SLAC-PUB-1572 LBL-3695 April 1975 (E)

THE QUANTUM NUMBERS AND DECAY WIDTHS OF THE $\psi(3095)$ *

A. M. Boyarski, M. Breidenbach, F. Bulos, G. J. Feldman,
G. E. Fischer, D. Fryberger, G. Hanson, B. Jean-Marie, †
R. R. Larsen, D. Lüke, †† V. Lüth, H. L. Lynch, D. Lyon,
C. C. Morehouse, J. M. Paterson, M. L. Perl, P. Rapidis,
B. Richter, R. F. Schwitters, W. Tanenbaum, and F. Vannucci‡

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

and

G. S. Abrams, D. D. Briggs, W. Chinowsky, C. E. Friedberg,
G. Goldhaber, J. A. Kadyk, A. M. Litke, B. A. Lulu
F. M. Pierre, ‡‡ B. Sadoulet, G. H. Trilling,
J. S. Whitaker, F. Winkelmann, and J. E. Wiss

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Physics University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

ABSTRACT

Cross sections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$, e^+e^- , and $\mu^+\mu^-$ near 3095 MeV are presented. The $\psi(3095)$ resonance is established as having an assignment $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$. The mass is 3095 ± 4 MeV. The partial width to electrons is $\Gamma_e = 4.8 \pm 0.6$ keV and the total width $\Gamma = 69 \pm 15$ keV. Total rates and interference measurements for the lepton channels are in accord with μ -e universality.

(Submitted to Phys. Rev. Letters.)

^{*}Work supported by the U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration. †Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, Centre d'Orsay de l'Université de

Paris, 91 Orsay, France.

*[†]†*Fellow of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

[‡]Institut de Physique Nucléaire, Orsay, France.

^{‡‡}Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires de Saclay, France.

Following the discovery of the $\psi(3095)^{1,2}$ and the $\psi(3684)^3$ we made extensive measurements of the cross sections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$, e^+e^- , and $\mu^+\mu^-$ as a function of energy near the resonances, using the SLAC-LBL solenoidal detector at SPEAR. This letter makes use of these new data to deduce the quantum numbers, the mass, the total width and the partial decay widths for the $\psi(3095)$. We assume that the ψ is a unique state.

The trigger employed, the event selection criteria, and the calculation of detection efficiency for multihadron states are described in Refs. 4 and 5. All cross sections were normalized using luminosity monitors which measure small angle (25 mr) Bhabha scattering. Backgrounds were of the order of 0.01% to 0.1% and have been neglected. Figure 1a shows the total cross section for hadron production vs. center-of-mass energy E. We have assumed no unobserved modes. Because the observed full width at half maximum of 2.6 MeV is compatible with that expected from the energy spread in the storage ring, the width of the resonance must be significantly smaller. Figures 1b and 1c show the corresponding cross sections for the $\mu^+\mu^-$ and e^+e^- final states, ⁶ in the angular region $|\cos \theta| < 0.6$, where θ is the angle between the outgoing positive lepton and the incident positron.

The data of Fig. 1 were fitted simultaneously to obtain the mass m, and the partial widths Γ_{e} , Γ_{μ} , and Γ_{h} to electrons, muons, and hadrons, respectively. We assume the total width $\Gamma = \Gamma_{e} + \Gamma_{\mu} + \Gamma_{h}$. The fit folded the Gaussian beam resolution function with radiative effects⁷ and a Breit-Wigner cross section. We assumed an angular distribution of $1 + \cos^{2} \theta$ for the leptonic decays and J=1 (justified in later discussion). The partial widths have a simple relation to the

- 2 -

observed cross sections:

$$\int \sigma_{c} dE = \frac{2\pi^{2}(2J+1)}{m^{2}} \frac{\Gamma_{e} \Gamma_{c}}{\Gamma}$$
(1)

where σ_c is the Breit-Wigner cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow c$, and Γ_c is the partial width to the channel c. Such integrations, with appropriate radiative corrections, gave results in agreement with the fit.

The results^{8,9} of the fit are given in the table with errors which principally reflect our systematic uncertainties. These include energy setting errors of ± 100 keV, ± 3% for errors in overall normalization, and ± 15% for uncertainties in the detection efficiency of the hadron channel. $\Gamma_{\rm e}$ and Γ_{μ} are in good agreement, as expected for μ -e universality. $\Gamma_{\gamma \rm h}$ is the partial width assuming ${\rm e}^+{\rm e}^- \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow$ hadrons; it is included in $\Gamma_{\rm h}$. $\Gamma_{\gamma \rm h}$ is derived from the relation $\Gamma_{\gamma \rm h} = \Gamma_{\mu} \sigma_{\rm h}$ (nonresonant)/ σ_{μ} (nonresonant), which assumes the leptons couple to ψ only via photons. The nonresonant cross sections are obtained from Refs. 4,5. Since $\Gamma_{\gamma \rm h} < \Gamma_{\rm h}$, ψ must have direct coupling to hadrons.

The determination of the quantum numbers J^{PC} for the $\psi(3095)$ is made by study of interference between resonance and QED amplitudes and by examination of the angular distribution of leptons from ψ decays. Interference is most easily studied in the $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel because a resonant amplitude sharing the quantum numbers of the photon should show strong interference with the known s channel QED amplitude. ¹⁰ To exhibit interference effects, it is convenient to use the ratio of $\mu^+\mu^-$ to e^+e^- yields seen in the detector, thereby minimizing systematic errors due to normalization. This ratio for the detected angular range $|\cos \theta| < 0.6$ is shown in Fig. 2a. Also shown are curves representing no interference, e.g., J=0; and maximum interference, i.e., a pure $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ state. The cross section integrals obtained in the previous section fix the parameters for the curves. In the region 3.087 to 3.093 GeV we observe 85 μ pairs and 1497 e pairs. Given that number of e pairs we should have observed 114 μ pairs on the hypothesis of no interference and 78 μ pairs for full interference. The data agree with the maximum interference prediction and disagree with the hypothesis of no interference by 2.7 standard deviations. Because the detector is symmetric in space and with respect to charge, and sums over spins, the observation of interference unambiguously selects the assignment (-1) for both parity and charge conjugation of the $\psi(3095)$, assuming that the resonance is an eigenstate of P and C.

Because of the limited angular acceptance of the detector, one cannot conclude just from the interference that $\psi(3095)$ has spin-one without more detailed arguments. Since the QED amplitudes vanish for zero helicity in either the initial or final state, only resonance amplitudes having nonzero helicity may interfere. It follows that spin-zero is excluded. Parity conservation relates those four helicity amplitudes to a single independent amplitude. Since the QED amplitude is real the interference is determined by the real part of the one independent resonance helicity amplitude times an angular overlap integral over the range $|\cos \theta| < 0.6$. The integral is completely determined by the value of J. T invariance, μ -e universality, unitarity, and causality specify the sign of the real part of the resonance amplitude below resonance. Spin-one produces a <u>destructive</u> interference while spins-two and -three will both produce a <u>constructive</u> interference below resonance.¹¹ Thus spins-two and -three are rejected by having confidence levels even less than that of spin-zero. Finally spins greater than three may be excluded because their overlap integral is negligible.

The assumption that the resonance is an eigenstate of P and C may be tested by studying the front-back asymmetry in the leptonic decays. The measured

- 4 -

asymmetry for μ pairs <u>vs</u> energy, ¹² shown in Fig. 2b, has at resonance a value of 0.02 ± 0.03 , which indicates no significant parity or charge conjugation violation. For comparison data at 3.0 GeV are also shown.⁴ The asymmetry is consistent with zero between 3.087 and 3.102 GeV', which argues against the ψ being a degenerate mixture of states of opposite parity.

The angular distributions of e pairs and μ pairs are shown in Fig. 3. Both distributions, after subtraction of the QED contributions, are consistent with the angular distribution $1 + \cos^2 \theta$ expected for a simple 1⁻⁻ state populating only helicity ± 1 , but are inconsistent with 2⁻⁻ and 3⁻⁻ states populating the same helicity states. ¹³ Thus, the angular distributions confirm the interference results, $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$.

We should like to thank numerous members of the SLAC Theory Group and Professor J. D. Jackson for informative discussions.

Mass	$3.095 \pm 0.004 \text{ GeV}$
$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{PC}}$	1
Γ_{e}	4.8 ± 0.6 keV
Γ_{μ}	4.8 ± 0.6 keV
$\Gamma_{\rm h}$	$59 \pm 14 \text{ keV}$
$\Gamma_{\gamma h}$	12 ± 2 keV
Г	$69 \pm 15 \text{ keV}$
$\Gamma_{e}^{}/\Gamma$	$\textbf{0.069} \pm \textbf{0.009}$
Γ_{μ}/Γ	$\textbf{0.069} \pm \textbf{0.009}$
$\Gamma_{\rm h}^{\prime}/\Gamma$	0.86 ± 0.02
Γ_{μ}/Γ_{e}	$\textbf{1.00} \pm \textbf{0.05}$
E.	

Table 1

Properties of $\psi(3095)$

References and Footnotes

- 1. J.-E. Augustin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1406 (1974).
- 2. J. J. Aubert et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1404 (1974).
- 3. G. S. Abrams et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 1453 (1974).
- 4. J.-E. Augustin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett 34, 233 (1975).
- 5. J.-E. Augustin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>34</u>, 764 (1975).
- 6. As pointed out in Ref. (1), we cannot exclude the possibility of some small hadron contamination in our μ sample.
- 7. Cross sections shown have not been corrected for radiative effects. The overall radiative corrections to the partial widths are ~ 30% and are part of the fitting procedure. For discussion of radiative corrections c.f. Y. S. Tsai, SLAC-PUB-1515 and D. R. Yennie, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>34</u>, 239 (1975).
- 8. The mass quoted differs from that of Ref. (1), due to recalibration of the storage ring's absolute energy. The uncertainty quoted is an estimate of systematic errors in this new calibration.
- 9. Results related to these have been published. These authors have not applied radiative corrections. W. W. Ash <u>et al.</u>, Lett. al Nuovo Cimento <u>11</u>, 705 (1974); R. Baldini Celio <u>et al.</u>, Lett. al Nuovo Cimento 11, 711 (1974); G. Barbiellini <u>et al.</u>, Lett. al Nuovo Cimento <u>11</u>, 718 (1974); W. Braunschweig <u>et al.</u>, Phys. Lett. <u>53B</u>, 393 (1974).
- 10. Most of the QED cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ in the range $|\cos\theta| < 0.6$ is due to space-like momentum transfer; thus interference in the electron channel is much smaller than the μ channel (and of opposite sign, assuming μ -e universality).
- 11. If the signs of the e coupling and μ coupling to Ψ are different, the sign of the interference would be reversed. Considerations of angular distributions in the next section will exclude J=2 or 3 and thus exclude a sign difference.
- 12. Because of the large subtraction of QED necessary for electrons, the accuracy of the μ asymmetry is much superior to that of the electrons.
- 13. Consideration of P and C invariance excludes zero helicity amplitudes for a 2⁻⁻ state. No such selection rule exists for a 3⁻⁻ state, but helicity 1 amplitudes must be dominant to observe large interference.











i

÷.,

Figure 3