

IDENTIFICATION OF A PSEUDOSCALAR STATE AT 1440 MeV
IN J/ψ RADIATIVE DECAYS*

C. Edwards, R. Partridge, C. Peck and F. C. Porter
Physics Department, California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California 91125

D. Antreasyan, Y. F. Gu^a, J. Irion, W. Kollmann^b, M. Richardson,
K. Strauch, K. Wacker and A. Weinstein
Physics Department, Harvard University
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

D. Aschman, T. Burnett^c, M. Cavalli-Sforza, D. Coyne,
C. Newman and H. F. W. Sadrozinski^d
Physics Department, Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08544

D. Gelpman, R. Hofstadter, R. Horisberger, I. Kirkbride,
H. Kolanoski^e, K. Königsman, R. Lee, A. Liberman^f,
J. O'Reilly^g, A. Osterheld, B. Pollock and J. Tompkins
Physics Department and High Energy Physics Laboratory,
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

E. D. Bloom, F. Bulos, R. Chestnut, J. Gaiser, G. Godfrey,
C. Kiesling^h, W. Lockman, M. Oregliaⁱ and D. L. Scharre
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

ABSTRACT

From a partial-wave analysis of the $K\bar{K}\pi$ system in the decay
 $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0$, it is determined that the quantum numbers of the $K\bar{K}\pi$
resonance at 1440 MeV, previously identified as the E(1420), are
 $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$. We have named this new particle the ι .

Submitted to Physical Review Letters

* Work supported in part by the Department of Energy under contracts
DE-AC03-76SF00515 (SLAC), DE-AC02-76ER03064 (Harvard), DE-AC03-81ER40050
(CIT), and DE-AC02-76ER03072 (Princeton); and by the National Science
Foundation, contracts PHY81-07396 (HEPL), PHY79-16461 (Princeton), and
PHY75-22980 (CIT).

We have identified a pseudoscalar state with mass $M = 1440^{+20}_{-15}$ MeV in J/ψ radiative decays. This state was previously reported by the Mark II,¹ but was tentatively identified as the E(1420) (a state² with spin-parity 1^+) as the spin of the state was not known. We, in collaboration with the Mark II group, have named this pseudoscalar the $\eta(1440)$.³ Although the theoretical interpretation of this state is uncertain,⁴ possible interpretations are a two-gluon bound state or a member of a radially excited $q\bar{q}$ nonet.

In this Letter, we report on a partial-wave analysis of the $K^+K^-\pi^0$ system in the process

$$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^- \pi^0 \quad . \quad (1)$$

The analysis is based on a sample of 2.2×10^6 produced J/ψ events. The data were collected with the Crystal Ball detector at the SLAC e^+e^- storage ring facility SPEAR at the peak of the $J/\psi(3095)$ resonance. The detector consists primarily of a segmented array of NaI(Tl) crystals for high resolution measurements of the energy and position of electromagnetic showers. The photon energy resolution is $\sigma/E = 2.6\% / E^{1/4}$ (E in GeV) and the photon angular resolution is 1-2 degrees, depending on energy. The solid angle coverage of the main array is 93% of 4π sr and is extended to 98% with crystals in the endcap regions. The beam pipe is surrounded by magnetostrictive spark chambers and multiwire proportional chambers for charged particle tagging and tracking. The innermost spark chamber layer covers 94% of the solid angle. Details on the detector, event trigger, and data reduction are described in detail elsewhere.⁵

Figure 1 shows the $K^+K^-\pi^0$ invariant mass distribution for events which have two charged tracks and three γ 's, each with observed energy greater than 40 MeV, and which satisfy 3-constraint fits⁶ to (1) with

$\chi^2 < 15$. As there is no particle identification for charged particles, the kaon identification is by kinematics alone. A resonance is seen near 1400 MeV which we name the ι . Figure 2 shows the Dalitz plot for events with $1400 \leq M_{\bar{K}K\pi} < 1500$ MeV. Events are seen to be concentrated in the upper right region of the plot. This region corresponds to events with $\bar{K}K$ invariant mass near threshold. The shaded region in Fig. 1 shows the $K^+K^-\pi^0$ invariant mass distribution for events with $M_{\bar{K}K} < 1125$ MeV. The background [which is due largely to processes other than (1)] is reduced considerably compared to the signal. Thus, the resonant structure appears to be correlated with a low-mass $\bar{K}K$ enhancement. We interpret this as evidence for the decay of the ι into $\delta(980)\pi$. Note, however, that the $K^{*}(892)$ bands on the Dalitz plot overlap in the δ region, thus possibly causing confusion as to whether the decay is primarily $\delta\pi$ or $K^{*}\bar{K} + c.c.$

A fit to the $K^+K^-\pi^0$ invariant mass distribution with a relativistic Breit-Wigner resonance convoluted with a Gaussian ($\sigma = 20$ MeV, corresponding to the fitted mass resolution) plus a polynomial background yields 174 ± 30 resonance events. We obtain⁷ the following ι resonance parameters:

$$M = 1440 \begin{array}{l} + 20 \\ - 15 \end{array} \text{ MeV}$$

$$\Gamma = 55 \begin{array}{l} + 20 \\ - 30 \end{array} \text{ MeV} \quad ,$$

where estimated systematic uncertainties are included in the quoted errors. (The mass error is dominated by systematic uncertainties while the error in the width is predominantly statistical.) The detection efficiency for

$$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\iota \quad , \quad \iota \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0 \quad (2)$$

was determined to be 0.120 ± 0.024 by Monte Carlo calculation. From this and the number of observed ι events, the product branching ratio for (2) is calculated to be

$$B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \iota) \times B(\iota \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi) = (4.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3} ,$$

where the branching ratio has been corrected to account for all $K\bar{K}\pi$ charge combinations. This result is in good agreement with the Mark II result.¹

The spin of the ι was determined from a partial-wave analysis of the $K^+K^-\pi^0$ system.⁸ Contributions from five partial waves were included in the analysis:

$$\begin{array}{ll} K\bar{K}\pi \text{ flat} & \\ \delta\pi & (J^P = 0^-) \\ \delta\pi & (J^P = 1^+) \\ K^*\bar{K} + \text{c.c.} & (J^P = 0^-) \\ K^*\bar{K} + \text{c.c.} & (J^P = 1^+) \end{array} .$$

$J^P = 0^+$ is not allowed for a state which decays into three pseudoscalars. $J^P = 1^-$, although allowed for $K^*\bar{K} + \text{c.c.}$, would require the Dalitz plot to vanish at the boundaries, which is inconsistent with the data. Spins greater than 1 were not considered. Contributions from all partial waves except $K\bar{K}\pi$ flat were allowed to interfere with arbitrary phase. For each isobar contribution, the full angular decay distributions were included in the amplitudes. The ι and K^* helicities were allowed to be free parameters. The δ and K^* resonance parameters were taken to be the standard values.⁹

The analysis was done independently in each of five 100 MeV wide bins for events with $K\bar{K}\pi$ masses between 1300 and 1800 MeV. Partial waves which did not contribute significantly to the likelihood were eliminated,

leaving only three partial waves which provided significant contributions. These contributions, corrected for detection efficiency, are shown as functions of $K\bar{K}\pi$ mass in Fig. 3. The $K^*\bar{K} + c.c.$ ($J^P = 1^+$) contribution [Fig. 3(b)] is relatively small and independent of mass. On the other hand, the $\delta\pi$ ($J^P = 0^-$) contribution [Fig. 3(c)] shows clear evidence for resonant structure in the ι signal region ($1400 \leq M_{K\bar{K}\pi} < 1500$ MeV). Thus, $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$ is preferred over $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$. (The C-parity is established as even by the production mechanism.) In addition, we find the 90% confidence level upper limit

$$\frac{B(\iota \rightarrow K^*\bar{K} + c.c.)}{B(\iota \rightarrow K^*\bar{K} + c.c.) + B(\iota \rightarrow \delta\pi)} < 0.25$$

Although the full partial-wave analysis did not include spin 2 amplitudes, an analysis of the angular distribution $W(\theta_\gamma, \theta_\delta, \phi_\delta)^{10}$ for the process

$$\psi \rightarrow \gamma\iota, \quad \iota \rightarrow \delta\pi$$

determined relative probabilities of 10^{-4} and 8×10^{-3} for spins 1 and 2 relative to spin 0.

This work was supported in part by the Department of Energy under contracts DE-AC03-76SF00515 (SLAC), DE-AC02-76ER03064 (Harvard), DE-AC03-81ER40050 (CIT), and DE-AC02-76ER03072 (Princeton), and by the National Science Foundation, contracts PHY81-07396 (HEPL), PHY79-16461 (Princeton), and PHY75-22980(CIT).

REFERENCES

Present address:

- a Institute of High Energy physics, Academia Sinica, Beijing, People's Republic of China.
 - b Hermann-Distel Strasse 28, D-2050 Hamburg 80, Federal Republic of Germany.
 - c Physics Department, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195.
 - d SCIPP, University of California at Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California 95064.
 - e University of Bonn, Bonn, Federal Republic of Germany.
 - f Schlumberger-Doll Research Center, Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877.
 - g Systems Control Technology, Palo Alto, California 94304.
 - h Max-Planck Institute for Physics and Astrophysics, D-8000 Munich 40, Federal Republic of Germany.
 - i Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637.
1. D. L. Scharre et al., Phys. Lett. 97B, 329 (1980).
 2. C. Dionisi et al., Nucl. Phys. B169, 1 (1980).
 3. This state may have been observed in $\bar{p}p$ annihilations; P. Baillon et al., Nuovo Cimento 50A, 393 (1967). The preferred spin-parity assignment $J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$ was never established by that experiment. As the E(1420) has now been accepted as the $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ state seen in $\pi^{-}p$ interactions (Ref. 2), we have no choice but to give a new name to the pseudoscalar state.

4. D. L. Scharre, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Report No. SLAC-PUB-2880 (to be published in the Proceedings of the Orbis Scientiae 1982, Miami Beach, Florida, January 18-21, 1982).
5. J. C. Tompkins, in Quantum Chromodynamics, edited by A. Mosher (Stanford, California, 1980), p. 556; M. J. Oreglia, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Report No. SLAC-236, Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University, 1980 (unpublished); E. D. Bloom, in Proceedings of the 1979 International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies, edited by T. B. W. Kirk and H. D. I. Abarbanel (FNAL, Batavia, Illinois, 1979), p. 92.
6. Since only the angles but not the momenta of charged particles are measured, two possible constraints for this topology are removed.
7. Fits to both distributions in Fig. 1 give consistent results for the mass and width.
8. Details of the analysis are in D. L. Scharre, in Proceedings of the 1981 International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies, edited by W. Pfeil (Physikalisches Institut, Universität Bonn, West Germany, 1981), p. 163.
9. Particle Data Group, Rev. Mod. Phys. 52, S1 (1980).
10. P. K. Kabir and A. J. G. Hey, Phys. Rev. D 13, 3161 (1976).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. $K^+K^-\pi^0$ invariant mass distribution for events consistent with $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-\pi^0$. Shaded region has the requirement $M_{K\bar{K}} < 1125$ MeV.

Fig. 2. $K^+K^-\pi^0$ Dalitz plot for events with $1400 \leq M_{K\bar{K}\pi} < 1500$ MeV. Solid curve shows boundary for $M_{K\bar{K}\pi} = 1450$ MeV. Dashed line shows $M_{K\bar{K}} = 1125$ MeV.

Fig. 3. Partial-wave contributions as functions of $K\bar{K}\pi$ mass for (a) $K\bar{K}\pi$ flat, (b) $K^*\bar{K} + c.c.$ ($J^P = 1^+$), and (c) $\delta\pi$ ($J^P = 0^-$).




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3