1 Introduction

In hadron-hadron scattering, interactions are classified by the characteristics of the final states. In elastic scattering, both hadrons emerge unscathed and no other particles are produced. In diffractive scattering, the energy transfer between the two interacting hadrons remains small, but one (single dissociation) or both (double dissociation) hadrons dissociate into multi-particle final states, preserving the quantum numbers of the associated initial hadron. The remaining configurations correspond to inelastic interactions.

The first interpretation of diffraction, developed by Feinberg and Pomeronchuk [1] and Good and Walker [2], was that different components of the projectile were differently absorbed by the target, leading to the creation of new physical states. This was one of the early indications of the composite nature of hadrons.

In the Regge theory of strong interactions [3], diffraction is the result of exchanging a universal trajectory with the quantum numbers of the vacuum, the (soft) Pomeron, $P$, introduced by Gribov [4].

In the language of Quantum Chromodynamics, the candidate for vacuum exchange with properties similar to the soft Pomeron is two gluon exchange [5, 6]. As a result of interactions between the two gluons, a ladder structure develops. In perturbative QCD, the properties of this ladder depend on the energy and scales involved in the interaction, implying its non-universal character. In the high-energy limit, the properties of the ladder have been derived for multi-Regge kinematics and the resulting exchange is called the (hard) BFKL pomeron [7, 8, 9].

A renewed interest in diffractive scattering followed the observation of a copious production of diffractive-like events in deep inelastic scattering (DIS) at the HERA $e\,p$ collider [10, 11] as well as the earlier observation of jet production associated with a leading proton in $p\,\bar{p}$ at CERN [12]. The presence of a large
scale opens the possibility of studying the partonic structure of the diffractive exchange as suggested by Ingelman and Schlein [13] and testing QCD dynamics. Moreover, the study of diffractive scattering offers a unique opportunity to understand the relation between the fundamental degrees of freedom prevailing in soft interactions – hadrons and Regge trajectories, and those of QCD – quarks and gluons. One of the challenges is to establish theoretically and experimentally the reactions in which the soft component is dominant and those in which the perturbative QCD formalism is applicable. In this report, the discussion will focus on single diffractive processes in the presence of a large scale, mainly studied at HERA and at FNAL.

2 Kinematics of diffractive scattering

The variables used to analyze diffractive scattering will be introduced for \( ep \) DIS. Because DIS is perceived as a two-step process in which the incoming lepton emits a photon which then interacts with the proton target, the relevant variables can be readily generalized to \( pp \) interactions.

A diagram for diffractive scattering in DIS, where the diffracted state is separated from the scattered proton by a large rapidity gap (LRG), is presented in Fig. 1. All the relevant four vectors are defined therein. In addition to the usual DIS variables, \( Q^2 = -q^2 = -(k - k')^2, W^2 = (q + p)^2, x = Q^2/2p \cdot q, \) and \( y = p \cdot q/p \cdot k, \) the variables used to described the diffractive final state are,

\[
\begin{align*}
    t &= (p - p')^2, \\
    x_p &= \frac{q \cdot (p - p')}{q \cdot p} \approx \frac{M_X^2 + Q^2}{W^2 + Q^2},
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 1: Schematic diagram for diffractive DIS in \( ep \) interactions.
\[
\beta = \frac{Q^2}{2q \cdot (P - P')} = \frac{x}{x_F} \approx \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + M_X^2}.
\]

\(x_F\) is the fractional loss of the proton longitudinal momentum. It is sometimes denoted by \(\xi\). \(\beta\) is the equivalent of Bjorken \(x\), but relative to the exchanged object. \(M_X\) is the invariant mass of the hadronic final state recoiling against the leading proton, \(M_X^2 = (q + p - p')^2\). The approximate relations hold for small values of the four-momentum transfer squared \(t\) and large \(W\), typical of high energy diffraction.

The need to preserve the identity of the target in diffractive scattering limits the square of the momentum transfer, \(|t| < 1/R_T^2\), where \(R_T\) is the radius of the target. The \(t\) distribution typically has an exponential behavior, \(f(t) \sim \exp(-bt)|t|\) with \(b \approx R_T^2/6\). The allowed \(M_X\) is also limited by the coherence requirement. The minimum value of \(t\) required to produce a given \(M_X\) from a target with mass \(m_T\) is \(|t_{\text{min}}| \approx m_T^2(M_X^2 + Q^2)^2/W^4\). For a typical hadronic radius of 1 fm, \(M_X^2 < 0.2W^2\) and the hadronic final state exhibits a large rapidity gap between the fragments of the diffracted state and the unscathed target (see Fig. 2). Therefore, in collider experiments, diffractive events are identified either by the presence of a fast proton along the beam direction or by the presence of a large rapidity gap in the central detectors.

**3 Formalism of diffractive scattering**

To describe diffractive DIS, it is customary to choose the variables \(x_F\) and \(t\) in addition to the usual \(x\) and \(Q^2\) in the cross section formula. The diffractive contribution to \(F_2\) is denoted by \(F_2^D\) and the corresponding differential contributions
are

\[ F_2^{D(3)} = \frac{dF_2^D}{dx_F}, \quad F_2^{D(4)} = \frac{dF_2^D}{dx_F dt}. \]  

(4)

Throughout this paper, the contribution from the longitudinal structure function is omitted for simplicity. The four-fold differential cross section for diffractive ep scattering can be written as

\[ \frac{d^4\sigma_{ep}^D}{dx_F dx_F dt dx Q^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{x Q^4} \left[ 1 + (1 - y)^2 \right] F_2^{D(4)}(x, Q^2, x_F, t). \]  

(5)

The structure function \( F_2 \) is related to the absorption cross section of a virtual photon by the proton, \( \sigma_{\gamma^*p} \). For diffractive scattering, in the limit of high \( W \) (low \( x \)),

\[ F_2^{D(4)}(x, Q^2, x_F, t) = \frac{Q^2}{4\pi^2\alpha} \frac{d^2\sigma_{y^*p}^D}{dx_F dt}. \]  

(6)

This relation allows predictions for diffractive scattering in DIS based on Regge phenomenology applied to \( y^*p \) scattering. In fact, many of the questions that are addressed in analyzing diffractive scattering are inspired by Regge phenomenology as established in soft hadron-hadron interactions.

### 3.1 Regge phenomenology

For scattering of two hadrons \( a \) and \( b \) at squared center of mass energy \( s \gg m_{a,b}^2, t \), Regge phenomenology implies that,

\[ \frac{d^2\sigma_{\text{el}}}{dt}(ab \rightarrow ab) = \frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}(ab)}{16\pi} e^{(b_0^D + 2\alpha_P \ln s)t}, \]  

(8)

\[ \frac{d^2\sigma^{D}}{dt dM_X^2}(ab \rightarrow Xb) \sim \frac{1}{M_X^2} \left( \frac{s}{M_X^2} \right)^{2(\alpha_F(0) - 1)} e^{(b_0^D + 2\alpha_P \ln \frac{s}{M_X^2})t}, \]  

(9)

where \( \alpha_F(t) = \alpha_F(0) + \alpha_P^t \) is the parameterization of the \( P \) trajectory. The universality of this parameterization has been pointed out by Donnachie and Landshoff. The value of \( \alpha_F(0) = 1.081 \) [14] and \( \alpha_P = 0.25 \text{ GeV}^{-2} \) [15] were derived based on total hadron-proton interaction cross sections and elastic proton-proton data. Recently, the \( P \) intercept has been reevaluated [16] leading to a value of \( \alpha_F(0) = 1.096 \pm 0.03 \). The \( P \) intercept is sometimes presented as \( \alpha_F(0) = 1 + \varepsilon \).

Three implications are worth noting.

1. The slope of the \( t \) distribution is increasing with \( \ln s \). This fact, borne out by the data, is known as shrinkage of the \( t \) distribution. It is due to the fact
that $\alpha'_p \neq 0$ and has been explained by Gribov [4] as diffusion of particles in the exchange towards low transverse momenta, $k_T$, with $\alpha'_p \sim 1/k_T^2$ (see also [17]).

2. A steep and universal $x_F$ dependence of the diffractive cross section is expected, $d\sigma^D/dx_F \sim x_F^{-(1+2\epsilon)}$.

3. The ratios $\sigma_{el}/\sigma_{tot}$ and $\sigma^D/\sigma_{tot}$ rise like $s^\epsilon$. Because $\epsilon > 0$, this is bound to lead to unitarity violation.

3.2 Perturbative QCD

QCD factorization for the diffractive structure function of the proton, $F^D_2$, is expected to hold [18, 19, 20]. $F^D_2$ is decomposed into diffractive parton distributions, $f^D_i$, in a way similar to the inclusive $F_2$,

$$\frac{dF^D_2(x, Q^2, x_F, t)}{dx_F dt} = \sum_i \int_0^{x_F} dz \frac{d f^D_i(z, \mu, x_F, t)}{dz dt} \hat{F}_{2,i}(z, Q^2, \mu),$$

(10)

where $\hat{F}_{2,i}$ is the universal structure function for DIS on parton $i$, $\mu$ is the factorization scale at which $f^D_i$ are probed, and $z$ is the fraction of momentum of the proton carried by the diffractive parton $i$. Diffractive partons are to be understood as those which lead to a diffractive final state. The DGLAP evolution equation applies in the same way as for the inclusive case. For a fixed value of $x_F$, the evolution in $x$ and $Q^2$ is equivalent to the evolution in $\beta$ and $Q^2$.

Note that QCD factorization for the diffractive parton distributions is expected to fail for hard diffraction in hadron collisions [18].

If, following Ingelman and Schlein [13], one further assumes the validity of Regge factorization, $F^D_2$ may be decomposed into a universal $P$ flux and the structure function of $P$,

$$\frac{dF^D_2(x, Q^2, x_F, t)}{dx_F dt} = f_{P/p}(x_F, t)F^P_2(\beta, Q^2),$$

(11)

where the normalization of either of the two components is arbitrary. It implies that the $x_F$ and $t$ dependence of the diffractive cross section is universal, independent of $Q^2$ and $\beta$, and given by (see Eq. 9)

$$f_{P/p}(x_F, t) \sim \left( \frac{1}{x_F} \right)^{2\alpha_F(0)-1} e^{(b_0^D-2\alpha'_p \ln x_F)t}.$$  

(12)

In this approach, the diffractive parton distributions would be obtained as a convolution of the $P$ flux with parton distributions in the $P$.  
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None of the approaches detailed above address the issue of the dynamical origin of the $P$ exchange in perturbative QCD. The mechanism for producing LRG is assumed to be present at some scale and the evolution formalism allows to probe the underlying partonic structure. A more dynamical approach will be discussed in the context of the measurements performed up to date.

4 Measurements of $F_2^D$ at HERA

The data analyzed to date come from $e^+p$ runs with the proton beam momentum of 820 GeV and positron beam of 27.5 GeV. The coverage of phase space in $\beta$ and $Q^2$ as well as $\beta$ and $x_P$ is shown in Fig. 3.

4.1 Energy dependence and Regge factorization

Following the lead of Regge phenomenology, the energy dependence of $\sigma^D(y^*p)$ or the $x_P$ dependence of $F_2^D$ in the data is expressed in terms of $\alpha_P(0)$. For $x_P < 0.01$, and within a given range of $Q^2$, the data are compatible with a universal $x_P$ dependence [21, 22, 23]. The data used for these studies are integrated over $t$. To derive the value of $\alpha_P(0)$, it is assumed that the slope of the $t$ distribution is $Q^2$ independent and is given by $b^D = 7 \text{GeV}^{-2}$ [24]. For lack of other information $\alpha'_P = 0.25 \text{GeV}^{-2}$ is used. The $Q^2$ dependence of $\alpha_P(0)$ derived from diffractive measurements is shown in Fig. 4. For comparison, also shown is the $Q^2$ dependence of $\alpha_P(0)$ derived from the inclusive DIS measurements and conveniently represented by the ALLM97 parameterization [25]. As has been known for a while, the inclusive DIS data at high $W$ are not compatible with a universal $P$ trajectory. They are, however, in very good agreement with expectations of QCD evolution. The diffractive measurements seem to point to some $Q^2$ dependence, but less pronounced than that in the inclusive case. For $Q^2 > 10 \text{GeV}^2$, the value of $\alpha_P(0)$ is significantly higher than measured for soft interactions. The important observation is that the $W$ dependence of diffractive DIS is the same as for the inclusive cross section [22] and slower than would be expected from Regge phenomenology.

4.2 $Q^2$ and $\beta$ dependence

The $Q^2$ dependence of $x_P F_2^{D(3)}$ as measured by the H1 experiment [21, 26] for two values of $x_P$ and for a range of $\beta$ values is shown in Fig. 5. Also shown in the figure is the $\beta$ distribution measured with the leading proton spectrometer (LPS) of the ZEUS detector, at $x_P = 0.01$ for two values of $Q^2$ [27]. Both the $Q^2$ and the $\beta$ dependence of $F_2^D$ are very different from the one known for the proton
Figure 3: Phase space coverage in $\beta$, $Q^2$, and $x_\pi$ for the measurements of $F_2^D$ in $ep$ interactions. Also shown is the $x_\pi$ and $\beta$ coverage of the recent CDF data with the recoil proton measured in the Roman pots (see Section 6.2).

Figure 4: $Q^2$ dependence of $\alpha_\pi(0)$ derived from measurements of diffractive and total $\gamma^* p$ cross sections. The curve (ALLM97) is a representation of the results obtained in inclusive DIS measurements.
There is almost no $Q^2$ dependence for $\beta > 0.4$ and the $\beta$ distribution itself is relatively flat up to large $\beta \approx 1$. This suggests a very different parton content of diffractive scattering compared to the inclusive scattering. As we will see below, for the DGLAP evolution to hold, a large gluon component is required at large $\beta$ to compensate for the loss of quark momenta in the evolution.

### 4.3 Diffractive parton distributions

The diffractive parton density functions (DPDF) are derived from $F_2^D$ by fitting the DGLAP evolution equations with postulated input $\beta$ distributions at some low $Q_0^2$ scale. The fit consists of adjusting the parameters of the input distributions to get the best description of all available data. No momentum sum-rule is available for diffractive partons, however one assumes flavor symmetry for light quarks. The results of such a fitting procedure [21] are shown in Fig. 6. Different solutions are found, however for all cases a large gluon component is required. The net result is that while the density of inclusive quark distributions (represented by the flavor singlet structure function $\Sigma$) decreases with $Q^2$ at $x = 0.2$, the density of diffractive partons is still rising with $Q^2$ at the equivalent $\beta = 0.2$ [28].

### 4.4 Jet and charm production

The partonic structure of diffractive scattering can be further explored by studying the hadronic final states. A large gluon component is expected to lead to a copious production of high $p_T$ jets as well as of charm, through the boson-gluon fusion diagram depicted in Fig. 7. The H1 experiment has measured the cross section for dijet production in diffractive scattering [29]. The observed rates are in agreement with expectations based on DPDFs obtained from fits to $F_2^D$.

Diffractive charm production has also been measured [30, 31]. While ZEUS measurements are in agreement with expectations, the H1 result seems to be smaller than expected. Note that the statistical and systematic errors of these measurements are quite large and a definite answer awaits more data.

### 5 Generic model for diffractive DIS

A tremendous theoretical effort has been, and still is, devoted to the understanding of the dynamics behind diffractive DIS (for recent reviews see [32, 33] and references therein\(^1\)). A simple picture of diffraction emerges if the process
Figure 5: Left and upper right plots: $Q^2$ dependence of $x_p F_2^{D(3)}$ at fixed values of $\beta$ and $x_p$ as denoted in the figure. Lower right plot: $\beta$ dependence of $x_p F_2^{D(4)}$ (for $0.073 < |t| < 0.4 \text{GeV}^2$) at fixed values of $Q^2$ and $x_p$ as denoted in the figure.

Figure 6: Left: diffractive parton densities $\beta f(\beta)$ as a function of $\beta$ obtained by fitting the DGLAP evolution equations. Right: difference in $Q^2$ evolution of the singlet structure function $\Sigma$ for diffractive and inclusive parton distributions for $\beta = 0.2$ and $x = 0.2$ respectively.
Figure 7: Left: boson-gluon-fusion diagram. Right: The dependence of diffractive dijet cross section on $z_P^{jet}$, the experimental estimate of $z$ as defined in the left diagram. The curves correspond to expectations for different DPDFs.

is viewed in the rest frame of the proton. The virtual photon develops a partonic fluctuation, whose lifetime is $\tau = 1/2m_p x$ [42]. At the small $x$ typical of HERA, where $\tau \sim 10−100$ fm, it is the partonic state rather than the photon that scatters off the proton. If the scattering is elastic, the final state will have the features of diffraction.

The equivalence between the approach in the proton rest frame and in the Breit frame is schematically depicted in Fig. 8 [43]. An interaction initiated by fluctuation of $\gamma^*$ into a $q\bar{q}$ ($q\bar{q}g$) state will contribute to the diffractive quark (gluon) distribution. The fluctuations of the $\gamma^*$ are described by the wave functions of the transversely and longitudinally polarized $\gamma^*$ which are known from perturbative QCD. Small and large partonic configurations of the photon fluctuation are present. For large configurations, non-perturbative effects dominate in the interaction and the treatment of this contribution is subject to modeling. For a small configuration of partons (large relative $k_T$) the total interaction cross section of the created color dipole on a proton target is given by [44, 45]

$$\sigma_{q\bar{q}p} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} r^2 \alpha_s(\mu) x g(x, \mu),$$

$$\sigma_{q\bar{q}gp} \approx \sigma_{ggp} = \frac{9}{4} \sigma_{q\bar{q}p},$$

where $r$ is the transverse size of the color dipole and $\mu \sim 1/r^2$ is the scale at which the gluon distribution $g$ of the proton is probed. The corresponding elastic cross section is obtained from the optical theorem. In this picture, the gluon dominance in diffraction results from the dynamics of perturbative QCD (see Eq. (14)).
The interesting observation is that all the models, whether starting from a purely perturbative approach [28] or a semi-classical approach [36], give a good description of the $Q^2$ and $\beta$ dependence of $F^D_2$. The leading-twist behavior of diffractive DIS is determined by the hadronic nature of the $\gamma^* p$ interactions. The $\beta$ dependence seems to be mostly determined by the wave function of $\gamma^*$. A possible explanation of the success of the perturbative approach to diffractive DIS has been proposed by Mueller [46]. If the large size $\gamma^*$ fluctuations were to be absorbed, diffraction would be dominated by small size configurations which lend themselves to perturbative calculations. The absorption would be a manifestation of unitarity effects and would explain the slower than expected $W$ dependence of the $\gamma^* p$ diffractive cross section (see Section 4.1). Absorption effects have been successfully incorporated in some of the models [37, 38].

The possibility that unitarity effects at low $x$ are not negligible has been pointed out by Frankfurt and Strikman [45]. The probability that an interaction on parton $i$ is associated with a diffractive final state, $P^D_i$, is defined as

$$P^D_i(x, Q^2) = \frac{\int f^D_i(x/x_F, Q^2, x_F, t) \, dx_F \, dt}{f_i(x, Q^2)},$$

(15)

where $f_i$ stands for the inclusive density of parton $i$. $P^D_i$ may be interpreted as the ratio of elastic to total cross sections, $r_{el}(i) = \sigma_{el}(i)/\sigma_{tot}(i)$, induced either by a $q\bar{q}$ ($i = q$) or $q\bar{q}g$ ($i = g$) configurations of $\gamma^*$. In the black disc limit, $r_{el} = 0.5$. In DIS, for $Q^2 = 4.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $x < 10^{-3}$, the calculation using the ACTW [47] parameterization leads to $P^D_{\theta} \approx 0.4$ for gluons and $P^D_{\bar{q}} \approx 0.15$ for quarks. The value of $P^D_{\theta}$, close to the black disc limit, may indicate the presence of unitarity effects in the gluon sector of the evolution.
6 Diffraction in $p\bar{p}$ scattering

Diffractive-like events have been observed in hard $p\bar{p}$ scattering by both the CDF and the D0 experiments. The signature of these events, depicted in Fig. 9, is the presence of a large rapidity gap in one of the forward regions associated with the presence of either large transverse momentum jets [48, 49], beauty [50], $J/\psi$ [51], or the $W^\pm$ boson [52].

6.1 Rates of diffractive processes

A compilation of the published and preliminary results on the ratio of diffractive to inclusive events with a given hadronic final state is presented in Fig. 10 as a function of the typical average scale of the process. The measurements are compared to expectations obtained using the ACTW-fit D parameterization [47] of DPDFs. In order to reproduce vaguely the measured numbers, the expectations have to be scaled down by a factor 20. This may not be surprising as QCD factorization is not applicable to hard diffractive scattering in hadron collisions [18]. What might be surprising is that the overall scale dependence is quite well reproduced. Unfortunately, there are no calculations available for $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 630$ GeV. The difference in the contribution of the diffractive quarks and gluons to different processes has been used by CDF to constrain, to leading order, the momentum fraction $f_\theta$ of gluons relative to all diffractive partons. Including $W$, jet, and beauty production, $f_\theta = 0.54^{+0.16}_{-0.14}$, marginally less than in diffractive DIS, where this ratio is close to 90%, for next-to-leading order partons.

6.2 $\xi$ and $\beta$ dependence

The fractional momentum loss $\xi$ of the proton in hard diffractive jet production has been measured by D0 [49]. For $\sqrt{s} = 1800$ (630) GeV, the distribution peaks at $\xi \approx 0.03$ (0.07) and extends to $\xi = 0.1$ (0.2). This is a region where contributions from sub-leading trajectories may become important. CDF has measured $\xi$ and $\beta$ by detecting the leading $p\bar{p}$ in a forward spectrometer consisting of Roman pots [53]. The results are expressed in terms of an effective structure function $F_{jj}^D$ derived from the rate of leading $p\bar{p}$ and the two-jet inclusive cross section. The shape of the $\beta$ distribution was found to be independent of $\xi$, suggesting Regge factorization. For the measured range, $0.04 < \xi < 0.09$, the $\xi$ dependence of $F_{jj}^D$ at $\beta = 0.2$ is described by $\xi^{-0.87}$. The $\beta$ distribution of $F_{jj}^D$ is shown in Fig. 11 and compared to expectations using the H1 - fit 2 parameterization [21]. To get the right order of magnitude for $\beta > 0.4$, the expectations have to be scaled down by a factor 20. In addition, CDF observes a relatively higher yield of low $\beta$ events. Without the power of the QCD factoriza-
Figure 9: Schematic representation of single diffractive events in $p\bar{p}$ collisions and the corresponding density of the phase space of the azimuthal angle $\phi$ and of pseudorapidity $\eta$.

Figure 10: Ratio of single diffraction to inclusive production in $p\bar{p}$, SD/INCL, as a function of the approximate hard scale in the process. JJ stands for two jet production while C and F stand for forward and central production. Horizontal bars are expectations obtained using the ACTW parameterization, fit D [47], scaled down by factor 20.

...tion theorem and given the very different $\xi$ range, it is very difficult to draw any conclusions from the difference in the $p\bar{p}$ and $ep$ data.
Figure 11: $\beta$ distribution of two jet production with a leading $p$ in $p\bar{p}$ collisions compared to expectations based on diffractive parton distributions obtained at HERA [21] scaled down by factor 20.

Figure 12: Schematic diagram for exclusive vector meson, $V$, production in hard diffractive scattering.

7 Vector meson production

To test ideas behind the generic model of diffractive DIS (see Section 5) and its hard component, one needs to devise a trigger to isolate when the photon fluctuates into a small-size $q\bar{q}$ configuration. A small-size $q\bar{q}$ dipole is most likely to be produced if the virtual photon is longitudinally polarized or if the dipole consists of heavy quarks such as $c\bar{c}$ or $b\bar{b}$. The exclusive $J/\psi$ [54] and $\Upsilon$ photoproduction as well as vector meson production in DIS [55] are likely candidates for hard diffractive processes fully calculable in perturbative QCD. The corresponding diagram is shown in Fig. 12. The predictions of perturbative QCD are very distinct:
1. The flavor dependence of $\sigma_V = \sigma(\gamma^* p \rightarrow Vp)$ is determined by the photon quark current and the SU(4) relation

$$\sigma_\rho : \sigma_\omega : \sigma_\phi : \sigma_{J/\psi} = 9 : 1 : 2 : 8, \quad (16)$$

which is badly broken in soft interactions (see e.g. [56]), is restored.

2. Exclusive $V$ production in DIS is dominated by the longitudinal $\gamma_L^*$ component and [55]

$$\frac{d\sigma_{V,L}}{dt} = \frac{A}{Q^6} \alpha_s(Q^2) |x g(x, Q^2)|^2, \quad (17)$$

where $A$ depends on the $V$ wave function. At low $x$, the gluon distribution increases rapidly when $x$ decreases ($W$ increases) and therefore a rapid increase of $\sigma_V$ with $W$ is expected.

3. Because of the fast increase with $Q^2$ of gluons at low $x$, the expected $Q^2$ dependence is slower than $Q^{-6}$.

4. The $t$ distribution should be universal, determined by the two-gluon form-factor, with $\alpha_s' \approx 1/Q^2$, because of the perturbative nature of the interaction.

Below some of the recent experimental findings are reviewed. A detailed discussion of the HERA results can be found in [32].

### 7.1 Flavor dependence

A compilation of the ratio of $\phi$, $\omega$, and $J/\psi$ production cross sections to that of the $\rho^0$ as a function of $Q^2$ is shown in Fig. 13. The $\phi : \rho^0$ and $J/\psi : \rho^0$ ratios increase with $Q^2$ and at high $Q^2$ reach, within errors, the value expected from SU(4). The $\omega : \rho^0$ ratio remains constant.
7.2 \( W \) dependence

The \( W \) dependence of exclusive \( V \) photoproduction is shown in Fig. 14. The striking fact is the fast rise of the \( J/\psi \) cross section, which has now been measured by the H1 experiment up to \( W = 300 \text{ GeV} \) [57]. This rise is in good agreement with perturbative QCD calculations [59, 58]. For \( \rho^0 \) production [61, 60], the rise of the cross section with \( W \) depends on \( Q^2 \), as shown in Fig. 14. As can be seen, only at about \( Q^2 = 20 \text{ GeV}^2 \) the steepness of the rise is comparable to that of the \( J/\psi \). This may be attributed to the effective scale in \( V \) production, \( Q^2_{\text{eff}} \), which depends not only on \( Q^2 \), but also on wave function effects [59]. The rise with \( W \) of \( \sigma_V \sim W^\delta \) can be quantified by \( \delta \), which is shown in Fig. 15 as a function of \( Q^2_{\text{eff}} \). The results for different processes seem to line up along the same curve, which, above \( Q^2_{\text{eff}} \approx 4 \text{ GeV}^2 \), follows the expectations from the \( W \) dependence of \( \gamma^* p \) total cross section.

Contrary to expectations, the \( \Upsilon \) production cross section was found to be larger than predicted by perturbative calculations [62, 63]. This disagreement led to two findings [64, 65]. The gluons exchanged in \( V \) production do not have the same value of \( x \). For a \( V \) with mass \( M_V \) produced from a \( \gamma^* \) with virtuality \( Q^2 \), the difference \( \delta x \) is given by

\[
\delta x = \frac{M_V^2 + Q^2}{W^2 + Q^2}.
\]  

For \( \Upsilon \) production, \( \delta x \) can be large and the skewed gluon distribution has to be used. The skewed (also called off-forward) parton distributions are hybrid objects, which combine properties of form factors and ordinary parton distributions [66, 67]. The use of the skewed distribution leads to an enhancement of \( \sigma_\Upsilon \) by a factor \( \approx 2 \). For a process with a fast rise with \( W \), the relation between the elastic cross section and the total cross section has the form

\[
\frac{d\sigma_{\text{el}}}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} = \left(1 + \left(\frac{\text{Re}A}{\text{Im}A}\right)^2\right) \frac{\sigma_{\text{tot}}^2}{16\pi},
\]

where the ratio of the real to imaginary part of the scattering amplitude, \( A \), cannot be neglected. This produces another enhancement factor of 1.5–1.7. The present agreement of theoretical calculations with data is shown in Fig. 16.

7.3 \( Q^2 \) dependence

The \( Q^2 \) dependence of the cross section at fixed \( W \) (ranging from 75 to 90 GeV) is parameterized as \( \sigma_V \sim (Q^2 + M_V^2)^{-n} \) [61, 60, 68, 69]. The power \( n \) as a function of \( M_V \) is shown in Fig. 17 and is found to be substantially smaller than 3 (see Eq. (17)).
Figure 14: Left: $W$ dependence of the cross section $\sigma(\gamma p \rightarrow Vp)$ for various vector mesons, from fixed target and HERA measurements. Right: $W$ dependence of $\rho^0$ cross section for different $Q^2$ values compared to $J/\psi$ photoproduction.

Figure 15: The power $\delta$ of the $W^\delta$ dependence of $\sigma(\gamma^{(*)} p \rightarrow Vp)$ as a function of the effective scale of the scattering, $Q_{eff}^2$. The curve represents the expectations from the $W$ dependence of the total $\gamma^{(*)} p$ cross sections.

### 7.4 $t$ dependence

A compilation of the exponential slopes $b$ of the $t$ distributions at $Q^2 \approx 0$ as a function of $M_V$ is shown in Fig. 18. For $\rho^0$ production, $b$ is also shown as a function of $Q^2$. The value of the slope decreases both with the mass of the $V$ and with $Q^2$, towards the value measured for the $J/\psi$, pointing to a decreasing size
of the interaction region. The perturbative character of $J/\psi$ production can be best established by deriving the value of $\alpha'_p$ from the $W$ dependence of the cross section at fixed $t$ (see Eq. (8))

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} \sim W^{4(\alpha_{P}(t) - 1)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Earlier measurements at HERA, combined with low energy data, indicated that $\alpha(t) \simeq \text{const}$ [70] (see Fig. 19 left). H1 determined $\alpha'_p$ using only their own data [57]. The result, shown in Fig. 19 with $\alpha'_p = 0.05 \pm 0.15 \text{GeV}^{-2}$, supports the hard nature of exclusive $J/\psi$ production at HERA.

8 Deeply virtual Compton scattering

Another example of hard diffractive scattering is the exclusive production of a real photon in DIS [71] (see Fig. 20). In this deeply virtual Compton scattering
Figure 18: Left: the exponential slope $b$ of the $t$ distribution in $\gamma^* p \rightarrow V p$ at HERA as a function of $M_V^2$. Right: the exponential slope $b$ as a function of $Q^2$ for $\rho^0$ production.

Figure 19: The Pomeron trajectory $\alpha_P(t)$ as determined from low energy and HERA data (left) and from H1 data alone (right).
Figure 20: Diagrams for real $\gamma$ production in DIS.

(DVCS) (for recent discussions see [66, 67]), the cross section is less suppressed by $Q^2$ than in exclusive vector meson production [71], and the interpretation of the data is easier due to the lack of $V$ wave function effects. DVCS interferes with the QED Compton process, which leads to an identical final state, as depicted in Fig. 20. The interference may allow a direct measurement of the real part of the QCD scattering amplitude. By extracting the DVCS, skewed parton distributions can be measured and additional information on the $F_2$ of the proton at low $x$ can be obtained [71].

The ZEUS experiment has searched for DVCS in DIS events with $Q^2 > 6 \mathrm{GeV}^2$ and for $5 \cdot 10^{-4} < x < 10^{-2}$ [72]. Events with two electromagnetic, $e/\gamma$, candidates were selected with no additional hadronic activity in the central detector. The second candidate was defined as the one produced closest to the original proton direction, with angle $\theta_2$, and was required to be in the region of the detector where tracking is available. The data were compared to the expectations of a QED Compton MC [73] and a MC which included DVCS (GenDVCS) [74]. The results are shown in Fig. 21. A clear excess of $\gamma$ candidates at low $\theta_2$ is observed over expectations from the QED Compton process, while their rate is well reproduced by GenDVCS. The signal for DVCS is now established at HERA.

9 Outlook

This report is far from a complete account of diffractive physics. Its main purpose was to review the progress achieved in understanding diffractive phenomena with the language of perturbative QCD. Much has been learned from studying inclusive diffraction, where the gluons are found to be the main players. Diffractive DIS provides a complementary area to inclusive DIS in studying the dynamics of QCD at high energy. The new class of hard diffractive processes, such as exclusive vector meson production and deeply virtual Compton scattering, fulfill the properties expected from perturbative QCD. A comprehensive study of these processes may provide, in the future, important information on the gluon con-
Figure 21: Fraction of photon candidates, $N_{\gamma}/N^{\text{TOTAL}}$, for the second electromagnetic candidate in the calorimeter with energy $E > 5\text{ GeV}$, produced at angle $\theta_2$, closest to the proton direction. The data (dots) are compared to MC expectations for GenDVCS (circles) and for elastic Compton scattering only (triangles).

tent in the proton and on the wave functions of vector mesons. The accumulated data cannot be described coherently by one universal Pomeron trajectory. The issue of what the Pomeron is requires further study.

Two subjects of potential interest, which were not discussed due to time constraints, are the large $t$ diffractive scattering studied at FNAL [75, 76] and HERA [77] and the double-$P$ exchange studied at FNAL [78]. Here more theoretical work is necessary. It is quite clear that the emerging picture of diffraction will not be satisfactory without a complete account of all hard diffractive processes.
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Discussion

Harry Lipkin (Weizmann Institute): I would like to point out that the Donnachie and Landshoff fit to the total cross section, which was accepted by the Particle Data Group in 1966, was thrown out by them in 1998 with a different value of the Pomeron intercept. I have fit the same data some time ago with a still different value. The point is that there are still not enough data on total cross sections to tell us whether there is a universal Pomeron with a universal intercept that is coupled to meson-baryon and baryon-baryon total cross sections. What are needed are new data at higher energies. The recent data on hyperon-nucleus cross sections from SELEX actually support my model, but I don’t believe that either. I think that what is happening is that there is a non-leading contribution which confuses the issue and is not understood. To resolve this, we just have to get more data at higher energies.
Abramowicz: There is no denying that a well “measured” soft Pomeron would help to establish when the departure from universality becomes effective. However, it is difficult to imagine that it would alter in any way the fact that the $W$ dependence of the total $\gamma^* p$ cross section changes with $Q^2$ and follows the laws of QCD evolution. I think that even the diffractive data, imprecise as they are, cannot be accommodated by a universal trajectory. It may well be that the idealized Pomeron of Gribov is just a mathematical construction, while the pomeron we try to investigate is just another name for the nature of strong interactions. The latter, by virtue of QCD and the interplay of soft and hard physics, remain versatile.