exchange. The only other places where $P_1$ and $P_2$ occur are in the flux factor and the $\delta$ function, both of which are invariant under the exchange $P_1 \leftrightarrow P_2$. Thus the differential cross section should not be able to tell the sense of the current of the incident beam.
(c) The differential cross section for the process

\[
\begin{align*}
  &\text{e}^+ + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu \\
  \rightarrow &\text{e}^+ + \nu_e
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.2)

is identical to the one we are considering [Eq. (1.1)]. This can be proved by the following steps.

1. The mass of \( \mu \) inside the trace of (2.32) does not contribute.

2. The expression of matrix element squared \( C \) for (1.1) can be written as

\[
C = \frac{1}{4} D_{\gamma\gamma',\delta\delta'} (p_5 + p_6, p_7 + p_8) \times 
\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ p_6 (1 + \gamma_5) \gamma_7 \gamma_{\gamma'} \gamma_{\gamma'} \right] \text{Tr} \left[ p_8 (1 + \gamma_6) \gamma_5 \gamma_{\gamma} \gamma_{\gamma} \right]
\]  

(3.3)

where \( D (p_3, p_4) \) is the density matrix defined by Eq. (2.36). Since \( D \) is symmetric under \( \gamma \leftrightarrow \gamma' \) and \( \delta \leftrightarrow \delta' \), \( C \) is symmetric under \( \gamma_5 \leftrightarrow -\gamma_5 \).

3. Let us denote \( e^+ \) by \( p_5 \), \( \mu^- \) by \( p_7 \), \( \nu_e \) by \( p_6 \) and \( \bar{\nu}_\mu \) by \( p_8 \) for the process in (3.2).

Then the matrix element squared can be written as

\[
C' = \frac{1}{4} D_{\gamma\gamma',\delta\delta'} (p_7 + p_8, p_5 + p_6) 
\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ p_6 (1 + \gamma_5) \gamma_7 \gamma_{\gamma'} \gamma_{\gamma'} \right] \text{Tr} \left[ p_8 (1 + \gamma_6) \gamma_5 \gamma_{\gamma} \gamma_{\gamma} \right]
\]  

(3.4)

Now

\[
D_{\gamma\gamma',\delta\delta'} (p_7 + p_8, p_5 + p_6) = D_{\delta\delta',\gamma\gamma'} (p_5 + p_6, p_7 + p_8)
\]  
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from the symmetry property no. 3 of D. Rearranging the dummy tensor
indices and remembering the symmetry under $\gamma_i \leftrightarrow \gamma_5$, we arrive at the
desired result

$$C = 3'$$

(3.5)

The processes (3.2) and (1.1) are related by the charge conjugation.
The theorem we have just proved combined with the invariance under $p_1 \leftrightarrow p_5$
of $C$ shows that the charge conjugation violating effect of the weak in-
teraction does not show up in the differential cross section. Experimentally
this theorem implies that if the detectors can distinguish between $e$ and $\mu$
but cannot distinguish the sign of their charges, one will get exactly twice
the coincident counting rate we have given in this paper. (See Footnote 11.)

(a) If $E - W >> \mu$ then the mass of the muon can be ignored from our
consideration. Under these conditions the four leptonic decay modes of $W$
pair will all have the same differential cross sections.

IV. CROSS SECTION FOR $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow W^+ + W^-$

For completeness we give the differential cross section for this process
summed over the polarization of the $W$'s.

$$d\sigma = \frac{e^4}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{32E^2} \frac{1}{(2E)^4} \int \frac{d^3p_3}{2E_3} \int \frac{d^3p_4}{2E_4} \frac{s^4}{s^4} (p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4)$$

$$\times 8 \rho_{\alpha\beta\alpha'} \rho_{\beta'\beta} \left( p_{\alpha'\beta'} W^2 - g_{\alpha\beta} \right) \left( p_{4\beta} p_{4\beta'} W^2 - g_{\beta\beta'} \right)$$

(4.1)
From the above we obtain the differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{\gamma}} = \frac{\alpha^2 p^3}{32 \gamma^2 W^2} \left[ 4 \gamma^4 k^2 \sin^2 \theta + \left\{ 4(1 + k^2) - 2(1 + k^2) \sin^2 \theta \right\} \gamma^2 + 3 \sin^2 \theta \right]$$

(4.2)

where \( \gamma = E/W \) and \( \beta = (1 - \gamma^{-2})^{1/2} \). Notice that this cross section has a maximum at \( \theta = 90^\circ \) and is symmetric with respect to \( 90^\circ \).

The total cross section is

$$\sigma = \frac{\pi \alpha^2 p^3}{3 \gamma^2 W^2} \left[ \gamma^4 k^2 + (k^2 + 3k + 1) \gamma^2 + \frac{3}{4} \right]$$

(4.3)

Equation (4.2) agrees with the result obtained by Cabibbo and Gatto\(^4\) if one lets their form factors be equal to unity, identifies their \( \mu \) with our \( k \) and puts their anomalous quadrupole moment \( \epsilon = 0 \). The numerical examples of (4.2) and (4.3) are given in Table III.

As pointed out by Gabibbo and Gatto, the expression for the total cross section (4.3) cannot possibly be right at high energies because it violates unitarity. The unitarity relation says that the sum of total cross sections of all channels from electron positron annihilation via a single time like photon intermediate state can not exceed \( 3\pi/4W^2 \), because the initial total angular momentum of the electron positron system must be unity. The cross section (4.3) increases with energy as \( \gamma^2 \) at high energies of \( k \neq 0 \) and stays constant if \( k = 0 \) in the asymptotic limit. The cross section reaches
its unitarity limit at energy equal to

$$E = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{137}{k}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} W \quad \text{if} \quad k \neq c,$$

and

$$E = \frac{3W}{2} \times 137 \quad \text{if} \quad k = 0.$$  

The energies at which these limits are reached are considerably higher than those of the various colliding beam machines proposed. Nevertheless, it is still a serious defect of the theory. It is not immediately obvious that by considering the higher order electromagnetic effects this difficulty can be circumvented.
V. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES OF THE DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTION
\[ e^+ + e^- \rightarrow e^+ + e^- + \mu^+ + \nu_\mu \]

In order to facilitate the design of the experiment it is useful to know approximately how the electrons and muons are distributed and what their energy and angular correlations are. We were told by David Ritson that a spark chamber with nearly 3/4 solid angle can be used, and that the muon energy can be measured with a high accuracy from its range and the electron energy can be measured from its shower production. We have integrated the expression (2.19) with respect to the energies of the muon and electron, and have obtained \( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \) numerically by a computer.

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega \, d\Omega} = \frac{9 \pi^2 m_e^2 R^2}{(2\pi)^2 512 E_e W_\mu P_\mu}
\]

\[
\int_{E_{7 \min}}^{E_{7 \max}} \int_{E_{5 \min}}^{E_{5 \max}} \frac{dE_7}{dE_7} = \frac{C_+ + C_-}{\cos(\theta_{47})^2 + \cos(\theta_{35})^2 + \cos(\theta_{35}) \cos(\theta_{47}) \cos(\theta_{57}) + \cos^2(\theta_{57})}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

The limits of integrations are:

\[
E_{7 \min} = \frac{E + P_4}{2} + \frac{\mu^2}{2E^2 (E + P_4)}
\]

\[
E_{7 \max} = \frac{\mu^2}{2 \left[ E - P_4 \left( \cos(\theta_{35}) \right)_{\max} \right]}
\]

where \( \left( \cos(\theta_{35}) \right)_{\min} = -\cos(\theta_{47}) \cos(\theta_{57}) \pm \sin(\theta_{47}) \sin(\theta_{57}) \)

The result of the computation is shown in Table IV. The unit of the cross section is \( 10^{-34} \) cm\(^2\) per (steradian)\(^2\).
We make the following comments and observations on Table IV.

(a) Due to the symmetry with respect to $\varphi_7 \leftrightarrow -\varphi_7$, we computed the cross section only from $\varphi_7 = 0$ to $\pi$. This symmetry is due to the time reversal invariance as discussed in Section (3a).

(b) The cross section is symmetric with respect to a simultaneous exchange:

$$
\theta_{15} \rightarrow \pi - \theta_{15}
\varphi_{15} \rightarrow \pi - \varphi_{15}
$$

This is due to the symmetry with respect to the interchange $P_1 \leftrightarrow P_2$ as discussed in Section (3b). Because of this symmetry we took $\theta_{15}$ from $0$ to $\pi/2$.

(c) The values of the differential cross section at $\theta_{57} = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ were not given in Table IV, because of the limits of the $E_5$ integration pinch (i.e., $E_{5,\text{max}} = E_{5,\text{min}}$) and at the same time the denominator of the integral vanishes at these two points. However, by taking the limit, the integrals at these two points give finite numbers as shown in Table V. In general the cross section increases rapidly with $\theta_{57}$ from $0^\circ$ to $180^\circ$. The rate of increase depends critically upon $k$. For $k = -2$ the ratio of the cross section at $\theta_{57} = 30^\circ$ to $\theta_{57} = 150^\circ$ is approximately $1/10$ or $1/15$ depending upon whether $\theta_{15} = 30^\circ$ or $\theta_{15} = 90^\circ$; for $k = 0$ the corresponding ratio is $1/33$ or $1/13$; and for $k = 2$ the corresponding ratio is $1/18$ or $1/28$. In the absence of dynamical correlations all these ratios should be identical for all $k$. Thus we conclude that the effect of dynamical correlations is strong and should be utilized advantageously to determine $k$ (and the anomalous quadrupole moment if it is there).
VI. DISCUSSIONS

(a) All of our considerations will be only of academic interest if there is no W meson, or if its mass is so large that it can not be produced in the foreseeable future. However, we believe that our considerations made in this paper can be applied to many other similar problems which involve creation of unstable particles by $e^+ + e^-$ collisions. For example

\[
e^- + e^+ \rightarrow \Lambda + \bar{\Lambda} \rightarrow \pi^- + p
\]

This reaction gives the electric and magnetic form factors of $\Lambda$ for a time-like momentum transfer.

(b) We have completely ignored the fact that some extra photons are always emitted either from initial or final charged particles (the so-called radiative corrections). If a photon is emitted from the initial system, the virtual photon in our problem will no longer be a pure time-like vector ($2E$, 0), but will acquire a certain energy and momentum distribution. As a result, the kinematical correlations we have discussed will no longer have a sharp edge at the boundary, but will be smeared by some radiative tail. In general, the radiative tail smears the particle energy on the low energy side. Thus it will change, for example, $E_{r \min}^-$ to a lower value but will not affect $E_{r \max}^+$ in the numerical example given in Section 2. Since $E_{r \max}^+$ depends very critically upon W for fixed $E_5$ and $\theta_5$, we conclude that the mass determination via kinematical correlation will not be affected by the radiative corrections. If the radiative corrections are included then the symmetry under $P_1 \leftrightarrow P_2$ will also be violated by a few percent.\textsuperscript{11}
(c) The major background to the process considered is expected to be due to the accidental coincidence from two reactions

\[ e^+ + e^- \rightarrow e^+ + e^- \]

and

\[ e^+ + e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ + \mu^- \]

Neglecting the radiative corrections and possibilities of form factors, their cross section can be written respectively\(^\text{10}\) as

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega (e^-)} = \frac{r_0^2 m^2}{8 E^2} \left[ \frac{1 + \cos^4 \theta/2}{\sin^4 \theta/2} + \frac{2 \cos^4 \theta/2}{\sin^2 \theta/2} + \frac{1 + \cos^2 \theta}{2} \right]
\]

(6.1)

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega (\mu^+)} = \frac{r_0^2 m^2}{8 E^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \left( 1 - \frac{m^2}{E^2} \right)^{3/2} \left[ \frac{1 + \cos^2 \theta}{2} + \frac{m^2}{2E^2} \sin^2 \theta \right] \right]
\]

(6.2)

At \( \theta = 90^\circ \) and \( E = 3 \text{ BeV} \), we have

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega (e^-)} = 12.5 \times 10^{-34} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ per steradian}
\]

and

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega (\mu^+)} = 1.4 \times 10^{-34} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ per steradian}.
\]

Compare these with the result of our Table IV at \( \theta_{15} = 90^\circ \), \( \theta_{57} = 150^\circ \), with \( k = -2 \) and \( W = 2 \):

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{57}} = 0.1435 \times 10^{-34} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ per (steradian)}^2.
\]

The accidental coincidence is proportional to the product of (6.1) and (6.2) if one detects \( e^- \) and \( \mu^+ \) or \( e^+ \) and \( \mu^- \) and therefore it is completely negligible. However if \( W \) really exists, then one would expect the
(e^+e^-), (μ^+μ^-), (e^-μ^+) and (e^+μ^-) decay modes of the W pair to have almost identical probability. Turning the argument around, the near identity of all these four decay modes will serve as an additional proof that W's were actually produced. The radiative corrections to processes (6.1) and (6.2) will then be the major background for the (e^+e^-) and (μ^+μ^-) decay modes respectively of the W pair. The main effects of radiative corrections to processes (6.1) and (6.2) are: (1) the final particles will no longer all come out exactly back-to-back, and (2) their energies will be smeared. These effects are all rather easy to calculate\(^\text{11}\) and in general the cross sections drop down very quickly as one deviates from the elastic kinematics. Thus in principle there is no major difficulty in distinguishing the processes (6.1) and (6.2) from the (e^+e^-) and (μ^+μ^-) decay modes of the W pairs.
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1. Feynman diagram for the process $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow W^+ + W^- \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$.

2. The coordinate system chosen to define $\theta_{57}$, $\theta_6$, $\psi_6$, $\phi_{15}$ and $\phi_7$.

3. Kinematical correlations. Two lines of intersection between cone $C_4$ and cone $C_{-3}$ give the two possible directions of the $W^+$ boson produced for each choice of final electron and muon momenta.
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COMMENT THIS IS THE C(X) DEFINED IN EQUATIONS (2.19) AND (2.29). THE FOLLOWING SUBSTITUTIONS MUST BE MADE:

EE*+ X1*+YY*+ZZ*+UU*+MM*+MU*+SS*+EE*+2

\[
C = (4 \times EE*+ 4 \times LE*+ 2 \times X1*+ 2 \times 4 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE* \times 2 \times 16.0))
\]

\[
16.0 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
C = (4 \times EE*+ 4 \times LE*+ 2 \times X1*+ 2 \times 4 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE* \times 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
16.0 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
C = (4 \times EE*+ 4 \times LE*+ 2 \times X1*+ 2 \times 4 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE* \times 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
16.0 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
C = (4 \times EE*+ 4 \times LE*+ 2 \times X1*+ 2 \times 4 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE* \times 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
16.0 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
C = (4 \times EE*+ 4 \times LE*+ 2 \times X1*+ 2 \times 4 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE* \times 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
16.0 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
C = (4 \times EE*+ 4 \times LE*+ 2 \times X1*+ 2 \times 4 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE* \times 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
16.0 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
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\]

\[
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\]

\[
16.0 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
C = (4 \times EE*+ 4 \times LE*+ 2 \times X1*+ 2 \times 4 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE* \times 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
16.0 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
C = (4 \times EE*+ 4 \times LE*+ 2 \times X1*+ 2 \times 4 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE* \times 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]

\[
16.0 \times EE*+ 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times (16.0 \times EE* \times 2 \times MM* \times (+4) + EE*+ 2 \times 16.0)
\]
TABLE II
Differential cross section for \( e^+ + e^- \rightarrow W^+ + W^- \)

At \( E = 3 \text{ BeV}, W = 2 \text{ BeV} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( \theta ) (degrees)</th>
<th>( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} ) (10^{-33} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ per steradian})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE III

**TOTAL CROSS SECTION FOR $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow W^+ + W^-$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E(DeV)</th>
<th>W(DeV)</th>
<th>$\kappa$</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (10^{-30} cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5240.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1310.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV
Differential Cross Section for $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- e^+ e^- \nu \bar{\nu}$
AT $E = 3$ GeV WITH $W = 2$ GeV, $R = 0.25$ AND $k = -3, 0, +3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$q_{lep}$</th>
<th>$q_{mom}$</th>
<th>$\theta_{lep}$</th>
<th>$\alpha$ = 2</th>
<th>$\alpha = 0$</th>
<th>$\alpha = 2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>.01289</td>
<td>.000341</td>
<td>.02537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>.03893</td>
<td>.007177</td>
<td>.01693</td>
<td>.05245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.07312</td>
<td>.028314</td>
<td>.1166</td>
<td>.3042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>.1136</td>
<td>.010258</td>
<td>.2842</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>.1266</td>
<td>.0267</td>
<td>.4159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>.01289</td>
<td>.001017</td>
<td>.02524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>.02844</td>
<td>.001658</td>
<td>.05153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.07099</td>
<td>.009930</td>
<td>.1152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>.1138</td>
<td>.0117</td>
<td>.2394</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>.1560</td>
<td>.0349</td>
<td>.4606</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>.01277</td>
<td>.001194</td>
<td>.02440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>.03074</td>
<td>.000313</td>
<td>.04632</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.06601</td>
<td>.005166</td>
<td>.1084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>.1028</td>
<td>.01298</td>
<td>.2338</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>.1486</td>
<td>.0357</td>
<td>.4619</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.01251</td>
<td>.001380</td>
<td>.02331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>.04737</td>
<td>.006711</td>
<td>.04846</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.09438</td>
<td>.005730</td>
<td>.1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>.1302</td>
<td>.01503</td>
<td>.2293</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>.1719</td>
<td>.0379</td>
<td>.4782</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>.01215</td>
<td>.001748</td>
<td>.02227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>.04735</td>
<td>.006519</td>
<td>.05727</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.09438</td>
<td>.005730</td>
<td>.1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>.1302</td>
<td>.01503</td>
<td>.2293</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>.1719</td>
<td>.0379</td>
<td>.4782</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>.01187</td>
<td>.001644</td>
<td>.02125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>.04767</td>
<td>.006367</td>
<td>.05190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.09438</td>
<td>.005730</td>
<td>.1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>.1302</td>
<td>.01503</td>
<td>.2293</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>.1719</td>
<td>.0379</td>
<td>.4782</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>.01164</td>
<td>.001678</td>
<td>.02099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>.04765</td>
<td>.006031</td>
<td>.05090</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.09438</td>
<td>.005730</td>
<td>.1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>.1302</td>
<td>.01503</td>
<td>.2293</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>.1719</td>
<td>.0379</td>
<td>.4782</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>.01165</td>
<td>.001510</td>
<td>.02018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>.02765</td>
<td>.002090</td>
<td>.04634</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>.07155</td>
<td>.002829</td>
<td>.1183</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>.1403</td>
<td>.008099</td>
<td>.2642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>.1585</td>
<td>.02390</td>
<td>.4502</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_{\text{ref}}$</td>
<td>$\theta_{\text{p}}$</td>
<td>$\delta_{\psi}$</td>
<td>$S_{\psi}^{\text{L}}$ in $10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2$ per steradian$^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k = 0$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.01035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.07286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.1385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.1906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.01035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.07286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.1385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.1906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.01035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.07286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.1385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.1906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.01035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.07286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.1385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.1906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.01035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.07286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.1385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.1906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.01035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.01035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.07286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.1385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.1906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.01035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.07286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.1385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.1906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.01035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.07286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.1385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.1906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.01035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.07286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.1385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.1906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TABLE V**

An example of the behavior of the differential cross section near $\theta_{57} = 0$ and $180^\circ$,

for $E = 3 \text{ BeV}$, $W = 2 \text{ BeV}$, $R = 0.25$, $k = -2$, $\theta_{15} = 30^\circ$, $\theta_{7} = 30^\circ$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta_{57}$ in degrees</th>
<th>$\frac{dn}{dE_{5}} \frac{d\Omega}{d\theta_{7}}$ in $10^{-34} \text{ cm}^2/\text{steradians}^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.009584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>.009852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>.01289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>.07312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>.1286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>.1392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>.1422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>