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#### Abstract

A two-component $\operatorname{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{SU}(2)$ nonlinear $\sigma$-model with a general symmetry-breaking term is presented in which an SU(2) symmetry of internal discrete transformations is introduced. In a redefined PCAC relation this model gives a modified Goldberger-Treiman relation with a correction factor. The estimated value of the axial vector coupling constant $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}$ in neutron $\beta$-decay is in good agreement with experiment.


[^0]Nowadays the validity of the Goldberger-Treiman (GT) relation ${ }^{1}$ is properly understood as a consequence of a slightly broken $\operatorname{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{SU}(2)$ chiral symmetry with the pion as the Nambu-Goldstone boson. ${ }^{2,3}$ With the recent experimental data ${ }^{4,5,6}$ the corrections to the GT relation are about $6 \%$ $\left(\Delta_{N \pi}(\mathrm{exp})=0.06 \pm 0.02\right)$. It was shown that in the unsubtracted dispersion treatment continuum contributions from $3 \pi, \rho \pi$, or $\sigma \pi$ states are too small to explain these corrections. ${ }^{7,8}$ The most attractive candidate to enhance the corrections has been a heavy pion, the $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ (which is not a Goldstone boson). ${ }^{7,9}$ This two-component theory of PCAC was also used in the study of $\pi^{0} \rightarrow 2 \gamma$ decay ${ }^{10}$ and generalized to many heavy bosons. ${ }^{11}$ The possibilities of hadronic symmetry-breaking due to weak and electromagnetic interactions have also been studied in connection with these corrections. ${ }^{12,13}$ In spite of all these efforts the understanding of these corrections still remains unsatisfactory.

In this article we present a two-component $\operatorname{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{SU}(2)$ nonlinear $\sigma$-model with the general symmetry-breaking term ${ }^{14}$ in the tree approximation. Here the two components form an $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ discrete symmetry doublet. We first introduce the $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ symmetry of discrete transformations in the context of the nonlinear realization of the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{SU}(2) \sigma$-model. Then the usual GT relation is derived in the one-component theory of our model where the PCAC relation is redefined. This one-component theory is then extended to the two-component case. It is shown that the two-component theory gives a modified GT relation with a correction factor (a function of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{f}_{\pi}, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}$, and a characteristic constant of this model $\gamma^{2}$ ) to the usual GT relation, and that the estimated value of $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}$ (the axial vector coupling constant in neutron $\beta$-decay) is in very good agreement with experiments.

The $\operatorname{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{SU}(2)$ nonlinear $\sigma$-model which provides a realization of chiral symmetry in terms of the fundamental pion field alone is given by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}+\pi^{2}=\frac{1}{(2 \mathrm{a})^{2}}=\mathrm{f}_{\pi}^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

a is a constant with the dimension of length and $f_{\pi}$ the pion decay constant. As pointed out by Weinberg, ${ }^{15}$ the simplest nonlinear realization which rationalizes the relation between $\pi^{\alpha}$ and $\sigma$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi^{\alpha} & =\frac{\phi^{\alpha}}{\mathrm{a}^{2} \phi^{2}+1},(\alpha=1,2,3)  \tag{2}\\
\sigma & =\frac{-1}{2 \mathrm{a}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{a}^{2} \phi^{2}-1}{\mathrm{a}^{2} \phi^{2}+1} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi^{\alpha}$ is the fundamental pion field. Eq. (1) is invariant under the chiral gauge transformations in the $(\vec{\pi}, \sigma)$-representation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi^{\alpha} \rightarrow \pi^{\alpha}-\Lambda_{\sigma}^{\alpha}  \tag{4}\\
& \sigma \rightarrow \sigma+\Lambda^{\alpha} \pi^{\alpha} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

which are expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\alpha} \rightarrow \phi^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2 a}\left(1+a^{2} \phi^{2}\right) \Lambda^{\alpha} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the $\vec{\phi}$-representation, $\Lambda^{\alpha}$ being an infinitesimal constant vector component.
To begin, let us consider a $R(a)$ symmetry which contains the following gauge transformations in the $\vec{\phi}$-representation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}(a) \phi^{\alpha}(x) R_{1}^{-1}(a)=\frac{1}{a^{2} \phi^{\alpha}(x)}  \tag{7}\\
& R_{2}(a) \phi^{\alpha}(x) R_{2}^{-1}(a)=-\phi^{\alpha}(x)  \tag{8}\\
& R_{3}(a) \phi^{\alpha}(x) R_{3}^{-1}(a)=-\frac{1}{a^{2} \phi^{\alpha}(x)} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

with the $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ commutation relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[R_{k}(a), R_{\ell}(a)\right]_{-}=2 i \epsilon_{k \ell m} R_{m}(a),}  \tag{10}\\
& (k, \ell, m=1,2,3)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[R_{k}(a), R_{\ell}(a)\right]_{+}=2 \delta_{k \ell} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from Eqs. (2) and (3) we obtain the following transformation properties in the $(\vec{\pi}, \sigma)$-representation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
R_{1}(a) \sigma R_{1}^{-1}(a)=-\sigma \\
R_{1}(a) \pi^{\alpha} R_{1}^{-1}(a)=\pi^{\alpha}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{12}\\
& R_{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
R_{2}(a) \sigma R_{2}^{-1}(a)=\sigma \\
R_{2}(a) \pi^{\alpha} R_{2}^{-1}(a)=-\pi^{\alpha}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{13}\\
& R_{3}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
R_{3}(a) \sigma R_{3}^{-1}(a)=-\sigma \\
R_{3}(a) \pi^{\alpha} R_{3}^{-1}(a)=-\pi^{\alpha}
\end{array}\right. \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Such $R_{\ell}$ 's form an $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ symmetry of discrete transformations in the ( $\vec{\pi}, \sigma$ ) representation. This symmetry commutes with the isotopic $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ subgroup of the internal $O(4)$ symmetry in the $(\vec{\pi}, \sigma)$-representation. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[R_{k}, R_{\ell}\right]_{-}=2 i \epsilon_{k \ell m} R_{m},}  \tag{15}\\
& {\left[R_{k}, R_{\ell}\right]_{+}=2 \delta_{k \ell} .} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The chiral symmetric Lagrangian density in the linear realization is invariant under the R -symmetry. It is to be noted that the chiral gauge transformations, Eqs. (4) and (5), only commute with the total discrete transformation operator $R_{3}$. For later use we introduce the $R_{3}$ doublet, $\left(\vec{\pi}_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and ( $\vec{\pi}_{2}, \sigma_{2}$ ) obeying the
following transformation properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{R}_{3}\left(\vec{\pi}_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \mathbf{R}_{3}^{-1}=\left(\vec{\pi}_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)  \tag{17}\\
& \mathbf{R}_{3}\left(\vec{\pi}_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right) \mathbf{R}_{3}^{-1}=\left(-\vec{\pi}_{2},-\sigma_{2}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we assume the $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ transformation property of $\bar{\psi} \psi$ is the same as the $\sigma_{1}$.
Next we start with the one-component $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ chiral invariant Lagrangian density: ${ }^{16}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\partial_{\mu}\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{\mu} \pi\right)^{2}\right]-\bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu}^{\left.\psi-\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}} \pi^{\bar{\psi}\left(\sigma-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{5}\right.} \tau^{\alpha} \pi^{\alpha}\right) \psi \cdot . . . . . .} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nucleon mass and the pion mass $\mu_{\pi}$ are generated by the following general symmetry-breaking term ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a} \sigma+\mathrm{b} \bar{\psi} \psi \quad(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}>0) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the nonlinear constraint condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}+\pi^{2}=\mathrm{f}_{\pi}^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and $\sigma$ to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mu_{\pi}^{2} \equiv \epsilon  \tag{22}\\
& \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}, \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\mathrm{f}_{\pi} \sqrt{1-\pi^{2} / \mathrm{f}_{\pi}^{2}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is the symmetry-breaking parameter in the sense of Dashen ${ }^{14}$ and $m_{N}$ the nucleon mass. In this broken chiral system we then have the following vector and axial vector currents:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{v}_{\mu}^{\alpha}=\left(\vec{\pi} \times \partial_{\mu} \vec{\pi}\right)_{\alpha}+\bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \gamma_{\mu} \frac{1}{2} \tau^{\alpha} \psi \\
\mathrm{A}_{\mu}^{\alpha}=\left(\sigma \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\alpha}-\left(\partial_{\mu} \sigma\right) \pi^{\alpha}\right)+\bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{1}{2} \tau^{\alpha} \psi \tag{26}
\end{array}
$$

and their derivatives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\mu} \mathrm{V}_{\mu}^{\alpha}=0  \tag{27}\\
& \partial_{\mu} \mathrm{A}_{\mu}^{\alpha}=\mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mu_{\pi}^{2} \pi^{\alpha}-\mathrm{b} \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \gamma_{5} \tau^{\alpha} \psi \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the expansion of $\sigma$ (Eq. (24)) in terms of $\pi^{2}$, the total Lagrangian density is rewritten as
$L_{\text {tot }}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \pi\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \mu_{\pi}^{2} \pi^{2}-\bar{\psi}\left(\gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \psi+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}} \bar{\pi}^{\bar{\psi}} \gamma_{5} \tau^{\alpha}{ }_{\psi \pi}^{\alpha}+\ldots$,
where the nucleon mass $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}$ fixes the symmetry breaking constant b by Eq.
(23). From the leading part (associated with one pion) of $L_{\text {tot }}$ in Eq. (29), we get an approximation consistent with Eq. (28) by setting

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mu}^{\alpha} \simeq \mathrm{f}_{\pi} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\alpha}+\bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{1}{2} \tau^{\alpha} \psi,  \tag{30}\\
\partial_{\mu}\left(\bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{1}{2} \tau^{\alpha} \psi\right) \simeq \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}^{\bar{\psi}} \overline{\mathrm{i}} \gamma_{5} \tau^{\alpha} \psi,}, \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\square-\mu_{\pi}^{2}\right) \pi^{\alpha} \simeq-\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi} \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \gamma_{5} \tau^{\alpha_{\psi}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we rewrite Eq. (28) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mu}^{\alpha}-\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\alpha}\right)=\partial_{\mu}\left(\left(\mathrm{f}_{\pi}-\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}}\right) \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\alpha}+\bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{1}{2} \tau^{\alpha} \psi\right)=\left(\mathrm{f}_{\pi}-\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}}\right) \mu_{\pi}^{2} \pi^{\alpha} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used Eq. (32). Multiplying by a factor $f_{\pi} G_{N \pi}\left(f_{\pi} G_{N \pi}-b\right)^{-1}$ on both sides of Eq. (33), this relation has the standard PCAC expression ${ }^{17}$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} \mathrm{A}_{\mu, \mathrm{eff}}^{\alpha}=\mathrm{f} \pi^{\mu} \pi^{2} \pi^{\alpha} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\mu, \text { eff }}^{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mu, \mathrm{eff}}^{\alpha}=\mathrm{f}_{\pi} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{\alpha}+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{GT}} \overline{\mathrm{~T}}_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5}^{\frac{1}{2} \tau}{ }_{\psi}, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the axial vector coupling constant $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{GT}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{A, G T}=\frac{f_{\pi} G_{N \pi}}{m_{N}}=\frac{f_{\pi} G_{N \pi}}{f_{\pi} G_{N \pi}-b} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (36) is just the celebrated GT relation. Using the redefined PCAC relation (Eq. (34)), this result can be easily confirmed by the one-pion pole dominance approximation in the unsubtracted dispersion treatment or by the axial vector current conservation method, under the on- and off-shell smoothness hypotheses. ${ }^{18}$ It is to be noted that in our model the axial vector current conservation does not correspond to exact chiral symmetry ( $\partial_{\mu} A_{\mu}^{\alpha}=0, \mu_{\pi}^{2}=0$, and $\mathrm{b}=0$; $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{GT}}=1$ ). Hence the redefined PCAC relation in Eq. (34) should be reinterpreted as the consequence of deviations from the redefined (or partially) exact chiral symmetry ( $\partial_{\mu} A_{\mu}^{\alpha}=0, \mu_{\pi}^{2}=0$, and $b=f_{\pi} G_{N \pi}-m_{N}>0 ; g_{A, G T}=\frac{f_{\pi} G_{N \pi}}{m_{N}}$ ), which is consistent with current algebra approach.

Next, restricting to the tree approximation, we proceed with the twocomponent $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ chiral invariant Lagrangian density:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\mathrm{L}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{2}\left[\left(\partial_{\mu} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{2}+\left(\partial_{\mu} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{2}\right]-\bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi-\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{2} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}} \bar{\psi}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{5} \tau \pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}\right) \psi,  \tag{37}\\
\\
\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}}\left(-\mu_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\right)\right),
\end{array}
$$

Here we have identified the total discrete symmetry doublet $\left(\vec{\pi}_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\vec{\pi}_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ as $\left(\vec{\pi}_{1} \equiv \vec{\pi}, \sigma_{1} \equiv \sigma\right.$ ) and $\left(\overrightarrow{\pi_{2}} \equiv \pi^{\top}, \sigma_{2} \equiv \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. The general symmetry breaking terms are given by

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{2} a_{n} \sigma_{n}+b \bar{\psi} \psi \quad\left(a_{n}>0\right)
$$

with the conditions

$$
\sigma_{n}^{2}+\pi_{n}^{2}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \quad(\mathrm{n}=1,2)
$$

Now let us choose $a_{n}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}_{2}=\mathrm{f}_{2} \mu_{2}^{2}=\mathrm{f}_{1} \mu_{1}^{2}=\epsilon, \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \sqrt{1-\pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} / \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}}
\end{align*},
$$

where $b=f_{\pi} G_{N \pi}-m_{N}$ has been fixed in Eq. (23). We observe that the $R_{3}-$ symmetry breaking terms in $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tot }}$ are

$$
-\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{2}} \bar{\psi}\left(\sigma_{2}-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{5} \tau^{\alpha} \pi_{2}^{\alpha}\right) \psi+\mathrm{a}_{2} \sigma_{2}
$$

which guarantees $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{1}} \neq \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{2}}$ and $\mu_{1}^{2} \neq \mu_{2}^{2}$.
Then, the vector and axial vector currents of this system are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mu}^{\alpha}=\sum_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\vec{\pi}_{\mathrm{n}} \times \partial_{\mu} \vec{\pi}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{\alpha}+\bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \gamma_{\mu} \frac{1}{2} \tau^{\alpha} \psi,  \tag{38}\\
& \mathrm{A}_{\mu}^{\alpha}=\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\Sigma}\left[\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{n}} \partial_{\mu} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\alpha}-\left(\partial_{\mu} \sigma_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\alpha}\right]+\bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{1}{2} \tau^{\alpha} \psi,\right. \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\mu} v_{\mu}^{\alpha}=0  \tag{40}\\
& \partial_{\mu} A_{\mu}^{\alpha}=\sum_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \mu_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\alpha}-\mathrm{b} \psi \bar{\psi} \gamma_{5} \tau^{\alpha} \psi \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the expansion of $\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}$ (Eq. (24')) in terms of $\pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}$, the total Lagrangian density is rewritten as
$L_{\text {tot }}=-\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\partial_{\mu} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{\mathrm{n}} \mu_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}-\bar{\psi}\left(\gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}} \bar{\psi} i \gamma_{5} \tau} \tau^{\alpha} \psi \pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\alpha}+\ldots$,
where the shifted nucleon mass $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{N}^{\prime}=\sum_{n} f_{n} G_{N \pi_{n}}-b \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Just as in the one-component theory we take the approximation which is consistent with Eq. (41):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mu}^{\alpha} \simeq \sum_{\mathrm{n}}^{\alpha} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \partial_{\mu} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\alpha}+\bar{\psi}_{\mathrm{i} i \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{1}{2} \tau^{\alpha},},  \tag{44}\\
\partial_{\mu}\left(\bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{1}{2} \tau_{\psi}^{\alpha}\right) \simeq \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\prime} \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \gamma_{5} \tau_{\psi}^{\alpha}, \tag{45}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\square-\mu_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\right) \pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\alpha} \simeq-\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}} \bar{\psi} i \gamma_{5} \tau^{\alpha} \psi . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the divergence of axial vector current can be taken in the standard PCAC form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} A_{\mu, \mathrm{eff}}^{\alpha}=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \mu_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\alpha} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\mu, \text { eff }}^{\alpha} & =\sum_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \partial_{\mu} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\alpha}+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}} \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\mu} \frac{1}{2} \tau^{\alpha}{ }_{\psi},  \tag{48}\\
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}} & =\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}}}{\sum_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{\mathrm{n}}}-\mathrm{b}}, \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{\gamma^{2} \mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}}{\gamma^{2} \mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}-\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\gamma^{2} \mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}}{\left(\gamma^{2}-1\right) \mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi^{+}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{2}=1+\left(\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{2}} / \mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{1}}\right) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (50) is just the desired modified GT relation. This $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}$ can be expressed in terms of the corrections $\Delta_{\mathrm{N} \pi}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}=\left(1-\Delta_{\mathrm{N} \pi}\right) \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{GT}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathrm{N} \pi}=\Delta_{\mathrm{N} \pi}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{f}_{\pi}, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi} ; \gamma^{2}\right)=\frac{\left(\gamma^{2}-1\right)\left(\mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)}{\left(\gamma^{2}-1\right) \mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the recent experimental numbers for $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{f}_{\pi}, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}$, and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}$ (or $\Delta_{\mathrm{N} \pi}$ ), we obtain the characteristic constant ${ }^{19}$ of this model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{8}\left(=\sum_{\mathbf{r}=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 \mathbf{r}-1)^{2}}\right) \simeq 1.2337 \ldots \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Converscly, if we postulate $\gamma^{2}$ as the one given in Eq。(54), then the estimated value of $g_{A}$ (or $\Delta_{N \pi}$ ) is in excellent agreement with data (see Table I).

From Eqs. (22'), (51), and (54) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{f}_{\pi_{2}} & =\frac{\mu_{1}^{2}}{\mu_{2}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{1}}  \tag{55}\\
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{2}} & =\left(\gamma^{2}-1\right) \frac{\mu_{2}^{2}}{\mu_{1}^{2}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi_{1}} . \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

Both Eqs. (55) and (56) suggest that there exists the heavy pion, $\pi_{2}=\pi^{\prime}$, obeying the bounds:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{\pi^{\prime}}^{2} \geq\left(3 \mu_{\pi^{\prime}}\right)^{2}  \tag{57}\\
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi^{\prime}} \geq\left(\gamma^{2}-1\right) 9 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi} \simeq 2.1 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}  \tag{58}\\
\mathrm{f}_{\pi^{\prime}} \leq \frac{1}{9} \mathrm{f}_{\pi} \tag{59}
\end{gather*}
$$

In conclusion, our results indicate that the corrections to the GT relation are almost covered by the effect from the heavy pion $\pi^{\prime}$. Using the redefined PCAC relation (Eq. (47)), the modified GT relation (Eq. (50)) can be easily obtained by summing up the effect from the heavy pion $\pi^{\prime}$-pole dominance in the unsubtracted dispersion treatment, ${ }^{20}$ or by the axial vector current conservation method, under the on- and off-shell smoothness hypotheses. It is to be noted that a shift in the experimental numbers has been all in the direction of reducing the experimental values of $\Delta_{N \pi^{\prime}}$. In fact, the value of $g_{A \text {, exp }}$ has
increased with time and the $N N \pi$ coupling constant $G_{N \pi}$ has tended to decrease with time. The estimated values for $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}$ with variant $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}$ 's in the one- and twocomponent theories are summarized in Table I.
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19. Since $\gamma^{2} \mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}-\mathrm{b} \simeq \mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi} / \beta^{2}$ with experimental numbers, we have a simple relation for $g_{A}$ :

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}} \simeq \beta^{2} \gamma^{2}=1.2518 \ldots,
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}} \simeq \gamma^{2}=1.2337 \ldots
$$

where

$$
\beta^{2}=\frac{\pi^{4}}{96}=\sum_{\mathbf{r}=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 \mathrm{r}-1)^{4}} .
$$

20. In fact, we have

$$
2 m_{N}^{\prime}(0) g_{A}(0)=\lim _{q^{2} \rightarrow 0} 2 \sum_{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \mu_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}}{q^{2}+\mu_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}} ;
$$

hence

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}(0)=\frac{\gamma^{2} \mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}(0)}{\gamma^{2} \mathrm{f}_{\pi} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}(0)-\mathrm{b}(0)}
$$

TABLE I
Estimated numbers of $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}$ in the one- and two-component theories $\left(\gamma^{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{8}\right)$

| $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}^{2} / 4 \pi$ | $-\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} \pi}$ | $-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{GT}}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}, 1}$ | $-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}, 2}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | $\Delta_{\mathrm{N} \pi}$ | $-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}, \exp }$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13.90 | 13.22 | 1.296 | 1.228 | 0.052 |  |
| 14.00 | 13.26 | 1.300 | 1.230 | 0.054 |  |
| 14.30 | 13.41 | 1.315 | 1.241 | 0.056 |  |
| 14.64 [Ref.5] | 13.56 | 1.330 | 1.252 | 0.059 | $1.25 \pm 0.009$ [Ref. $_{4]}^{4}$ |
| 15.00 | 13.73 | 1.346 | 1.264 | 0.061 |  |
| 15.20 | 13.82 | 1.355 | 1.270 | 0.063 |  |

$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=6.72 \mu_{\pi^{+}}, \sqrt{2} \mathrm{f}_{\pi}=0.932 \mu_{\pi^{+}}[$Ref. 6$], \mu_{\pi^{+}}=139.7 \mathrm{MeV}$.
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