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Abstract

The BaBar database was built using the Objectivity OO database management system. When the system was original created, any job only had access to a single federation of databases and this federation was limited to a maximum of 64K databases, i.e. files. It became clear early on that given the expected amount of data and the anticipated access patterns that the 64K restriction would have to be removed by some means. By collaborating closely with Objectivity a number of candidate schemes were contemplated. The final choice was to allow a job to be able to access more than one federation while it was executing. This decision lead to a re-engineering of some of the core database infrastructure while leaving most of the higher level database code (and all of the physics code) untouched. This paper presents the overall philosophies adopted so as to allow a smooth migration from a single to multiple federation system. It also reviews the changes to the core infrastructure that were needed to enable multiple federation support and the new structures that were introduced to exploit this new mode of operation. Throughout the discussions it examines how the original design choices helped or hindered this transition.
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1 Introduction

BaBar has been filling its single production Objectivity federation since it first turned on in 1999. However, during this time it has become apparent that this federation does not have the capacity to hold all of the data the experiment is expected to collect over its lifetime. A number of possible solutions have been considered, with the final choice being that of allowing a single job access to more than one federation during its execution.

This paper will discuss how this new solution was implemented within the confines of the existing data and the current state of progress on the transition to this new mode of operation.

2 Original Design

In the original design of the BaBar Objectivity federation, data was divided onto six separate and distinct Domains. Each Domain was characterized by a different access pattern and thus a different interface. The Domains, along with a user’s identity, were also the basis for access authorizations. The following is a summary of each Domain:

- Event Store – contains event data and collections of events.
- Conditions – contains calibration data, either specified, derived or as the results of rolling calibrations.
- Configuration – contains the various configurations of the detector.
- Ambient – contains recorded data about ambient conditions during data taking.
- Spatial – contains the results of the rolling calibrations for a single processing node.
- Temporal – contains the combined results of rolling calibrations for all node in a single run.

One feature about the Domains that was to be crucial for the transition to multiple federations was that there were no explicit references between objects in different Domains. Access to “matching” objects in other Domains was achieved by keys.
While there are no explicit references between Domains, as only one Objectivity federation can be accessed by a job while it is executing, all Domains existed in a single federation. This placed limitations on that federation. For example, each federation is limited to 64K databases (each database maps onto one file) and thus to accommodate our large data volume databases had to be large, 2-20 GB. Also, each development federation had to contain complete copies of the conditions and configuration Domains if reconstruction code was going to be used in these federations.

3 Possible Solutions

BaBar consulted with Objectivity about a series of possible solutions. The following were the main three options:

- Extending the database range – this would increase the 64K database limit of the existing federation. However, this would require a substantial amount of re-engineering of the ODBMS.
- Multiple file databases – this would allow a database to span more than one file. However, as the database is the basic unit of management, this may not be too useful.
- Multiple Federations – this would allow a single job to access more than one federation while it is executing.

The first two options would require significant work to modify the ODBMS while it turned out that, allowing for a number of restrictions (see below), the third option was already viable with version 6.0 of the product. It was therefore decided to go with this option.

3.1 Restriction on Multiple federations

While it was already possible to access more than one federation in a single job with version 6.0 of Objectivity, it was discovered that the schemas for each of these federations must be physically identical or certain operations would fail. The direct effects of this are that federations created with different versions of Objectivity may not be accessed in the same job and it is not possible to mix federations with different page sizes as both of these situations generate different physical schema.

Another problem, though not really a restriction, with using multiple federations is that the implementation of the ooHandle class does not check that it is being accessed in conjunction with the right ooContext, and each federation requires a different ooContext. This means that great care needs to be taken to make sure that these match at all times in a job. (This is true for any job using more than one ooContext, not just those accessing multiple federations.)

Both the restriction on the schema and the problem seen in ooHandle access are scheduled to be overcome in version 7.0 of the product.

4 Migration to Multiple federations

The migration from BaBar’s original design of a single Objectivity federation to multiple federations broke down into two different phases. The first phase was to allow each Domain to exist in its own federation. This is known as Domain Divestiture. The second phase is to be able to expand the Event Store so that it could span more than one federation. (The other Domains are not expected to grow to a size where they need to use more than a single federation.)

4.1 Domain Divestiture

As has already been noted, Domains do not contain any explicit references to other Domains. This makes their divestiture relatively straight forward. The main challenge at of this phase
was to change the Objectivity management of a job as transparently as possible. Originally this task has been handled by a single instance of a class shared across all Domains. However, now that each Domain could have its own federation each Domain needed its own instance of a management class. The only new concept that needed to be introduced was the idea of activating a Domain. This was a method to signal which instance of the management class should be used until either the next activation or the Domain was deactivated, in which case the previously active Domain was returned to being active.

Once the new Objectivity management was in place the implementation of divesting the Domains was simply a matter of adding a scoped string for each Domain that, if it existed, specified the federation to use for that Domain. If no string existed for a Domain then it would use the federation in which it was already executing.

4.2 Expansion of the Event Store

The expansion of the Event Store posed a different set of problems from that of Domain Divestiture. It needed to be able to access more than one federation from within a single Domain. Moreover any solution needed to take into account that we already had over a year and a half’s worth of data in an existing production federation.

Figure 1 shows both the structure, within a single federation, of an Event Store as well as the eventual solution.

To understand the solution, it is best to first look at the structure of the Event Store within a single federation, for example “Federation $x$”. Access to the event is via one of three styles of collections. The middle collection is the result of production reconstruction and contains navigation to, and ownership of, all of the data related to the event. The top most collection is the result of skimming the production collection. In this case the event data is borrowed, en mass, from the production collection. The lower most collection is the result of reprocessing some portions of the event. Those portions that are not reprocessed are borrowed.
from the original event while those portions that are, have new data owned by the new version of the event.

It can be seen from the diagram that all three styles of access have references to common portions of the event, either all or part of it. This means that all the data related to a single event must be contained within the same federation, as no inter-federation references are possible in the current product. Thus the solution to allow the Event Store to be contained in multiple federations is to create a new type of collection, called a *Bridge Collection*, which contains a list of other collections and the federations in which they exist. Then, by iteration over the contents of the Bridge Collection, a job can access each event collection in turn, switching federations when necessary. As access to events is already facilitated by using iterators over the content of collections this means that by providing a suitable iterator, which manages the federation switching, to the client code it can use these new collections transparently and no modification in their code was required.

5 Current Status

As of the middle of 2001, the new Objectivity management class has been implemented, including the necessary changes so that jobs can be *inhibited* (to allow for administrative duties to be performed) and to make sure authorizations are matched to the correct federation. A read-only version of a Bridge Collection is currently being tested and no limitations with respect to version 6.1 of Objectivity have yet been discovered. Work is in progress on a writable Bridge Collection.

6 Summary

After having collected data in a single Objectivity federation during the first year and a half of running, it became clear that, as it stood, the existing federation would not be able to hold all the data produced by BaBar. After having reviewed a number of possible solutions it was decided to allow a job to access more than one federation while it was executing. The existing methods of use of Objectivity in BaBar meant that the restrictions imposed by version 6.1 of Objectivity did not stop this solution from being practical.

Implementation of the solution required only a small change to the portion of the Domain interface which handled Objectivity management, to include a mechanism to signal which Domain was currently in use. The simplicity of this change was the result of not allowing Domains to reference objects outside the Domain in which they were stored.

The expansion of the Event Store, similarly, needed only minor changes to allow the addition of a new Bridge Collection class. This change was transparent to the clients of collection as the federation switching was encapsulated in the implementation of a suitable iterator.

The use of multiple federations in BaBar is now almost complete and thus there should be plenty of capacity into which to store its data over the forthcoming years.