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#### Abstract

A brief summary is presented of results pertinent to strange quark spectroscopy derived from high statistics data on $K^{-} p$ interactions obtained with the LASS spectrometer at SLAC.


## INTRODUCTION

This paper summarizes recent results on strange quark spectroscopy obtained from an exposure of the Large Aperture Superconducting Solenoid (LASS) spectrometer at SLAC to a $K^{-}$beam of $11 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$. The spectrometer and relevant experimental details are described elsewhere. ${ }^{1,2}$ The raw data sample contains $\sim 113$ million triggers, and the resulting useful beam flux corresponds to a sensitivity of 4.1 events/nb. The acceptance is approximately uniform over almost the full $4 \pi$ solid angle.

[^0]
## $\mathbf{\Omega}^{*-}$ SPECTROSCOPY

Although the $\Omega^{-}$was discovered in 1962, claims for the observation of $\Omega^{*-}$ resonances have been made only recently. ${ }^{3,4,5}$ Preliminary evidence for an $\Omega^{*-}$ of mass $\sim 2.26 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ was reported in the present experiment. ${ }^{3}$ Subsequently, evidence for states with masses of 2251 and $2384 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ produced in $\Xi^{-}$interactions in beryllium was published. ${ }^{4}$ More recently, ${ }^{5}$ the full data sample from the present experiment has yielded clear evidence for the production of an $\Omega^{*-}$ resonance of mass $2253 \pm 13$ and width $85 \pm 40 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$, thus confirming the preliminary result. ${ }^{3}$ The state is observed in the $\Xi^{*}(1530) \bar{K}$ decay mode, as shown in fig.1a, and the corresponding inclusive cross section is estimated to be $630 \pm 180 \mathrm{nb}$. It is reasonable to consider this result as confirmation of the $2251 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ state of ref. 4, however there is no evidence for the production of the state of mass $2384 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ in the $K^{-} p$ data.

An ongoing study of inclusive $\Omega^{-}$production in the present experiment has resulted in the $\Omega^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$mass distribution of fig. 1 b . ${ }^{6}$ A clear peak is observed at $\sim 2.47 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. Interpreting this as being due to the production of a new $\Omega^{*-}$, the fit denoted by the solid curve yields mass and width estimates of $2466 \pm 13$ and $61 \pm 35 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$, respectively, and the signal has $\sim 5 \sigma$ significance.

In summary, the existence of an $\Omega^{*-}$ of mass $\sim 2250 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ decaying primarily to $\Xi^{*}(1530) \bar{K}$ seems confirmed; moreover, the mass and principal decay mode are quite consistent with theoretical expectations. ${ }^{7,8}$ The status of the state at $2384 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ is uncertain, since it is not observed in the present experiment. Finally, the new $\Omega^{*-}$ at $\sim 2470 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ needs confirmation from other experiments; theoretical predictions concerning possible states in this mass range would also be of interest.

## $K^{*}$ SPECTROSCOPY

A principal objective in studying meson spectroscopy is the precise definition of the level structure of the anticipated $q \bar{q}$ meson states. In this regard, the strange sector is particularly favored since it is free, not only from the need for isoscalar-isovector separation, but also from confusion resulting from the possible production of e.g. $K \bar{K}$ molecule and/or glueball states. The quark model level diagram is expected to take the form ${ }^{9}$ illustrated qualitatively in fig. 2 for the charmonium states. ${ }^{10}$ Here $S$ and $L$ denote total quark spin and orbital angular momentum, respectively, and $C$ indicates the charge conjugation parity of the resulting meson state. The para-charmonium levels are singlets, whereas the ortho-charmonium levels, other than ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}$, are triplets separated in mass due to spin-orbit interaction. Within each column, the lowest-lying state is the ground state, and the higher states correspond to radial excitations of
this state. The leading orbital excitations are the ground states with largest total angular momentum, J , and, for the triplet levels, the remaining states are termed the underlying states. In $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$collisions, the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}$ tower of states is readily accessible, while information on the leading orbital excitations with $\mathrm{J} \geq$ 3 is lacking, and that on the corresponding underlying states is sparse. Results of a complementary nature are obtained from hadronic interaction experiments, where production of the leading orbital states predominates, and information on the underlying and radially- excited states requires careful amplitude analyses of high statistics data.

The present experiment provides such data on the natural parity strange meson (i.e. $K^{*}$ ) states. The resulting light quark level structure is related to the nature of the long-range (i.e.confining) part of the $q \bar{q}$ interaction, and thus, in principle, provides information on non-perturbative QCD. In practice, it enables us to learn about QCD potential models incorporating relativistic corrections. ${ }^{11}$

The results relevant to $K^{*}$ spectroscopy in the present experiment are obtained from amplitude analyses of the $\bar{K}^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$system in the reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{-} p \rightarrow \bar{K}^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and of the $K^{-} \pi^{+}$and $K^{-} \eta$ systems produced in the reactions

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{-} p \rightarrow K^{-} \pi^{+} n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{-} p \rightarrow K^{-} \eta p ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

these analyses are discussed in detail in refs. 12-14 respectively, and so only the main features will be summarized here.

The analysis of reaction (1) ${ }^{12}$ yields the intensity distributions of fig. 3 for the natural spin-parity ( $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}$ ) states of the $\bar{K}^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$system. Signals corresponding to the $\bar{K}_{2}(1430)$, the $\bar{K}_{3}(1780)$ and the $\overline{K^{*}} 4$ (2060) are observed in the $2^{+}, 3^{-}$and $4^{+}$distributions, respectively. The $2^{+}$distribution exhibits a second peak at $\sim 2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$, while the $1^{-}$distribution has a shoulder at $\sim 1.4$ $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ followed by a peak at $\sim 1.8 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. The $\overline{K^{*}}(892) \pi$ and $\bar{K} \rho(770)$ contributions to the $1^{-}$spectrum are shown in figs.4a, b; the curves result from a description in terms of two Breit-Wigner(BW) resonances. The lower mass state ( $\mathrm{M}=1420 \pm 17, \Gamma=240 \pm 30 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ ) approximately decouples from the $K \rho$ channel, and its production characteristics indicate weak coupling to $K \pi$ also; it is most readily interpreted as the first radial excitation of the $\overline{K^{*}}(892)$. The
second state ( $\mathrm{M}=1735 \pm 30, \Gamma=423 \pm 48 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ ) may be the underlying member of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{D}$ ground state; however its width is significantly larger than that obtained from reaction (2) ${ }^{13}$ so that it could be a mixture of this state and the second radial excitation of the $\overline{K^{*}}(892)$.

A similar fit to the $2^{+}$data yields mass and width estimates of $1973 \pm 33$ and $373 \pm 93 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ respectively for the higher mass state, which corresponds most probably to the first radial excitation of the $\overline{K^{*}}(1430) .{ }^{12}$

The raw $K^{-} \pi^{+}$mass spectrum for reaction (2) is shown in fig.5; the shaded region corresponds to events with $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{n} \pi^{+}\right) \geq 1.7 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. In addition to an elastic BW amplitude describing the $\overline{K^{*}}(892)$ (cf.fig.9), the amplitude analysis ${ }^{13}$ yields the resonant D-H wave signals of fig. 6 , thereby extending the observed leading orbital states to $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}=5^{-}$. The corresponding behavior of the underlying S wave amplitude up to $1.6 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ is shown in fig.7. The curves correspond to an effective range parametrization plus a BW amplitude ( $\mathrm{M}=1412 \pm 4 \pm$ $5, \Gamma=294 \pm 10 \pm 21 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ ), and the resultant S wave is approximately elastic up to $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. The state described by the BW is the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ partner of the $\overline{K^{*}}(1430)$. Above $1.8 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$, there are two S wave solutions, as shown in fig.8. Both resonate in the $1.9-1.95 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ region, have width $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ and elasticity $\sim 0.5$. In the quark model such a state can only be the first radial excitation of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ ground state.

Finally, the behavior of the P wave $\bar{K} \pi$ scattering amplitude from reaction (2) is shown in fig. 9 for the region up to $1.8 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. The solid curve provides a satisfactory description in terms of three $B W$ resonances: ${ }^{13}$ (i) the $\overline{K^{*}}(892)$; (ii) a resonance at $\sim 1.4 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ of elasticity $\sim 0.07$ which is consistent with the radial excitation of the $\overline{K^{*}}(892)$ observed in reaction (1); and (iii) a resonance ( $\mathrm{M}=1677 \pm 10 \pm 32, \Gamma=205 \pm 16 \pm 34 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ ) of elasticity $\sim 0.39$ which is readily interpreted as the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ ground state.

In general, theoretical predictions ${ }^{11}$ are in quite good agreement with these measurements. However, the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ ground state is predicted $\sim 170 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ low, and the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ first radial excitation is predicted $\sim 160 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ high with respect to the measured mass value. Also, for the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ levels the expected splitting between the ground state and first radial excitation is $\sim 650-680 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$, whereas it is observed to be $\sim 500-550 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. It should be noted also that for the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ states this splitting is predicted to increase from the charmonium to strange meson sector; it appears actually to decrease by $\sim 70 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$.

Reaction (3) has been measured with large statistics for the first time in the present experiment, and the resulting $K^{-} \eta$ mass spectrum is shown in fig. 10. An amplitude analysis of the $K^{-} \eta$ system ${ }^{14}$ has established that the peak at $\sim 1.8 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ results from production of the $\overline{K^{*}} 3(1780)$ (cf.fig.11), and that the branching fraction to $\bar{K} \eta$ is $7.9 \pm 2.4 \%$, in accord with $\mathrm{SU}(3)$. $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ predicts
also that $K^{*}$ states of even spin couple only weakly to $K \eta$. This is confirmed by the D wave intensity distribution of fig.11. No ${\overline{K^{*}}}_{2}(1430)$ signal is observed, and a $95 \%$ confidence level upper limit on the branching fraction is established at $0.45 \%$. This value is an order of magnitude smaller than that quoted in ref. 10 .

## $s \bar{s}$ SPECTROSCOPY

The processes most relevant to the study of $s \bar{s}$ (i.e.strangeonium) spectroscopy are those in which a $K \bar{K}$ or $K \bar{K} \pi$ system is produced against a recoil hyperon. The objectives in studying such processes are basically the same as for the strange sector. However, in recent years candidate glueball states which also couple to these meson systems have emerged from the study of $J / \psi$ decay. Consequently, it has become increasingly important to define the $s \bar{s}$ level structure in order to distinguish those states which may be of a different dynamical origin. In the present experiment, the relevant reactions which have been studied are

$$
\begin{align*}
& K^{-} p \rightarrow K_{\mathrm{S}}^{0} K_{\mathrm{S}}^{0} \Lambda_{\text {seen }}  \tag{4}\\
& K^{-} p \rightarrow K^{-} K^{+} \Lambda_{\text {seen }} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{-} p \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{S}}^{0} K^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\text {seen }} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

They are characterized by peripheral (i.e.quark exchange) production of the meson system, and it follows that the creation of glueball states should be disfavored in such processes. Although the data samples are considerably smaller than for reactions (1) and (2), amplitude analyses have been performed, ${ }^{2,15,16,17}$ the results of which are summarized here.

The raw $K \bar{K}$ mass distributions for reactions (4) and (5) (fig.12) differ, since for the former only even spin states are produced. In addition, for reaction (5), the mass region above $\sim 1.7 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ is dominated by the reflection of diffractive production of low mass $\Delta K^{+}$systems. ${ }^{18}$ In fig.13, the $K_{\mathrm{S}}^{0} K_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}$ mass spectrum from reaction (4) is compared to the MARK III data on radiative $J / \psi$ decay ${ }^{19}$ in the mass region below $1.9 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ (the LASS data have been scaled to the MARK III data at the peak of the $\left.f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)\right)$. Both spectra show a small, but intriguing, threshold rise, ${ }^{2,20}$ followed by activity in the $f_{2}(1270) / a_{2}(1320)$ region and then the large $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ peak. At higher mass, the MARK III spectrum is dominated by the $f_{2}(1720)$. There is no evidence for any such signal in the LASS distribution, and the upper limit on the production cross section is 94 nb. at the $95 \%$ confidence level; it should be noted also that there is no evidence
for $f_{2}(1720)$ production in reaction (6) in the present experiment ${ }^{15}$ (cf.fig.19f). These results indicate that the $f_{2}(1720)$ is indeed a strong candidate glueball state.

The amplitude analysis of reaction (4) ${ }^{2}$ yields the $S$ wave intensity distribution of fig.14a. Although the uncertainties are large, the data seem to peak in the range $\sim 1.5-1.6 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. A similar distribution (fig. 14 b ) has been obtained in an analysis of reaction (5) at $8.25 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c},{ }^{21}$ and there are indications of S wave structure at this mass from the data on reaction (5) in the present experiment. These results suggest the existence of a $0^{+}$state in this mass region which is naturally interpreted as a partner of the $f_{2}^{\prime}(1525)$ in the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ ground state. It is not clear whether this state might be identified with the $f_{0}(1590) .{ }^{22}$ An immediate consequence of this observation is that the $f_{0}(975)$, which is usually assigned to this multiplet, may well be a weakly bound $K \bar{K}$ system, ${ }^{23}$ or be of some other non- $q \bar{q}$ origin. This is discussed at greater length in ref.2.

For the $K \bar{K}$ system in reaction (5), the acceptance corrected spherical harmonic moments ( $\mathrm{t} \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{L}}=\sqrt{4 \pi} \mathrm{~N}\left\langle\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{LM}}\right\rangle ; \mathrm{L} \leq 8, \mathrm{M}=0$ ) in the $t$-channel helicity frame are shown in fig. 15 for the mass region $1.68-2.44 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$, and $t^{\prime} \leq 0.2(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$. It should be noted that amplitudes with spin J can contribute to moments with $\mathrm{L} \leq 2 \mathrm{~J}$. There is a peak in the mass spectrum, $\mathrm{t}_{0}^{0}$, at $\sim 1.86 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$, and similar structure is present for all moments with $L \leq 6$, but is absent for $L \geq 7$. This, together with the absence of such a signal for reaction (4), ${ }^{2}$ indicates the presence of a $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{PC}}=3^{--}$, mostly $s \bar{s}$ state. A detailed analysis of this region ${ }^{16}$ yields the total $F$ wave intensity distribution of fig. 16 a for $t^{\prime} \leq 1.0(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$; the fitted curve gives $B W$ mass and width $1855 \pm 22$ and $74 \pm 67 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$, respectively. A similar fit to the mass spectrum (fig. 16b) gives parameter values $1851 \pm 9$ and $66 \pm 29 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$, in agreement with previous measurements from the mass distribution only. ${ }^{24,25}$ It should be noted that the spin of this state, which is listed as the $\phi_{\mathrm{J}}(1850),{ }^{10}$ is established by the LASS data, and that the mass and width have been estimated for the first time on the basis of an amplitude analysis.

A significant $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{P}}=3^{-}$signal (fig. 19 g ) has been obtained in the $\phi_{3}(1850)$ region from the partial wave analysis of reaction (6). ${ }^{15}$ This signal, after all corrections, is shown in fig.16c (open dots) in comparison with that from reaction (5) (solid dots); the branching ratio obtained is $B R\left[\left(\phi_{3}(1850) \rightarrow\right.\right.$ $\left(K^{*} \bar{K}+\right.$ c.c. $\left.\left.)\right) /\left(\phi_{3}(1850) \rightarrow K \bar{K}\right)\right]=0.55_{-0.45}^{+0.85}$, in agreement with theory. ${ }^{11}$

The $\phi_{3}(1850)$ is interpreted as belonging to the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ quark model nonet which also includes the $\rho_{3}(1690)$, the $\omega_{3}(1670)$, and the $K_{3}^{*}(1780)$. Using current mass values, ${ }^{10}$ the mass formula ${ }^{26}$ yields an octet-singlet mixing angle of $\sim 30^{\circ}$. This indicates that the multiplet is almost ideally mixed, and that the $\phi_{3}(1850)$
is an almost pure $s \bar{s}$ state, in accord with its production characteristics.
The analysis of the $\phi_{3}$ region ${ }^{16}$ has shown that the peaks observed in fig. 15 are due to interference between the resonant $F$ wave and the approximately imaginary amplitude describing the diffractive production of the low mass $\Delta K^{+}$ system. In fig. 15 , there is also a small signal in every moment with $L \geq 1$ at $\sim$ $2.2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$; no such signal is observed for $L \geq 9$. This indicates the existence at this mass of a small, resonant $4^{+}$amplitude which interferes with the large, imaginary, diffractive background, just as for the $\phi_{3}$. An analysis based on this interpretation ${ }^{17}$ yields preliminary mass and width values of $2209{ }_{-15}^{+17}$ and $60{ }_{-57}^{+107} \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ for this $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{PC}}=4^{++}$state. These values are consistent with those obtained by MARK III for the $\mathrm{X}(2220),{ }^{19}$ and with a signal in the $\eta \eta^{\prime}$ mass spectrum observed by the GAMS collaboration. ${ }^{27}$ In addition, the $K \bar{K}$ mass distribution from reaction (4) in this region has been shown ${ }^{2}$ to be very similar to that observed for radiative $J / \psi$ decay (fig.17). This suggests that the $X(2220)$, which has been conjectured to be a glueball state, may instead be a member of the quark model ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ ground state nonet.

The raw $K \bar{K} \pi$ mass spectrum for reactions (6) (fig.18) exhibits a small signal at the $f_{1}(1285)$, followed by a rapid rise at $K \overline{K^{*}}$ threshold to a peak at $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$, and a second peak at $\sim 1.9 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. The low mass structure is similar to that observed at $4.2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{28}$ An amplitude analysis ${ }^{15}$ yields the partial wave intensity distributions of fig.19. The low mass peak is associated primarily with $1^{+}$waves, while the $1.9 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ bump is due mainly to $2^{-}$and the $3^{-}$contribution discussed previously. Only $K^{*}$ or $\overline{K^{*}}$ isobar production is important; amplitudes involving the $a_{0}(980)$ are negligibly small. The $1^{+}$ intensity at low mass shows a pronounced asymmetry in favor of the $\overline{K^{*}}$ isobar, and also exhibits $K^{*}-\overline{K^{*}}$ interference, which is destructive near threshold, and constructive at $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. This suggests the existence of two $1^{+}$states of opposite G-parity in this region. The corresponding $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{PG}}$ amplitude combinations yield $1^{++}$and $1^{+-}$production intensity distributions (fig.20) which are well described as $B W$ resonances of mass $1.53 \pm 0.01$ and $1.38 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ respectively, with corresponding widths $0.10 \pm 0.04$ and $0.08 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. The $1^{++}$state confirms an earlier observation, ${ }^{28}$ while the $1^{+-}$state is new. If these are isoscalars, ${ }^{28}$ the lower mass state, the $h_{1}(1380)$, completes the $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{PC}}=1^{+-}$ground state nonet, while the higher mass state, the $f_{1}(1530)$, is a strong candidate to replace the $\mathrm{E} / f_{1}(1420)$ in the corresponding $1^{++}$nonet. Use of the $f_{1}(1530)$ in this nonet gives a mixing angle $\sim 55^{\circ}$; this implies that the $f_{1}(1530)$ is mainly $s \bar{s}$ and that the $f_{1}(1285)$ has little $s \bar{s}$ content, in agreement with their production characteristics. This is not the case when the $E$ is used, since its production properties are not consistent with the predicted large $s \bar{s}$ content. Finally, a previous analysis ${ }^{29}$ of the $1^{++}$nonet made use of the

E mass and width to predict an $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ mass of $\sim 1.47 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$; when the $f_{1}(1530)$ mass and width are used instead, the predicted mass is $\sim 1.28 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$, in much better agreement with the accepted value. ${ }^{10}$

The $1^{+}$states observed in the present experiment satisfactorily complete the ground state ${ }^{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ quark model nonets. Furthermore, the small spinorbit interaction implied when the new information on the $0^{+}$is also taken into account contrasts markedly with the corresponding behavior in the charmonium sector. The status of the E meson as a $q \bar{q}$ state is dubious. However, it may be that its proximity to $K \overline{K^{*}}$ threshold is significant in that it could be a $K \overline{K^{*}}$ molecule or four-quark state of some kind.

## CONCLUSION

The present experiment has made significant contributions to the various areas of strange quark spectroscopy discussed above. Data analysis is continuing, and new results will be forthcoming on inclusive $\Xi^{-}$and $\Xi^{*}$ production, the $\bar{K}^{0} \pi^{-} p$ final state, and the production of meson systems such as $K^{*} \overline{K^{*}}$ against a recoil $\Lambda$.
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Fig.1.(a) The inclusive $E^{* 0}(1530) K^{-}$mass distribution; the solid curve is the result of a fit using two Breit-Wigner line-shapes. (b) The inclusive $\Omega^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ mass distribution; the solid curve is the result of a fit using a Breit-Wigner line-shape and a polynomial background.
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Fig.2. The quark model level diagram for the charmonium states; the mass scale is only qualitative.


Fig.3. The natural spin-parity intensity distributions obtained from the amplitude analysis of reaction (1).


Fig.4. The individual isobar contributions to the $1^{-}$distribution of fig. 3 ; the curves are described in ref. 12.


Fig.5. The raw $K^{-} \pi^{+}$mass distribution for reaction (2); the shaded region corresponds to events with $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{n} \pi^{+}\right) \geq 1.7 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$.


Fig.6. The mass dependence of the amplitudes of the leading orbital states obtained from reaction (2).


Fig.7. The behavior of the S wave $\bar{K} \pi$ scattering amplitude up to $1.6 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ from reaction (2); the curves are described in ref. 13.


Fig.8. The behavior of the S wave $\bar{K} \pi$ scattering amplitude solutions above 1.8 $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ from reaction (2); the curves are described in ref. 13 .


Fig.9. The behavior of the P wave $\bar{K} \pi$ scattering amplitude up to $1.8 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ from reaction (2); the curves are described in ref.13.


Fig.10. The $K^{-} \eta$ mass distribution from reaction (3); the shaded region corresponds to $\mathrm{M}(\eta \mathrm{p}) \geq 2.0 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}\left(K^{-} \mathrm{p}\right) \geq 1.85 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$.


Fig.11. The total $F$ and $D$ wave intensity distributions from reaction (3); the F wave curve corresponds to a $\bar{K}^{*} 3(1780) \mathrm{BW}$; the D wave curve indicates the $95 \%$ confidence level limit on $\overline{K^{*}} 2$ (1430) production.


Fig.12. The $K \bar{K}$ mass projections for reactions (4) and (5).


Fig.13. The comparison of the $K_{\mathrm{S}}^{0} K_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}$ mass distribution from reaction (4) with that from radiative $J / \psi$ decay $^{19}$ from threshold up to $1.9 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$.


Fig.14. (a) The $S$ wave intensity distribution from the amplitude analysis of reaction (4). (b) The $S$ wave intensity distribution from the amplitude analysis of reaction (5) at $8.25 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{21}$


Fig.15. The mass dependence of the unnormalized spherical harmonic moments of the $K^{-} K^{+}$system from reaction (5) in the region $1.68-2.44 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ and $t^{\prime} \leq 0.2(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$.


Fig.16. (a) The total $F$ wave intensity distribution, and (b) the corresponding acceptance-corrected mass distribution from reaction (5) for $t^{\prime} \leq 1.0(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$ in the $\phi_{3}$ mass region. (c) The comparison of the $F$ wave intensity distributions, after all corrections, from reaction (5) (solid dots) and reactions (6) (open dots). The curves in (a)-(c) correspond to fits using a BW line shape plus linear background term.


Fig.17. The comparison of the $K_{\mathrm{S}}^{0} K_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}$ mass distribution from reaction (4) with that from radiative $J / \psi$ decay ${ }^{19}$ in the mass range $1.8-2.7 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$.


Fig.18. The combined raw $K \bar{K} \pi$ mass distributions from reactions (6).


Fig.19. The intensity distributions corresponding to the partial wave decomposition for the $K \bar{K} \pi$ system in reactions (6).


Fig.20. The production amplitude intensity distributions from reactions (6) for the $K \overline{K^{*}}$ and $\bar{K} K^{*}$ G-parity eigenstate combinations; (a)-(f) are labelled by $J^{P G} M^{\eta}$, where $M$ is the helicity and $\eta$ the naturality of the $t$-channel exchange.
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