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#### Abstract

The lattice gauge theory proposed by Wilson is discussed．Gauge fixing is defined for the lattice theory，and it is shown that gauge fix－ ing is done in this theory solely for calculational purposes．The gauge－fixing method is used to study the mass renormalization of the gauge field quantum．An explicit calculation is done to lowest order which shows that there is no mass renormalization．This same re－ sult is proved to all orders in perturbation theory using the Slavnov identity。
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## I. THE LATTICE GAUGE THEORY

The lattice gauge theory has been introduced by Wilson ${ }^{1}$ to explain the dynamics of strongly interacting elementary particles. The non-Abelian gauge field has many well-known and remarkable properties. In particular, it is a nonlinear field which couples to itself (and, of course, to anything else which carries the requisite quantum number). In this sense it is similar to the gravitational field. The gauge field also exhibits asymptotic freedom (that is, the strength of the coupling goes to zero for zero distance interaction); and, when coupled to the quark field, the coupled quark-gluon theory shows quark confinement in the strong coupling limit. The gauge field quantum is an elementary particle. For the case of strong interaction, this quantum is called the gluon. The quantum of the Abelian gauge field is the photon and its properties are fairly well understood.

Wilson ${ }^{1,2}$ has given an action functional formulation of quantum field theory using the Feynman path integral. In particular, the lattice gauge field is quantized on a discrete lattice embedded in a four-dimensional Euclidean spacetime. The reason for going to a lattice is twofold. Firstly, the lattice provides an ultraviolet cutoff, and hence there are no ultraviolet divergences in the theory. We will sometimes work with a finite size lattice, and this will provide an infrared cutoff. The problem of renormalization has to be solved to go to the continuum limit, i.e., to let the lattice spacing go to zero. Secondly, using the lattice as a cutoff allows one to formulate the cutoff theory so that we have exact local gauge invariance for the lattice gauge field. Any other conventional way of defining the cutoff theory usually destroys local gauge invariance. Local gauge invariance is the single most important property of the gauge field, and the lattice gauge field is a more accurate representation of it than, say, would
be a theory which preserves Lorentz invariance but gives up local gauge invariance. We work in Euclidean spacetime as this allows us to rigorously define the Feynman path integral. Analytically continuing to physical time is necessary for computing physical quantities.

Consider a finite lattice of $\mathrm{N}^{4}$ lattice sites, and with periodic boundary conditions. Let n specify the lattice site and $\mu$ the directions on the lattice. The local gauge degrees of freedom are the finite group elements $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}$ belonging to the gauge group $G$, which for definiteness, is taken to be $\operatorname{SU}(\mathrm{n})$.

The gauge field action functional is defined by ${ }^{1,2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{0}$ is the bare coupling constant ( Tr signifies trace). Note

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}, \nu} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}^{\dagger} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gauge field theory is quantized by integrating $e^{A}$ over all possible values for $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}\right)=\underset{\mathrm{n} \mu}{\Pi} \boldsymbol{\Pi} \int \mathrm{dU}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{dU}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}$ is the invariant measure.
Note A is invariant under local gauge-transformations, which for the lattice, is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}}^{\dagger} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{n}$ is also an element of the gauge group.
Let $\left\{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}$ be the generator's of the group. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x^{a}, x^{b}\right]=i^{a b c} x^{c} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)=\delta^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathrm{s}^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the fundamental representation, $s^{2}=2 .^{3}$ Let $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ be the local lattice spacetime gauge field, $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ a local scalar field, and let $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ be the local gauge fieldtensor. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}}}  \tag{1.7}\\
& \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}}}  \tag{1.8}\\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}}} \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ are bounded variables which take values in the compact parameter space of $\operatorname{SU}(\mathrm{n})$. We consider the case when $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \ll 1$. Using the equation $e^{A} e^{B}=e^{A+B+\frac{1}{2}[A, B]+\ldots}$ we find from (1.8)

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{n \mu \nu}^{a} & =\Delta_{\mu} B_{n \mu}^{a}-\Delta_{\nu} B_{n \mu}^{a}-\frac{1}{2} C^{a b c}\left[B_{n+\hat{\nu}, \mu}^{b} B_{n+\hat{\mu}, \nu}^{c}+B_{n+\hat{\nu}, \mu}^{b} B_{n \nu}^{c}+B_{n \mu \mu}^{b} B_{n+\hat{\mu}, \nu}^{c}\right. \\
& \left.-B_{n \mu}^{b} B_{n \nu}^{c}-B_{n \mu}^{b} B_{n+\hat{\nu}, \mu}^{c}-B_{n+\hat{\mu}, \nu}^{b} B_{n \nu}^{c}\right]+O\left(B^{3}\right)=-f_{n \nu \mu}^{a} \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where repeated indices are summed over and $\Delta_{\mu} h_{n} \equiv h_{n+\hat{\mu}}-h_{n}$ is the finite lattice derivative. In general, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is an infinite power series of the $\left\{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu} \nu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right.$, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$, $\left.\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}$ variables. That $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is an analytic function of these variables is a consequence of the group multiplication law. We also determine the effect of the gauge transformation on the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ variables. Let $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \ll 1$; then, from (1.4),

$$
\exp \left\{i \widetilde{B}_{n \mu}^{a} X^{a}\right\}=\exp \left\{i \phi_{n}^{a} X^{a}\right\} \exp \left\{i B_{n \mu}^{a} X^{a}\right\} \exp \left\{-i \phi_{n+\mu}^{a} X^{a}\right\}
$$

giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}-\Delta_{\mu} \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{abc}}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{b}}+\phi_{\left.\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{c}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{abc}} \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{b}} \phi_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}}^{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{O}\left(\phi^{3}\right)\right. \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will return to these equations in Section II. [In Section IIB; we use $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)$ to denote $\widetilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \mu^{\circ}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ ]

## II. THE WEAK COUPLING APPROXIMATION

The lattice gauge theory is studied for its weak coupling behavior. It will be shown that a gauge-fixing term is necessary in this limit solely for the purpose of calculations. A counterterm has to be introduced into the action to cancel the gauge-invariant effects of the gauge-fixing term. The counterterm will be evaluated in the weak coupling approximation, and the result is seen to be significantly different from the results of the conventional continuum nonAbelian gauge fields. We attribute these differences to the lattice cutoff that is built into the theory. The main purpose of the gauge-fixing/counterterm formalism is to reduce the lattice theory, in the weak coupling approximation, to conventional field theory on a lattice. This, in essence, means that all the field variables $\left\{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}$ take values over an infinite range ( $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{o}}$ e. , over the real line $\mathbb{R}$ ) rather than over the compact parameter space。Having all the variables $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ range over $\mathbf{R}$ will allow us to define Feynman perturbation theory for the lattice gauge field. In this section, we will basically discuss under what conditions the abovementioned reduction is possible. The gauge-fixing/counterterm formalism will be introduced to make this reduction possible; we will also discuss why, without this formalism, we have a well-defined theory which is, however, unsuitable for calculations. We will first discuss, for pedagogical reasons, the theory without the gauge-fixing term, and then show the necessity for introducing it. The necessity for the counterterm arises as follows: (a) The gauge fixing breaks local gauge invariance of the theory. This is necessary, since it is local gauge invariance which is the obstacle to setting up a Feynman perturbation expansion for the original action. (b) The counterterm is introduced to cancel the gaugeinvariant effects generated by the gauge-fixing term. The resultant theory gives the same gauge-invariant vacuum expectation values as the original theory。
A. Gauge Fixing

We will discuss gauge fixing from the weak coupling point of view, although the basic results are valid for arbitrary coupling. The reason for this is that the usefulness of this approach is obvious for the weak coupling limit. By the weak coupling limit we mean the behavior of the lattice gauge field when we let $\mathrm{g}_{0} \rightarrow 0$. The properties of the gauge field can then be computed as an expansion in $\mathrm{g}_{0}$ 。 We will look at the $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}_{0}^{2}\right)$ behavior of the field.

We will first study the behavior of the theory without any gauge fixing. To do so, we have to make a change of variables such that all the variables in the path integral that have no coupling to the gauge-invariant sector are factored out of the path integral. This change of variables is called choosing a gauge for the gauge field. We choose the generalized axial gauge as defined in Ref. 1 for the Abelian lattice theory; the non-Abelian case is essentially the same as the Abelian case except for some not so minor complications. The choice of a specific gauge will help clarify the role of the gauge-fixing term.

To choose the axial gauge, we have to partition the finite lattice into disjoint domains. On each domain will be defined distinct change of variables. The domains are defined as follows. We consider a finite lattice $1 \leq n_{\mu} \leq N$ with periodic boundary conditions. We partition the lattice sites into the following disjoint domains:
$D^{(0)}=\left\{n \mid 1 \leq n_{0} \leq N-1,1 \leq n_{i} \leq N\right\}: 4$-dimensional hypervolume
$\mathrm{D}^{(1)}=\left\{\mathrm{n} \mid \mathrm{n}_{0}=\mathrm{N}, 1 \leq \mathrm{n}_{1} \leq \mathrm{N}-1,1 \leq \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{n}_{3} \leq \mathrm{N}\right\}: 3$-dimensional volume
$D^{(2)}=\left\{n \mid n_{0}=n_{1}=N, 1 \leq n_{2} \leq N-1,1 \leq n_{3} \leq N\right\}: 2$-dimensional surface
$\mathrm{D}^{(3)}=\left\{\mathrm{n} \mid \mathrm{n}_{0}=\mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=\mathrm{N}, 1 \leq \mathrm{n}_{3} \leq \mathrm{N}-1\right\}:$ 1-dimensional line
$D^{(4)}=\{N \equiv(N, N, N, N)\}$ : single lattice point
Do the following gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}}^{\dagger} \tag{2,1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The axial gauge is defined by the following change of variables

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{D}^{(\nu)}, \mu \neq \nu \\
\text { and } \quad \mathrm{dU}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}=\mathrm{d} \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}, \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}=\mathbb{I}  \tag{2,2}\\
\mathrm{d} U_{\mathrm{n} \nu}=\mathrm{d} V_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For the single lattice site N we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathbb{1} \quad \text { and }  \tag{2.3}\\
\mathrm{d} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{N} \mu}=\mathrm{d} \tilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{N} \mu} \text { for all } \mu
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Note $V_{N}=\mathbb{I}$ is the only choice for $V_{N}$ which is gauge-invariant. The only difference, in the choice of gaugc, between the Abelian and the non-Abelian case is in (2.3), the reason bcing that the Abclian case has a higher symmetry than the non-Abelian case, which allows onc to eliminate the variables $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{N} \mu}\right\}^{3}{ }^{3}=0$ from the action. This is no longer possible for the non-Abelian case, and causes some complications. We will return to this point in Section III.

For concreteness, we examine the effect of the gauge transformation on the path integral of the action functional. Firstly, note that gauge invariance implies that the action is invariant under this transformation; that is,

$$
A[W]=A[\widetilde{W}]: \text { independent of the }\left\{V_{n}\right\} \text { variables }
$$

Hence

Let $\underset{\mathrm{n}, \mu}{\mathrm{I},} \equiv \mathrm{II} \underset{\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{D}^{(\nu)}}{\mathrm{I}}{\underset{\mu(\neq \nu)}{ }}_{\mathrm{I}}$; then we show in Appendix A that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right) \approx \underset{\mathrm{n}, \mu \mathrm{I} \mathrm{I}}{ } \mathrm{\int}_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mu\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}\right) \Pi_{\alpha} \int_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{~d} \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{N} \alpha} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}}<\infty . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, Z can be represented by a convergent multiple integral where all the variables $\left\{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}$ (except at the lattice site N ) range over an infinite range. Note also from ( $2.4^{\prime}$ ) that the redundant variables $\left\{V_{n}\right\}$ have been factorized in the path integral from the gauge-invariant sector. Note, however, that the variables $\left\{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}$ are nonzero on very complicated domains, and this makes any tractable Fourier transform to k-space virtually impossible。 Hence (2.5) is not suited for perturbation theory, although it is well defined.

The gauge-fixing term is introduced to control the divergence due to the $\left\{\phi_{n}^{a}\right\}$ variables. This means, in terms of the original variables $\left\{B_{n \mu}^{a}\right\}$, that the action has added to it a term which necessarily breaks gauge invariance. To leave invariant the gauge-invariant. sector, we further add the counterterm. The counterterm is a gauge-invariant functional of the gauge field and is evaluated from the gauge-fixing term via a path integral。 (We will relax the property of gauge invariance later on.)

Let $A_{\alpha}$ be the gauge-fixing term, and $A_{c}$ the countcrtcrm. The modified action is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}=A+A_{\alpha}+A_{c} \tag{2,8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The actions $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ give the same gauge-invariant physics. (We will prove this later.) One has a wide choice as, to what functional of the field variables $\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}$ should be. The only necessary condition is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}=\prod_{\mathrm{n} \neq \mathrm{N} \mu, \mathrm{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{dB} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \int_{\mathrm{G} \mu} \mathrm{MdU}_{\mathrm{N} \mu} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}^{\prime}} \mu\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)<\infty \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(We will make a specific choice for $A_{\alpha}$ in Section IIB。) To define $A_{c}$, we introduce the following notation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{dV}=\min _{\mathrm{n} \neq \mathrm{N}} \mathrm{dV} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{dU}=\underset{\mathrm{n} \mu}{\mathrm{II} \mathrm{II} d \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}}  \tag{2,10}\\
& \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{(\mathrm{V})}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}}^{\dagger} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Define $A_{c}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}[\mathrm{U}]}=1 / \int \mathrm{dV} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}\left[\mathrm{U}^{(\mathrm{V})]}\right.}: \text { gauge-invariant } \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\int d V e^{A_{\alpha}\left[U^{(V)}\right]} / \int d V^{\prime} e^{A_{\alpha}\left[U^{\left(V^{\prime}\right)}\right]} \tag{2,13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathrm{K}[\mathrm{U}]$ be an arbitrary gauge-invariant function. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int d U K[U] & =\int d U K[U] \frac{\int d V e^{A_{\alpha}\left[U^{(V)}\right]}}{\int d V^{\prime} e^{A_{\alpha}\left[U^{(V)}\right]}} \\
& =\int d V \int d U K[U] e^{A_{\alpha}\left[U^{(V)}\right]} e^{A_{c}[U]} \tag{2,14}
\end{align*}
$$

Pcrform the gauge transformation on $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}\right\}$ variables such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{n \mu}^{\prime}=V_{n} U_{n \mu} V_{n+\hat{\mu}}^{\dagger} \\
& \mathrm{dU}^{\prime}=\mathrm{dU}  \tag{2.15}\\
& K\left[U^{\prime}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}\left[\mathrm{U}^{\prime}\right]}=\mathrm{K}[\mathrm{U}] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}[\mathrm{U}]}
\end{align*}
$$

We then have

We thus see that $e^{A_{c}+A^{A}}$ leaves the gauge-invariant sector unchanged. Hence, in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right)=\int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{U}]}=\int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the result $(2,16)$ is valid exactly for the lattice theory. This formulation reduces to the Faddeev-Popov ${ }^{4}$ formulation in the weak coupling approximation. We now choose a specific $A_{\alpha}$ and calculate $A_{c}$ for it.
B. Evaluation of the Counterterm

Choose the gauge-fixing term ${ }^{4}$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}^{[\mathrm{B}]}}=\Pi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}}^{\prime} \delta\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \tag{2,18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}$ are fixed numbers, $\Pi_{\mathrm{n}} \boldsymbol{\prime} \equiv \underset{\mathrm{n} \neq \mathrm{N}}{\Pi}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\sum_{\mu} \Delta_{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-\hat{\mu}, \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \tag{2,19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left\{i \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi) \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \dot{V}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}}^{\dagger} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)=\sum_{\mu} \Delta_{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-\hat{\mu}, \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note $\sum_{\bar{n}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\sum_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)=0$; hence there are only $\mathrm{N}^{4}-1$ independent variables for the $s_{n^{*}}^{a_{0}}$ Let $\sum_{n}^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{n \neq N}$ 。

Then, from (2.12)
$e^{A^{+}+A_{c}}=\underset{n, a}{\Pi^{\prime} \delta\left(s_{n}^{a}-t_{n}^{a}\right) / \int d V} \underset{n, a}{\Pi^{\prime} \delta\left(s_{n}^{a}(\phi)-t_{n}^{a}\right)}=\underset{n, a}{\Pi^{\prime} \delta\left(s_{n}^{a}-t_{n}^{a}\right) / \int d V} \underset{n, a}{\Pi_{n}^{\prime} \delta\left(s_{n}^{a}(\phi)-s_{n}^{a}\right)}$.

Note that in taking the step to $(2.22)$ we havc lost gauge-invariance for $e^{A} c$, since it now depends on gauge-transformations through the variable $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}$. However, the combined effect of $e^{A} \alpha^{+A} c$ is to leave the gauge-invariant sector unchanged. (We will return to this point later.)

From (2.22) we see that $e^{A_{c}}$ is independent of $\left\{t_{n}^{a}\right\}$. Recall from (2.17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right)=\int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}+\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{c}=\int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $Z\left(g_{0}\right)$ is independent of $\left\{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}$. Therefore ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right)=\text { (const. } \text {. }_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{dt}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2}\right\} \mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right) \\
& =\int d U e^{A+A} c I_{n, a} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d t_{n}^{a} \exp \left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(t_{n}^{a}\right)^{2}\right\} \delta\left(s_{n}^{a}-t_{n}^{a}\right) \\
& =\int d U e^{A} \exp \left[-\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{n, a}^{\prime}\left(s_{n^{2}}^{a^{2}}\right] / \int d V \underset{n, a}{ } I^{\prime} \delta\left(s_{n}^{a}(\phi)-s_{n}^{a}\right)\right. \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.24) is the final form for $A_{\alpha}$ and $A_{c}$ which we will use for computations. We show in Appendix $B$ that the combined effect of $e^{A^{\alpha}+A} c$ in fact leaves gauge-invariant sector unchanged. $e^{A^{c}}$ is no longer gauge-invariant, but $e^{A} \alpha^{+A} c$ has a lower symmetry, which is the Slavnov symmetry isee Section IIC).

Let $\alpha=O\left(1 / g_{0}^{2}\right)$; then the modified action $A^{\prime}=A+A_{\alpha}+A_{c}$ restricts all the variables (except $B_{N \mu}^{a}$ ) to be $O\left(g_{0}\right)$. We look only at regions for which $B_{N \mu}^{a}=$ $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}_{0}\right)$ and hence have, for all $\mathrm{n}, \mu$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{n \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

What we mean by (2.25) is that in performing the path integral of $e^{A^{\prime}}$, only those regions of the phase space contribute to the path integral for which $B_{n \mu}^{a}=$ $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}_{0}\right)$ 。 In other words, in this gauge the path integral is performed over those points of $\Omega$ which are a distance $\lesssim \mathrm{g}_{0}$ from the origin. Equation $(2.25)$ can be derived from the results of Section III。

In summary, from (2.24) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\prime}=A-\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{n, a}^{\prime}\left(s_{n}^{a}\right)^{2}+A_{c} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{c}=\int \mathrm{dV} \underset{n, a}{\Pi^{\prime} \delta\left(s_{n}^{a}(\phi)-s_{n}^{a}\right) .} \tag{2,27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now evaluate $A_{c}[B]$ to $O\left(g_{0}^{2}\right)$. For this, we need $B_{n \mu}^{a}(\phi)$ up to terms linear in $\phi_{n}^{a}$ and quadratic in $B_{n \mu}^{a}$. We computed $B_{n \mu}^{a}(\phi)$ to $O\left(g_{0}^{2}\right)$ in (1.13); the only two terms missing there are of order $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{2} \phi_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{2} \phi_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}^{\cdot}}$. Since there is no mixing of $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}}$, we can set one of them to zero and compute for the other. Using the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp (A) \exp (B)=\exp \left\{A+B+\frac{1}{2}[A, B]+\frac{1}{12}[[A, B], B]-\frac{1}{12}[[A, B], A]+\ldots\right\} \tag{2,28}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have, for $\phi_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}}^{\mathrm{a}}=0$,

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)=\text { lower order }+\frac{1}{12} \mathrm{C}^{\text {abe }} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{cde}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{c}} \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{d}}
$$

We can similarly do the calculation setting $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=0$, and from these results and (1.11) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{n \mu}^{a}(\phi)= & B_{n \mu}^{a}-\Delta_{\mu} \phi_{n}^{a}-\frac{1}{2} C^{a b c}\left(\phi_{n}^{b}+\phi_{n+\mu}^{b} \hat{\mu}_{n \mu}^{c}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{12} C^{\text {abe }} C^{c d e} B_{n \mu}^{b} B_{n \mu}^{c}\left(\phi_{n}^{d}-\phi_{n+\hat{\mu}}^{d}\right)+O\left(\phi^{2}, B^{3} \phi\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Define $u_{n}^{a}=u_{n}^{a}(\phi)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \tag{2,30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (2.27)

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-A_{c}[B]}=\int d V \underset{n, a}{\Pi^{\prime} \delta\left(s_{n}^{a}(\phi)-s_{n}^{a}\right)=\int d V \Pi_{n, a}^{\prime} \delta\left(u_{n}^{a}\right) .} \tag{2,31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will now make a change of variable from $\left\{\phi_{n}^{a}\right\}$ to $\left\{u_{n}^{a}\right\}$ to cvaluate (2.31). The $\delta$-functions make $u_{n}^{a}(\phi)=0$; this in turn implies $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=0$ as the unique solution for which $u_{n}^{a}=0$ (as long as $B_{n \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \ll 1$ )。 We analyze the variable $u_{n}^{a}=u_{n}^{a}(\phi)$. To do this, we define the Fourier transform of the variables. Let $h_{n}$ be any arbitrary function of $n$. Due to the torus structure of the lattice, we have $h_{n+N \hat{\mu}}=h_{n}$ : periodic in all the coordinates with period $N$ 。Hence $h_{n}$ can be expanded in terms of the basis functions $\left\{e^{i k_{\mu} n_{\mu}}\right\}, k_{\mu}=0, \frac{2 \pi}{N}, \ldots \frac{2 \pi}{N}(N-1)$.
That is

$$
h_{\mathrm{n}}=\sum_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ikn}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{k}} \equiv \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}^{4}\left\{\prod_{\mu} \sum_{\mathrm{k}_{\mu}=0}^{2 \pi(\mathrm{~N}-1) / \mathrm{N}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik}_{\mu} \mathrm{n}_{\mu}}\right\} \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}} ; ~ ; ~ ; ~}
$$

$$
h_{k}=\sum_{n} e^{-i k n} h_{n} ; \quad \quad \delta_{k, q} \equiv N^{4} \prod_{i=0}^{3} \delta_{k_{i}} ; q_{i}
$$

Let

$$
u_{n}^{a}=\sum_{k} e^{i k n_{u_{k}}^{a}}, \quad B_{n \mu}^{a}=\sum_{k} e^{i k n} B_{k \mu}^{a}, \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\sum_{k} e^{i k n} \phi_{k}^{a}
$$

Then, from (2.29) and (2.30),

$$
u_{k}^{a}=\sum_{n} e^{-i k \cdot n} u_{n}^{a}
$$

Using (2.29) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{a}}= & \sum_{\mu} \left\lvert\, 1-e^{\mathrm{ik}} \mu_{\mid}^{2} \phi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{a}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{abc}} \sum_{\mathrm{q}, \mu}\left(1-e^{-\mathrm{ik}} \mu_{)(1+e}{ }^{\mathrm{iq}} \mu\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q}, \mu}^{\mathrm{b}} \phi_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{c}}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{12} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{abe}} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{cde}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q}} \sum_{\mu}\left(1-e^{-\mathrm{ik}} \mu_{)\left(1-e^{i q}\right.}{ }_{\mu}\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}^{\prime}-\mathrm{q}, \mu}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mu}^{\mathrm{c}} \phi_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{d}} \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Note from (2.32) that $u_{k=0}^{a}=0$; $i_{\circ} e_{0}$, it is not coupled to the $\phi_{n}^{a}$. We can hence redefine $u_{k=0}^{a}$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{a}}=\phi_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{a}} . \tag{2,33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (2.32) and (2.33),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}^{a}=d_{k} \phi_{k}^{a}+\sum_{q}\left(M^{a d}(k, q)+L^{a d}(k, q)\right) \phi_{q}^{d} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
d_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { if } k=0 \\
\sum_{\mu}\left|1-e^{i k}\right|_{\mid} & \text {if } k \neq 0
\end{array}\right. \\
M^{a d}(k, q)=\frac{1}{2} C^{a b d} \sum_{\mu}\left(1-e^{-i k} \mu\right)\left(1+e^{i q} \mu_{)}\right) B_{k-q, \mu}^{b} \tag{2.36}
\end{array}
$$

$L^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q})=\frac{1}{12} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{abe}} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{cde}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}^{\mathbf{t}}} \sum_{\mu}\left(1-e^{-\mathrm{ik}} \mu\right)\left(1-e^{\mathrm{iq}} \mu\right) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}^{\prime}-\mathrm{q}, \mu^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{c}}, \mu}$
Let

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{k, q}^{a b} & =d_{k} \delta^{a b} \delta_{k, q}+M^{a b}(k, q)+L^{a b}(k, q)  \tag{2,38}\\
& =d_{k}\left[\delta^{a b} \delta_{k, q}+\frac{1}{d_{k}} M^{a b}(k, q)+\frac{1}{d_{k}} L^{a b}(k, q)\right] \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.34), making change of variable from $\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}$ to $\left\{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{du}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\sum_{\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{b}} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

Hence, from (2.31),

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-A} c=\int d V \underset{n, a}{\Pi \prime} \delta\left(u_{n}^{a}\right)=\underset{n \neq N}{\Pi} \int d V_{n} \underset{n, a}{ } \Pi^{\prime} \delta\left(u_{n}^{a}\right)=\underset{n}{\Pi} \int d V_{n} \underset{a}{I I} \delta\left(\phi_{N}^{a}\right) \cdot \Pi_{n, a}^{\prime} \delta\left(u_{n}^{a}\right) \\
& =\prod_{n, a} \int_{n} d \phi_{n}^{a} \mu\left(\phi_{n}^{a}\right) \prod_{a} \delta\left(\phi_{N}^{a}\right) \cdot \Pi_{n, a}^{\prime} \delta\left(u_{n}^{a}\right) \quad . \tag{2.42}
\end{align*}
$$

The integrand fixes $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=0$; and $\mu\left(\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=0\right)=$ const. Also $\Pi_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}} \delta\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)=\underset{\mathrm{k} \neq 0 \mathrm{a}}{\Pi} \prod_{\mathrm{k}} \delta\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$, giving

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-A} c=\prod_{k} \Pi_{a} \int_{\operatorname{det} T} d u_{k}^{a} \prod_{k \neq 0} \prod_{a} \delta\left(u_{k}^{a}\right) \cdot \Pi \quad \underset{a}{ } \delta\left(\Sigma \phi_{k}^{a}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} T}\left\{\prod_{k \neq 0} \Pi_{a} \int_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{du}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{a}} \delta\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)\right\} \cdot\left\{\int_{\mathrm{a}} \Pi_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{a}} \delta\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{a}}+\sum_{\mathrm{k}}{ }_{\mathrm{k}} \phi_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}\right\}=\frac{\dot{1}}{\operatorname{det} \mathrm{~T}} .  \tag{2.43}\\
& \therefore e^{A_{c}[B]}=\operatorname{det} T \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left\{d\left(1+\frac{1}{d} M+\frac{1}{d} L\right)\right\} \text {, } \tag{2.44}
\end{align*}
$$

wherc we have used $(2.39)$ to obtain (2.44) and we are using simplified notation. Using_property $\operatorname{det}(A B)=\operatorname{det} A \operatorname{det} B$, and that $d_{k}$ is independent of the gaugefield $\left\{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{A_{c}[B]} & =(\text { const }) \operatorname{det}\left(1+\frac{1}{d} M+\frac{1}{d} L\right) \\
& =\exp \operatorname{Tr} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{d} M+\frac{1}{d} L\right) \\
& =\text { (const) } \operatorname{det}\left(d\left(1+\frac{1}{d} M\right)\right) \cdot \exp \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{d} I\right) \tag{2.45}
\end{align*}
$$

where the overall constant is independent of the gauge-field. We evaluate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{~L}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{a}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{aa}}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k})=-\frac{\mathrm{n}}{12} \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \sum_{\mathrm{a}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}}} \sum_{\mu}\left|1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik}} \mu\right|^{2} \sum_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}} \mathrm{B}_{-\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{C}^{\alpha \mathrm{bc}}=\mathrm{n} \delta^{\mathrm{a} \alpha} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k} \frac{1}{d_{k}}\left|1-e^{i k} \mu\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{~L}\right)=-\frac{\mathrm{n}}{48} \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \sum_{\mathrm{a}, \mu} \mathrm{~B}_{-\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}=-\frac{\mathrm{n}}{48} \sum_{\mathrm{n}} \sum_{\mu, \mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2} \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{d} L\right)$ is completely local. Hence, we conclude from (2.45) and (2.49) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{A_{c}^{[B]}}=\operatorname{det}\left(d_{k} \delta^{a b} \delta_{k, q}+M^{a b}(k, q)\right) \exp \left\{-\frac{n}{48} \sum_{n \mu a}\left(B_{n \mu}^{a}\right)^{2}\right\}+O\left(g_{0}^{3}\right) \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the final answer．The determinant in the expression for $A_{c}[B]$ can be represented by a fermion integration；it is this term which is called the Faddeev－Popov ghost term。 However，the extra local term $\sum_{n \mu a}\left(B_{n \mu}^{a}\right)^{2}$ is $a b-$ sent in the continum formulation．This term is quadratically divergent（we will show this in Section III）and plays an important role in ensuring that there is no mass renormalization necessary for the lattice gauge field．We will re－ turn to $(2.50)$ in Section III。

We note in passing that choosing the axial gauge and using a gauge－fixing term are both ways of choosing a gauge for the gauge field．The only difference is that in choosing the axial gauge there is no counterterm，whereas using ${ }^{\mathrm{A}} \alpha$ for gauge fixing introduces a nontrivial counterterm．However，from a practi－ cal point of view，the two ways of choosing a gauge are vastly different．In con－ trast to the axial gauge，gauge fixing using $A_{\alpha}$ allows us to treat all the field variables on an equal footing，and hence allows the systematic use of perturba－ tion theory．

## C．Slavnov Identity

Recall that in the last section we proved that

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}}=\int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}} \alpha^{+\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{A}}} \mathrm{c}
$$

We also had computed $e^{A^{c}}=\operatorname{det}\left(T^{a b}(k, q)\right)$ to $O\left(g_{0}^{2}\right)$ 。 Note that $A_{\alpha}$ of necessity breaks gauge invariance；also，our definition of $e^{A} c$ is not gauge－invariant． However，the term $A_{\alpha}^{i}+A_{c}$ is invariant under the Slavnov transformation，${ }^{5}$ which we will define in this section．This invariance is more restricted than gauge invariance，but its usefulness lies in that it holds for the gauge theory in the presence of gauge fixing．

To define the Slavnov transformation，we first rewrite $e^{A} c$ in a more
formal way. From (2.27) (for an infinite size lattice)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{c}=\int \mathrm{dV} \operatorname{nin}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}} \delta\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $\left\{\phi_{n}^{2}\right\}$ for which the $\delta$-functions are satisfied is $\phi_{n}^{a}=0$ 。 For an infinite lattice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dV}=\prod_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}}^{\Pi} \mathrm{d} \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \mu\left(\phi_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathrm{n}} \mu \mu\left(\phi_{\mathrm{n}}=0\right) \prod_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{~d} \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} . \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-A^{\prime}}=\left(\text { const }_{o}\right) \int_{n, a} d \phi_{n}^{a} \delta\left(s_{n}^{a}(\phi)-s_{n}^{a}\right) \tag{2,53}
\end{equation*}
$$

We make the change of variable from $\left\{\phi_{n}^{a}\right\}$ to $\left\{\Phi_{n}^{a}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

In evaluating the Jacobian of the transformation, the $\delta$-functions make us evaluate this at $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=0$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
d \Phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} & =\left.\frac{\partial \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)}{\partial \phi_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}}}\right|_{\phi=0} \mathrm{~d} \phi_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}}  \tag{2.55}\\
& \equiv \frac{\partial \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}}{\partial \phi_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}}} \mathrm{~d} \phi_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}} \tag{2.56}
\end{align*}
$$

(all repeated indices to be summed over). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}}{\Pi I} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{\Phi}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial s_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}}{\partial \phi_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}}}\right)_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}} \Pi d \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{A_{c}}=1 / \int_{n, a} \Pi d \phi_{n}^{a} \delta\left(s_{n}^{a}(\phi)-s_{n}^{a}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial s_{n}^{a}}{\partial \phi_{m}^{b}}\right) / \pi, \int_{n, a} d \Phi_{n}^{a} \delta\left(\Phi_{n}^{a}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial s_{n}^{a} / \partial \phi_{m}^{b}\right) \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

To define the Slavnov transformation, we have to represent the determinant e $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}$ using fêmmion integration (this is discussed in Refs. 4 and 7). Let $c_{n}^{a}, c_{n}^{\dagger a}$ be scalar fermion fields, and let $\left\langle>\operatorname{denote} \Pi \int_{n, a} \int_{n c}{ }_{n}^{a}{ }_{d c}^{n}{ }_{n}^{\dagger a}\right.$ 。 Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.e^{A_{c}}=\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial s_{m}^{b}}{\partial \phi_{n}^{a}}\right)=<\exp \left(c_{n}^{\dagger a} \frac{\partial s_{m}^{b}}{\partial \phi_{n}^{a}} c_{m}^{b}\right)\right\rangle \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\alpha}=-\frac{\alpha}{2} s_{n}^{a} s_{n}^{a}  \tag{2.60}\\
& A_{c}=c_{n}^{\dagger a}\left(\partial s_{m}^{b} / \partial \phi_{n}^{a}\right) c_{m}^{b} \tag{2,61}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\lambda$ be a spacetime independent fermion variable which anticommutes with other fermion variables and commutes with bosons. We adopt the notation that $\left(\partial \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi) / \partial \phi_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)_{\phi=0} \equiv \partial \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} / \partial \phi_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}}$; let $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{abc}}$ be the structure constants. Then the Slavnov transformation ${ }^{15}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}+\lambda \frac{\partial \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}}{\partial \phi_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\dagger \mathrm{b}}  \tag{2.62}\\
& \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \rightarrow \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}-\alpha \lambda \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}  \tag{2,63}\\
& \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger \mathrm{a}} \rightarrow \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger \mathrm{a}}+\frac{\lambda}{2} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger \mathrm{b}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger \mathrm{c}} . \tag{2.64}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.62) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
s_{n}^{a} \rightarrow s_{n}^{a}+\lambda \frac{\partial s_{n}^{a}}{\partial \phi_{m}^{b}} c_{m}^{\dagger b}  \tag{2,65}\\
\partial s_{n}^{a} / \partial \phi_{m}^{b} \rightarrow \partial s_{n}^{a} / \partial \phi_{m}^{b}+\lambda\left(\partial^{2} s_{n}^{a} / \partial \phi_{m}^{b} \partial \phi_{\ell}^{\gamma}\right) c_{l}^{\dagger \gamma} \tag{2,66}
\end{gather*}
$$

We now examine the effect of this transformation. The gauge field action $A$
is left unchanged since it is gauge-invariant, and (2.62) is a linearized gauge transfermation. For $A_{\alpha}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\alpha} \rightarrow-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(s_{n}^{a}+\lambda \frac{\partial s_{n}^{a}}{\partial \phi_{m}^{b}} c_{m}^{\dagger b}\right)^{2}=A_{\alpha}-\alpha \lambda s_{n}^{a}\left(\partial s_{n}^{a} / \partial \phi_{m}^{b}\right) c_{m}^{\dagger b} \tag{2.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the counterterm

$$
A_{c} \rightarrow\left(c_{n}^{\dagger a}+\frac{\lambda}{2} c^{a \beta \gamma} c_{n}^{\dagger \beta} c_{n}^{\dagger \gamma}\right)\left(\frac{\partial s_{m}^{b}}{\partial \phi_{n}^{a}}+\lambda \frac{\partial^{2} s_{m}^{b}}{\partial \phi_{n}^{a} \partial \phi_{l}^{c}} c_{\ell}^{\dagger c}\right) \quad\left(c_{m}^{b}-\alpha \lambda s_{m}^{b}\right)
$$

After simplifications using anticommutation of fermion variables, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{c} \rightarrow A_{c}+\alpha \lambda c_{n}^{\dagger a} \frac{\partial s_{m}^{b}}{\partial \phi_{n}^{a}} s_{m}^{b}+\frac{\lambda}{2} c^{a \beta \gamma} \frac{\partial s_{m}^{b}}{\partial \phi_{n}^{a}} c_{n}^{\dagger \beta} c_{n}^{\dagger \gamma} c_{m}^{b}-\lambda \frac{\partial^{2} s_{m}^{b}}{\partial \phi_{n}^{a} \partial \phi^{c}} c_{n}^{\dagger a} c_{l}^{\dagger c} c_{m}^{b} \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (2.67) and (2.68), we have

Note that the term in the bracket is zero since

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} s_{m}^{b}}{\partial \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \partial \phi_{l}^{\mathrm{c}}} c_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{c}_{\ell}^{\dagger \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}} & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \partial \phi_{\ell}^{\mathrm{c}}} c_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{c}_{\ell}^{\dagger \mathrm{c}}+\frac{\partial^{2} s_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial \phi_{l}^{\mathrm{c}} \partial \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}} c_{l}^{\dagger \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{\ell}^{\mathrm{c}}}\right] \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{c}_{\ell}^{\dagger \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}} \tag{2.70}
\end{align*}
$$

and ${ }^{15}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{n}^{a}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{m}^{b}}\right]=\delta_{n, m} c^{a b c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{n}^{c}} \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the term in $(2,70)$ cancels the other term in the bracket of $(2,69)$, giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\alpha}+A_{c} \rightarrow A_{\alpha}+A_{c}: \text { invariant } \tag{2,72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we have proven that $A+A_{\alpha}+A_{c}$ is invariant under the Slavnov transformation. In the next section we will use this invariance to show for-mally-that the gluon has zero mass renormalization.

## III. MASS RENORMALIZATION

We know from general considerations that mass renormalization for the gauge field quanta is incompatible with local gauge-invariance - since any mass counterterms in the Lagrangian would violate gauge-invariance. Hence, for the renormalized theory to be gauge-invariant, all the quadratic mass divergences in the theory must exactly cancel. From asymptotic freedom, we know that we have to study the lattice theory for $\mathrm{g}_{0} \rightarrow 0$ to ascertain the high momentum behavior of the quantum theory, $\mathrm{i}_{\circ} \mathrm{e}_{0}$, the behavior for a (lattice spacing) $\rightarrow 0$ (see Ref. 6) 。

In particular, we will study the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ field propagator in the weak coupling limit, and we will show by calculation that to lowest order the proper selfenergy of the gauge field quantum for zero momentum is zero. This will show that there is no mass renormalization for it. We will then prove this same result more formally by making use of the Slavnov identity.

Due to the infrared instability of the non-Abelian gauge field, it is in general not possible to compute the behavior of the zeroth mode without solving the large distance strong coupling problem. The same is true for the lattice theory provided that there is no quadratic divergence arising from a nonzero mass renormalization term. However, if there is a quadratic divergence in the theory, then this would destroy asymptotic freedom; the divergence would completely $-1 / g_{0}^{2}$ effects arising from the high momentum modes due to coupling constant renormalization, etc.; and we could compute this divergence using the weak coupling approximation for the zeroth mode propagator. Hence, we assume that there is a quadratic divergence, and compute it using weak coupling for the zeroth mode. We will then show that the divergence is in fact absent. The calculation is self-consistent, since if there were a quadratic divergence our calculation would determine it.

We now discuss the main features of the calculation before going into the detailso Define the (global) color singlet propagator

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}=\int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~B}_{0 \nu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{Z}}  \tag{3.1}\\
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k} \mu \nu}=\sum_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{ik} \cdot \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu} \tag{3,2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using translational invariance (due to the periodic lattice) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k} \mu \nu}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}^{4}} \int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~A}_{-\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} \nu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{P}}} / \mathrm{Z} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D_{k \mu \nu}^{(0)}$ be the bare propagator defined by the quadratic part of $A^{\prime} ;$ let $\Pi_{\mu \nu}(\mathrm{k})$ be the proper self-energy. Then, in matrix notation, Dyson's equation states

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}=D_{k}^{(0)}+D_{k}^{(0)} \Pi(k) D_{k} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall from (1.6b) $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\alpha}=\mathrm{ag}_{0} \mathrm{sA}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\alpha}$ is dimensionless, making $\mathrm{\Pi}(\mathrm{k})$ dimensionless in (3.4). Hence, the continuum self-energy, which has the dimension of (mass) ${ }^{2}$, is given by dimensional analysis. Since the only dimensional quantity in the entire theory is the lattice spacing a, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi^{p h y}(p) & =\frac{1}{a^{2}} \Pi(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{pa})  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\frac{1}{a^{2}}\{\Pi(0)+(\Pi(\mathrm{pa})-\Pi(0))\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be shown using perturbation theory that ( $p \neq 0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{a} \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mathrm{a}}\{\Pi(\mathrm{pa})-\Pi(0)\} \sim \text { logarithmic divergences in } \mathrm{a} . \tag{3,7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, in the $\mathrm{a} \rightarrow 0$ limit,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi^{\text {phy }}(p)=\frac{1}{a^{2}} \Pi \bar{\Pi}(0)+\text { logarithmic divergences in a } . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude that for there to be no mass renormalization, the quadratic
divergence $\frac{1}{a^{2}} \Pi(0)$ must be zero, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(0)=0 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The logarithmic divergences in a are taken care of by wave-function renormalization.

When $\mathrm{g}_{0} \rightarrow 0$, we have an expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(0)=\Pi_{0}+\Pi_{1} g_{0}+\Pi_{2} \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}+\ldots \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our lowest order calculation, we will show that $\Pi_{0}=0$ 。 The general result that $\Pi(0)=0$ is proven by the Slavnov identity. From (3.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}=\frac{1}{D_{k}^{(0)-1}-\Pi(k)} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It will be true that, for $N \rightarrow \infty, k \rightarrow 0, D_{k=0}^{(o)-1} \rightarrow 0$; hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}=0}=-\frac{1}{\Pi(0)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to evaluate $\Pi(0)$, we will evaluate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \equiv \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}=0}{ }_{\mu \mu}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}^{4}} \int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{t}}} / \mathrm{Z} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To calculate $D$, we will first perform integration over all $\left\{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{k} \neq 0\right\}$ in the path integral; this will leave us with an effective action involving only the $B_{k=0}^{a}$ variables, and will provide us with $\Pi(0)$. In the following we will analyze $Z\left(g_{0}\right)$ and then show how to extract $\Pi(0)$.
A. The Weak Coupling Action

Recall from (2.9), with a change of notation $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\operatorname{\Pi I}_{\mathrm{n} \neq \mathrm{N} \mu, \mathrm{a}}^{\Pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mu\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right){\underset{\alpha}{\alpha}}_{\Pi} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{U}} \widetilde{N} \alpha \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}+\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{c} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we discuss in Appendix $B, d \tilde{U}_{\mathrm{N} \alpha}$ could not be treated like the other variables since there is no Gaussian factor for it in the integrand. When we

Fourier transform the $\left\{\widetilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}$, we see that the role of $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{N} \alpha}$ is taken by the variable $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{a}}$, since there is no Gaussian factor for it either. (This can be easily seen later.) We also have to isolate this zeroth mode in the action, since we are interested in integrating out all the other modes. We do this as follows (the original variables are $\widetilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}=\sum_{\mathrm{k}} e^{i k n^{i}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{N}^{4}+\underset{\mathrm{k}}{\Sigma^{\prime} e^{\mathrm{ikn}}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\underset{\mathrm{k}}{\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right.} \equiv \underset{\mathrm{k} \neq 0}{\Sigma}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{a}}  \tag{3.16}\\
& \mathrm{a}  \tag{3.17}\\
& / \mathrm{N}^{4} \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}=\Sigma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ikn}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the presence of $\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}$, we have (for $\alpha=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} & =\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right)  \tag{3.18}\\
\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}} & =\mathrm{O}(1) \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, since $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{N} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}=\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}+\Sigma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}=\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{U}_{N \mu}=e^{i \widetilde{B}_{N \mu}^{a} X^{a}}=e^{i \theta_{\mu}^{a} X^{a}}+O\left(g_{0}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{N} \mu}=\mathrm{dU} \mathrm{U}_{\mu}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{\mu}=e^{i \theta_{\mu}^{a} X^{a}}$.
Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}=\exp \left\{\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}+\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\} \equiv \mathrm{U}_{\mu}\left(1+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}$ is a matrix of $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}_{0}\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{n} \mu}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{(\mathrm{o})} 1+\mathrm{iA} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{a}} \tag{3,23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \delta^{\mathrm{ab}} \\
\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{1}) & =\mathrm{n} \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

(The gauge group is $\operatorname{SU}(\mathrm{n})$ 。) Then

$$
\mathrm{A}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2} \Sigma \Sigma^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \tilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}, \nu} \tilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu}^{\dagger} \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}^{\dagger}\right)
$$

and, using (3.22), (3.23) gives a complicated expression involving the $U_{\mu}$ and $A_{n \mu}$. As already discussed, the entire calculation is based on the assumption that there is a quadratic mass divergence. This means that we are interested only in the coefficient of the $\theta_{\mu}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ term; if there is a quadratic divergence, then all the higher powers of $\theta_{\mu}^{\text {a }}$ will be negligibly small. Hence, in the action, we keep only the terms for $\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ which are at most quadratic. Secondly, we are doing the calculation to lowest order in $\mathrm{g}_{0}$, $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{e}_{0}$, to $\mathrm{O}(1)$; this means that we will keep at most terms which are quadratic in the $B_{n \mu}^{a}$ 。 We will show that $A_{n \mu}^{(0)}$ is of $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}_{0}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is of $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}_{0}\right)$; hence we keep terms linear in $A_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{(\mathrm{O})}$ and quadratic in $A_{n \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$. Note also that if the above approximations are consistently used for the action, then a simple bookkeeping rule is to consider all the $\mathrm{U}_{\mu}$ as commuting. (If one goes to higher order in $\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ or $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$, then this bookkeeping method is no longer valid.) To summarize, we use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{U}_{\mu}, \mathrm{U}_{\nu}\right]=0+\mathrm{O}\left(\theta^{3}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $O\left(\theta^{3}\right)$ means the order of the terms generated in the action by the approximation in (3.25). Hence, the action is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{A}= & \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}}{ }_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}^{\Sigma^{\prime}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mu} \mathrm{U}_{\nu}+\mathrm{U}_{\mu} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \mathrm{U}_{\nu}+\mathrm{U}_{\mu} \mathrm{U}_{\nu} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+\mu, \nu} \hat{\mu}+\mathrm{U}_{\mu} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \mathrm{U}_{\nu} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}, \nu}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\circ\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{U}_{\nu}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{U}_{\mu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{U}_{\nu}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{U}_{\mu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{U}_{\nu}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{U}_{\mu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{U}_{\nu}^{\dagger}\right)\right]  \tag{3.26}\\
= & \frac{\mathrm{N}^{4}}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \Sigma_{\mu \nu}^{\prime} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mu} \mathrm{U}_{\nu} \mathrm{U}_{\mu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{U}_{\nu}^{\dagger}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \Sigma_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu} \Sigma^{\prime}\left[4 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{(0)}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}+\nu, \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{b}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\nu} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{U}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right. \\
& +\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}^{\mathrm{b}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{U}_{\mu} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{U}_{\nu} \mathrm{U}_{\mu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}, \nu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu}^{\mathrm{b}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{X}^{\left.\mathrm{a} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.-2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}^{\mathrm{b}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mu} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{U}_{\mu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right]+\mathrm{O}\left(\theta^{3}, \mathrm{~B}^{3}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

In studying the above action, we will consider it as a polynomial in $\theta_{\mu}^{a}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ and, as already pointed out, keep at most terms of $\mathrm{O}\left(\theta^{2} \mathrm{~B}^{2}\right)$ 。We use the notation

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \cdot b=a^{\alpha} b^{\alpha} \\
(a \times b)^{\alpha}=c^{\alpha \beta \gamma} a^{\beta} b^{\gamma}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, from (3.22) and (3.23),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{nA} & (0)  \tag{3.28}\\
\mathrm{n} \mu & =-\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{2}-\frac{1}{12}\left(\theta_{\mu} \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}\right)^{2}\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}^{3}\right)  \tag{3.29}\\
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} & =\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}+\frac{1}{2} \theta_{\mu} \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}+\frac{1}{6} \theta_{\mu} \times\left(\theta_{\mu} \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{m} \mu}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \cdot \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{m} \mu}-\frac{1}{12}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \times \theta_{\mu}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m} \mu} \times \theta_{\mu}\right)  \tag{3.30}\\
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{m} \nu}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \cdot \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{m} \nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m} \nu}\right) \cdot\left(\theta_{\mu}-\theta_{\nu}\right)  \tag{3,31}\\
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \times \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m} \nu}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m} \nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{\mu} \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}\right) \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m} \nu}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \times\left(\theta_{\nu} \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m} \nu}\right) \tag{3.32}
\end{gather*}
$$

We need the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mu}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mu} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{U}_{\mu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \delta^{\mathrm{ab}}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{ab} \alpha} \theta_{\mu}^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{a} \alpha \gamma} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{b} \beta} \gamma_{\theta}{ }_{\mu}^{\alpha} \theta_{\mu}^{\beta} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
-A_{\mathrm{n} \nu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{G}_{\mu}^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{m} \pi}^{\mathrm{b}}= & \frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{m} \pi}-\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu} \times \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m} \pi}\right) \cdot \theta_{\mu}\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu} \times \theta_{\mu}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m} \pi} \times \theta_{\mu}\right\} \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Collecting (3.28) - 3.34 ) and simplifying the action gives, from (3.25) and (3.27),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{A}= & \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{U}}+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \Sigma \Sigma_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu} \cdot\left\{4 \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{4}\left\{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{2}-\frac{1}{12}\left(\theta_{\mu} \times \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}\right)^{2}\right\}+2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \times \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+} \hat{\nu}, \mu\right) \cdot \theta_{\nu}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \times \theta_{\nu}\right)^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu} \times \theta_{\nu}\right)\right\}+\frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \cdot \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}-\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \times \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\cdot\left(\theta_{\mu}-\theta_{\nu}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \times\left(\theta_{\mu}-\theta_{\nu}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu} \times\left(\theta_{\mu}-\theta_{\nu}\right)\right)\right\}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}, \nu} \cdot \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu} \\
& -2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\left\{\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu} \cdot \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}-\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu} \times \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}\right) \cdot \theta_{\mu}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu} \times \theta_{\mu}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n} \nu} \times \theta_{\nu}\right)\right\}! \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

We break up the action as a polynomial in $\theta_{\mu}^{a}$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{U}+A_{0}+A_{I}^{(1)}+A_{I}^{(2)} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, after considerable simplification,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{A}_{0}=-\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}^{\Sigma}\left[\left(\Delta_{\nu} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}\right)^{2}-\Delta_{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \nu} \Delta_{\nu} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}\right] \\
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{I}}^{(1)}=\frac{\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{abc}}}{4 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu} \sum_{\nu} \theta_{\nu}^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\Delta_{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \nu}^{\mathrm{b}}-\Delta_{\nu} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu}^{\mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \tag{3,38}
\end{array}
$$

(We have replaced $\Sigma^{\prime}$ by $\Sigma$, using antisymmetry of the summand.) Note the of $A_{I}^{(2)}{ }_{\text {is }}^{\mu \nu}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{I}}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \underset{\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}}{\Sigma} \sum_{\mu \nu \mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mu \nu}^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{m} \nu}^{\mathrm{b}} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the final calculation, we will keep only terms of $O\left(\theta^{2}\right)$ in performing the $B_{n \mu}^{a}$
integrations. Since $M_{\mu \nu}^{a b}=O\left(\theta^{2}\right)$, we will, due to integration over the $B_{n \mu}^{a}$, end up evałtuating its trace, and hence need only the diagonal elements $M_{\mu \mu}^{\mathrm{aa}}$ of the matrix $M_{\mu \nu}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{I}}^{(2)}= & \frac{-1}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}^{\Sigma^{\prime}}\left\{\frac{1}{12}\left(\theta_{\mu} \times \dot{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{12}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \times \theta_{\mu}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu} \times \theta_{\mu}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \times \theta_{\mu}\right) \cdot\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\nu}, \mu} \times \theta_{\nu}\right)\right\}+ \text { off diagonal in }\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{ab}
\end{array}\right) \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

We will work in the Feynman gauge, i. $e_{\text {. }}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{n}}^{\Sigma}\left(\Sigma \Delta_{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-\hat{\mu}, \mu}\right)^{2} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the definition of $B_{n \mu}^{a}=\Sigma_{k}^{\prime} e^{i k n} B_{k \mu}^{a}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{0}+\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \Sigma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime} \sum_{\mu}\left(\Sigma \mid 1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik}} \sigma_{\mathrm{l}}{ }^{2}\right) \mathrm{B}_{-\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}=-\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}}{ }_{\mu}{ }_{\mu} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~B}_{-\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$


$=-\frac{C^{\text {abc }}}{4 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{\mathrm{k} ~}^{\mathrm{L}} \sum_{\mu \nu}\left\{\theta^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik} \mathrm{k}_{\mu}}-1\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{ik} \nu_{\nu}}+1\right)-\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{ik} \nu_{\nu}}-1\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik}}+1\right)\right.$
$\left.-4 \mathrm{i} \delta_{\mu \nu} \sum_{\sigma}^{\Sigma} \theta_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{a}} \sin \mathrm{k}_{\sigma}\right\} \mathrm{B}_{-\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} \nu}^{\mathrm{c}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{I}}^{(1)} \equiv-\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \Sigma_{\mathrm{k} \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{r}} \Sigma_{-\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mu \nu}^{\mathrm{bc}}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} \nu}^{\mathrm{c}} \tag{3,44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{I}}^{(2)}=\frac{\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{a} \beta \gamma}}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \Sigma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime} \sum_{\mu}\left\{\frac{1}{4} \theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{b}} \theta_{\mu}^{\beta}-\frac{1}{12} \theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{b}} \theta_{\mu}^{\beta} \sum_{\nu \neq \mu}^{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik}} \nu}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu \neq \mu}^{\Sigma} \theta_{\nu}^{\mathrm{b}} \theta_{\nu}^{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik}}\right\}_{\mathrm{B}_{-\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{c}}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\gamma}( \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\mathrm{I}}^{(2)} & =\frac{\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{a}}}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}}{ }_{\mathrm{k}} \sum_{\mu}\left\{\frac{1}{4} \theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{b}} \theta_{\mu}^{\beta}-\frac{1}{24} \theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{b}} \theta_{\mu}^{\beta} \underset{\nu \neq \mu}{\Sigma}{ }^{\mathrm{ik}_{\nu}}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{ik}}\right) \\
& -\quad-\frac{1}{4} \underset{\nu \neq \mu}{\Sigma} \theta_{\nu}^{\mathrm{b}} \theta_{\nu}^{\beta}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik}} \nu \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{ik}} \nu\right) \mathrm{B}_{-\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\gamma} \tag{3,46}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{I}}^{(2)} \equiv-\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{k}} \sum_{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{-\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{M}_{\mu \mu}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\gamma}$.
Collecting EqS. (3.42), (3.44), and (3.47) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{k} \mu \nu}^{\prime} \sum_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\delta^{\mathrm{ab}} \delta_{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mu \nu}^{\mathrm{ab}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{M}_{\mu \nu}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \mathrm{B}_{-\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} \nu}^{\mathrm{b}}, \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both $\mathrm{N}_{\mu \nu}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mu \nu}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ have been made explicitly Hermitian。 Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=1+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{~N}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{M} \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gauge-fixing term $A$ has no dependence on $\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$; however, the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}$ term is a function of $\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$. In performing the $\left\{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{k} \neq 0\right\}$ integrations, we can ignore the coupling of $\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ to $\mathrm{B}_{k \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ coming from the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}$ term, as this will produce $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}_{0}\right)$ terms multiplying $\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$, which we are ignoring anyway. The same is true for the measure $\mu\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$, for which we have, from Ref. 7 ,

$$
\mu=\operatorname{li}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \mu\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{n}}{24}} \sum_{\mathrm{n} \mu \mathrm{a}}^{\Sigma\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}+\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2}}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\theta)=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\mathrm{n}}{24} \mathrm{~N}^{4} \Sigma \theta_{\mu}^{2}}{ }_{\mu}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right) \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, collecting all the results, we have

## B. Self-energy Calculation

We evaluate the lowest order contribution to the proper self-energy. This will consist of calculating the integrand of $(3,51)$, $\mathbf{i}_{\circ} e_{o}$, of

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(g_{0}\right)=\Pi \int_{\mu} d_{d} e_{\mu} e^{A} U_{e} A_{c}(\theta) \frac{\mu(\theta)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det} L(\theta)}} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do so, we calculate det $L$ and $e^{A^{(\theta)}}$ 。We will make use of our results from Section II to evaluate $e^{A_{c}(\theta)}$. Since we are considering $\theta_{\mu}^{a}$ to be small, we will expand exponential functions of $\theta_{\mu}^{a}$ in a power series. We will then consistently use the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{II} \iint_{\mu} \mathrm{U}_{\mu} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{U}_{\theta^{\circ}} \theta_{\sigma} & =\delta_{\pi \sigma} \Pi \int_{\mu} \mathrm{dU}_{\mu} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{U}_{\theta_{\pi}^{2}}  \tag{3.52}\\
& =\delta_{\pi \sigma} \times(\text { constant })
\end{align*}
$$

We will signify the use of (3.52) by an arrow $(\rightarrow)$. We will also use (for $\operatorname{SU}(\mathrm{n})$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{a b \alpha} C^{a b \beta}=n \delta^{a b} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det} L & =\operatorname{det}\left(1+\frac{1}{d} N+\frac{1}{d} M\right) \simeq \exp \left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{d} N+\frac{1}{d} M\right)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{d} N \frac{1}{d} M,\right\}\right. \\
& =\exp \left\{\operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{d} M,-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{d} N \frac{1}{d} N\right)\right\} \tag{3.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\mathrm{nN} \mathrm{~N}^{4} \frac{1}{4} \Sigma \theta_{\mu}^{2} \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{d} M\right) \rightarrow-\phi \sum_{k}^{\prime} \frac{4}{d_{k}}\left(-3+\frac{7}{16} d_{k}\right) . \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\Sigma_{k}^{\prime} \frac{1}{d_{k}} . \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{M}\right)=12 \phi \mathrm{~J}-\frac{7}{4} \phi . \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also
$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{~N} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{~N}\right) \rightarrow\left(+\mathrm{nN} \mathrm{N}^{4} \frac{1}{4} \Sigma_{\mu} \theta_{\mu}^{2}\right) 12 \Sigma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\mid \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik} \mathrm{I}_{-\mathrm{e}}-\left.\left.\mathrm{ik}\right|_{1}\right|^{2}}}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}}+2 \frac{1-\operatorname{cosk}_{1} \cos \mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}}\right)$.
Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& I=\Sigma_{k}^{\prime} \frac{\mid e^{i k_{1}}-e^{-\left.i k_{1}\right|^{2}}}{d_{k}^{2}} \\
& K=\sum_{k}^{\prime}\left(1-\cos k_{1} \cos k_{2}\right) / d_{k}^{2} \tag{3,61}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{~N} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{~N}\right)=12 \phi(\mathrm{I}+2 \mathrm{~K}) . \tag{3.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} L \simeq e^{\left(12 \mathrm{~J}-\frac{7}{4}-6 \mathrm{I}-12 \mathrm{~K}\right) \phi} . \tag{3,63}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now evaluate $e^{A^{c}}$. Recall from (2.50)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}}=\exp \left\{-\frac{\mathrm{n}}{48} \sum_{\mathrm{n} \mu \mathrm{a}} \sum_{\mathrm{n} \mu}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}\right\} \text { 2 }\right\} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}} \delta^{\mathrm{ab}} \delta_{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q}}+\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q})\right) \tag{3.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q})=-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{abc}}{ }_{\mu\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{ik}} \mu_{\mu}\left(1+e^{\mathrm{iq}} \mu_{)} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{q}, \mu}^{\mathrm{c}}, ~\right.\right.} \tag{3.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& M^{a b}\left(k_{,} q\right)=-\frac{1}{2} C^{a b c} \Sigma_{\mu}\left(e^{i k} \mu-e^{i k} \mu\right) \theta_{\mu}^{c_{k, q}}+O\left(g_{0}\right)  \tag{3.66}\\
& M^{a b}(k, q) \equiv n^{a b}(k) \delta_{k_{\imath}, q}+O\left(g_{0}\right) \tag{3.67}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{A^{c}}=\exp \left(-\frac{N^{4} n}{48} \sum_{\mu} \theta_{\mu}^{2}\right)\left(\operatorname{const}_{\circ}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(1+\frac{1}{d} n\right)+O\left(g_{0}\right) \propto \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{12} \phi\right\} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{d} n \frac{1}{d} n\right)\right\} \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{d} n \frac{1}{d} n\right) \rightarrow \phi I \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{A_{c}}=\exp \left\{-\phi\left(\frac{1}{12}+\frac{1}{2} I\right\}^{\prime}+O\left(g_{0}\right)\right. \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\theta)=\exp \left(-\frac{\mathrm{n}}{24} N^{4} \Sigma \theta_{\mu}^{2}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{6} \phi\right) \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (3.63), (3.70), and (3.71) we have, using (3.51),
$Z=\Pi \int_{\mu} d U e^{A} U_{e} e^{A} c \frac{\mu(\theta)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det} L}}=\Pi \int_{\mu} d U_{\mu} e^{A} U \exp \left(5 / 8+\frac{5}{2} I+6 K-6 J\right) \phi$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{5}{8}+\frac{5}{2} \mathrm{I}+6 \mathrm{~K}-6 \mathrm{~J} \tag{3.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the identity $\Sigma_{k}^{\prime}\left(d_{k}^{2} / d_{k}^{2}\right)=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=4 J-12 K-\frac{1}{4} \tag{3.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=4(\mathrm{~J}-6 \mathrm{~K}) \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that ${ }^{7}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=6 \mathrm{~K}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{N}}\right) \tag{3.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta & =0+O\left(e^{-N}\right)  \tag{3.77}\\
& =-c e^{-N}, \tag{3,78}
\end{align*}
$$

where c is a constant and is $\mathrm{O}(1)$ 。
Therefore
$\mathrm{Z}=\Pi \int_{\mu} \mathrm{dU}_{\mu} \exp \left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{U}}\right) \exp \left(-\mathrm{ce}^{-\mathrm{N}} \phi\right)=\Pi \int_{\mu} \mathrm{dU}_{\mu} \exp \left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{U}}\right) \exp \left(-\mathrm{N}^{4}\left(\mathrm{cne} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{N} / 4) \Sigma} \theta_{\mu}^{2}\right) 。\right.$
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{0}=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{cne}^{-\mathrm{N}} . \tag{3.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

We discuss our results in the next section, and show how, if $\mathrm{II}_{0} \neq 0$ as $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow$ $\infty$, we would have a quadratic divergence.
C. Discussion

The main result of the last section, from (3.79) and (3.80), is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{I}_{\mu} \int_{\mathrm{dU}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{U} \exp \left(-\mathrm{N}^{4} \Pi_{0} \Sigma_{\mu}^{\left.\Sigma \theta_{\mu}^{2}\right) .}\right. \tag{3.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show how a finite $\Pi_{0}$, in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, would lead to a quadratic divergence. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
<>=\pi \int_{\mu} \mathrm{dU}_{\mu} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{U} \tag{3.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

The propagator was defined by
$\mathrm{D}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}^{4}}<\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{\mu} \exp \left(-\mathrm{N}^{4} \mathrm{H}_{0}{ }_{\mu} \theta_{\mu}^{2}\right\rangle / \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{N}^{4}<\theta_{\mu}^{2} \exp \left(-\mathrm{N}^{4} \Pi_{0}{ }_{\mu} \theta_{\mu}^{2}\right) / \mathrm{Z}$.
Suppose $\Pi_{0}>0$; then we can extend the range of $\theta_{\mu}$ integrations to infinity, giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \sim \mathrm{~N}^{4} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}^{4} \Pi_{0}}=\frac{1}{\Pi_{0}} \tag{3.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we see that, if $\Pi_{0} \nsim 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we get a quadratic divergence $\sim \frac{1}{a} \Pi_{0}$ 。 However, since $\Pi_{0} \sim e^{-N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \sim e^{N} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty \tag{3,85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the bare propagator $D^{(0)}$ also diverges as $N \rightarrow \infty$ since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{(0)}=\mathrm{N}^{4}<\theta_{\mu}^{2}>/ \mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty \tag{3,86}
\end{equation*}
$$

We therefore conclude that, in the $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty$ limit, the lattice gauge theory has no mass renormalization. The continuum theory also shows zero mass renormalization, and we conclude that discretizing spacetime doesn't violate this property since the lattice gauge theory was defined to exactly preserve gauge invariance.

On the finite size periodic lattice, our calculation shows $\mathrm{m}_{\text {quantum }}^{2} \sim \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{N}}$; however, for the infinite lattice we have no information about the mass of the gauge field quantum, since the absence of mass renormalization means that the large distance problem has to be solved for determining $m_{\text {quantum }}^{2}{ }^{2}$

The cubic and higher order terms in $\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ cannot affect the divergence of D for $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \infty$; that is why they can be ignored. All arguments we used apply equally well for $\Pi(0)$, and we see that the coefficient of the quadratic term $\Sigma \theta_{\mu}^{2}$ in the action contains all the information regarding mass renormalization. The calculation we performed for $\Pi_{0}$ can be done using Feynman diagrams. The external lines are $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{a}}$; the propagator for the internal gluon lines is $\delta_{\mu \nu} / \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and for the internal ghost lines is $1 / d_{k}$. The vertices are rather complicated and can be read off from the action. The graphs used are shown in Fig.1. Note that, since the $\theta_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ variables were held fixed when performing the $\left\{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{k} \neq 0\right\}$
integrations in the path integral, the proper self-energy is equal to the complete self-energy for the gauge ficld quantum.

We now give a gencral proof that $\Pi(0)$ is zero to all order in perturbation theory using the Slavnov transformation. We will obtain an identity involving $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k} \mu \nu}$ and this will give us the desired rosult.

Recall from ( 2,63 ) and (2.65)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c_{n}^{a} \rightarrow c_{n}^{a}-\alpha \lambda s_{n}^{a} \\
s_{n}^{a}-s_{n}^{a}+\lambda \frac{\partial s_{n}^{a}}{\partial \phi_{m}^{b}} c_{m}^{\dagger b}=s_{n}^{a}-\lambda \frac{\delta A_{c}}{\delta c_{n}^{a}} \tag{3.88}
\end{array}
$$

In obtaining (3.88) we have used

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{c}=c_{n}^{\dagger a} \frac{\partial s_{m}^{b}}{\partial \phi_{n}^{a}} c_{m}^{b} \tag{3.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the fact that $\delta / \delta \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ anticommutes with all fermion variables. In particular, we are using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\Sigma \Delta_{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-\mu, \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \tag{3.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (3.87) and (3.88),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{a}} \Delta_{\sigma} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-\hat{\sigma}, \sigma}^{\mathrm{b}} \rightarrow \mathrm{c}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{a}} \Delta_{\sigma} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-\hat{\sigma}, \sigma}^{\mathrm{b}}+\lambda \mathrm{c}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{a}} \frac{\delta \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\delta \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{b}}}-\alpha \lambda \Delta_{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{\ell-\hat{\mu}, \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \Delta_{\sigma} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-\hat{\sigma}, \sigma}^{\mathrm{b}} \tag{3.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
<>\equiv \Pi \prod_{\mathrm{n} \mu, \mathrm{a}} \Pi \int_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{dc}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{adc}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\alpha \lambda<\Delta_{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{\ell-\hat{\mu}, \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \Delta_{\sigma} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-\hat{\sigma}, \sigma}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}}  \tag{3.91}\\
& <\Delta_{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{\ell-\hat{\mu}, \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \Delta_{\sigma} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-\hat{\sigma}, \sigma}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A} \alpha^{+\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{c}_{>}=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left\langle\mathrm{c}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{a}} \frac{\delta \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{c}}{\delta \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{b}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}} \alpha\right.}>。 \tag{3.92}
\end{align*}
$$

To perform integration by parts for the fermion variables，note

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{\delta}{\delta c_{l}^{b}}\left\langle c_{n}^{a} e^{A} c^{l}\right\rangle_{c, c^{\dagger}}=0=\delta^{a b} \delta_{n, \ell}\left\langle e^{A_{c}}\right\rangle_{c, c^{\dagger}}-\left\langle c_{n}^{a} \frac{\delta e^{A}}{\delta c_{l}^{b}}\right\rangle_{c, c^{\dagger}}\right. \tag{3.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Delta_{\mu} \mathrm{B}_{\Omega-\hat{\mu}, \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \Delta_{\sigma} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}-\hat{\sigma}, \sigma}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A} \alpha^{+\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{c}}\right\rangle / \mathrm{Z}=\frac{1}{\alpha} \delta^{\mathrm{ab}} \delta_{\mathrm{n}, \ell} \tag{3.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fourier transforming the above equation and using translational invariance gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik}} \mu\right)\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{ik}} \nu\right) D_{\mathrm{k} \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\frac{1}{\alpha} \delta^{\mathrm{ab}} \tag{3.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the behavior of $\Pi(0)$ ，we need only the $k \simeq 0$ behavior of the propagator．From（3．95），we have that $D_{k} \sim \frac{1}{k^{2}}$ for $k \simeq 0$ 。Hence we conclude $\Pi(0)=0$ ，and there is no mass renormalization for the gauge field．

One might be tempted to conclude from the above result that the gauge field quanta is massless for the exact theory．However，this conclusion cannot be made for the lattice theory．In the strongly coupled region for the lattice theory， the degrees of freedom are no longer $B_{n \mu}^{a}$ ，but instead are $U_{n \mu}=\exp \left\{\mathrm{iB}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\}$ ． If the $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ are written directly in terms of the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu}$（such that（3．89）is recovered in the weak coupling limit），then one finds that the expression for $e^{A_{c}}$ is no
longer a pure determinant, but instead $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{c}$ is a sum of (determinants) ${ }^{-1}$ due to the fact that the ${ }_{n} \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ now no longer has a unique solution for the $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ at $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=0$. [This fact has also been recently recognized for the continuum theory by Gribov ${ }^{8}$ and leads to nontrivial modifications of the continuum Yang-Mills theory.] This in turn means that the Slavnov identity no longer holds, and hence the identity for the propagator is lost when we arrive at a strongly coupled theory. We hence cannot conclude that the gauge field quanta is massless for the exact lattice theory. This question can be resolved by studying the behavior of the lattice gauge field under the renormalization group transformation.

I am thankful to K . Wilson for having explained the results of this section to me. ${ }^{9}$
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## APPENDIX A: WEAK COUPLING

From (2.4) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right)=\stackrel{3}{\Pi} \prod_{\nu=0}^{\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{D}^{(\nu)}} \underset{\mu \neq \nu}{\Pi} \int \mathrm{d} \tilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \mathrm{~d} \tilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{N} \mu} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}[\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}]}  \tag{A.1}\\
& \equiv \Pi_{n, \mu}^{\prime} \int \mathrm{d} \tilde{U}_{n \mu} \mathrm{~d} \tilde{\mathrm{U}}_{\mathrm{N} \mu} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}[\tilde{\mathrm{U}}]} \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We are interested in $g_{0} \rightarrow 0$. In this limit, the action has a sharp maxima about $\widetilde{\mathrm{W}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}=\mathbb{I}$, and expanding about this gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{A} & =\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{W}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\exp \tilde{\mathrm{if}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \\
& \approx-\frac{\mathrm{s}^{-2}}{4 \mathrm{~g}_{0}^{2}} \sum_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu} \sum_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2} \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall we are in the axial gauge. For every domain except $n=N$, we have three independent $\widetilde{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{a}}$. More precisely, we have ${ }^{1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nu=0,1,2,3 ; \quad \mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{D}^{(\nu)} \\
& \mu \neq \tilde{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{n} \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{a}} \text { independent variables } \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

However, at $n=N$, all, the $\widetilde{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{N} \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ are dependent variables.
Hence we see that in each domain except $n=N$, $e^{A}$ provides a gaussian factor for the three independent variables $\widetilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n} \alpha}^{\mathrm{a}}$ (the non-Abelian index is irrelevant here) through the three independent variables $\widetilde{\mathrm{f}}_{n \mu \nu}^{\mathrm{a}}$. Hence, from the action, we see that $\widetilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{n} \alpha}^{\mathrm{a}}=0\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right)$, and we can extend their range to infinity. However, $\widetilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{N} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ has no gaussian factor and remains 0 (1). Hence its range has to be kept over the compact space. This special behavior of $\widetilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mathrm{N} \mu}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is not without consequence, since it is connected to mass renormalization.

Collecting our results, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{0}\right) & \simeq \Pi_{n \mu \mathrm{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} \widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{n \mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \mu\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{~B}}_{n \mu}\right) \prod_{\mu} \int_{G} d \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_{N \mu} e^{\mathrm{A}[\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}]} \\
& <\infty \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

## APPENDIX B: GAUGE-FIXING AND COUNTER-TERM

Recall from (2.26) and (2.27)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{A}_{\alpha}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (for an infinite size lattice)

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\alpha} & =-\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{n, a}\left(s_{n}^{a}\right)^{2}  \tag{B.2}\\
e^{-A_{c}} & =\int d V \operatorname{II}_{n, a} \delta\left(s_{n}^{a}(\phi)-s_{n}^{a}\right) \tag{B.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We show that with this form for $A_{\alpha}$ and $A_{c}$ (where $A_{c}$ is not gauge-invariant), we still have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\int d U e^{A+A} \alpha^{+A} c \\
& =\int(\text { const }) d U e^{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

Perform the gauge-transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} & \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{~V}}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \widetilde{\mathrm{~V}}_{\mathrm{n}+\hat{\mu}}^{\dagger}  \tag{B.4}\\
\mathrm{dU}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} & \rightarrow \mathrm{dU}_{\mathrm{n} \mu} \tag{B.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Z} & =\int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{U}]} \frac{\exp \left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\widetilde{\phi})\right)^{2}\right\}}{\int \mathrm{dV} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}} \delta\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi \widetilde{\phi})-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\widetilde{\phi})\right)} \\
& =\int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{U}]} \frac{\exp \left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\widetilde{\phi})\right)^{2}\right\}}{\int \mathrm{dV} \underset{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{n}} \delta\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\widetilde{\phi})\right)} \tag{B.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where, in taking the last step, we have used $d\left(\widetilde{V}_{n} V_{n}\right)=d V_{n}$. Note $e^{A} c$ is now a function of $\tilde{\phi}$, i.e., not gauge-invariant. Since $Z$ is independent of $\widetilde{V}_{n}$, we can
trivially integrate it over all $\widetilde{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{n}}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
Z & =\int d \tilde{V} Z \\
& =\int d U e^{A[U]} \int d \widetilde{V} \frac{\exp \left\{-\frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{n, a}\left(s_{n}^{a}(\tilde{\phi})\right)^{2}\right\}}{\int d V{ }_{n, a} \delta\left(s_{n}^{a}(\phi)-s_{n}^{a}(\tilde{\phi})\right)} \tag{B.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Define a change of variables from $\widetilde{\phi}_{n}^{a}$ to $\Phi_{n}^{a}$ by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{n}^{a}=s_{n}^{a}(\tilde{\phi})  \tag{B.8}\\
\Pi{ }_{n, a} d \Phi_{n}^{a}=\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\frac{\partial s_{n}^{a}(\tilde{\phi})}{\partial \tilde{\phi}_{m}^{b}}\right|_{s_{n}^{a}(\tilde{\phi})=\Phi_{n}^{a}}\right)_{n, a} d \tilde{\phi}_{n}^{a} \tag{B.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[B, \Phi]=\left.\operatorname{det}\left(\partial s_{n}^{\mathrm{a}}(\widetilde{\phi}) / \partial \tilde{\phi}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right|_{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\tilde{\phi})=\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}} \tag{B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
d \widetilde{V} & =\underset{n}{\Pi} d \widetilde{V}_{n}=\underset{n, a}{\Pi} d \widetilde{\phi}_{n}^{a}{\underset{n}{n}}_{\Pi} \mu\left(\widetilde{\phi}_{n}^{a}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{J[B, \Phi]} \mu[B, \Phi] \prod_{n, a} d \Phi_{n}^{a} \tag{B.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu[\mathrm{B}, \Phi]=\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\Pi} \mu\left(\phi_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathrm{~B}, \Phi]\right) \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now evaluate $e^{A}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{c}=\int \mathrm{dV} \underset{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}}{\Pi I} \delta\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)-\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\widetilde{\phi})\right) \\
& =\prod_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}} \int \mathrm{~d} \phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \mu\left(\phi_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \prod_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}} \delta\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)-\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \tag{B.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Define a change of variable from $\phi_{n}^{a}$ to $u_{n}^{a}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)-\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \tag{B.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\delta$-functions in (C. 13) force us to evaluate the Jacobian of the transformation (C.14) at the value of $\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ for which $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}(\phi)=\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi d u n_{n}^{a} & =\left(\left.\operatorname{det} \frac{\partial s_{n}^{a}(\phi)}{\partial \phi_{m}^{b}}\right|_{s_{n}^{a}(\phi)=\Phi_{n}^{a}}\right) \underset{n, a}{ }{ }_{n} d \phi_{n}^{a} \\
& =\frac{1}{J[B, \Phi]} \prod_{n, a} d \phi_{n}^{a} \tag{B.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the value of the measure $\mu\left(\phi_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is fixed by the $\delta$-functions giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\mathrm{n}} \mu\left(\phi_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathrm{~B}, \Phi]\right)=\mu[\mathrm{B}, \Phi] \tag{B.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{c}=\mu[\mathrm{B}, \Phi] / \mathrm{J}[\mathrm{~B}, \Phi] \tag{B.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting Eqs. (C.7), (C.11) and (C. 17), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Z} & =\int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{U}]} \prod_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}} \int \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \frac{\mu[\mathrm{~B}, \Phi]}{J[\mathrm{~B}, \Phi]} \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{J}[\mathrm{~B}, \Phi]}{\mu[\mathrm{B}, \Phi]} \\
& =\int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{U}]} \prod_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{a}} \int \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}} \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\text { (const) } \int \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{U}]} \tag{B.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we have proved the desired result. Note the result is exact and valid for any value of $g_{0}$. I thank $M$. Peshkin for discussion on this topic.
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## FIGURE CAPTION

1. Feynman diagrams for the computation of the lowest order self-energy of the gauge field quantum.
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