

Search for Neutral D Meson Mixing using Semileptonic Decays

Kevin Flood

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94309

SLAC-Report-818

Prepared for the Department of Energy
under contract number DE-AC02-76SF00515

Printed in the United States of America. Available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

This document, and the material and data contained therein, was developed under sponsorship of the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the Department of Energy, nor the Leland Stanford Junior University, nor their employees, nor their respective contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes an warranty, express or implied, or assumes any liability of responsibility for accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use will not infringe privately owned rights. Mention of any product, its manufacturer, or suppliers shall not, nor is it intended to, imply approval, disapproval, or fitness of any particular use. A royalty-free, nonexclusive right to use and disseminate same of whatsoever, is expressly reserved to the United States and the University.

**SEARCH FOR NEUTRAL D MESON MIXING USING
SEMILEPTONIC DECAYS**

A Dissertation Presented

by

KEVIN T. FLOOD

Submitted to the Graduate School of the
University of Massachusetts Amherst in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

May 2004

Department of Physics

© Copyright by Kevin T. Flood 2004
All Rights Reserved

To all the lost sheep and the good shepherds who search for them.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to my colleagues at UMass and SLAC for their efforts and support. In particular, I would like to thank my UMass advisors, Stan Hertzbach and Guy Blaylock, for their very substantial help and good advice along the way. In addition, I have benefited greatly from many detailed discussions with Mike Sokoloff, a Babar colleague, who took the time to thoroughly understand and critique my analysis, and helped improve it with better particle identification, among other things. I would like to also specifically thank the members of the Babar Charm Physics Analysis Working Group, who have listened to and reviewed my presentations, and given much helpful feedback on both the substance and presentation of my work. It would not have been possible for any Babar analysis to proceed without the hard work and dedication of our PEP-II colleagues, and I am grateful for their commitment to delivering the highest possible luminosity with the lowest possible machine backgrounds. Babar also substantially depends upon the tireless efforts of the computing organizations in several countries that make access to both the data and the resources with which to analyze it possible, and I am grateful for the opportunity they have afforded me to use those substantial resources. Ultimately, I would like to express my gratitude to the taxpayers of the many countries collaborating in the *B*-Factory who made all of this possible in the first place.

ABSTRACT

SEARCH FOR NEUTRAL D MESON MIXING USING SEMILEPTONIC DECAYS

MAY 2004

KEVIN T. FLOOD

B.Sc., UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SANTA CRUZ

M.Sc., UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS AMHERST

Ph.D., UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS AMHERST

Directed by: Professor Stanley S. Hertzbach

Based on a 87 fb^{-1} dataset, a search for D^0 - \bar{D}^0 mixing is made using the semileptonic decay modes $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0$, $D^0 \rightarrow [K/K^*]e\nu$ (+c.c.) at the B -Factory facility at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center. These modes offer unambiguous initial and final-state charm flavor tags, and allow the combined use of the D^0 lifetime and D^{*+} - D^0 mass difference (ΔM) in a global likelihood fit. The high-statistics sample of reconstructed unmixed semileptonic D^0 decays is used to model both the ΔM distribution and the time-dependence of mixed events directly from the data. Neural networks are used both to select events and to fully reconstruct the D^0 . A result consistent with no charm mixing has been obtained, $R_{\text{mix}} = 0.0023 \pm 0.0012(\text{stat}) \pm 0.0004(\text{sys})$. This corresponds to an upper limit of $R_{\text{mix}} < 0.0047$ (95% C.L.) and $R_{\text{mix}} < 0.0043$ (90% C.L.). The lowest current published limit on semileptonic charm mixing is 0.005 (90% C.L.) (E791, E.M. Aitala *et al.*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **77** 2384 (1996)). The current

best published limit using any analysis technique on the total rate of charm mixing is 0.0016 (95% C.L.) (Babar $K\pi$ mixing, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **91** 171801 (2003)).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
ABSTRACT	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
EPIGRAPH	xx
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Outline	2
2. THEORY AND PREVIOUS EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	4
2.1 Charm Mixing Formalism	4
2.2 Charm Mixing Predictions	8
2.2.1 Standard Model Contributions	8
2.2.2 New Physics Predictions	11
2.3 Previous Experimental Results	13
3. THE <i>B</i> -FACTORY	14
3.1 Data Sample	14
3.1.1 Monte Carlo Events	18
3.2 The Babar Detector	19
3.2.1 SVT	23

3.2.2	DCH	25
3.2.2.1	Charged Track Reconstruction	32
3.2.3	DIRC	34
3.2.4	EMC	48
4.	EVENT RECONSTRUCTION	51
4.1	Charged Track Reconstruction	51
4.2	Pion Reconstruction	52
4.3	Kaon Reconstruction	53
4.4	Electron Reconstruction	56
4.4.1	Gamma Conversion Veto	67
4.5	K/e Vertex	69
4.6	Beamspot	69
4.7	Signal Reconstruction	76
4.7.1	Neural Network D^0 Momentum Estimator	76
4.7.2	ΔM	79
4.7.3	D^0 Proper Lifetime	82
4.7.4	Neural Network Event Selector	88
4.7.4.1	Training Sample	88
4.7.4.2	Performance	90
4.7.5	Skim Event Selection	92
4.7.6	Final Event Selection	96
5.	THE LIKELIHOOD FIT	99
5.1	Backgrounds	99
5.1.1	Combinatoric Background Estimation	102
5.1.2	Gamma Conversions	103
5.2	Analysis Method	103
5.2.1	RS Likelihood Function	114
5.2.1.1	Unmixed Signal Events	114
5.2.1.2	Random Combinatoric Backgrounds	117
5.2.1.3	RS D^+ Background	120
5.2.1.4	RS Global Likelihood Function	122

5.2.2	WS Likelihood Function	124
5.2.2.1	Mixed Signal Events	124
5.2.2.2	Random Combinatoric Backgrounds	128
5.2.2.3	Peaking D^+ Background	129
5.2.2.4	WS Global Likelihood Function	129
5.2.3	Fits with Simulated Events	129
5.2.3.1	RS Unmixed Fit	131
5.2.3.2	RS Peaking Background	134
5.2.3.3	WS Mixed Fit	139
5.2.4	Fitting the Data	149
5.2.4.1	RS Data	149
5.2.4.2	WS Data	155
5.2.4.3	Central Value of R_{mix}	163
5.2.4.4	WS CP Fit	163
6.	SYSTEMATICS	164
6.1	Cross-checks on the Final Result	164
6.2	Fit Model Systematics	166
6.3	Reconstruction Efficiency Systematics	169
7.	CONCLUSION	171
7.1	Extracting an Upper Limit	171
7.2	Future Plans	173
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	176

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
3.1 Production cross-sections at the $\Upsilon(4S)$	15
3.2 Composition of the data sample	16
3.3 Composition of the simulated event sample	19
4.1 $p^*(D^0)$ NN estimator resolution	78
5.1 RS MC background sources	99
5.2 WS MC background sources	100
5.3 Charmed parent MC backgrounds	100
5.4 RS fit background sources	102
5.5 WS fit background sources	102
5.6 RS fit floated parameters	125
5.7 WS fit floated parameters	131
5.8 Mean and sigma of RS fit parameters taken from ensemble of MC fits	134
5.9 Mean and sigma of WS fit parameters taken from ensemble of MC fits	146
5.10 RS fit central values and errors	150
5.11 WS fit central values and errors	161
5.12 WS CP fit central values and errors	163
6.1 Non-systematic variations in the value of $R(\text{mix})$	165

6.2	Systematic variations in the value of $R(\text{mix})$	168
-----	---	-----

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 $\Delta C = 2$ box mixing diagrams	9
2.2 $\Delta C = 2$ dipenguin mixing diagram.	10
2.3 Long-range mixing diagram	11
2.4 SM predictions for $ x $ and $ y $	12
2.5 New physics predictions for $ x $	13
3.1 Schematic overview of the <i>B</i> -Factory.....	15
3.2 Daily recorded luminosity.	17
3.3 Total integrated luminosity.	17
3.4 Babar detector in cut-away end view.	21
3.5 Babar detector in longitudinal section.....	22
3.6 Schematic view of the SVT in longitudinal section.	23
3.7 Schematic view of the SVT in transverse section.	24
3.8 SVT hit resolution	26
3.9 SVT dE/dx distribution	27
3.10 Schematic view of the DCH in longitudinal section	28
3.11 Schematic layout of DCH drift cells.....	29
3.12 DCH dE/dx distribution	30
3.13 Histogram of DCH dE/dx for kaons and pions	31

3.14 DCH track reconstruction efficiency	33
3.15 SVT-only track reconstruction efficiency	34
3.16 Schematic view of DIRC	35
3.17 Schematic view of a DIRC quartz radiator bar	36
3.18 DIRC event display showing all hits in trigger window	39
3.19 DIRC event display utilizing TOF information	40
3.20 DIRC single photon timing resolution	41
3.21 Difference between measured and expected Cherenkov angle	42
3.22 Number of DIRC photons per track as a function of polar angle	43
3.23 Charged kaon Cherenkov angle as a function of momentum	44
3.24 Charged pion Cherenkov angle as a function of momentum	45
3.25 Kaon/pion separation using θ_c	46
3.26 Kaon reconstruction efficiency and pion mis-identification rate	47
3.27 Longitudinal section of the EMC	48
3.28 E/p for electrons, pions and muons	50
4.1 Kaon efficiency and misidentification rate	57
4.2 Comparison of kaon efficiency and misidentification rates for various identification schemes	58
4.3 Representative distributions of EMC LAT for electrons	61
4.4 Representative distributions of EMC LAT for pions	62
4.5 Representative distributions of EMC $\Delta\phi$	63
4.6 Efficiency for identified electrons	65
4.7 Electron pion misidentification rate	66

4.8	γ conversion Δxy	67
4.9	γ conversion Δz	68
4.10	γ conversion invariant mass	68
4.11	RS/WS vertex pulls	70
4.12	RS/WS TwoTrksVtx beamspot pulls	73
4.13	TwoTrksVtx beamspot x and y	74
4.14	Decay length vs. run number	75
4.15	$p^*(D^0)$ NN estimator residuals	78
4.16	RS/WS signal ΔM distributions	80
4.17	ΔM distributions for RS K and K^* modes	81
4.18	RS/WS reconstructed D^0 lifetime distribution	84
4.19	RS $c\tau$ residual and pull distributions	85
4.20	WS $c\tau$ pull distribution	86
4.21	Mixed lifetime pull in bins of true lifetime	87
4.22	RS/WS NN event selector inputs	89
4.23	RS/WS NN selector output for simulated signal events	91
4.24	RS NN selector output for data and simulated events	93
4.25	WS NN selector output for data and simulated events	94
4.26	Skimmed fraction of all events	97
5.1	RS/WS random combinatoric ΔM distributions	104
5.2	ΔM distributions for RS/WS events removed by gamma conversion veto	105
5.3	ΔM distributions for RS/WS data and simulated events	107

5.4	Normalized ΔM distributions for RS/WS data and simulated events	108
5.5	σ distributions for RS/WS data and simulated events	109
5.6	Lifetime distributions for RS simulated and data events in ΔM signal and side bands	110
5.7	ΔM distributions for RS simulated and data events in lifetime signal and side bands	111
5.8	Lifetime distributions for WS simulated and data events in ΔM signal and side bands	112
5.9	ΔM distributions for WS simulated and data events in lifetime signal and side bands	113
5.10	RS signal ΔM pdf.	114
5.11	RS signal lifetime pdf.	115
5.12	RS signal combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf.	115
5.13	Full-range RS signal combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf	116
5.14	RS/WS random combinatoric ΔM pdf.	117
5.15	RS/WS representative zero lifetime pdf.	118
5.16	RS random combinatoric zero lifetime combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf.	119
5.17	Close-up of signal region for RS random combinatoric zero lifetime combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf.	119
5.18	RS/WS reduced D^0 lifetime pdf.	120
5.19	RS random combinatoric reduced D^0 lifetime combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf.	121
5.20	Close-up of signal region for RS random combinatoric reduced D^0 lifetime combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf.	122
5.21	RS D^+ ΔM pdf.	123

5.22 RS/WS reduced D^+ lifetime pdf.	123
5.23 RS random combinatoric reduced D^+ lifetime combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf.	124
5.24 Close-up of signal region for RS random combinatoric reduced D^+ lifetime combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf.	125
5.25 WS signal lifetime pdf.	126
5.26 WS signal combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf.	127
5.27 WS signal combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf displayed over the full combined background+signal fit range.	127
5.28 WS random combinatoric reduced D^+ lifetime combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf.	128
5.29 Close-up of signal region for WS random combinatoric reduced D^+ lifetime combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf.	129
5.30 WS peaking reduced D^+ lifetime combined ΔM vs. lifetime pdf.	130
5.31 Close-up of signal region for WS peaking reduced D^+ lifetime combined pdf.	130
5.32 Projection in the RS ΔM sideband region of a fit to a simulated dataset.	132
5.33 Projection in the RS ΔM signal region of a fit to a simulated dataset.	132
5.34 Projection in the RS $c\tau$ sidebands of a fit to a simulated dataset.	133
5.35 Projection in the RS $c\tau$ signal region of a fit to a simulated dataset.	133
5.36 Distribution of fit values from fits to the ensemble of RS simulated events datasets.	135
5.37 Distribution of fit values from fits to the ensemble of RS simulated events datasets.	136
5.38 Distribution of fit values from fits to the ensemble of RS simulated events datasets.	137

5.39	Distribution of fit values from fits to the ensemble of RS simulated events datasets	138
5.40	ΔM projection of a fit to a RS simulated dataset containing no unmixed signal events	139
5.41	$c\tau$ projection of a fit to a RS simulated dataset containing no unmixed signal events	140
5.42	Distribution of the fit number of RS peaking background events	141
5.43	ΔM projection of a fit to a WS simulated dataset	142
5.44	$c\tau$ projection of a fit to a WS simulated dataset	143
5.45	$c\tau$ projection in the sideband region of a fit to a WS simulated dataset	143
5.46	Distribution of fit values from fits to the ensemble of WS simulated events datasets	144
5.47	Distribution of fit values from fits to the ensemble of WS simulated events datasets	145
5.48	Pull distributions for the fit value of $N(\text{mixed signal})$	147
5.49	Mean of pull distribution for the fit value of $N(\text{mix})$ as a function of NN cut	148
5.50	Projection in the ΔM signal region of a fit to the RS dataset	151
5.51	Projection in the ΔM sideband of a fit to the RS dataset	151
5.52	Projection in the $c\tau$ signal region of a fit to the RS dataset	152
5.53	Projection in the $c\tau$ sideband of a fit to the RS dataset	152
5.54	ΔM projections of a fit to the RS dataset in a $c\tau$ region of high and low unmixed signal density	153
5.55	$c\tau$ projections of a fit to the RS dataset in a ΔM region of high and low signal density	154
5.56	Distribution of NLL values from RS toy fits	156

5.57 ΔM projection of a fit to the WS dataset	157
5.58 $c\tau$ projection of a fit to the WS dataset	158
5.59 $c\tau$ projection of a fit to the WS dataset with a reduced vertical scale	158
5.60 ΔM projections of a fit to the WS dataset in a $c\tau$ region of high and low mixed signal density	159
5.61 $c\tau$ projections of a fit to the WS dataset in a ΔM region of high signal density	160
5.62 Distribution of NLL values from WS toy fits	162
6.1 Random combinatoric event mixing ΔM and $M(K\pi)$ - $M(K\pi)$ pdf shapes	166
7.1 Scan of NLL space as a function of $N(\text{mix})$	172
7.2 Charm mixing results in the x, y mixing parameter plane	174