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#### Abstract

At squared momentum transfers $0.8 \leq \mathrm{q}^{2} \leq 6.0(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$, we measured nine spectra of inelastic electron deuteron scattering cross sections in the threshold region between 0 and $2.3 \%$ in $\Delta p / p$ below the elastic peak and deduced the deuteron inelastic structure function $\nu \mathrm{W}_{2}$. We found $\nu \mathrm{W}_{2}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ approaching a universal scaling curve and a close relation between $\nu \mathrm{W}_{2}$ and the deuteron elastic structure function $A\left(q^{2}\right)$.
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[^0]In this letter, we report preliminary results of inelastic electron deuteron scattering in the threshold region at high momentum transfer q. The data were taken from a SLAC experiment ${ }^{1}$ whose main purpose was to measure the elastic scattering cross sections and the deuteron structure function $A\left(q^{2}\right)$ at nine values of $q^{2}$ in the region $0.8 \leq q^{2} \leq 6.0(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$. The experimental conditions were such that we measured not only the elastic events, but also inelastic events from deuteron breakup and pion production between $0 \geq \Delta p / p_{e} \geq-2.3 \%$, where $p_{e}$ is the scattered electron momentum at the center of the elastic peak. The nine inelastic electron spectra span an unusual dynamic region of large $q^{2}$ and small energy transfer $\nu=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}$, the difference between initial and final electron energy. This region has not been explored before. Bjorken scaling is expected when both $\mathrm{q}^{2}$ and $\nu$ are large compared with $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}$, the square of the nucleon mass, as in deep inelastic electron scattering. The data presented here are on a scale where $q^{2}$ is expected to resolve the nucleons into fermion quark currents while $\nu$ is typical of excitation energies where nucleon-nucleon final state interaction effects may be significant. The deuteron, then, is an ideal system to study the approach to scaling and the interplay between nuclear and particle physics.

We used the $20 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ spectrometer of SLAC End Station A to detect scattered electrons at a fixed $\theta_{\mathrm{e}}=8^{\circ}$ from liquid deuterium, liquid hydrogen (for system calibration) and from a dummy target to determine the empty target background. To filter the elastic events out of the inelastic and background events, we used the $8 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ spectrometer in coincidence with the $20 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ spectrometer to detect the recoil deuterons with elastic kinematics.

The $20 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ spectrometer with $5 \%$ momentum acceptance was set to cover the region in $\Delta p$ between $-.03 \leq \Delta p / p_{e} \leq+.02$. Events from the electron arm were logged when a coincidence signal in three scintillator trigger counters and
a large pulse height in a lead glass and lead lucite shower counter was registered. Thus, simultaneous with the double arm elastic events, we recorded single arm events from inelastic processes in the threshold region.

Five planes of multiwire proportional chambers recorded the particle trajectory at the spectrometer exit. The angle and momentum of the particles leaving the target were reconstructed using the well-known optical properties of the spectrometer.

A typical electron spectrum is shown in Fig. 1 displaying the total spectrum and the elastic and empty target background events. Subtracting the background and elastic events from the total spectrum gives us the inelastic events we want to investigate here. The finite resolution, mainly caused by the initial electron beam momentum spread, is roughly $0.5 \%$ of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and given by the width of the elastic peak.

Figure 2 shows the kinematic region of our nine spectra on a $q^{2}-\nu$-plane. The dotted line indicates the experimental limit at $2.3 \%$ of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{e}}$ below the center of the elastic peak. The pion threshold is always inside, the center of the quasielastic peak always outside the spectra.

For radiative unfolding, we used a method suggested by Crannell ${ }^{2}$ using the radiative correction formulas given by Tsai. ${ }^{3}$ This gave an enhancement to the spectra of typically a factor 1.8 with very little energy dependency. The solid angle $\Delta \Omega$ was determined in a Monte Carlo simulation. Experimental corrections were applied for dead time losses ( 5 to $10 \%$ ) and for wire chamber track inefficiencies ( $10 \%$ ).

Our results for the inelastic cross sections $d^{2} \sigma / d \Omega d E_{f}$ versus $E_{f}$ are presented in Fig. 3. The error bars on the data points represent the statistical errors only. The systematical errors, mainly from uncertainties in $\Delta \Omega$ and
the corrections, are estimated to $\pm 20 \%$. The spectra rise almost exponentially with decreasing $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}$, with a sharp rise from zero at the threshold.

The influence of the resolution on the shape of the spectra at threshold was studied by folding the resolution into faked "true." spectra. This study indicated that the "true" spectra apparently rise from zero with nonzero slope. Thus, the threshold enhancement cannot be explained as a resolution effect. It is possible that it is caused by final state interactions between the outgoing proton and neutron which have small relative momentum.

In one photon exchange approximation, the cross section can be written as

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} \Omega \mathrm{dE}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{\sigma \mathrm{M}}{\nu} \cdot\left[\nu \mathrm{~W}_{2}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}, \nu\right)+\nu \mathrm{W}_{1}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}, \nu\right) \cdot \tan ^{2} \frac{{ }^{\theta}}{2}\right]
$$

where $\sigma_{M}$ is the Mott cross section and $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are the deuteron inelastic structure functions, depending on the Lorentz invariants $q^{2}$ and $\nu$. Formulas connecting $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are given, e.g., in Ref. 4. Assuming $R=0.18,{ }^{5}$ we find for our case

$$
\nu \mathrm{W}_{1} \cdot \tan ^{2} \frac{\theta}{\mathrm{e}} / \nu \mathrm{W}_{2} \leq .011
$$

which means that we are practically only sensitive to $\nu \mathrm{W}_{2}$. For $\mathrm{q}^{2}, \nu \rightarrow \infty$, it is observed that $\nu \mathrm{W}_{2}$ becomes a function of the scaling variable $\omega^{\prime}$ only, with $\omega^{\prime}=1+W^{2} / q^{2}$ and $W^{2}=M^{2}+2 M \nu-q^{2}$, the square of the missing mass. Figure 4 shows a comparison of our data with data from Refs. 5 and 7 on a $\nu \mathrm{W}_{2}$ vs. $\omega^{\prime}$ plot. ${ }^{6}$ At $\mathrm{q}^{2}$ below $2(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$, we are not yet in the scaling region, but at $q^{2} \geq 2(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$, our spectra merge with increasing $q^{2}$ into a universal $\nu \mathrm{W}_{2}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ curve. We find empirically that the spectra with $\mathrm{q}^{2} \geq 2(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$ can be described by the relation $\nu \mathrm{W}_{2} \sim\left(\omega^{\prime}-0.5\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$, with $\mathrm{n}=6 \pm 0.5$.

We find a close relation between the elastic $A\left(q^{2}\right)$ and $W_{2}$ at constant $W^{2}$. $\mathrm{A}\left(q^{2}\right)$ is observed to approach the power-law behavior $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}=\sqrt{\mathrm{A}} \sim\left(q^{2}\right)^{-5}$ (see Ref. 1) as predicted by Brodsky and Farrar's dimensional-scaling quark model. ${ }^{8}$ Using the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}} \sim \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2} / 4\right) \cdot\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{q}^{2}}{\frac{6}{5} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)^{-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}}=\left(1+\mathrm{q}^{2} / .71\right)^{-2}$ and $\mathrm{m}^{2}=.47 / 2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ (see Ref. 9) the onset of scaling was observed to be already below $q^{2}=0.8(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$.

For W (Ref. 6) close to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}$, we find empirically that our data follow the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{2} \sim \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}\right) \cdot \rho\left(\mathrm{W}^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}$ given by Eq. (1) and a function $\rho$ only depending on $\mathrm{W}^{2}$ (see Fig. 5).
A connection between F and $\nu \mathrm{W}_{2}$ near threshold has been given by DrellYan ${ }^{10}$ and West ${ }^{11}$ for the case of the proton:

$$
\nu \mathrm{W}_{2} \sim \mathrm{x} \cdot(1-\mathrm{x})^{\mathrm{p}}, \quad \mathrm{~F} \sim\left(1 / \mathrm{q}^{2}\right)^{(\mathrm{p}+1) / 2}, \quad \mathrm{x}=\frac{\mathrm{q}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{M} \nu} \rightarrow 1 .
$$

If we extend this prediction to the deuteron, we postulate $p=9$, since $F \sim\left(1 / q^{2}\right)^{5}$. From our data, we could not get a reasonable fit within $4 \leq p \leq 11$. So, we do not have any evidence that this connection works for the deuteron. However, we seem to be at too small $q^{2}, \nu$-values to observe scaling in x .

If $G\left(q^{2}\right)$ is the excitation form factor of a resonance at $M=M_{R}$,

$$
\nu \mathrm{W}_{2}=\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}+\mathrm{q}^{2}\right) \cdot\left[\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}\right)\right]^{2} \cdot \delta\left(\mathrm{~W}^{2}-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}\right)
$$

is its contribution to $\nu \mathrm{W}_{2}$ in the narrow resonance approximation. This has been shown by Bloom and Gilman. ${ }^{12}$ Our data indicate that this relation works in
our case $\left(M_{R} \approx M_{d}\right)$, i.e., $\nu W_{2} \sim q^{2} \cdot F_{d}^{2} \rho\left(W^{2}\right)$, similar to Eq. (2). Thus, our measurements indicate that $\nu \mathrm{W}_{2}$ at threshold behaves like a resonance with $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}\right) \sim \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}\right)$. This leads, in the language of the quark model, to the conclusion that, like in the elastic case, all six quarks participate in the threshold inelastic scattering process.

We thank Dr. S. Brodsky for many helpful suggestions and discussions.
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## FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. Electron events at $\mathrm{q}^{2}=1(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$.
2. Kinematic region of this experiment.
3. Inelastic cross sections versus final electron energy.
4. Our data compared with results from Refs. $5\left(\theta=6^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}\right.$; W $>2 \mathrm{GeV}$; $\left.q^{2}>1(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}\right)$ and $7\left(\theta=18^{\circ}, 26^{\circ}, 34^{\mathrm{O}} ; \mathrm{W} \geq 2 \mathrm{GeV} ; \mathrm{q}^{2} \geq 4(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}\right)$.
5. Inelastic structure function $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ divided by the elastic structure function A at constant missing mass. $F_{d}=A^{1 / 2}$. The lines are eyeball fits.
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