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#### Abstract

We calculate the quark orbital angular momentum of the nucleon from the quark energy-momentum tensor form factors on the lattice. The disconnected insertion is estimated stochastically which employs the $Z_{2}$ noise with an unbiased subtraction. This reduced the error by a factor of 4 with negligible overhead. The total quark contribution to the proton spin is found to be $0.30 \pm 0.07$. From this and the quark spin content we deduce the quark orbital angular momentum to be $0.17 \pm 0.06$ which is $\sim 34 \%$ of the proton spin. We further predict that the gluon angular momentum to be $0.20 \pm 0.07$, i. e. $\sim 40 \%$ of the proton spin is due to the glue.


PACS numbers: 12.38.Gc, 13.88.+e, 14.20.Dh

The spin content of the proton remains a challenging problem in QCD both experimentally and theoretically [1]. The surprisingly small contribution from the quark spin revealed by the polarized deep inelastic scattering experiments has stimulated a great deal of interest in the understanding of this 'proton spin problem'. While the lattice QCD calculations $[\overline{3}]$ agreement with experiments, there is little consensus on where the rest of the proton spin resides. There have been suggestions based on the Bjorken sum rule [ $[\overline{4} 4]$, the parton evolution $[\overline{\tilde{a}}]$, the chiral quark model that the quark orbital angular momentum in the nucleon can be substantial. It is further proposed that the off-forward parton distributions from the deeply virtual Compton scattering can be used to measure the quark orbital angular momentum distribution and thereby its moments [8].

In this letter, we shall report the first lattice calculation of the quark energy-momentum tensor form factors which admits the extraction of the total quark angular momentum. Combining the lattice calculation of the quark spin content $[\overline{10 n}$, we obtain the quark orbital angular momentum and thereby predict the gluon angular momentum in the nucleon from the spin sum rule. It turns out that the quark orbital angular momentum is indeed quite large. It constitutes $\sim 35 \%$ of the proton spin and the gluon angular momentum is predicted to make up the remaining $\sim 40 \%$ of the proton spin.

It has been shown recently momentum in QCD can be decomposed into three pieces in a gauge invariant way

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{J}= & \int d^{3} x \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \vec{\gamma} \gamma_{5} \psi+\int d^{3} x \bar{\psi} \gamma_{4}\{\vec{x} \times(-i \vec{D})\} \psi \\
& +\int d^{3} x[\vec{x} \times(\vec{E} \times \vec{B})]=\frac{1}{2} \vec{\Sigma}+\vec{L}_{q}+\vec{J}_{g} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The quark spin contribution $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma$ is defined through the forward matrix element of the flavor-singlet axial-vector current. Similarly, $L_{q}$, the quark orbital angular momentum, is defined from the operator $\vec{L}_{q}$ and $J_{g}$, the gluon angular momentum, is defined from $\vec{J}_{g}$ which is assocaited with the gluon Poynting vector $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$. The total quark angular momentum is $J_{q}=\frac{1}{2} \Sigma+L_{q}$. Whereas, the total gluon angular momentum $J_{g}$ cannot be further decomposed into gluon spin and gluon orbital angular momentum without explicit gauge dependence.
$J_{q}$ can be obtained from the energy-momentum tensor form factors [ī]. One can write the gauge invariant quarkgluon energy-momentum tensor as

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\mu \nu} & =T_{\mu \nu}^{q}+T_{\mu \nu}^{g} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma_{(\mu}[\vec{D}-\stackrel{\leftarrow}{D}]_{\nu)} \psi+F_{\mu \alpha} F_{\nu \alpha}-\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\mu \nu} F^{2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first part is the quark energy-momentum tensor and the second one is that of the gluon. Form factors of the energy-momentum tensor for either the quark or the gluon are defined by [8],

$$
\begin{align*}
& <p, s\left|T_{\mu \nu}(0)\right| p^{\prime}, s^{\prime}>=\bar{u}(p, s)\left[T_{1}\left(q^{2}\right) i \gamma_{(\mu} \bar{p}_{\nu)}\right. \\
& \quad-T_{2}\left(q^{2}\right) \bar{p}_{(\mu} i \sigma_{\nu) \alpha} q_{\alpha} / 2 m_{N}+\frac{1}{m_{N}} T_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)\left(q_{\mu} q_{\nu}-\delta_{\mu \nu} q^{2}\right) \\
& \left.\quad-m_{N} T_{4}\left(q^{2}\right) \delta_{\mu \nu}\right] u\left(p^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{p}_{\mu}=\left(p_{\mu}+p^{\prime}{ }_{\mu}\right) / 2, q_{\mu}=p_{\mu}-p^{\prime}{ }_{\mu}$ and $u(p)$ is the nucleon spinor. It can be proven that, for polarized target, the total angular momentum of the quarks or gluons

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{q, g} & =\frac{\langle p, s| \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{i j k} \int d^{3} x\left(T_{4 k}^{q, g} x_{j}-T_{4 j}^{q, g} x_{k}\right)|p, s\rangle}{\langle p, s \mid p, s\rangle} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[T_{1}^{q, g}(0)+T_{2}^{q, g}(0)\right] . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the total angular momentum of the quarks/gluons can be calculated at the $q^{2} \rightarrow 0$ limit of the form factor $1 / 2\left[T_{1}^{q, g}\left(q^{2}\right)+T_{2}^{q, g}\left(q^{2}\right)\right]$.

In Eq. $\binom{1 \mathbf{a}_{1}}{1}$, the energy momentum tensor is expressed in terms of four form factors; whereas, for calculating the angular momentum we need only two, namely $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$. $T_{4 j}$, with $j$ in the 3-direction, does not admit the $T_{4}$ term. To remove $T_{3}$, we choose the momentum transfer to be orthogonal to the $j$ direction. In order to get the required $T_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)+T_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$, we calculate the three point function $G_{N T_{4 j} N}\left(t_{2}, t_{1}, \vec{p},-\vec{q}\right)$ for the operator $T_{4 j}$. The three point function has two parts: connected insertion (CI), due to the valence and cloud quarks, and disconnected insertion (DI) attributed to the sea quarks (Fig.1).

(a)
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FIG. 1. Quark skeleton diagrams for the three-point function $G_{N T_{4 j} N}\left(t_{2}, t_{1}, \vec{p},-\vec{q}\right)$. (a) is the connected insertion. (b) is the disconnected insertion.

For CI, we express the observable $T\left(q^{2}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[T_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)+\right.$ $\left.T_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)\right]$ in terms of the ratio of three- and two-point functions :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\Gamma_{m} G_{N T_{4 j} N}\left(t_{2}, t_{1}, \overrightarrow{0},-\vec{q}\right)\right]}{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\Gamma_{e} G_{N N}\left(t_{2}, \overrightarrow{0}\right)\right]} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\Gamma_{e} G_{N N}\left(t_{1}, \overrightarrow{0}\right)\right]}{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\Gamma_{e} G_{N N}\left(t_{1}, \vec{q}\right)\right]} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{j k m} q_{k} T\left(q^{2}\right), \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{m}$ and $\Gamma_{e}$ are the spin polarized and unpolarized projection operators [ 1101 ]. From the above ratio, we calculate the lattice $T_{C I}\left(q^{2}\right)^{-}$at different $q^{2}$ and then extrapolate them to $q^{2} \rightarrow 0$ limit to obtain the CI part of the lattice quark total angular momentum $J_{q, C I}$. Results are obtained for relatively light Wilson quarks with $\kappa=0.148,0.152,0.154$ and 0.155 which correspond to quark masses of about 376,210 , 124 and 80 MeV respectively. Fig. 2 shows the dipole fitting of $T_{C I}\left(q^{2}\right)$ at different $q^{2}$. Following the calculation for the pointsplit Wilson current [ 1010 , the tadpole improvement factor $1 / 8 \kappa_{c}\left\langle\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} U_{\text {plaq }}\right\rangle^{1\rangle \Phi}\left(\kappa_{c}=0.15684\right)$ is included in the unrenormalized $J_{q, C I}$. The chiral limit for $J_{q, C I}$ is taken from a linear dependence on the quark mass $m_{q} a$ for these four $\kappa$ values (see Fig.3). To account for the correlations, both the dipole fitting and the chiral extrapolation are done with the covariance matrix and the final error at the chiral limit is obtained from the jackknife procedure. The dipole mass is found to be $0.88 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{GeV}$. Finally, to obtain the result in the $\overline{M S}$
scheme at $1 / a=1.74 \mathrm{GeV}$, we multiply the $J_{q, C I}$ by the tadpole improved renormalization constant for the operator $T_{4 j}$ which has been calculated [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}11 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ perturbatively to be $Z=1.045$ and obtain the CI part of the quark angular momentum $J_{q, C I}=0.44 \pm 0.07$. Being $\sim 90 \%$ of the nucleon spin, this almost saturates the spin sum rule. We also performed monopole fitting for the $T_{C I}\left(q^{2}\right)$ and found an order of magnitude larger $\chi^{2}$. The calculation is done on a quenched $16^{3} \times 24$ lattice at $\beta=6.0$ with Wilson fermions for 100 configurations.


FIG. 2. Dipole fitting for $T_{C I}\left(q^{2}\right)$ at 4 different $\kappa$ values.


FIG. 3. Chiral extrapolation for $J_{q, C I}$ as a function of the quark mass. The value at the chiral limit is indicated by $\bullet$.

For the DI contribution, we follow the calculations for the flavor-singlet axial coupling $\left.\operatorname{lon}_{1}^{-9}\right]$, the $\pi N \sigma$ term [ $\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$, and the strangeness magnetic moment $[19]$ by summing the current insertion time $t_{1}$ from the nucleon source to its sink in the corresponding ratio in Eq. ( $\overline{9} \overline{9})$ for the DI (Fig. 1(b)) to gain statistics. In this case, the ratio leads to const $+1 / 2 \epsilon_{j k m} q_{k} T_{D I}\left(q^{2}\right) t_{2}$. We take the average of the 3 polarization directions. The DI result is calculated from the slope of this summed ratio with respect to $t_{2}$. To evaluate the trace of the quark loop in Fig. 1(b), we adopt the same stochastic algorithm with the $Z_{2}$ noise
 addition, we shall use two more techniques to reduce the errors from the stochastic algorithm. First one is to observe that from the charge conjugation and Euclidean hermiticity (CH symmetry), the three-point function for the DI which is the product of the quark loop and the
nucleon propagator is real．On the other hand，the Eu－ clidean hermiticy itself dictates that the loop should also be real．Therefore，we need only multiply the real part of the loop with the real part of the nucleon propagator and neglect the product of the imaginary parts of them which only introduces noise to the signal．Secondly，we employ an unbiased subtraction method which has been used in the calculation of the fermion determinant［ The trace of the inverse matrix $A^{-1}$ can be estimated stochastically as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{-1}\right)=E\left[<\eta^{\dagger}\left(A^{-1}-\sum_{i=1}^{P} \lambda_{i} O^{(i)}\right) \eta>\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$＇s are $Z_{2}$ noise vectors，$O^{(i)}$＇s are a set of $P$ traceless matrices and $\lambda_{i}$＇s are the variational parame－ ters which are determined by reducing the variance of the three－point function over the gauge configurations． In practice，we found that a judicious choice of $O^{(i)}$ is a set of traceless matrices from the hoping expansion of the propagator．Since they match the off－diagonal behavior of the matrix $A^{-1}$ ，they can offset the off－diagonal con－ tribution to the variance［15］．This method proves to be very efficient in reducing the error of the DI calcu－ lation with negligible overhead．After implementing the CH and H symmetries and the unbiased subtraction with traceless matrices obtained from just the first two terms of the hopping expansion，we obtain a reduction of error of $3-4$ times．Fig． 4 shows a plot of the summed three－ point to two－point function ratio（as in Eq．（⿳亠二口⿱一𧰨刂⿱亠𧘇⿵冂⿱八口心））for the DI）vs．$t_{2}$ for $\kappa=0.154$ and $|\vec{q}|=2 \pi / L a$ with and with－ out subtraction．One can see that the error bars before subtraction are much larger and only with the subtrac－ tion does one get a reasonably good slope as illustrated by the fitted straight line．With the help of this subtraction procedure we calculate slopes for other $q^{2}$ and for other $\kappa^{\prime} s$ which are shown in Fig．5．We use fixed source and vary the sink position $t_{2}$ ．From $t_{2}=8 \mathrm{on}$ ，the nucleon becomes isolated from its excited states［10 10.1 ．Hence，the slopes are fitted in the region $t_{2} \geq 8$（Fig．5）．Next， $T_{D I}\left(q^{2}\right)$ is fitted with a monopole form in $q^{2}$ as in the other DI calculations［ $[2$ 6．Similar to the CI case，we also use covariant matrix fitting and the final error bars are obtained by the jack－ knife method．A finite mass correction factor from the triangle diagram［16］is introduced while extrapolating to the chiral limit with a linear $m_{q} a$ dependence．This is shown in Fig．7（a）．The strange quark contribution is obtained by fixing the sea quark mass at $\kappa_{s}=0.154$ and extrapolate the valence $\kappa_{v}$ from $0.148,0.152,0.154$ to $\kappa_{c}$ ．This is shown in Fig．7（b）．It is interesting to point out that the result is fairly independent of the sea quark mass in the fermion loop（ Fig．1（b））．Comparing Fig．7（a）where the valence and sea quarks are kept the same $\left(\kappa_{v}=\kappa_{s}\right)$ and Fig． $7(\mathrm{~b})$ ，we see that albeit the sea quark mass in Fig．7（a）changes by a factor of 3，the result still coincide with those in Fig．7（b）for each of the valence－quark case．This shows that the DI depends
on the valence－quark mass but is almost independent of the sea－quark mass．

 for different time slice $t_{2}$ with and without unbiased subtrac－ tion．Ratios without subtraction are shifted slightly towards right．


FIG．5．The summed ratios of Eq．（5．1．）for the DI are plotted for $|\vec{q}|=2 \pi / L a$ ．


FIG．6．Monopole fitting for $T_{D I}\left(q^{2}\right) . T_{D I}(0)$ values are obtained by extrapolating to $q^{2} \rightarrow 0$ ．


FIG．7．（a）The（a）fattice $J_{q, D I}$ as a function）of the quark mass $m_{q} a$ ．The quark masses in the valence and the sea are kept the same．（b）$J_{s}$ vs the valence quark mass with the sea quark mass fixed at $\kappa_{s}=0.154$ ．The chiral limit value （ $\kappa_{v}=\kappa_{c}$ ）is indicated by $\bullet$ ．

This is reminiscent of the sea-quark contribution in the flavor-singlet $g_{A}^{0}$ calculation $[\overline{\hat{n}} \boldsymbol{1}]$ where the sea-flavor independence was first observed.

The breakdown of the quark angular momentum $J_{q}$ into the quark spin $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma$ and the quark orbital angular momentum is given in Table 1. From the CI calculation we obtain the valence and cloud quark contributions to the quark angular momentum $J_{q, C I}=0.44 \pm 0.07$ which is $\sim 90 \%$ of the total proton_spin and it almost saturates the spin sum rule in Eq. ( $\left.\mathbf{1}_{1}^{1} 1 \mathbf{1}\right)$ ) by itself. A previous calculation of the flavor-singlet axial current on the same set of lattices shows that $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{C I}=0.31 \pm 0.04[\overline{1}]$. Since $J_{q, C I}=\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{C I}+L_{q, C I}$, we obtain the CI part of the quark orbital angular momentum $L_{q, C I}=0.13 \pm 0.07$. Thus, for valence and cloud quarks, about $70 \%$ of $J_{q, C I}$ comes from the quark spin and the $30 \%$ is due to the orbital angular momentum. From the DI calculation, we find that the total quark angular momentum $J_{q, D I}$, like the quark spin $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{D I}$, is also flavor symmetric within errors. In fact, $J_{u, D I}, J_{d, D I}$, and $J_{s}$ are all equal to $-0.047 \pm 0.012$. Together, the total DI is $J_{q, D I}=-0.14 \pm 0.04$. Subtracting the DI of the quark spin $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{D I}=-0.18 \pm 0.03$ from $J_{q, D I}$, we obtain the orbital angular momentum contribution from the sea quarks to be $L_{q, D I}=0.041 \pm 0.035$. It is interesting to note that it is consistent with zero with a central value which is a factor of 4.5 smaller than the spin content of the sea quarks. Adding CI and DI contributions together, we obtain $J_{q}=0.30 \pm 0.07$ and, thus, we predict the gluon angular momentum $J_{g}=0.5-0.30 \pm 0.07=0.20 \pm 0.07$ from the spin sum


To conclude, the total angular momentum of the quarks is calculated to be $J_{q}=0.30 \pm 0.07$, i. e. $\sim 60 \%$ of the proton spin is attributable to the quarks. Since the quark spin content is calculated previously to be $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma=0.13 \pm 0.06\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[0]}\end{array}\right]$, we obtain the quark orbital angular momentum $L_{q}=0.17 \pm 0.06$. Therefore, about $25 \%$ of the proton spin originates from the quark spin and about $35 \%$ comes from the quark orbital angular momentum. The gluon angular momentum contribution is predicted from the spin sum rule to be $J_{g}=0.20 \pm 0.07$, i. e. $\sim$ $40 \%$ of the proton spin is due to the glue. Since the orbital angular momentum of the sea quarks turns out to be quite small, the sea flavor independence of $J_{q, D I}$ reconfirms the sea flavor independence of the quark spin, namely $\Delta u(D I)=\Delta d(D I) \simeq \Delta s$ observed in the previous lattice calculation

TABLE I. The Breakup of Quark Angular Momentum

|  | $J_{q}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \Sigma$ | $L_{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u+d(C I)$ | $0.44(7)$ | $0.31(4)$ | $0.13(7)$ |
| $u / d(D I)$ | $-0.047(12)$ | $-0.062(6)$ | $0.015(12)$ |
| $s$ | $-0.047(12)$ | $-0.058(6)$ | $0.011(12)$ |
| $u+d+s(D I)$ | $-0.14(4)$ | $-0.18(3)$ | $0.041(36)$ |
| Total | $0.30(7)$ | $0.13(6)$ | $0.17(6)$ |

In addition, the fact that $J_{q, C I}$ almost saturates the spin sum rule and the sea quark orbital angular momentum is small, the gluon angular momentum and the sea quark spin largely cancel each other. Both the flavor independence in the sea and the cancellation between the gluon angular momentum and the sea quark spin suggest that it is related to the anomaly and anomalous chiral Ward identity.

It is important for the future experiments to measure the quark orbital angular momentum and the gluon angular momentum in order to conclude the study of the spin structure and content of the proton. The present work is based on the quenched approximation and is subject to the large volume, finite lattice spacing and chiral extrapolation corrections. We shall address these issues in a future study with the overlap fermion [17] which has nice chiral and scaling properties.
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