INCLUSIVE PRODUCTION OF D AND K MESONS IN

e⁺e⁻ ANNIHILATION ^{*}

M. Piccolo[†], I. Peruzzi[†], P.A. Rapidis[‡], A. Barbaro-Galtieri, J.M. Feller[§], D. Lüke[¶], V. Vuillemin[¶], J.M. Dorfan, R. Ely, G.J. Feldman, A. Fong, B. Gobbi, G. Hanson, J.A. Jaros, B.P. Kwan^{*}; P. Lecomte[¶],

A.M. Litke, R.J. Madaras, D.H. Miller, S.I. Parker, T.P. Pun, M.T. Ronan, R.R. Ross, D.L. Scharre, T.G. Trippe, and D.E. Yount

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center and Department of Physics,

Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

and

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

and

Department of Physics and Astronomy,

Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201

and

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

ABSTRACT

We present measurements of the cross section for inclusive D and K meson production in e^+e^- annihilation in the center of mass energy range 3.6 to 5.8 GeV. D production accounts for most of the increase in the total cross section for hadron production in e^+e^- annihilation at energies above 4 GeV. (Submitted to Physics Letters)

^{*} Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract numbers DE-AC03-76SF00515 and W-7405-ENG-48. Present address:

[†] Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Rome, Italy.

⁺ Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510.

[§] Nevis Laboratories, Columbia University, Irvington on Hudson, New York 10533.

[¶] Deutsches Elektronen Synchrontron (DESY), Hamburg, Germany.

^{**} Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973.

^{||} Fellow of the Swiss National Science Foundation.

Production of charmed particles is generally believed to account for most of the increase in the ratio $R=\sigma(e^+e^-+hadrons)/\sigma(e^+e^-+\mu^+\mu^-)$ observed¹ in e^+e^- annihilation in the 4 GeV region. D mesons, the lowest lying charmed states, are expected to constitute the largest fraction of these charmed particles. Since charmed mesons decay predominantly into final states with a kaon, the increase in the kaons inclusive production should be comparable to the D meson production. Measurements of D production in e^+e^- collisions at center-of-mass energies $3.772 \text{ GeV} (\equiv\psi^{"})^2$, 4.03 GeV and 4.41 GeV³, and at 7 GeV⁴, as well as measurements of inclusive K[±] and K^o_S production in the energy intervals 3.6 to 5.0 GeV⁵ and 3.6 to 7.6 GeV⁶ have been previously reported and the expected increase of K production has been observed. In this letter we report a new set of measurements of the inclusive kaon production in e^+e^- annihilation, and, for the first time, measurements of the inclusive D meson production in the center-of-mass energy interval 3.6 to 5.8 GeV.

The data used in this analysis were collected with the SLAC-LBL magnetic detector facility at the e^+e^- storage ring SPEAR at SLAC. The data sample consists of events with three or more charged particles detected in the final state. The center-of-mass energy range covered is 3.6 to 5.8 GeV and the total integrated luminosity is approximately $8pb^{-1}$. The apparatus, trigger requirements, event selection criteria, and the time-of-flight system (TOF) have been described elsewhere.^{7,8} We will give here a short description of the most relevant aspects of our analysis.

The D° and D^{\dagger} mesons were identified as peaks in the invariant mass distribution of K^{π^+} and $K^{\pi^+}\pi^+$ combinations respectively. (To avoid unwieldy notation, a reference to a D meson state always implies the sum of that state and its charge conjugate state). These two decay modes were chosen because they have the highest acceptance in our apparatus and the highest signal-to-background ratio. For this part of the analysis we used the TOF weight technique³. Briefly, each particle in an event is assigned a weight proportional to the probability that it is a π , K, or p. These weights are determined from the measured momentum and TOF over a 1.5 to 2.0 m flight path using a Gaussian time distribution with a 0.35 ns standard deviation. The π , K, and p weights are normalized so that their sum is unity for each track. All possible combinations of tracks and particle hypotheses are made with each combination weighted by the joint probability that the tracks satisfy the particular particle hypotheses assigned to them. The mass recoiling against the D was required to be larger than 1.8 GeV/c^2 in order to reduce the background due to uncorrelated combinations. As the energy increases the identification of the D becomes progressively more difficult. This is because the D mass is no. longer on the tail of the $K\pi$ or $K\pi\pi$ invariant mass distribution and the cut of 1.8 GeV/c² does not reduce the background. In addition, the D momentum, and, as a consequence, the K and π momenta are higher and the TOF identification is less effective.

The invariant mass distributions for $K^+ \pi^-$ and $K^+\pi^-\pi^-$ for several center-of-mass energy intervals are shown in Fig. 1. The

-2-

numbers of observed D's were obtained by fitting these distributions to the sum of a Gaussian of fixed mass and width and a smooth background.

Neutral kaons were identified⁶ through their decay $K_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$. Each event was projected on the x-y plane, i.e., the plane normal to the beams, and for every charge-zero two-particle combination with an opening angle in this plane greater than 10° and smaller than 170° a vertex was determined. In general, the trajectories intersect at two points. Only the intersection closer to the origin (intersection of the e^+e^- beams) was considered. The projected distance of this intersection from the origin was required to (a) be greater than 0.4 cm and smaller than 16 cm, i.e., just inside the first chamber, and (b) be greater than zero by at least five standard deviations. The consistency of the vertex was checked using the z coordinate. If the two tracks were separated by more than 15 cm along the z direction they were rejected. Futhermore, the angle between the total momentum vector of the two tracks and the line of flight of the K_S^o (as defined by the origin and the three dimensional coordinates of the vertex) was required to be within four standard deviations of zero and, in any case, smaller than 60°. For the pairs that survived these cuts we required that their invariant mass be in the range 0.47 to 0.52 GeV/c^2 .

Charged kaons were identified from the measured TOF and momentum using the weight technique. Our TOF resolution allows for unambiguous (i.e. >3 σ) K- π separation for momenta up to .65 GeV/c and for 1 σ K- π

-3-

separation for momenta up to 1.2 GeV/c. We corrected for ambiguities due to the limited resolution of the TOF system for momenta up to 1 GeV/c in the following way ⁹: we computed the 3x3 matrix of π , K, and p identification probabilities as a function of momentum. The inverse of this matrix was then used to obtain the number of produced kaons from the number observed. For momenta above 1 GeV/c the sensitivity of this method, as applied to kaons, deteriorates rapidly. To evaluate the number of kaons with momenta greater than 1 GeV/c we extrapolated their momentum distribution using an exponential function whose parameters were obtained by fitting the kaon momentum spectrum below 1 GeV/c. We have checked that this correction is consistent with the fraction of K⁰_S (for which the acceptance for momenta greater than .4 GeV/c shows very little momentum dependence) with momenta above 1 GeV/c for each corresponding center-of-mass energy. We have also corrected for decay-in-flight of the charged K's.

In order to obtain cross sections from such "weighted" D and K mesons we estimated our detection efficiency by means of a Monte Carlo simulation of our apparatus which incorporated all the known experimental effects : geometrical acceptance , momentum and TOF resolution, trigger criteria, and cuts used in the analysis. To determine the D meson efficiency we used at each energy a production mechanism which reproduced best the observed D meson momentum distribution. At 4.16 and 4.3 GeV we used a production model of $D^* \overline{D}^*$; at higher energies we used a mixture of $D\overline{D}\pi\pi$ and $D^* \overline{D}^*\pi$.

-4-

The kaon detection afficiency was estimated ⁶ as the product of two terms $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\rm K}({\rm P}_{\rm K}) : \varepsilon_{\rm T}({\rm P}_{\rm K}, {\rm E}_{\rm cm})$, where $\varepsilon_{\rm K}$ is the efficiency for detecting a kaon of momentum ${\rm P}_{\rm K}$, and for $\varepsilon_{\rm T}$ is the probability for an event with an observed kaon to trigger and to satisfy our event selection criteria for a hadronic final state. $\varepsilon_{\rm T}$ depends on the dynamics and the multiplicity of final states containing a kaon. In the Monte Carlo simulation used to determine $\varepsilon_{\rm T}$ we adjusted the parameters of the production model in such a way that the detected kaon momentum spectrum, the average charged particle multiplicity, and the average charged particle momentum agree with the data for the various c.m. energies under study.¹⁰

The number of observed D's, our efficiency estimate, the luminosity at each energy interval, and the measured² branching ratios for $D^{o}_{\rightarrow}K^{-}\pi^{+}$ and $D^{+}_{\rightarrow}K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}$ allow us to determine the cross section for inclusive D meson production. These results together with¹¹ $R_{D}^{-}(\sigma_{D}o_{+}\overline{D}o + \sigma_{D}+_{+}D^{-})/2\sigma_{\mu}+_{\mu}^{-}$ are shown in Table I. We observe a clear D signal in the intervals 4.0 - 4.2 GeV, and 4.4 - 5.0 GeV; no significant signal is observed in the region 4.2 - 4.4 GeV, a region where R also shows a dip¹². In the region 5.0 - 5.8 GeV, even though no significant D signal is observed, our efficiency is quite small and our results are not inconsistent with a sizable D meson production. The quantity R_{D} , shown in Figure 2a, follows the overall shape of R. Within our errors, R_{D} together with the contribution due to pair production of the heavy lepton τ can account for all of the increase in R observed¹ for c.m. energies greater than 4.0 GeV.

-5-

The results of the kaon study are summarized in Table II. The number of observed kaons and the cross section for inclusive kaon production for a series of center-of-mass energy intervals are given. Figs. 2b and 2c are plots of the quantities $R_{K^-}^+ = (\sigma_K^+ + \sigma_{K^-})/\sigma_{\mu \mu}^+ - and$ $R_{K^0}^- 2\sigma_K^0/\sigma_{\mu \mu}^+ - m$, where the factor 2 in the R_{K^0} accounts for the undetected K_L^0 . $R_{K^-}^+$ and R_{K^0} show an energy dependence similar to the energy dependence of R_D^- , as expected for the production and subsequent decay of charmed mesons.

If we make the assumption that the ψ " decays entirely into $D\overline{D}$ pairs, our measurement of the kaon production near and at the ψ " together with the total cross section measurements of Ref. 13 allow us to estimate the number of charged and neutral kaons per D decay -a result that has been previously reported.¹⁴ For the energy region 3.75 - 3.79 one third of the total hadronic cross section is due to the ψ "², while for the regions 3.73 - 3.76 and 3.79 - 3.84 the contribution of the ψ " to the total cross section is only 10%. We find that there are $1.03 \pm .27$ neutral kaons and $0.83 \pm .24$ charged kaons per ψ " event. These imply that we have 0.52 ± 0.14 neutral kaons and 0.42 ± 0.12 charged kaons per D decay. For the non-resonant part of the cross section we find that there are 0.26 ± 0.08 neutral kaons and 0.32 + 0.10 charged kaons per event.

-6-

We thank V.Lüth for many useful discussions on the techniques used in the K_S^0 analysis and for making available to us parts of her analysis programs.

FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

1.	For a recent review see G.J. Feldman in Proceedings of the 19th
	International Conference on High Energy Physics, Tokyo,
	Japan, 1978, p. 777 .
2.	I. Peruzzi, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u> , 1301 (1977).
3.	M. Piccolo, et al., Phys. Lett. 70B, 260 (1977).
4.	P.A. Rapidis, et al., SLAC-PUB 2148, Phys. Lett. (in press) ;
	P.A. Rapidis, Thesis, Stanford University, 1979 (unpublished).
5.	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i> , Phys. Lett. <u>67B</u> , 363 (1977);
	J. Burmester et al., Phys. Lett. <u>67B</u> , 367 (1977).
6.	V. Lüth et al., Phys. Lett. 70B, 120 (1977).
7.	A. Barbaro-Galtieri <i>et al.</i> , Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u> , 1058 (1977);
	V. Vannucci <i>et al.</i> , Phys. Rev. <u>D15</u> , 1814 (1977).
8.	I. Peruzzi et al., Phys. Rev. <u>D17</u> , 2901 (1978).
9.	M. Piccolo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u> , 1503 (1977).
10.	Because of the relatively low center-of-mass energies the differences
	between an isotropic phase space model, such as the one used in Ref. 6,
	and a model incorporating jet production, as in Ref. 9, are negligible
11.	$\sigma_{D}^{o}_{+\overline{D}}^{o}$ refers to the cross section for inclusive D ^O or \overline{D}^{O} production,
	i.e., $\sigma_D \circ_{+\overline{D}} \circ = \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0 X) + \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \overline{D}^0 X)$. The same applies, mutatis
	mitandis, to $\sigma_{D^+} + p^-$ and $\sigma_{K^+} + K^-$.
12.	J. Siegrist <i>et al.</i> , Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>36</u> , 700 (1976).
13.	P.A. Rapidis <i>et al.</i> , Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u> , 526 (1977).
14.	V. Vuillemin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>41</u> , 1149 (1978).

Energy, mean energy, integrated luminosity, observed number of D's, cross section for D⁰ and D⁺ inclusive production, and R_D for several center-of-mass energy intervals. Table I:

-9-

.ttFrom Ref. 4

.

Table II: Energy,

.....

Energy, mean energy, integrated luminosity, observed number of kaons, and cross section for inclusive kaon production for several center-of-mass energy intervals.

^σ K ⁺ + ^σ K ⁻ (ni)	6.3 ± 1.5 19.6 ± 1.6 15.6 ± 1.6 10.4 ± 1.8 8.6 ± 1.3 10.8 ± 1.9 18.0 ± 1.7 15.1 ± 1.0 15.1 ± 1.0 9.2 ± 0.6 -*
^σ K ^o (nb)	$\begin{array}{c} 3.3 \pm 1.5 \\ 4.7 \pm 0.8 \\ 7.7 \pm 0.4 \\ 3.1 \pm 0.5 \\ 3.1 \pm 0.5 \\ 5.6 \pm 0.6 \\ 7.8 \pm 0.6 \\ 3.6 \pm 0.4 \\ 3.6 \pm 0.3 \\ 3.6 \pm 0.4 \end{array}$
Number of observed † K ⁺ and K ⁻	130 ± 32 916 ± 136 8082 ± 526 1522 ± 265 976 ± 156 896 ± 160 3102 ± 292 9006 ± 566 3561 ± 242 -*
Number of observed K <mark>s</mark>	17 ± 8 118 ± 19 1138 ± 58 123 ± 20 128 ± 19 126 ± 19 126 ± 18 360 ± 29 1234 ± 58 606 ± 40 1181 ± 59 487 ± 38 321 ± 33
Integrated luminosity (nb ^{~l})	48 215 215 341 341 258 188 383 383 1297 854 856 856 667
Mean c.m. energy (GeV)	3.600 3.743 3.775 3.811 3.811 3.869 3.869 4.040 4.152 4.286 4.286 4.286 4.948 4.948 5.394
c.m. energy (GeV)	3.6 $3.73 - 3.76$ $3.76 - 3.79$ $3.79 - 3.84$ $3.90 - 4.00$ $4.10 - 4.10$ $4.10 - 4.20$ $4.40 - 4.40$ $4.40 - 4.80$ $4.80 - 5.20$ $5.20 - 5.60$

* Above 4.4 GeV the high momentum of the K^{\pm} makes identification inreliable. † Number of events corrected for TOF losses.

-10-

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- 1. Invariant mass spectra of weighted $K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ and $K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\pi^{\mp}$ combinations for several center-of-mass energy regions. The fits are explained in the text.
- 2. Plots of
 - (a) the ratio ${}^{11}R_{D} = (\sigma_{D^{O}+\bar{D}^{O}} + \sigma_{D} + \sigma_{D} + \sigma_{\mu})/2\sigma_{\mu} + \sigma_{\mu}$
 - (b) the ratio $R_{K_{o}}^{o} = 2\sigma_{K_{o}}^{o}/\sigma_{\mu}^{+}\mu^{-}$
 - (c) the ratio $R_{K^{\pm}} = (\sigma_{K^{\pm}} + \sigma_{K^{\pm}})/\sigma_{\mu \mu}^{+}$ as a function of center-of-mass energy. The triangles are from Ref. 3 and the squares from Ref. 2.





e.

