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A preliminary design of the PEP-N electromagnetic calorimeter is given. The spatial, energy and time resolutions achievable using a KLOE type electromagnetic calorimeter are presented.

1. PHYSICS REQUIREMENTS AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

At PEP-N energies, photons with energies lower than 100 MeV are produced, as shown in Figure 1 for one of the channels with higher cross section $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0$. In order to achieve these goals the electromagnetic calorimeter should:

- be hermetic.
- have high efficiency, till 20 MeV, for charged and neutral particles.
- have good (few %) energy resolution for photons.
- have good time resolution in order to separate $N\overline{N}$ events from other final states.

The KLOE electromagnetic calorimeter [1] was designed to detect photons in the 20–500 MeV energy range with good time resolution, so its performances have been taken as guidelines in the design of the PEP-N electromagnetic calorimeter. This detector can provide a fast and unbiased first level trigger, with high acceptance for final states with low energy photons. A good $K/\pi$ separation is achievable and also some kind of $\pi/\mu$ discrimination is possible.

1.1. The KLOE electromagnetic calorimeter

The KLOE calorimeter is a fine sampling lead and scintillating fibers calorimeter. The barrel modules have trapezoidal cross section, 4.3 m-long, 60 cm-wide and 23 cm-thick. Each module is obtained gluing 0.5 mm-thick lead foils worked to house the 1 mm diameter fibers. The resulting structure (Figure 2) has a fiber:lead:glue volume ratio of 42 : 48 : 10, an average density of 5g/cm$^3$, a mean radiation length of 1.5 cm, and a sampling fraction of $\sim 15\%$ for minimum ionizing particles. The readout granularity is $\sim (4.4 \times 4.4)$ cm$^2$, for a total number of 4880 read-out channels. Precision in measuring the

Figure 1: Angular (rad.) energy (GeV) photon correlation for $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-2\pi^0$. 

The main goals of the PEP-N physics programs are:

- measurement of $R$ with a 2% or better error. This condition requests the measurement of all exclusive channels.
- measurement of the $N\overline{N}$ form factors.
- do spectroscopy in the energy range covered by the machine in order to study new possible final states.
Figure 2: Sketch of a lead-scintillating fiber module.

Photon conversion point in the transverse plane of $\simeq 1$ cm has been achieved. The coordinate along the fiber is measured using the relation: $z = v_f \cdot (\Delta T)/2$, with $\Delta T$ the time difference at the two module ends and $v_f$ the effective light propagation speed in the fibers. The measured effective light propagation speed is $v_f = 17.2$ cm/ns.

The $z$ resolution is

$$\sigma_z = \frac{1.24 \text{ cm}}{\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})}}.$$

The obtained performances (Figure 3), are summarized as follows:

• detection efficiency for photons with energy between 20 MeV and 500 MeV of about 99%.
• resolution in the photon conversion point position in the transverse plane of $\simeq 1$ cm and in the $z$ coordinate

$$\sigma_z = \frac{1.24 \text{ cm}}{\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})}}.$$

• energy resolution

$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} \simeq \frac{5.7\%}{\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})}}.$$

• time resolution

$$\sigma_t \simeq \frac{54 \text{ ps}}{\sqrt{E(\text{GeV})}} + 110 \text{ ps}.$$

The calorimeter is inside a 0.6 T magnetic field with a consequent residual magnetic field up to 0.2 T in the photomultipliers (PM) area and with an angle with respect to PM axis up to 25°; for this reason fine mesh photomultipliers, Hamamatsu R5946, were specially designed for KLOE.

2. THE PEP-N ELECTROMAGNETIC CALORIMETER

In Figure 4 an exploded view of the calorimeter design is shown. It consists of a barrel, and forward and backward detectors.

• Each of the vertical sides of the barrel have 3 modules with a rectangular cross section, 220 cm-long, 55 cm-high and 25 cm-thick, with fibers parallel to the beams (BCAL detector). Also the horizontal sides have 3 modules 220 cm-long, 50 cm-wide, 15 cm-thick, positioned over and under the TPC chamber, in order to complete the coverage of the azimuthal acceptance (PCAL detector). The angular region covered by the barrel modules is $27^\circ < \theta < 135^\circ$. Due to lack of space PCAL detector is only 15 cm thick. The efficiency and energy resolution, simulated with Montecarlo, are shown in Figure 5 and

Figure 4: Exploded view of the PEP-N calorimeter.
The efficiency is greater than 99% for energies higher than 40 MeV. The gamma energy resolution is

\[ \sigma_E \approx 11\% \sqrt{E} \text{ (GeV)} \]

The forward detector (FCAL) is made of modules (Table 1) with the same thickness as BCAL. They are located at \((130 < z < 155)\) cm, cover an area of \(280 \times 180\) cm\(^2\), with polar angle range \(6^\circ < \theta < 27.5^\circ\) (Figure 7).

The backward detector (RCAL) is composed of 2 modules positioned at \(z = -140\) cm. They are 120 cm-long, 54 cm-high and 15 cm-thick (Figure 8), specifically designed to detect very low energy photons. The total area covered is \(120 \times 120\) cm\(^2\) \((135^\circ < \theta < 180^\circ)\).

In Table I the dimensions, number of modules and number of photomultipliers requested are summarized.

We have assumed the same readout granularity as KLOE, that is \(~ (4.4 \times 4.4)\) cm\(^2\), so that the total number of photomultipliers is 1350. With this configuration, precision in measuring the photon conversion point in the transverse plane of \(~ 1\) cm should be achieved. The inclusive photon energy distributions in the four detectors, at \(E_{\text{cms}} = 2.25\) GeV, is shown in Figure 9.

### 3. \(K - \pi\) Separation Using PEP-N Calorimeter

The PEP-N detector is equipped with an aerogel detector for \(K - \pi\) separation in the \((0.6 < P_{\text{tot}} < 1.6)\) GeV/c momentum range. The TPC chamber can be used to separate particles with momenta lower than 0.6 GeV/c (dE/dX measurement). Unfortunately the TPC measures badly the dE/dX of particles hitting the pole calorimeter PCAL where, due to lack of space, it is difficult to insert a specific particle identification detector. Because of very good time resolution, better than 0.2 ns for m.i.p., PCAL can supply this information. Figure 10 shows the \(K - \pi\) separation as function of the momentum after 1 m of path length. Pions and kaons are well separated till 1 GeV/c momenta after 1 m of path. In Figure 11 the time-momentum separation for the process \(KK\pi\pi\) is shown for FCAL, BCAL and PCAL at \(E_{\text{cms}} = 2.25\) GeV. A \(3\sigma\) separation is shown for these process till 0.8 GeV/c momenta.

**Figure 5:** Efficiency for PCAL detector. The \(\gamma\) energies are in MeV.

**Figure 6:** The efficiency is greater than 99% for energies higher than 40 MeV. The gamma energy resolution is

\[ \sigma_E \approx 11\% \sqrt{E} \text{ (GeV)} \]

**Figure 7:** FCAL detector.

**Figure 8:** The gamma energy resolution is

\[ \sigma_E \approx 11\% \sqrt{E} \text{ (GeV)} \]

**Figure 9:** Efficiency of the PEP-N Calorimeter.

**Figure 10:** The \(K - \pi\) separation as function of the momentum after 1 m of path length.

**Figure 11:** The time-momentum separation for the process \(KK\pi\pi\) at \(E_{\text{cms}} = 2.25\) GeV.
The present preliminary design of the electromagnetic calorimeter fulfills all the physics requirements for PEP-N. The energy resolution is acceptable, even compared to more expensive crystal detectors. The excellent timing resolution is a very nice feature that could be used to reduce background contributions and also do particle identification. The very high efficiency for very low energy photons gives the possibility to build a minimum bias first level trigger.
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Figure 9: Photon energy distribution in calorimeter detectors.

Figure 10: $K - \pi$ separation as function of momentum after 1 m of path length.
Figure 11: Time (ns)–momentum (GeV/c) correlation for KKππ events for FCAL, BCAL and PCAL at $E_{\text{CMS}} = 2.25$ GeV.