

Lifetime of the Tau Lepton\*

W. T. Ford, J. G. Smith, J. V. Allaby,<sup>(a)</sup> W. W. Ash, L. Baksay,  
 H. R. Band, G. B. Chadwick, S. H. Clearwater, R. W. Coombes,  
 M. C. Delfino, W. L. Faissler, M. W. Gettner, G. P. Goderre,  
 Y. Goldschmidt-Clermont,<sup>(a)</sup> B. Gottschalk,<sup>(b)</sup> D. E. Groom,  
 B. K. Heltsley, R. B. Hurst, J. R. Johnson, H. S. Kaye,  
 K. H. Lau, H. Y. Lee, R. E. Leedy, S. P. Leung,<sup>(c)</sup> E. C. Loh,  
 A. Marini, J. S. Marsh,<sup>(d)</sup> T. Maruyama, R. L. Messner,  
 O. A. Meyer, S. J. Michalowski,<sup>(e)</sup> J. H. Moromisato,  
 R. M. Morse, I. Peruzzi, M. Piccolo, R. Prepost, A. L. Read Jr.,  
 K. Rich, D. M. Ritson, F. Ronga, L. J. Rosenberg, W. D. Shambroom,  
 E. von Goeler, Roy Weinstein, D. E. Wiser, R. W. Zdarko

Department of Physics  
 University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309

Laboratori Nazionali Frascati dell' I.N.F.N., Italy

Department of Physics  
 Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

Department of Physics and Stanford Linear Accelerator Center  
 Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

Department of Physics  
 University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Department of Physics  
 University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706

## ABSTRACT

From 3-prong decays of  $\tau$  leptons produced in the MAC detector at PEP,  
 the lifetime of the  $\tau$  is determined to be  $(4.9 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-13}$  sec.

(Submitted to Physical Review Letters)

-----  
 \*Work supported in part by the Department of Energy, under contract num-  
 bers DE-AC02-76ER02114 (CU), DE-AC03-76SF00515 (SLAC), and  
 DE-AC02-76ER00881 (UW), by the National Science Foundation under  
 contract numbers NSF-PHY80-06504 (UU), NSF-PHY79-20020, and  
 NSF-PHY79-20821 (NU), and by I. N. F. N.

(a). Permanent address: CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

(b). Present address: Cyclotron Laboratory, Harvard University, Cam-  
 bridge, MA 02138

(c). Present address: 20 Caine Road, Hong Kong, B. C. C.

(d). Present address: SRI International, Menlo Park, CA 94025

(e). Present address: Mechanical Engineering Department, Stanford Uni-  
 versity, Stanford, CA 94305

Determination of the  $\tau$  lepton lifetime permits a straightforward test of the weak charged-current coupling of the  $\tau$  system. In the present experiment 3-prong decays in flight of monoenergetic taus, produced by electron-positron annihilations at PEP, were used to measure the  $\tau$  lifetime.

The MAC detector has been described elsewhere.<sup>1</sup> The analysis presented here depends most directly upon the central tracking chamber, which consists of 10 layers of drift wires in a solenoidal magnetic field of 5.7 kG. The radii of the inner- and outermost layers are 12 cm and 45 cm, respectively. The range of angles subtended by all 10 layers is  $23^\circ \leq \theta \leq 157^\circ$ . Each cell contains a double sense-wire pair connected to differential electronics so that drift distance is determined without right-left ambiguity. The wires in four of the layers are axial; these are interspersed with six stereo layers at plus and minus three degrees to determine axial positions. The point measurement accuracy is about 200  $\mu\text{m}$ . Between the interaction point (IP) and the first drift layer are the vacuum pipe and the drift chamber wall which total 0.036 radiation lengths at an average radius of 9.4 cm.

The central drift chamber is surrounded by electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, scintillation counters and muon tracking chambers, which were used in the present experiment for triggering and event selection. The acceptance of these detector elements is greater than 95% of the solid angle.

Data for this measurement came from an exposure of 16900 inverse nanobarns, of which 15000 were taken at a center-of-mass energy of

29 GeV, the rest at 28 GeV. Events having at least four reconstructed prongs and sphericity<sup>2</sup> less than 0.1 were divided into two jets by the plane perpendicular to the sphericity axis. Selected events had at least one 3-prong jet, accompanied by a jet of one, two or three prongs, in both cases excluding any tracks which had been fitted as photon conversions in the vacuum pipe or chamber wall. The sum of charges of the tracks was required to be zero, except for those events having two reconstructed tracks opposite a three prong decay candidate with evidence, upon visual inspection, of a third track which failed the reconstruction.

Each three prong decay candidate was subject to the following track quality conditions: an average of at least 7 hits per track,  $\chi^2$  for the vertex fit less than 15 for 3 degrees of freedom, and net charge equal to  $\pm 1$ . Events passing these criteria were examined visually; about half were rejected as obvious background, mostly single photon or two photon multihadrons, often containing extra unreconstructed tracks.

Remaining backgrounds were: (1) Bhabha electron pairs with an additional pair from conversion of a radiated photon that failed to be reconstructed as such; (2)  $ee\tau\tau$  and  $ee(\text{hadron})$  events with undetected electrons; (3) beam-gas interactions; and (4) 4- and 6-prong multihadron events.

To remove these backgrounds the following additional requirements were imposed: (1) the total energy computed from the calorimeter pulse heights less than the pure-electromagnetic equivalent of 24 GeV, to eliminate converting radiative electron pairs; (2) charged-track spher-

icity less than 0.03, which Monte Carlo calculations showed would retain almost all  $\tau$  pairs while removing a large fraction of beam-gas,  $e\bar{e}\tau$ , and  $e\bar{e}(\text{hadron})$  events; (3) the net momentum of each triplet greater than 4 GeV/c to discriminate further against  $e\bar{e}\tau$  events; and (4) the larger of the two jet invariant masses, determined from energy-flow in the calorimeters, less than 4.5 GeV/c<sup>2</sup> (the invariant mass distribution of the visible  $\tau$  decay products is bounded by  $m_\tau \approx 1.8$  GeV, broadened by the hadron cascade in the calorimeter; from the corresponding distribution for detected multihadron events we found that about 90% were excluded by this cut, independent of their charged multiplicity).

There are 135 events, containing 139 reconstructed 3-prong decays, which pass the selection criteria. To gain confidence that nearly all of these are  $\tau$  pairs we have compared with calculation the distributions in the relevant kinematic variables, such as total energy and its charged-particle component, momenta of the single- and 3-prong decay products, 3-prong mass, production angle, and branching ratios for the decay opposite each 3-prong decay. In each case we find good agreement. From Monte Carlo calculations we estimate that 7 multihadron and 1  $e\bar{e}\tau$  events remain as background in the sample.

By  $\chi^2$  minimization, the fitting procedure for the decay vertices produced values for the coordinates of the decay point and their correlated errors. The production point was taken to be the center of the beam intersection volume. The coordinates of this point were determined by reconstructing the Bhabha scattering events recorded simultaneously with the  $\tau$  pairs, and averaging the fitted vertex coordinates over the events

in each data run. The beam position stability over extended data-taking periods was found to be such that the effective measured beam size was about 0.5 mm (rms) in the vertical dimension and 0.7 mm in the horizontal. Since the longitudinal beam bunch length was much larger (about 1.5 cm), the decay path was determined from its projection on the plane perpendicular to the beam. In this plane the distance between the vertex and the IP and its error were projected onto the momentum vector of the triplet, which is approximately that of the parent  $r$ . Fig. 1 shows the distribution in flight path error. Decays having an error larger than 8 mm were excluded from the subsequent analysis.

The decay path distribution is shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). Each event has been entered into the histogram of Fig. 2(a) with unit weight, and into that of Fig. 2(b) weighted by the reciprocal squared error. The weighting procedure optimizes the information extracted from the data on the sample mean, but tends to create bias, since the error is a function of distance from the measurements. We have retained both distributions as a check on this effect.

In both distributions of Fig. 2 there is a clear indication of a non-zero decay length. Although the measurement error is larger than the decay length,  $\lambda$ , the value of  $\lambda$  can be deduced from the sample mean. If we assume that the observed distribution is the convolution of an exponential decay curve with the experimental resolution function, which itself may have a non-zero mean value or bias  $X_b$ , then the value of  $\lambda$  and its error,  $\sigma_\lambda$ , are unfolded according to

$$\lambda = \langle X \rangle - X_b,$$

(1)

$$\sigma_\lambda^2 = (\sigma_x^2 - \lambda^2)/N,$$

where  $\langle X \rangle$  and  $\sigma_x$  are the mean and standard deviation of the observed distribution, and  $N$  is the number of events.

From a Monte Carlo calculation of the distribution in vertex position expected for taus of zero lifetime we determined the resolution function for the flight path measurement. A non-zero bias was found, as given in Table 1 for both unweighted and weighted distributions. We attribute this result to the  $\chi^2$  fitting procedure which, in effect, computes a weighted average of the intersection points formed by three pairs of tracks in each triplet. The weight given to each intersection tends to decrease with its distance from the measured track points, resulting in a bias of the triplet vertex toward these points. Variation in the Monte Carlo of the efficiency and noise rate of the tracking chamber has been shown to produce no significant change in the magnitude of the bias.

From the data of the first two lines of Table 1 together with equation (1) we find the corrected flight path values entered in the third line. The results for unweighted and weighted averages are in good agreement. Analysis of Monte Carlo events generated with the observed decay length gave the same decay path distribution as the data.

As a check on the calculated resolution function, we have constructed a sample of tau-like events from multihadron events observed in the detector. Random triplets were chosen from within the hadron jets which

met requirements adjusted to simulate  $\tau$  decays as nearly as possible. In particular, the decay path error distribution for the events was made to agree with the one for taus (Fig. 1). The decay path distributions for these events are given in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) and the mean values are entered in Table 1 under the heading "control sample", along with Monte Carlo results for the bias (determined by suppressing decays in the multihadron Monte Carlo), and the effective decay length after subtraction of the bias,  $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ . The results are to be compared with the contribution expected from hadronic decays, calculated by setting the drift chamber resolution to zero in the Monte Carlo, which appears in the last line of Table 1. It can be seen that the measured and calculated effective decay length agree within about 0.2 mm. Variations of the above control sample were also studied. Considering the consistency of all the data for both  $\tau$  and control events we have assigned a systematic uncertainty of 0.3 mm to the decay path measurement.

Taking the  $\tau$  mass to be<sup>3</sup> 1.782 GeV, and the average beam energy for this experiment of 14.5 GeV, we find for the lifetime,

$$\tau_{\tau} = (4.9 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-13} \text{ sec.}$$

Here we have used the weighted mean decay path from Table 1, and have added the 0.3 mm systematic uncertainty in quadrature with the statistical error given there. The prediction from  $\tau$ - $\mu$  universality is given by

$$\tau_{\tau} = (m_{\mu}/m_{\tau})^5 \tau_{\mu} b_e = (2.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-13} \text{ sec,}$$

where  $b_e$  is the branching fraction for  $\tau \rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu}$ ,  $0.176 \pm 0.016^4$ . Previous published measurements include values of<sup>5</sup>  $(4.6 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-13}$  and<sup>6</sup>  $(-0.25 \pm 3.5) \times 10^{-13}$  sec, consistent with the result reported here.

This work was supported in part by the Department of Energy, under contract numbers DE-AC02-76ER02114 (CU), DE-AC03-76SF00515 (SLAC), and DE-AC02-76ER00881 (UW), by the National Science Foundation under contract numbers NSF-PHY80-06504 (UU), NSF-PHY79-20020, and NSF-PHY79-20821 (NU), and by I. N. F. N.



References

1. MAC Collaboration, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Instrumentation for Colliding Beams, Edited by W. Ash (SLAC, 1982), to be published; SLAC-PUB-2894.
2. Sphericity is defined in, for example, G. Hanson et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 35, 1609 (1975).
3. W. Bacino, et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 41, 13 (1978).
4. C. A. Blocker et al., Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Report No. SLAC-PUB-2820, 1981 (unpublished).
5. G. J. Feldman, et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 48, 66 (1982).
6. TASSO Collaboration, reported by J. G. Branson, in Proceedings of the 1981 International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies, Bonn, Edited by W. Pfeil (Physikalisches Institut, University of Bonn, 1981).

Table 1

Summary of decay path and bias values, in mm. See text for definition of the weights referred to in the column headings.

	<u>Unweighted</u>	<u>Weighted</u>
<u><math>\tau</math> sample</u>		
$\tau$ raw $\langle x \rangle (\sigma_x)$	1.57 (5.48)	1.75 (4.43)
Monte Carlo $\langle x \rangle = x_b$	$0.50 \pm 0.01$	$0.55 \pm 0.01$
$\tau$ corrected $\lambda$	$1.07 \pm 0.49$	$1.20 \pm 0.39$
<u>control sample</u>		
raw $\langle x \rangle (\sigma_x)$	0.31 (4.86)	0.68 (4.03)
$x_b$	$0.30 \pm 0.10$	$0.30 \pm 0.08$
$\lambda_{\text{eff}}$	$0.01 \pm 0.21$	$0.38 \pm 0.17$
$\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ (calc.)	$0.18 \pm 0.08$	

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Distribution of the decay path length error from vertex fits to three-prong  $\tau$  decays.

Fig. 2. Decay length distribution for  $\tau$  decays; (a) unweighted; (b) weighted by the reciprocal squared error.

Fig. 3. Decay length distribution for control events; (a) unweighted; (b) weighted by the reciprocal squared error.




Fig. 1





Fig. 2



4-82

4307A3

Fig. 3